





Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation

NEW BOOKS

PUBLISHED OR PREPARING FOR PUBLICATION.

RY

WHITTAKER AND Co.,

AVE-MARIA LANE, LONDON.

TRAVELS, GEOGRAPHY, &c.

Two vols. 8vo., with illustrations of interesting localities and costumes, a new Map of Germany, and Frontispieces in Oil Colours, by BAXTER, price 24s. cloth, lettered.

SKETCHES of GERMANY, and the GERMANS; with a Glance at Poland, Hungary, and Switzerland, in 1834, 1835, and 1836. By AN ENGLISHMAN, Resident in Germany.

** This work comprises a full development of the present social and political state of Germany, gathered from a long residence at Vienna; including notices of its commercial relations and views, and the operation of the Prussian Commercial League. Also, a Tour from the German Ocean to the Baltic; from thence through Berlin to Warsaw, on to Silesia and Bohemia; and through the greater part of the Austrian Empire to the Adriatic; with a Voyage down the Danube, from Ulm into Hungary.

"All who desire to have an enlightened conductor to the almost innumerable places and objects of high interest contained within the wide circuit expressed in the title, whether the tour is to be in person or in spirit, ought to become intimately acquainted with it."—Monthly Review.
"We have found the whole exceedingly pleasing, even where the author goes over familiar ground, trodden by almost every visitor to Germany, such as her capital cities, baths, and rivers. On less frequented regions he is still more agreeable, and his touches more replete with novelty and intelligence."—Literary Gazette.

Fourth Edition, in one volume, revised, and illustrated by a Map of Ireland and a Chart of the Shannon, post 8vo. 12s.

A JOURNEY THROUGHOUT IRELAND, during the Spring, Summer, and Autumn of 1834. By HENRY D. INGLIS.

"The most striking, and the most valuable characteristic of this work is its strict bonesty: we have often had to lament the difficulty of obtaining accurate information respecting the state of Ireland; most writers on this subject have yielded to the prejudices of party, if not to the extent of uttering falsehood, at least to the scarcely less culpable suppression of truth. We rejoice then to have before us the evidence of a traveller like Mr. Inglis, whose work bears in every page the stamp and impress of veracity,"—thenaum.
"Written in an honest and impartial spirit,"—Edinburgh Review.
"Drawn by a careful and impartial man."—Times.
"He would refer to a passage in a recent work on Ireland, by Mr. Inglis, which he believed, was regarded by both sides as impartial."—Lord Stanley's Speech in the House of Commons, 2nd April.

Also, by Mr. Inglis, new edition, post 8vo., with a Map, price 9s. cloth.

A PERSONAL NARRATIVE of a TOUR THROUGH NOR-WAY, SWEDEN, and DENMARK.

"-Literary of Norw ."-Literary Gazette.

And also by the same, uniform with the foregoing, in 1 vol. price 10s. 6 loth.

A JOURNEY THROUGH the NORTHERN PROVINCES of FRANCE, the PYRENEES, and SWITZERLAND.

"The countries described in these volumes are rarely visited by ordinary tourists, and they are partially distinguishes the author's writings."—Sunday Times.

Travels, Geography, &c .- continued.

THE

BRITISH COLONIAL LIBRARY.

FORMING

A POPULAR AND AUTHENTIC DESCRIPTION

OF THE SEVERAL

Colonies of the British Empire,

AND EMBRACING THE

HISTORY—PHYSICAL GEOGRAPHY—GEOLOGY—CLIMATE—ANIMAL, VEGE-TABLE, AND MINERAL KINGDOMS-GOVERNMENT-FINANCE-MILITARY DEFENCE-COMMERCE-SHIPPING-MONETARY SYSTEM-RELIGION-POPULATION, WHITE AND COLOURED-EDUCATION AND THE PRESS-EMIGRATION, SOCIAL STATE, &c. OF EACH SETTLEMENT.

Founded on Official and Public Documents, furnished by Government, the Hon. East India Company, &c.,

And dedicated, by express command, to the King.

By R. MONTGOMERY MARTIN, Esq., F.S.S.

* Each volume is complete in itself, and is issued periodically, at intervals of not less than two months, and the whole work will not exceed 12 volumes, foolscap 8vo. Illustrated by original Maps and Frontispieces, and handsomely bound in cloth and lettered, price 6s. each volume.

bound in cloth and lettered, price 6s. each volume.

"The Colonies of Britain are without a parallel in the History of the World. In each hemisphere, muder every zone, and beneath various climes, one hundred and thirty millions of human beings are linked together in a Maritime Empire, on which the sun never sets, and over whose vast and fertile area all the blessings of civilization and Christianity are rapidly extending, diffusing light and life into every section of the habitable earth.

"To lay before the public, in a complete form and at a readily accessible price, the whole of these Colonies, separated by geographical distinctions, and yet connected by political institutions and commercial intercourse, is the object of the "COLONIAL LIBRARY," in which, each possession of magnitude or importance will have a separate volume devoted to its illustration in every possible point of view, so as to render the work one which will blend information with amusement, and instruction with profit.

"It remains only to be added, that the Author has spent one-spired of his life, either as an officer in His Majesty's service, or as a private individual, exploring the Colonies of the British Empire; that since his return to Europe his stores of information have been enriched by official documents derived from the Colonial Office, the India-House, the Bnard of Trade, the Custom-House, &c., and by very many valimable private and semi-official communications from the various Companies connected with the Colonies, the Governors of each Settlement, and numerous Merchants interested in their welfare in London and Liverpool, as well as in the Colonies themselves."—Introduction.

Already Published.

Vol. I .- The CANADAS, Upper and Lower.

Vol. II .- The Cape of Good Hope, Mauritius, and Seychelles.

Vol. III.—New South Wales, Van Diemen's Land, Swan River, and SOUTH AUSTRALIA.

Vol. IV.—The West Indies. Jamaica, Honduras, Trinidad, Tobago, GRENADA, the BAHAMAS, and the VIRGIN ISLES.

Preparing for Publication.

The West Indies, Vol. 2.- Containing Barbadoes, St. Lucie, St. Vin-CENT, DEMERARA, ESSEQUIBO, BERBICE, ANGUILLA, TORTOLA, ST. KITTS, BARBUDA, ANTIGUA, MONTSERRAT, and DOMINICA.

BENGAL, MADRAS, and BOMBAY. CEYLON, PENANG, MALACCA, and SINCAPORE.

NOVA SCOTIA, NEW BRUNSWICK, CAPE BRETON, PRINCE EDWARD'S ISLE, NEWFOUNDLAND, &c.

SIERRA LEONE, the GAMBIA, CAPE COAST CASTLE, ACCRA, the FALKLAND ISLANDS, ST. HELENA, and ASCENSION.

GIDRALTAR, MALTA, the IONIAN ISLANDS, HELIGOLAND, &c.

Travels, Geography, &c .- continued.

2 vols. post 8vo., with a Map and View of Algiers, 21s. cloth.

ALGIERS, with NOTICES of the NEIGHBOURING STATES of BARBARY. By PERCEVAL BARTON LORD, M.D., M.R.C.S., of the Bombay Medical Establishment.

"It commences with an historical introduction, in which, after a glance at the antecedent history, the author takes up the transactions at the epoch of the irruption of the Saracens, bringing the history of the city of Algiers down to its capture by the French. The geography of the country is next treated. Mr. Lord then devotes a large space to an account of the inhabitants; each of the different races being the subject of a separate chapter. Then follow dissertations on the diseases and medical treatment, the natural history of the country, its topography, and on the French sway in Algiers, which, according to their own writers, is marked with glaring characters of tyranny and blood. The work embodies a considerable mass of information, gathered from various sources."— Times.

"Ilis graphic powers are of the highest order. A few lines from his pen place a country—a position—or a battle, in the boldest relief before the reader's eyes."—Sunday Times.

Fourth Edition, 2 vols. post 8vo., with 24 plates, 21s.

The DOMESTIC MANNERS OF THE AMERICANS. Вy Mrs. Trollope, authoress of "Tremordyn Cliff," &c.

"It must be every where admitted that her observations uniformly indicate a strong, active, well-independent mind, endowed with good sense, and no ordinary hirmness. Her style is hvely, elegant, and sometimes even poetically beautiful,"—Monthly Review.

BIOGRAPHY, HISTORY, &c.

In three thick 8vo. volumes, 2l. 2s. cloth lettered.

A GENERAL BIOGRAPHICAL DICTIONARY, by JOHN A new Edition, brought down to the present time.

"Mr. Gorton's publication is altogether one of great excellence, calculated to be useful to a large number of students, and deserving extensive popularity. We may also mention that it is sufficiently large to contain every thing necessary, but not too extensive for the ordinary purposes of study, filling in this respect an open space in the fields of biographical literature."—Asheneum.

An APPENDIX may be had to the FIRST EDITION, price 8s. sewed.

In 1 vol. 8vo. Illustrated with Portraits from Original Pictures, price 16s. cloth. BIOGRAPHIA BOREALIS; or LIVES of DISTINGUISHED NORTHERNS. By HARTLEY COLERIDGE.

"In this Volume he has not only given us many very lively and well-stored narretives of the lives of eminent persons, but has contrived to interweave in them a series of literary and philosophical criticisms, which generally for their truth and delicacy, and always for their ingenuity and beauty, deserve, and will richly repay, the careful perusal of every man of letters."—Quarterly Review of September, 1835.

Complete in 4 vols., illustrated by a Portrait and Views, 18mo., price 10s. cloth.

MEMOIRS of NAPOLEON BONAPARTE, from the French of BOURRIENNE, Private Secretary to the Emperor. Translated by John S. MEMES, LL.D.

"Bourrienne was the playmate of Napoleon in early life, his companion through his first campaigns, and his private secretary after he had reached the summit of power. He has made the best use of his opportunities, and has revealed to us more of Napoleon's real character as a man, than any of the countless writers that have attempted his hiography."—Monthly Review.
"We know from the best political authority now living in England, that the writer's accounts are perfectly corroborated by facts."—Literary Gazette.

Biography, History, &c.—continued.

In 2 large vols. 8vo., price 30s.

The HISTORY of ITALY; from the Fall of the Western Empire to the Commencement of the Wars of the French Revolution. By George Percival, Esq.

"For the elegance of its style, the generous tone of its sentiments, and, above all, for its faithful reference to original authorities, this work is certainly a valuable acquisition to our historical literature."—Monthly Review.

"The History of Italy fills up a blank long felt in our literature, in a way highly creditable to its author."—Literary Gazette.

In one vol. 12mo., price 6s. 6d. cloth,

The HISTORY of the OVERTHROW of the ROMAN EMPIRE, and the Formation of the principal European States. From original sources, Oriental and European, and comprising the latest elucidations of the Continental and English Antiquarians and Scholars. By W. C. TAYLOR, LL.D., M.R.A.S., & F.S.S.

"Unlike many of the cheap and abridged histories of the day, Dr. Taylor has given us one of sterling value and merit. Indeed it is but just to say, that while the histories of Gibbon and Sismondi are here found greatly condensed, they are also much enriched. The middle ages—a most important period in the history of the human mind, and of political institutions, here receive a philosophical and clear marrative, as well as a commentary which is absolutely beautiful. The author, therefore, has done more than labour for students in the higher classes of the academies; he was one stiffed or given to some of the property of the students. has consulted original sources for himself, and conferred upon them historical value. His account of the last siege of Constantinople is a line piece of writing, in point of literature, mind, and informa-tion."—Monthly Review.

The Third Edition. Royal 18mo., 6s. cloth.

MY TEN YEARS' IMPRISONMENT in ITALIAN AUSTRIAN DUNGEONS. By SILVIO PELLICO. Translated by Thomas Roscoe.

"This little volume is the record of ten years' imprisonment suffered by Pellico—a person whose reputation as a man of literary taste is acknowledged in Italy; and whose privations and deep sorrows render him an object of interest to all who value liberty, and would not see its shorn of any its beams in any land. Mr. Roscoe's preface is written with much spirit and freedom."—Sunday Herald.

In 12mo., price 4s. 6d. bound and lettered, and illustrated by three Maps.

MANUAL of UNIVERSAL HISTORY and CHRONO-LOGY. By H. H. WILSON, M.A., Boden Professor of Sanserit, Oxford. For the use of Schools.

- * * This work differs from those in ordinary use, by the insertion of more detailed notices than common of the leading occurrences of Asiatic History, and particularly of the history of India.
- "A very useful work for schools, exceedingly well arranged, and sufficiently full to supply the key to universal history. It is ornamented with maps; which exhibit, by different coloured ink, the ancient and modern divisions of the earth's surface. The chronology at the end is extensive and useful, including the princes and dynasties of all nations. The learned professor has conferred a high benefit on education by his labours in this little work."—Swa.

In 12mo., a New Edition, corrected and amended, with a variety of Engravings, price 6s. bound and lettered.

An HISTORICAL EPITOME of the OLD and NEW TESTA-MENTS, and PART of the APOCRYPHA; in which the Events are arranged in Chronological Order. By A MEMBER OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND.

"There are very few in any class of the community whose biblical knowledge would not be re-freshed, and even advanced, by an acquaintance with this historical epitome. It has our most hearty recommendation."—Monthly Review.

FICTION, &c.

In 2 vols. 12mo., embellished with numerous highly-finished Wood and Lithographic Engravings, price 14s. cloth lettered.

LA HOUGUE BIE de HAMBIE, a Tradition of Jersey. Historical Tale, with copious genealogical and antiquarian Notes, developing many minute and interesting particulars relative to the Norman Conquest: selected from the "Roman de Rou," and other Metrical Romances, and from various rare manuscripts and ancient documents. By James Bulkeley, Esq.

In 2 vols. post 8vo.

THE FORSAKEN. A Tale.

Second Edition. In 3 vols. post 8vo., price £1.11s.6d.

GILBERT GURNEY, by the Author of "Sayings and Doings," " Love and Pride," &c.

" 'Gilbert Gurney' is quite worthy of his pen and reputation, whether we laugh at its ludicrous passages, or melt where natural feeling and tenderness are awakened by the saddening events of life pathetically described."—Literary Gazette.

"A book containing more genuine humour and graphic description than all the recent publications of the comic order put together."—Quarterly Review.

2 vols. post 8vo., price 18s.

LÖWENSTEIN, KING of the FORESTS. A Tale. By the Author of "Two Years at Sea."

"This tale is a treat of the highest order. The gentle authoress leads us quietly, yet delightfully, through sylvan scenes, rich in all the majestic beauties of the German forest, and makes us acquainted with nature, simple, sweet, and enchanting. But though the surface is so pure and so unruffled, the under-current is strong, even impetuous. We see there that devotedness of love, that all-trustingness of religion, which purifies every boson."—Metropolitian Magazine.

A new Edition, illustrated by numerous Wood-cuts, in the highest style of the art, by BAXTER; in 3 vols. foolscap 8vo., bound, 24s.

OUR VILLAGE; Sketches of Rural Character and Scenery. By MARY RUSSELL MITFORD.

"Miss Mitford's elegant volumes are just in unison with the time; a gallery of pictures: land-scapes, fresh, glowing, and entirely English; portraits, likenesses, we doubt not, all simply but sweefly coloured; in short, a book to make us forget the hurry, the bustle, the noise around, in the leaves, tall old trees, and rich meadows of her delightful village."—Literary Gazette.

In 3 vols. royal 18mo., embellished with Engravings, price 10s. 6d. half-bound and lettered.

The ENGLISH BOY at the CAPE: an Anglo-African Story. By the Author of "Keeper's Travels."

"The popular Author of 'Keeper's Travels has here presented our young friends with another very agreeable story; indeed almost as much so as his first, which is so general a favourite."—United Service Gazette.

"this is a very interesting Robinson Crusoe sort of a tale, and would form a most suitable Christmas present to youth of hold seves. From it much more than mere amusement will be gained, the unformation it contains of the manners of the inhabitants, the topography, and other peculiarities of Southern Africa, is correct and valuable; and the moral that is throughout inculcated is not the least of its excellences."—Metropolium Magazine.

A new Edition, with several Illustrations, from Drawings by Schetky. 2 vols. post 8vo., 21s. cloth lettered.

The NAVAL SKETCH-BOOK, Second Series. By Captain GLASCOCK, R.N., Author of "Tales of a Tar," &c.

" 'All his books have been excellent, but his last is best of all.' " '1 ca' him a Sea-Smollett.' "-Blackwood's Magazine.

[&]quot;Glascock is unquestionably the Coryphæus in delineating the habits, manners, and even thousand the unsophisticated son of the sea, and the life and discipline of a man-of-war,"—United Service Journal.

Fiction, &c.-continued.

In 12mo. with several Engravings, the Second Edition, enlarged, price 7s.

The BEAUTIES of the BRITISH POETS. With a few introductory Observations. By the Rev. G. CROLY, D.D.

In 2 vols. post 8vo., price £1. 1s.

The ROMANCE of ANCIENT EGYPT. Second Series of the ROMANCE of ANCIENT HISTORY. By John Gunning Seymer, B.A.

"We have, in these volumes, much to interest the antiquarian, and to gratify the lover of romantic adventure. The materials are chiefly drawn from Herodotus, and serve to furnish us with a development of Egyptian annals at a peculiarly interesting epoch."—Sunday Times.

In foolscap 8vo., illustrated with a View of the Poet's Cottage, and other Embellishments, in cloth, price 7s.

The RURAL MUSE. POEMS. By John Clare, the Northamptonshire Peasant: Author of "The Village Minstrel," "The Shepherd's Calendar," &c.

"It is with heartfelt pleasure that we take up a new volume of poems by John Clare. • • • We rejoice to find that the Rural Muse has been with him during his long retirement—that his fine sensibilities have suffered to abatement under the influence of time—and that although he says, "ill health has almost rendered me incapable of doing any thing," it has not in any degree weakened his mental powers or dulled his genus."—Blackwood's Magazine.

"The poet loves the country, and observes it with a lover's fondness: finding out and dwelling upon every beauty; now expatiting in their minute detail, and now clustering them together in their own wild profusion."—Literary Gazettie.

WHITTAKER'S SERIES of FRENCH CLASSIC AUTHORS,

In royal 24mo., with Frontispieces and Vignettes.

ATALA, par CHATEAUBRIAND; et LA CHAUMIERE INDIENNE, par SAINT PIERRE. 3s.

PAUL et VIRGINIE. Par St. PIERRE. 2s. 6d.

ELISABETH; ou, Les EXILES en SIBERIE. Par MADAME COTTIN. 2s. 6d. HISTOIRE de CHARLES XII. Par Voltaire. 4s. 6d.

BELISAIRE. Par MARMONTEL.

Les AVENTURES de TELEMAQUE. Par FENELON. 5s.

NUMA POMPILIUS, second Roi de Rome. Par Florian. 48.6d. La HENRIADE, POEME. Par VOLTAIRE. 3s.

ESTELLE, Pastorale. Par FLORIAN. 2s. 6d.

Les INCAS; ou, la Destruction de l'Empire du Pérou. Par MARMONTEL. 5s. GONZALVE de CORDOUE; ou, Granade Reconquisc. Par Florian. 5s. GUILLAUME TELL; ou, la Suisse Libre; et Eliezer Nephtali. Par Flo-RIAN. 3s.

HISTOIRE de GIL BLAS de SANTILLANE. Par Le SAGE. 2 tom. 10s. ABREGE des VIES des ANCIENS PHILOSOPHES. Par FÉNELON. 48. HISTOIRE de L'EMPIRE de RUSSIE sous PIERRE le GRAND. VOLTAIRE. 5s.

[&]quot;Beautifully printed and prettily ornamented: the present graceful little tomes only require a Morocco or Russia dress, to deserve a place in every rosewood bookcase. The engravings are very beautiful."—Literary Gazette.

NATURAL HISTORY AND GARDENING.

The only complete modern Natural History in the language.

THE ANIMAL KINGDOM.

DESCRIBED AND ARRANGED IN CONFORMITY WITH ITS ORGANIZATION,

BY THE LATE BARON CUVIER,

Member of the Institute of France, &c. &c.

Translated, with large additional Descriptions of all the Species hitherto named, and of many not before noticed, and with other original matter, by E. GRIFFITH, F.A.S., Lieut.-Col. C. HAMILTON SMITH, F.R.S., E. PIDGEON, J. E. GRAY, F.R.S., and others.

** This elaborate work is divided into the following classes, each of which may be had separately:-

1945		Vols.	Demy 8vo.	RoyalSvo.	RoyalSvo- Coloured.	India Proofs.
MAMMALIA, with 200 Engravings		5	£. s. d. 7 4 0	£. s. d. 10 16 0	£. s. d. 14 8 0	
AVES, with 180 Engravings		3	580	8 2 0	10 16 0	10 16 0
REPTILIA, with 60 Engravings		1	1 16 0	2 14 0	3 12 0	3 12 0
INSECTA, with 140 Engravings		2	4 4 0	6 6 0	880	880
ANNELIDA, CRUSTACEA, and ARACH with 60 Engravings	NIDA }	1	1 16 0	2 14 0	3 12 0	3 12 0
MOLLUSCA and RADIATA, with 64 Engr	ravings .	1	1 16 0	2 14 0	3 12 0	3 12 0
PISCES, with 60 Engravings		1	1 16 0	2 14 0	3 12 0	3 12 0
FOSSIL REMAINS, with 50 Engravings .		1	1 16 0	2 14 0	2 14 0 (not col.)	3 12 0
CLASSIFIED INDEX :		17	0 12 0	0 18 0	0 18 0	1 4 0
THE WHOLE WORK COMPLETE.		16	26 8 0	39 12 0	51 12 0	52 16 0

"The translation of Baron Cuvier's inestimable work on the Animal Kingdom was undertaken with the intention of supplying the great and acknowledged want in English literature of any treatise on Zoology possessing even tolerable pretensions to completeness. While continental nations were rapidly enlarging the bounds of Natural Science, and minutely examining the details of its several departments, we continued to look on with apathy, though England possessed the greatest facilities for the cultivation of zoological knowledge, and the deepest interest in its advancement. The dawn of improvement commenced with the publication of the first part of this work, and has since gone on steadily increasing. The first object of the conductors of this great undertaking was to give a complete translation of the Baron's 'Regne Animal,' as close and accurate as the idioms of the two languages would admit; thus affording, for the first time, to their countrymen, a scientific synopsis of the varied living tribes that tenaut earth, water, and air, arranged according to the laws of their conformation; they could not avoid perceiving that the low state of zoological researches, and the arbitrary characters and accidental resemblances, instead of structure, were made the basis of classification, and they but followed the opinion of all the enlightened men in Europe, when they adopted a system founded mainly on organization, a system of zoology,' as Cuvier himself describes it, 'that may serve for an introduction and a guide in anatomical researches, and such a body of anatomy as may tend to develope and explain the zoological system."

"Had their labours terminated here, the conductors of the work felt, that though they would have performed services of no inconsiderable magnitude to British science, still the sphere of their utility would be limited; for a mere catalogue of the divisions of the Animal Kingdom, however ably constructed, however valuable to the general reader. They, therefore, have added to the Baron's classification,

Natural History and Gardening-continued.

A New Edition, with considerable Additions and Improvements, in 2 volumes, post 8vo., 28s. cloth and lettered.

The FEATHERED TRIBES of the BRITISH ISLANDS. By Robert Mudie. With considerable Additions and Improvements, and embellished with numerous Engravings of Birds, carefully drawn and coloured by the best Artists, from real Specimens; and also by various Engravings on wood, illustrative of some of the more remarkable points in the Natural History of Birds.

"Mudie will be ranked amongst the most eminent field observers in this or any other country. The Feathered Tribes is indeed an exquisite work, and unquestionably the best that has yet appeared on the habits of our native birds. In short, it is only second to those of Wilson and Audubon."—Neville Hond's Ornithologist's Text Book.

Nevitle Hood's Ornithologist's Text Book.

'Indispensable to every studier and every lover of the birds of Britain. This, like the rest of Mr. Mudie's works, is characterized by evidences of much original observation; of a combinative habit of reflections on things observed; of clearness of marration; and of a capacity for discursive, exciting speculations,"—Loudou's Magazine of Natural History.

'Mudie is one of the raturalists I love best; he has studied nature in the fields and woods, and by the banks and braes of streams, all up to the highest waterfall."—Blackwood's Magazine.

Also, by the same Author, in 18mo., price 3s. 6d. in cloth.

A POPULAR GUIDE to the OBSERVATION of NATURE; or Hints of Inducement to the Study of Natural Productions and Appearances in their Connexions and Relations; showing the great extent of knowledge attainable by the imaided exercise of the Senses. By ROBERT MUDIE.

"We are furnished with matter for the philosopher, the poet, the historian, the antiquary—all who contemplate heaven and earth; and this is furnished in a little book which all may usefully take in the pocket, over the whole earth or the whole sea; and thus enable themselve to think, if they have never thought before, and if they have, to think much better."—Gentleman's Magazine.

Also by the same Author. 18mo., with Engravings, price 6s. bound.

FIRST LINES of ZOOLOGY; by Question and Answer. For the Use of the Young.

"A useful and well-arranged Catechism, going through the various branches of Zoology in a clear and simple manner, well adapted for the instruction of youth."—Literary Gazette.

Fcap. 8vo. illustrated by numerous wood-cuts by Baxter. 6s. 6d. cloth lettered. The SEA-SIDE COMPANION; or Marine Natural History. By Miss Roberts.

"This is an excellent book for the youthful and inquisitive, placing before them, in the most popular and agreeable form, the interesting facts of natural history connected with marine productions, 200phytes, sponges, coralines, and fishes. It is full of instruction and amusement."—Laterary

tons, 200phytes, 350nges, colaines, and times. As a state of Casette.

"This is just such a book as the curious in natural history ought to have with them in their rambles on the sea side. . . . The wood-cut embellishments (by Baxter) are in the finest style of the art."—Sionday Times.

"Miss Roberts, in the course of seventeen or eighteen letters, has presented an elegant compilation of the most striking features of Marine Natural History."—Spectator.

By the same Authoress.

Fcap. 8vo., with several superior wood engravings, the Second Edition, 6s. 6d. cloth lettered.

The CONCHOLOGIST'S COMPANION.

"This is, in every sense of the word, an exquisite little volume—exquisite in design, and exquite in execution. We have rarely met with any book so small affording us so much satisfaction." site in execution. New Monthly Magazine.

Also, by the same, 12mo. 6s. cloth lettered.

The WONDERS of the VEGETABLE KINGDOM DIS-PLAYED.

[&]quot;The interesting facts relative to the vegetable economy are brought together in an easy and familiar style, and the sentiments and reflections savour of honourable intention and rational piety."

- Monthly Review.

Natural Mistory and Gardening-continued.

In 1 vol. 8vo. Illustrated by various Wood-cuts, price 14s. cloth.

The HISTORY and DESCRIPTION of FOSSIL FUEL, the COLLIERIES and COAL TRADE of GREAT BRITAIN. "Treatise on Manufactures in Metal," in Lardner's Cyclopædia.

"All will be satisfied of the aftraction and valuable nature of the work from which we have gathered so much. It is really excellent in every way,"—Monthly Review.
"It may be recommended as a complete view of an interesting and nationally important subject."

-Speciator.

Small 8vo., a New Edition, with 18 superior Engravings on wood by Branston, price 6s. 6d. cloth lettered.

The NATURAL HISTORY of SELBORNE. By the late Rev. GILBERT WHITE, M.A. With Additions by Sir WILLIAM JARDINE, Bart.

"A work which men of science, as well as general readers, agree in considering one of the most delightful books ever written."—New Monthly Magazine.
"The most fascinating piece of rural writing, and sound English philosophy, that ever issued from the press."—Athenaum.

In 3 vols. 18mo., with numerous highly-coloured illustrated Engravings, price 10s. Gd. cloth.

The BOOK of BUTTERFLIES, MOTHS, and SPHINGES. By Captain Thomas Brown, F.R.S., F.L.S., &c.

"This is a delightful work, with no fewer than 144 engravings, coloured after nature; and, both by the style of its scientific descriptions and its general arrangement, well calculated to convey ideas at once correct and popular of the habits and economy of the beautiful tribes of which it treats,"

-Literary Gazette.
"The engravings alone would be astonishingly cheap at the price of the volumes,"-Sunday Times.

The 2nd Edition, in foolscap 8vo., price 6s. cloth lettered.

The VILLA and COTTAGE FLORIST'S DIRECTORY: being a familiar Treatise on Floriculture; particularly the Management of the best stage, bed, and border Flowers, usually cultivated in Great Britain. which are added, Directions for the Management of the Greenhouse, Hothouse, and Conservatory; with the different modes of raising and propagating Exotic Plants. Interspersed with many new physiological observations, and various useful lists. By JAMES MAIN, A.L.S.

"When gardeners are botanists they are always worth being attended to, and Mr. Main's precepts are all founded in true science. We strongly recommend the work."—Aldas.
"This is a useful and concise compendium of the florist's art."—Gentleman's Magazine.

In 8vo., with a coloured Frontispiece, the 2nd Edition, price 12s.

The GREEN-HOUSE COMPANION; comprising a general course of Green-house and Conservatory practice throughout the year; a natural arrangement of all the Green-house Plants in cultivation; with a descriptive Catalogue of the most desirable to form a collection, their proper soils, modes of propagation, management, and references to Botanical Works in which they are figured. Also, the proper treatment of Flowers in Rooms, and Bulbs in Water Glasses.

In 8vo., illustrated by several Eugravings, 12s. cloth lettered.

The DOMESTIC GARDENER'S MANUAL; being an Introduction to Gardening. To which is added, a concise Naturalist's Calendar, and English Botanist's Companion; or, Catalogue of British Plants, in the Monthly order of their Flowering.

In 8vo., price 10s. 6d. cloth lettered.

FLORA DOMESTICA; or, The Portable Flower Garden: being a familiar Description of all Plants now cultivated in Britain, with particular Instructions for the Treatment of Plants in pots. Illustrated by Quotations from the Poets.

Natural History and Gardening-continued.

The 5th Edition, with coloured Plates, price 8s. cloth boards.

A CONCISE and PRACTICAL TREATISE on the GROWTH and CULTURE of the CARNATION, PINK, AURICULA, POLYANTHUS, RANUNCULUS, TULIP, HYACINTH, ROSE, and other Flowers; including a Dissertation on Soils and Manures, and Catalogues of the finest varieties of each Flower. By THOMAS HOGG.

8vo., price 10s. 6d. cloth lettered.

SYLVAN SKETCHES; or, Companion to the Park and Shrubbery; describing every variety of Forest Trees and Arboraceous Plants, with Directions for Planting.

MISCELLANEOUS.

A New Edition, in 8vo., with Sixteen Engravings, price 16s. cloth lettered.

A HISTORY and DESCRIPTION of MODERN WINES: with considerable Improvements and Additions; and comprising the last Parliamentary Reports on French Wines, and other Statistical Information. CYRUS REDDING.

"In research, arrangement, and statistics, it ought to be in the hands of every lover of the vine-yard, and of pure wine."—United Service Gazette.

"A varied collection of very curious facts in a highly interesting branch of Natural History, which will conduce to the entertainment even of those who may be careless of the pleasures of wine, but yet partial to the contemplation of the beautiful phenomena of the vegetable kingdom."—Monthly Review.

"Every thing that can be said on the subject of modern wines throughout the world is here brought together in a masterly style."—Brighton Gazette.
"Some curious facts are put forward in the Preface."—Atheneum.
"It has received several additions, both in the body and the Appendix. The great novelty of this edition, however, is Port."—Spectator.

New edition, carefully revised, and giving the latest state of the Representation, royal 32mo. Price 4s. bound, gilt and lettered.

The PARLIAMENTARY POCKET COMPANION.

Contents:-All Peers of Parliament, their Ages, Marriages, Residences,

Offices, Church Patronage, &c. Lists of Places returning Members, with their Population, £10 Houses,

Assessed Taxes, prevailing Interests, &c. and several particulars connected with the last Election, including the Number of Voters registered, the gross Poll at each Contest, and the Number who voted for each Candidate.

Members of the House of Commons, their Residences, Professions, Offices, Church Patronage, Political Principles and Pledges, the Places for which they formerly sat, and other Particulars of their Public Life.

Lists of the Cabinet Ministers, the chief Public Functionaries, Parliamentary Agents, Officers of both Houses, British and Foreign Ambassadors, &c.

Also, a Variety of Miscellaneous Information connected with the foregoing, and with the several Public Offices.

The whole earefully compiled from Official Documents, and from the personal Communications of Members of both Houses.

"It seems to be the most useful and the best executed of the many similar works that have issued from the press." Times.
"This is a very neat and compendious manual, which every man who either hears, or reads, or talks about the debates in Parliament, ought to possess." Morning Post.
"Being entirely free from political bias, it must be acceptable to all parties. We know it to be surprisingly accurate." Hull Advertiser.

Miscellaneous-continued.

In one volume royal 8vo. 5l. 5s. cloth lettered.

PRINCIPLES and ILLUSTRATIONS of MORBID ANA-TOMY; illustrated by A COMPLETE SERIES of COLOURED LITHO-GRAPHIC DRAWINGS, from Originals by the Author. Adapted to the Elements of M. Andral, and to the Cyclopædia of Practical Medicine, with the latter of which it corresponds in size, and designed to constitute an Appendix to all Works on the Practice of Physic, and to facilitate the study of Morbid Anatomy in connexion with Symptoms. By J. Hope, M.D. F.R.S. Physician to the St. Mary-le-bone Infirmary, &c.

"Dr. Hope has entered, with great claims to our approbation, upon a field which will amply repay him for his labours, and which must enable him to diffuse satisfaction throughout his profession. The engravings admirably defineate the morbid changes caused by disease. The colouring of each figure is the result of minute and careful attention; there is nothing overdraw; no heightening to produce effect: none of that porgeous display, which so materially detracts from the value of many works, both in the English and French languages. The immeuse field from which Dr. Hope has the opportunity of gleaning a rich harvest, as physician to an Institution where so many sick and aged are assembled, gives us a promise of fruits worthy of his talents and industry."—Med. and Surg. Journal.

Second Edition, 8vo. boards, 12s. Dedicated, by permission, to Sir Henry Halford, Bart.

A PRACTICAL COMPENDIUM of the DISEASES of the SKIN, including a Particular Consideration of the more frequent and Intractable forms of these affections. Illustrated by Numerous Cases. By Jonathan GREEN, M.D. Member of the Royal College of Surgeons, London, and formerly Surgeon in His Majesty's Royal Navy.

** It has been the Author's aim in this Compendium to condense within the smallest possible space, not merely the results of his own experience, but the whole amount of practical information extant upon this highly-important class His most particular attention is constantly given to the characters by which they may be distinguished one from another, and to the most approved and available means of treatment recommended for their cure.

"Every professional reader who wishes to be successful in the management of cutaneous diseases, will find it his interest to study thoroughly the method of treatment recommended by Dr. Green."—Edinburgh Medical and Surgical Journal.

"The Practical Compendium of Dr. Green forms a popular and very useful introductory work to the larger one of Rayer. His observations on Impetigo and Porrigo are certainly the most judicous we have ever read; they are derived from sound pathological views. To the student of medicine we do not hesitate to recommend the Compendium of Dr. Green in preference to Bateman's Synopsis (no mean praise), as more simple in its descriptions, and more practically useful in its therapeutic instructions; it is unnecessary to say more of this book."—Medico-Chirurgical Review.

In a pocket volume, the Fifth Edition, 5s. cloth lettered.

The SECRETARY'S ASSISTANT; exhibiting the various and most correct Modes of Superscription, Commencement, and Conclusion of Letters, to Persons of every Degree of Rank, including the Diplomatic, Clerical, and Judicial Dignitaries: with Lists of Foreign Ambassadors and Consuls. Also the Forms necessary to be used in Applications or Petitions to the King in Council, Houses of Lords and Commons, Government Offices, and Public Companies; with a Table of Precedency, and the Abbreviations of the several British and Foreign Orders of Knighthood. By the Author of the Peerage and Baronetage Charts, &c.

"One of those useful little books, which, having found how serviceable it is for daily reference, you wonder that you could ever do without it,"-Literary Gazette.

Miscellaneous-continued.

New and enlarged Edition, in post 8vo., with beautiful wood-cut Vignettes, by Baxter, in cloth, 7s. 6d.; and also, handsomely bound in Turkey Morocco, with gilt edges, 12s.

The BOOK of FAMILY WORSHIP; consisting of a Four Weeks' Course of Prayer, and Prayers suitable to the Festivals of the Church, and other solemn Occasions; together with general Prayers for the Church, King, Clergy, Wives, Husbands, Children, Friends, &c., and General Benedictions. By the Editor of the "Sacred Harp." To which are added, JEREMY Taylor's Sacramental Meditations and Prayers.

"The 'Book of Family Worship' ought to be in the hands of every family in the land; the prayers are beautifully simple and sweet; and every sentence that they breathe speaks of Christ alone as the hope of our salvation."—Inbihic Evening Packet.

"There is so much that is good in this volume, that we could not avoid adopting it for our own use, and therefore we feel justified in recommending it to others."—Christian Ladies' Magazine.

"The Book of Family Worship is correct in its principles, devotional in its spirit, and well worthy of being used by those who prefer a form to a free address at the throne of mercy."—Family Magazine.

In super-royal 8vo., illustrated by elaborate plates, price 15s. cloth lettered.

AN EXAMINATION of the ANCIENT ORTHOGRAPHY of the JEWS, and of the ORIGINAL STATE of the TEXT of the HEBREW BIBLE.

Part I., containing an Inquiry into the Origin of Alphabetic Writ-ING; with which is incorporated an Essay on the Egyptian Hieroglyphics. By CHARLES WILLIAM WALL, D.D., Senior Fellow of Trinity College, and Professor of Hebrew in the University of Dublin.

"That many peculiarities in the writings of the Old Testament, especially in the Pentateuch, might "That many peculiarities in the writings of the Old Testament, especially in the Penhateuch, might possibly be elucidated by a carretul examination of the increatyphic system of expression, has been tor some time a general belief among Biblical scholars. "Moses was learned in all the wisdom of the Egyptians," and his early education most have naturally influenced his habits of thought and language. This hypothesis, which, however, scarcely went beyond a vague surnise, has been examined with great learning and acuteness by Dr. Wall, Professor of Hebrew in the Doblin University. In the progress of his inquiries, he was led to doubt the reality of Champoliton's discoveries in the interpretation of hieroglyphics, and pursuing an opposite course, arrived at a key to the decaphering of the Rosetta stone." * " He is entitled to the great ment of having discovered a new principle of investigation, which may elucidate the chief difficulties in the Hebrew text."—Althemann. Athenaum. "The volume abounds with deep learning and forcible argument."-Monthly Review,

New Edition, in 3 vols. 12mo., 21s. cloth. A DICTIONARY of ENGLISH QUOTATIONS from the BRITISH POETS. Part 1. Shakspeare.—Part 2. Rhyme.—Part 3. Blank Verse.

"These volumes are what they profess to be, and are honestly and tastefully executed. We have in them the essence of Shakspeare and the British Poets,"—Monthly Review.

In 1 volume, post 8vo., 12s. boards.

A DICTIONARY of FOREIGN and CLASSICAL QUO-TATIONS, with ENGLISH TRANSLATIONS, and illustrated by remarks and explanations. By Hugh Moore, Esq.

In 1 vol. 12mo. 7s. 6d. boards, the 9th edition, revised and improved.

MACDONNEL'S DICTIONARY of LATIN and FRENCH QUOTATIONS. To which are added many from the GREEK, SPANISH, and ITALIAN Languages. Translated into English, with illustrations.

WORKS OF INSTRUCTION, &c.

PINNOCK'S CATECHISMS of the ARTS and SCIENCES.

Illustrated with Maps, Plates, and Wood-cuts,

PRICE NINE-PENCE EACH.

These Catechisms are intended to form the basis of every branch of useful knowledge, and are of themselves, in fact, "an Epitome of the Arts and Sciences." The style in which they are written is at once clear and simple, conveying instruction to the youthful mind in a manner unattainable by the use of more elaborate and comprehensive works. In short, while they fix on the mind the leading facts in History, and the fundamental principles of Science, the memory is relieved from the burden of retaining a mass of minutiæ with which larger books necessarily abound. They consist of separate Catechisms on the following subjects:-

Agriculture General Knowledge Algebra, Two Parts Anatomy Ancient Geography Ancient History Architecture Arithmetic Astronomy Bible and Gospel History Botany(coloured plate)1s. British Biography British Geography; viz. Pt. 1. England and Wales 2.Ireland 3.Scotland 4. Colonies in Europe and America 5. Colonies in Africa. Asia, &c. Chemistry Christian Religion Chronology Classical Biography Conchology Drawing English Grammar English Law **Evidences of Christianity** Electricity First Catechism French Grammar Moral and Social Duties

Geography Geology Geometry German Grammar Greek Grammar Hebrew Grammar Heraldry History of England - Scotland - Ireland - France - Greece - America Two Parts - the Jews Horticulture Hydrodynamics Italian Grammar Land Surveying Latin Grammar Liturgy of the Church of England Logic Mechanics Medicine Mental Philosophy Mineralogy Modern History

Mythology Natural History Natural Philosophy Natural Theology Navigation Optics Painting in Oil Perspective Pneumatics Poetry Religion Religious Denominations Rhetoric Sacred Geography Scripture History Spanish Grammar Trade and Commerce Universal History Use of the Globes, Two Parts,—Terrestrial and Celestial Zoology Part 1. Mammalia 2. Birds 3. Fishes 4. Reptiles 5. Insects

6. Crustacea, &c.

7. Mollusca.

Music

The following may be had, with an Explanatory Map to each, price 1s. Modern Geography-Bible History-History of England.

For the use of young persons studying French, the Catechisms of HISTORY OF ENGLAND, GEOGRAPHY, and BIBLE HISTORY, are translated into that language, price 1s. each.

The Catechisms may also be had in Twelve volumes, price £3. 12s. in cloth, or £4. 4s. half-bound and lettered, forming

Works of Instruction, &c .- continued.

In one vol. 8vo. Price 24s. cloth.

The LYRE of DAVID; or ANALYSIS of the PSALMS in Hebrew, critical and practical, with a HEBREW AND CHALDEE GRAMMAR. By VICTORINUS BYTHNER; translated by the Rev. Thomas Dee, A.B. Ex-Sch. J.C.D. To which are added, by the translator, TABLES of the Imperfect Verbs and a PRAXIS of the first eight Psalms.

** A desire to read the Sacred Scriptures in the original tongue has become of late evidently very prevalent in these kingdoms. Three months' industrious study, with good books, will effect that desirable object. The number of Hebrew radical words is 1867; of these 1184 occur in the Psalms: it is plain then, that a thorough knowledge of the Psalms very nearly amounts to a thorough knowledge of the language. Bythner's Lyra is, as all the learned know, the very best work on the Psalms; nearly two centuries have gone by since its first publication, and it still stands in all its freshness of estimation, unrivalled by any work that has since appeared on the same subject. Yet, excellent though it is, until now there has since appeared no English version of it, and so it has remained a closed book to the English student. Such a translation, so manifestly called for, will be presented to the English reader, with much unnecessary matter omitted, and several judicious additions made; and which, as the editor trusts, will be found more correct than any preceding edition in the original Latin.

The Third Edition, very considerably improved and enlarged, 18mo. 3s. in cloth.

The WRITER'S and STUDENT'S ASSISTANT; or, a COM-PENDIOUS DICTIONARY of ENGLISH SYNONYMES; rendering the more common Words and Phrases in the English Language into the more elegant and scholastic, and affording a choice of the most appropriate, from a Variety of nearly the same Significations; with concise Notes, pointing out, in a familiar way, the Distinction between such Words as are frequently (in error) used synonymously.

"This little work is calculated at once to accelerate literary composition, and to assist in establishing a correct and elegant style, both in speaking and writing."—Gentleman's Magazine.
"It will be found useful to the finished scholar and orator, as well as to the tyros of letters."—Sunday Times.

With numerous Additions and Improvements, mounted on rollers, price £1.16s.

THE STREAM OF HISTORY:

Showing the Rise and Fall of Empires, and the Progress of the Arts, Sciences, and Literature of every Nation in the World, from the earliest ages to the year 1825. Originally invented by Professor STRASS.

This elegant and useful appendage to the library exhibits a clear and comprehensive view of the principal events of General History; and to those who have not opportunities or time for research, it may be truly said to be invaluable.

Price 3s. 6d.

An EPITOME of UNIVERSAL CHRONOLOGY, HISTORY, and BIOGRAPHY:

Forming a Companion to the "Stream of History." By C. HAMILTOK.

Works of Instruction, &c .- continued.

In 1 vol. 12mo., with Frontispiece, price 3s. 6d. cloth.

L'ITALIE; abrégée de "CORINNE, ou L'ITALIE," Par MADAME DE STAEL.

** This book is peculiarly adapted for a French Class Book, as it comprises an example of the most elegant style of the French language, gives a very excellent account of Italy, and has an interesting story, which abounds with the purest sentiments and precepts.

With Illustrations, price 3s.

The FRENCH and ENGLISH PICTORIAL VOCABULARY: Containing upwards of Twelve Hundred Words. By N. WHITTOCK.

"A pretty little horn-book for children who begin French, which we think they may do soon after they have commenced syllabification in English. This book should therefore be mamma's companion with children of four years old,"—Altas.

In 8vo., neatly half-bound, coloured outline, 12s.; full coloured, 15s.; in 4to., 18s. and 21s.

WHITTAKER'S MODERN GENERAL ATLAS; comprehending all the Empires, Kingdoms, States, &c., in the world, constructed from the most correct authorities, and containing all the recent discoveries. To which are added, three maps of Ancient Geography, the whole comprising 36 plates.

In 18mo. price 3s.

The MORAL and POETICAL MISCELLANY: containing choice Selections from our most approved Poets, arranged under distinct Heads.

With Engravings and Coloured Examples in Tints, price 3s.

The ELEMENTS of DRAWING: containing the first Principles of Light and Shade, Colouring and Perspective. By G. M. MATHER.

With seven Engravings, price 2s. 6d.

A PRACTICAL EXPLANATION of the ELEMENTS of ARCHITECTURE: For the Use of Drawing Academies, &c. By G. SMITH.

Fifth Edition, price 3s. 6d. in silk.

LETTERS FROM A MOTHER TO HER DAUGHTER, AT OR GOING TO SCHOOL: pointing out the Duties towards her Maker, her Governess, her School-fellows, and Herself. By Mrs. SARGANT.

"This is a very well-meant publication; the principles it enforces are of the purest kind; and it is a book which, put into the hands of the young, is well calculated to render them useful and virtuous members of society."—Literary Gazette.

Works of Instruction, &c.-continued.

Eighty-one Volumes, 18mo., bound in cloth, price Ten Guineas. 3s. 6d. per volume, separately.

Each volume contains at least 320 pages, the print being of a good size; has a Vignette Title-page, and is otherwise illustrated by Maps, Portraits, &c.

CONSTABLE'S MISCELLANY.

COMPRISING THE FOLLOWING POPULAR AND ORIGINAL WORKS:

History.

An HISTORICAL VIEW of the Manners, Cos-An HISTORICAL VIEW OF the Manners, Cos-tune, Arts, Literature, Ac. of Great Britain. By R. Thompson, Fsq. 2 vols. The HISTORY of OLIVER CROMWELL. Py Dr. Russell. 2 vols. LIFE OF MARY QUEEN of SCOTS. By H. G.

Bell, Esq. 2 vols.

Life of King James the First. By R.

Chambers, C vols.
HISTORY of the REBELLIONS of SCOTLAND

HISTORY Of the REBELLIONS OF SCOTLAND under Montrose and others, from 1638 to 1660. By R. Chambers. 5 vols.

The History of the Civil Wars of Ireland. Ry W. C. Tavior, LL.D. &c. 2 vols. LIPE of the famous SIR WILLIAM WALLACE, OF ELDERSLIE. By J. D. Carrick, Esq. 2 vols. MEMORIALS of the LATE WAR. 2 vols. HISTORY of the PRINCIPAL REVOLUTIONS in EUROPE. From the French of C. W. Koch, by A Children 3 vols.

by A. Crichton. 3 vols.
The Historical Works of Frederick SCHILLER, from the German. By G. Moir, Esq.

The GENERAL RECISTER of POLITICS, SCIENCE, and LITERATURE, for 1877.
HISTORY of CHIVALRY and the CRUSADES.
By the Rev. H. Stebbing, M.A. 2 vols.
MENOIRS of NAPOLEON BONAPARTE, from the French of Bourrenne. By J.S. Memes, LL.D.

Herrienen of Bouttrenie. By J. S. Meines, B.E. D. 4 vols.

MALTA, By A. Sutherland, Esq. 2 vols.

HISTORY of the OTTOM N. EMPIRE, from the Establishment in 1326 to 1828. By E. Upham,

its Establishment in 1325 to 1828. By E. Upham, Esq. 2 vols,
HISTORY of the War of Independence in Greece. By T. Keightley, Esq. 2 vols,
HISTORY of REMARKARLE CONSPIRACIES, connected with European History. By J. P. Lawson, M.A. 2 vols,
HISTORY of the CONQUEST of MEXICO; comprising the Life of Hernan Cortes, By Don Telesforo de Frueba,
HISTORY of the CONQUEST of PERU by the Syaniards. By Don Telesforo de Trueba, 1 vol.

Biography.

MENOIRS of the ENPRESS JOSEPHINE, By J. S. Memes, Esq. LL.D.
LIFE of ROBERT BURNS. By J. G. Lockhart, LL.D.

MEMOIRS of the MARCHIONESS DE LA ROCHEJAQUELEIN, With a Preface and Notes, by Sir Walter Scott, Bart.

Natural History.

SELECTIONS OF the MOST REMARKARLE PHENOMENA OF NATURE. By H. B. Bell, Esq. NATURAL HISTORY OF SELBORNE. By the late Rec. G. White, M.A.; with Additions, by Sir W. Jardine, Bart.
A POPULAR GUIDE to the OBSERVATION OF NATURE. By R. Mudie.

AMERICAN ORNITHOLOGY OF ALEXANDER WILSON and C. LUCIEN BONAPARTE, with Notes and Additions, by Professor Jameson.

4 vols.

The Book of BUTTERFLIES, MOTHS, and SPHINGES; with 168 coloured Engravings. By Capt. T. Brown, F.R.S. &c. 3 vols.

Voyages, Travels, etc.

A PERSONAL NARRATIVE of a TOUR through NORWAY, SWEDEN, and DENMARK.

through Norway, Sweden, and Denmark.
By II. D. Inglis.
A Journey through the Northern Provinces of France, the Pyreners, and Switzerland. By II. D. Inglis. 2 vols.
JOTENAL of a RESIDENCE IN NORMANDY.
By J. A. St. John, Fig.
An Altumn in Italy. By J. D. Sinclair,

Esq. A Tour in Germany, in 1820-21-22. By J. Russell, Esq. 2 vols.

NARRATIYE OF A PEDESTRIAN JOURNEY through Russia and Siederian Tartary, By Capt. I. D. Cochrane. 2 vols. Captain Hall's Voyages. 3 vols. Mariner's Account of the Natives of the Tongal Islands, in the South Pacific Ocean.

VOYAGES and EXCURSIONS on the EAST COAST, and in the INTERIOR OF CENTRAL AMERICA. By O. W. Roberts. SYMES' EMEASSY to the KINGDOM OF AVA.

2 vols.

Fine Arts.

HISTORY OF SCULPTURE. PAINTING, and ARCHITECTURE. By J. S. Memes, LL.D. The HISTORY of Music. By W.-C. Stafford.

Religion.

CONVERTS from Infidelity, By Andrew | Evidences of Christianity, richton. 2 vols. By the Crichton. & vols.

500 0

Miscellaneous.

ADVENTURES of BRITISH SEAMEN. By H.
MUTTAY, Esq. F.R.S.E.
TABLE TALK; or, SELECTIONS from the
ANA.
PRILE and CAPTIVITY. 3 vols.
SHIPWRECKS and DISASTERS at SEA. By
C. Redding, Esq. With numerous Engravings.
2 vols.





HASTINGS & ST. LEONARDS

Bnitish Isnael Association

Library of Standard Works,

Bearing on our National Hebrew Origin, and our identity with the Ancient Kingdom of Israel as distinct from that of Judah.



At present the number of volumes is few, but the Association hope to add others bearing on the subject as opportunity offers, and earnestly request the support of all who are interested in the movement, and who also value the Bible as the inspired Word of God.

They would call attention to the fact that God's promises to Israel of great national power, and the general characteristics of that people as recorded in Holy Writ, are now possessed only by the Anglo-Saxon race, and, therefore, depending on the truth of Scripture, they consider, as a logical sequence, that they are naturally descended from Hebrew-Israel, to whose descendants only those blessings were secured by an unconditional covenant by God Himself, whose faithfulness forms the foundation of their belief in the identity of lost Israel.

On details there may be differences of opinion, but the very discussion of these in social gatherings must tend to elicit truth and discard error; therefore to those who accord with the view (in the main) the Committee appeals for that support which is given by Association—"As iron sharpeneth iron, so does man the countenance of his friend "—while to those who object an appeal is also made; periodical meetings are open to all, and opponents will receive a courteous hearing, and their questions candid replies.

LIST OF BOOKS

Which may be obtained at Mr. Green's, Bookseller, Norman Road, St. Leonards-on-Sea, at a trifling cost per volume per week.

	St. Leonards-on-Sea, at a trining cost per volume per week.
/	Anglo-Israel Post Bag Right Rev. Bishop Titcomb.
2	Our Inheritance in the Great Pyramid Professor Piazzi Smyth.
3-4	Horae Propheticae 2 vols Rev. H. Marriott.
5	The Future of Israel and Judah Rev. Dr. Wild (New York).
	The Lost Ten Tribes Rev. Dr. Wild (New York).
7	Israel's Wanderings Oxonian.
1	Odin's Runa of Ygdrasil Elizabeth Wilson.
	The Coronation Stone Mrs. G. A. Rogers.
.10.	Britain, the Fifth, Last, and Unconquerable Empire J. Gilder Shaw.
11-15	Life from the Dead 2 vols Edward Hine.
16	Sixty Anglo-Israel Difficulties Answered Miss Wilson.
-/0 •	Are we Israelites? Rev. B. Wry Savile.
17.15.19	Prophetic Messenger 4 quarterly parts Edward Hine.
20	The Great Pyramid of Egypt Philo-Israel.
10.	The British Constitution Philo-Israél.
101	Report of Annual Meeting of Metropolitan Association
2,0	The Wimbledon Discussion
21	Philitis, The Great Pyramid Charles Casey.
20	Israel and the Church Various Addresses.
.18.	The Lord's Controversy with England W.W.
2	Who and Where are the Lost Ten Tribes J. E. Norman.
20	Arguments in Proof of British Identity Philo-Israel.
./0 .	Israel a Reality Douglas A. Onslow.
.10 .	Is Anglo-Israel Teaching Unscriptural? Surgeon-General Grant.
12	Elements of Faith Cohen.
23	Hebrew Grammar Rev. S. Lee, D.D.
2.	Masoury J. Leyland Feilden.
zò	Genealogy of the Brigantes J. Leyland Feilden.
24 - 25.	26 27 Glory Leader 4 Vols.
28	Egyptian Engine
24	Lyptian Engua Lost Israel Found
~7.	mir- vine / vina

Laboration Endyste



Mabel Elizabeth Stenry to

GRAMMAR

ij:

OF THE

HEBREW LANGUAGE,

COMPRISED IN A

SERIES OF LECTURES;

COMPILED FROM THE BEST AUTHORITIES, AND DRAWN

PRINCIPALLY

From Oriental Sources,

DESIGNED

FOR THE USE OF STUDENTS IN THE UNIVERSITIES.

BY THE REV. S. LEE, B.D.

D.D. OF THE UNIVERSITY OF HALLE, HONORARY MEMBER OF THE ASIATIC SOCIETY OF PARIS,
HONORARY ASSOCIATE AND F.R.S.L. AND M.R.A.S. &c., PREBENDARY OF BRISTOL,
VICAR OF BANWELL, AND REGIUS PROPESSOR OF HEBREW IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMERIDGE.

14/0

SECOND EDITION, ENRICHED WITH MUCH ORIGINAL MATTER.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR JAMES DUNCAN, 37, PATERNOSTER ROW;
J. & J. J. DEIGHTON, AND T. STEVENSON, CAMBRIDGE; J. PARKER, OXFORD;
BELL & BRADFUTE, EDINBURGH; AND M. OGLE, GLASGOW.

DEDICATION.

Stack Armex 5 075 315

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

BARON BROUGHAM AND VAUX,

LORD HIGH CHANCELLOR OF ENGLAND.

My Lord,

The very munificent and disinterested manner, in which your Lordship has been pleased to notice my labours, (devoted as they have been for many years to the advancement of sacred and oriental literature in this country,) has made it a duty to seize the earliest opportunity in my power, publicly to express my obligations for the favours so unexpectedly, and I will say so unusually, conferred. I will not affirm that our public institutions are generally not well endowed, but I may that to have performed the duties of both Hebrew and Arabic Professor in the University of Cambridge with some public credit, for nearly the last dozen years with a salary of forty pounds,* was not very likely to impress any one so circumstanced with the idea. that his pluralities were such as to render him an object of public animadversion, or to buoy him up with the notion, that his services had been met by his country

^{*} In addition to this, Lord Liverpool allowed me to draw annually upon the Treasury for the sum of 100l., upon producing a certificate of having delivered lectures.

with more than ordinary encouragement. How these particulars first found their way to your Lordship's notice, I have not the means of knowing: but I do know that the very handsome manner, in which you have been pleased to meet them, has left me no alternative but that of gratefully acknowledging the obligations which I owe and feel, and of assuring your Lordship, that I shall ever consider it my duty to endeavour to deserve the distinction.

I have the honour to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obliged,

Humble Servant,

THE AUTHOR.

PREFACE.

THE first Edition of this Grammar having been entirely sold off, and having been requested by the Publisher to prepare another, I avail myself of this opportunity to state why the Work appears in its present form, and how the Learner may most profitably use it.

After all, then, that has been said about it, either publicly or privately, (and I here beg to offer my acknowledgments for all the favours thus done me,) my conviction is, that to present the Student with both the Synthetical and Analytical methods, at the same time, is by far the most likely to give him an interest, and to ground him, in the study of the Hebrew Language. To cultivate the memory, as well in this as in every other sort of study, I hold to be good; but then I must insist upon it, that to interest and inform the mind is infinitely better. A very learned and deservedly celebrated opponent of mine, on this question, has argued that to give naked rules in Grammar, is always the most likely to insure the progress, and to advance the truth: while, however, he has not hesitated to advance reasons, analytically, in support of his own rules, whenever he thought fit to do so. My opinion has been, and still is, that where we have Men, and not mere Children, to study any Language or Science, it is our duty to lay before them at once, both the rule and the reasons for it: and thus, at one and the same time, to present the grounds

^{*} This edition consisted of 1,500 copies; and I cannot help looking upon the circumstance as a proof that Hebrew Literature is on the increase among us.

vi PREFACE.

of the matter proposed for instruction, with rules calculated to assist the memory in retaining it; and thus to contribute towards improving the mind by habits of close investigation and inquiry. By these means the Learner will have the advantage of seeing on what grounds his instructions rest, and consequently of determining for himself, whether they are such as are entitled to his cordial reception or not: while, on the other hand, the Grammarian who is accustomed only to consider the Language on which he is treating synthetically, is apt to form rules upon every example with which he meets, less in conformity with its real principles, than with those of that in which he has been accustomed to speak and write: which, it may be shewn, has abundantly been the case with all European Writers on the Arabic and Hebrew Grammar, and of which M. de Sacy (the Writer alluded to) is an illustrious and striking example.*

With the view therefore of accomplishing this, I have, in the first place, considered the Vowels as either perfect or imperfect: not abstractedly, or with reference to the nature of things generally; but with reference to the formation of syllables as they are found to exist in this Language. I have, for example, termed (\(\tau\)) Kāméts, (\(\cdot\)) Tsēré, (\(\cdot\)) Khōrík gādól, (\(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma}\)) Khōlém, and (\(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma}\)) Shūrék, Perfect vowels; because, when combined with any one consonant, they will generally form full and perfect syllables. For a similar reason, I have termed another set of vowels, as (\(-\)) Páthakh, &c., Imperfect vowels; because, when employed in the formation of syllables, they require the addition either of a second consonant or of an accent to form such full and perfect syllables. My reasons are these: When we come to read the Hebrew text, it is important to know where every syllable commences and terminates, in order duly to pronounce the words. And, again, when a Hebrew word is changed from

^{*} Proofs of this will hereafter be given in a Tract which it is my intention to publish.

PREFACE. vii

the singular to the plural form,—or when found in what is termed the state of construction,—or receives one or other of the pronouns,—the vowels composing it are usually found to vary; and this in exact accordance with this view of the syllabication, in conjunction with the character of their etymology or derivation. I have therefore, in the first place, stated and exemplified this system of perfect and imperfect vowels with regard to the formation of syllables. The exceptions introduced by the accents are next noticed: so that the Learner is, in a few hours, put in possession of one of the main principles which regulate the structure of this Language.

I have, in the next place, considered the forms and force of the several sorts of Nouns, beginning with those which are the most simple, and proceeding to those which are the most augmented. The forms and force of the unaugmented nouns, we must necessarily take as postulates, grounded however on the usage of the Language. For the augmented ones I have endeavoured to account, by supposing them to present two or more of the simpler forms, joined together as compounds. By this means I have also endeavoured to ascertain their precise force; and, in some instances at least. I think I have succeeded.* In all these cases too, or in as many as it seemed necessary, I have accounted for the changes of the vowels, in a way perhaps which can neither be burdensome to the memory, perplexing to the Learner, nor liable to be soon forgotten; and by which the numerous rules and exceptions of the school of Buxtorf are avoided, as is also the multifarious and indistinct doctrine of the German Grammarians, by which these nouns are distributed into not fewer. than thirteen declensions!

^{*} See the derivations proposed for the Niphhál, Hiphhil, Hophhal, and Hithpūhál, species of the conjugation, Gram., pp. 114—117. 118. 122. 123: and the doctrine respecting the use of the tenses of the Verbs, p. 327.

viii PREFACE.

The Particles I have treated, after Schræderus and others, as fragments of nouns, which enables us at once to ascertain their precise forms, force, and modes of construction.

The Verbs I consider, in the next place, as consisting of nouns either simple or augmented, conjugated with one or other of the pronouns in an abridged form. In this I am not singular; for the Hindoos, Arabs, and even some of our own European Grammarians, have done so before me. I adopt this view of the case, because it is both easy and natural, and because it will at once account both for the form and force of the verb in all its varieties of person. A point, however, of very great importance here is, the investigation of the principles by which primitive words are occasionally abridged, and by which the defective forms of both verbs and nouns can be easily and naturally accounted for. This investigation will be found in the third Lecture of this Work. Its use is, to shew in what particular cases certain letters and vowels are dropped or changed, and how all the verbs termed defective, are reducible to the Paradigm of the regular triliteral verb. This affords the advantage of saving much time and labour, and of cutting off the sources of almost infinite perplexity to the Student: the result being, that the conjugation is only one, and this the most simple and regular possible.

The next improvement which, I flatter myself, I first presented to the Public, is, an analytical investigation of the principles which regulate the use of the Tenses of the verb. It had been customary to suppose, that the two tenses were a past and a future; and, because it had often been urged, forsooth, that this was extremely philosophical, (there being no point of time which could properly be termed present,) it was thought, that this was one of the greatest beauties of the Hebrew Language! Unfortunately, however, it appears that this future is occasionally used as a present tense, and also as a past. No reason has been offered, as far as I know, for this its usage as a present tense; but, for its use as a past, the conjunction and, so, &c. has, most unaccountably, been made

PREFACE. ix

to account, and thence has taken the name of the conversive Vaw! A considerable number of instances however occurs, in which this tense is so used without any such conversive Vaw: and What has been done in these cases? Why, the instances have been said to present an enallage temporis; and here the matter very wisely ended!

The next shift adopted by the Learned was, to term both these tenses Aorists; and by this their uncertainty was regularly determined beyond all doubt. For now the Preterite could be construed as a past, present, or future, tense, as could also this Future, by rule the most indeterminate possible: so that the Translator or Commentator had nothing whatever to do, but to adopt whatever sense he pleased: his Grammar always supplying him with a law comprehensive enough to justify all his wanderings. Upon a little consideration, however, and with the assistance of a few native Commentators on the Arabic Grammar, I felt convinced that the whole of this was a mere tissue of trifling and error. I found (what indeed our Writers on the Arabic Grammar ought long ago to have told us) that these two tenses exhibited really a past, and a present, tense: and that they were universally used as such in one sense or other: it being customary with the Orientals of this family to contemplate past, present, or future, events, either as we do, or, as past, present, &c. with respect to some other time or circumstance introduced into the context: and also, to speak of events, which they believed should surely come to pass, as if they had already taken place. These usages too are not only natural, but they are also found to exist, more or less, in perhaps every language. With the Hebrews and Arabians however, owing probably to their natural warmth of temperament and expression, they are more frequent, and apparently abrupt, than they are found elsewhere. In this view of the case, then, all is natural, regular, and constant. The tenses are as certain and orderly in their use, as any framers of Language could make them: and the only instances in which failure is most likely to happen in their application, are those in which the Interpreter himself

X PREFACE.

is either uninstructed or unpractised in the usages of these particular dialects.

To this doctrine, however, M. de Sacy has loudly, though not argumentatively, objected: not so much, I believe, because he thought it untrue, as because it appeared to be inconsistent with the doctrines laid down in his own Arabic Grammar; which treats one of them, at least as an Aorist, and gives no just account of the use of the other. But, Is it likely that M. de Sacy can here be right, and the whole nation of the Arabs, the Persians, the Syrians, and others, wrong? Is it probable that they are ignorant of the principles upon which they speak and write? Or, that they speak and write upon no principles whatever, but go on at random (aopiiorws,) leaving the hearer or reader to make them out as well as he can? This is to my mind quite incredible: and, if I am at liberty to believe their best Writers on the subject, it is as untrue as it is incredible. In this view of the case too, there is a perfect end to the power of the conversive Vaw; which I should consider a great advantage gained, knowing as I do, that it is in very many cases worse than useless.* To this again my learned opponent loudly objects, although he very well knows that no Arab Writer whatever, circumstanced nevertheless just as every Hebrew one is in this respect, has ever once thought of having recourse to such a conversive power in his conjunctive (,) Waw! The Arabs, as every one knows, can do very well without this unaccountable conversive letter, and so can the Syrians and the Ethiopians, employing nevertheless, at the same time, dialects most intimately connected with that of the Hebrew, and using the tenses of the verbs, just as I have exhibited them in this work. They, moreover, feel no want either of this

[•] Take one only out of the many which may be cited, viz. Is. ix. 5: בַּוֹלְישׁרָשׁר , which, according to the doctrine about the conversive ז, ought to be translated, "And the government was, or hath been, upon his shoulder," than which nothing can be more incongruous or false.

PREFACE. xi

doctrine of Aorists, or of the still broader precepts which took in the enallage temporum. The principles they have adopted are clearly stated and well defined, so that their conversation is easy to be understood, and their written composition clear and precise. The same is in all respects the case with the Hebrew; and, if it has here been accused of irregularity or indefiniteness, it was not because the Language itself was irregular or indefinite, but because its Grammarians had made it so.

To the doctrine of the tenses, (which I now consider established,) I have in this Edition added that which determines the use of the *Apocopated form* and of the *Paragogic letters*, as found in the verbs. M. de Sacy has endeavoured to shew in his Arabic Grammar, that certain terminations of the Arabic verb are equivalent to the Indicative, Subjunctive, and Conditional modes, of the European Grammars; and it is certain that cases occur in which these forms may be so translated. Upon a close and long continued examination of this question, however, I have been convinced, that no two things can be more distant from each other, than are the principles by which these modes of expression are regulated in the Oriental and European Grammars respectively. The detail will be too long to be inserted here, I reserve it therefore for publication in the Tract already alluded to. I will only remark now, that if any reliance can be placed on this doctrine, as laid down in this work for the first time, (and certain it is that it is most amply acknowledged by the Grammarians of Arabia,) a most important improvement has been made in this part of Hebrew Grammar; and the consequence is, the Language itself, so far from being poor or defective, as it has been fashionable to affirm, is just as full and precise in these respects as its neighbour the Greek can be shewn to be. In the first Edition of this work, I expressed my doubts indeed, whether the paragogic 7 had in itself any thing like an optative force, such as had been ascribed to it by Dr. Gesenius and others. Upon more mature consideration, however, I have not only been convinced that this is the case generally, but that it also possesses

xii PREFACE.

the power of expressing a correlative one in the subsequent members of antithetic sentences, as now stated in the Syntax. My reason for doubting then was, an apparent want of extent and uniformity in its use, which the results I have since arrived at have wholly satisfied.

Very considerable improvements have likewise been made in every page of the Grammar, which need not now be specified. I may perhaps say, that I believe the Student will find it, if not every thing he may wish, yet certainly much nearer being so than it was when it first appeared. The attention of some more years to this subject, with the remarks and admonitions of others, will perhaps enable me to render this work still more worthy of the patronage of the Public: and, should a kind Providence continue to afford me health and opportunities favourable for bringing about such a result, I trust I shall not be remiss in exerting my best efforts for its accomplishment.

I now proceed to offer a few remarks on Oriental Grammar in general, and particularly with reference to its effects on the interpretation of the Bible. It has been the misfortune of the Hebrew Scriptures, (and indeed of the New Testament in a great degree,) that they have generally been treated in Europe as if they had been mere European compositions. Hebrew Grammars have been constructed, not so much to shew the learner what was real Hebrew idiom, as to reduce the Hebrew Language itself to a comparison with one or other of the Languages of Europe. This might perhaps have been tolerable in the days of Buxtorf, when good Oriental Grammars and Commentaries on Grammar were extremely difficult of access. An opinion, too, has unfortunately prevailed, that many exceedingly valuable traditional interpretations were to be found in the hands of the Jews. And hence, the Buxtorfs and others were assiduous in giving currency to the notion, that no man could study the Holy Scriptures, with any prospect of success, who had not drunk deeply into the streams which had thus providentially come down to us. Hence

PREFACE. XIII

originated the endless appeals to the Grammars, Lexicons, Commentaries, and Cabbala, of the Rabbins. The Talmud too was now ranked as a work, from which there was scarcely any appeal. And the consequence was, the obscurity of the Old Testament gradually became so great, and particularly when considered in connection with the New, that but few Divines among us would dare to attempt an explanation, (not to mention a reconciliation with the New,) of any considerable part of it. Out of this untractable obscurity seems to have arisen the art of applying a double, triple, &c., interpretation to Prophecy, as well as to much of the History of the Old Testament. Writers of the New Testament, with some of the elder Fathers of the Church, took one consistent and steady view of these Scriptures:* the Rabbins, with their followers, took another: and, rather than break with either of these, the timid and inexperienced preferred the alternative of taking both! Hence too arose what has been termed "the Grammatical interpretation," as opposed to the Theological one; when, alas! the first rudiments of the Grammar were scarcely known. And the consequence was, the Commentaries of the learned presented the inquirer with scarcely any thing more than mere statements of Jewish opinions; or, with interpretations of the Sacred Text, which stood on no better grounds than those of ingenious conjecture.

But this was not all. The Rabbins with Maimonides at their head, (a writer who obtained the flattering title of being the first Jew who ceased to play the fool,) drank pretty deeply into the metaphysics of Arabia, which, as might have been expected, afforded ample amends for the defects of Hebrew philology, which were then acknowledged and felt. I will not now enter into a consideration of the results actually arrived at: I will only affirm, that the work of desolation,

^{*} See my Sermons and Dissertations on the interpretation of the Holy Scriptures, London, 1830, particularly the Preface and the former part of the Second Dissertation.

xiv PREFACE.

as it regarded Scriptural knowledge, seems to have arrived almost at its summit. Learning of the most imposing and most trifling character now filled the writings of the Commentators: and bodies of divinity, grounded upon these, were published to the world in numbers sufficiently great, both to surprise and confound the few, who were found bold enough to venture upon them. It was of little consequence, in this case, whether the Divine was of the orthodox or fanatical party, (parties which were then best known from the cast of their political opinions,) each was equally removed from the simplicity of the Scriptures: while each (I am not willing to allow) was in actual possession of the saving truths of religion. Their misfortune was, to have been led aside into an obscure, a steep, and a thorny, path. They had unwarily injured their own visual powers by subtleties and refinements, and the consequence was, they groped as in darkness at noon-day.

Of late years these studies, (and it is much to be regretted these very methods of carrying them on,) have been revived, and greatly extended in Germany. And the result has been, (as it might have been expected it would,) that under Judaïsm and heathenism combined, a monstrous system, termed by its abettors "Rationalism," has actually been formed and most lavishly recommended. This, however, exhibits nothing more than a revival of the exploded scheme of the once celebrated Spinoza, adorned indeed with the splendid trappings of many an hour's, ay many a year's, laborious but crude and undigested lucubrations. The Grammars, Lexicons, and Commentaries of the Divines of this school, imitate as closely as possible those of the Jewish Rabbins. They incessantly appeal likewise to the Oriental dialects:* but in no case do they evince an acquaintance with real Oriental Grammar, or beyond what is given in the Grammaire Arabe of the Baron de Sacy; which, as I shall shortly shew, exhibits little more than an endeavour to reduce the Grammar of the Arabs to the standard of that of

^{*} See my Sermons and Dissertations, Dissertation I. throughout.

PREFACE. XV

the Greeks and Latins. The system of conjecture, therefore, so extensively cultivated by the Rabbins, (not to insist on the false and foolish system of Theology also adopted by these Divines,) has at length so far succeeded in reducing the standard of the Holy Scriptures, that it is difficult to say, whether we should most admire or condemn the almost unexampled patience and industry, which has thus so nearly heathenized and unchurched this once venerable and pious school.

Their great defects appear to me to be these: I. The want of a thorough acquaintance with real Oriental Philology; which, I must be allowed to say, is not to be found in the Grammars of Oriental Languages composed by Europeans generally, nor yet in the Lexicons of Golius, Castell, or Giggeius, which they are in the daily habit of citing. Information of this sort is only to be found in the Grammars, Commentaries on Grammar, and Scholiasts, of the East: and these, if we except one or two Individuals, they have not yet read. In the next place, they are deficient in real Scriptural knowledge; I mean, in a knowledge of the Scriptures distinct and separate from the systems and commentaries of the Jewish Rabbins.* Generally

^{*} See my Sermons and Dissertations, Cambridge, 1830. Diss. I. part ii. p. 124. Since writing the above, I have been favoured with a sight of the last number of the "Edinburgh Review" (No. 107), in which I am directly charged both with ignorance and misrepresentation in what I have said on the Rationalism of Germany, and on Dr. Gesenius in particular. My ignorance, it should seem, is apparent from the badness of my English, from my not knowing where to find a certain work of Bertholdt's, and from a false translation of a part of Dr. Gesenius's Commentary on Isaiah; and my disposition to misrepresent (coupled with this ignorance) from the manner in which I have stated and combated the opinions of Dr. Gesenius. On my bad English I will say nothing; because no faulty passage is pointed out by my censor. On my ignorance of the works of Bertholdt, which the Reviewer says I have avowed, I need only say, no such avowal is made any where in my work, nor any mention whatever of an interpretation of the fifty-second and fifty-third chapters of Isaiah by Bertholdt. I have only said, (p. 152.) that "Mr. Bertholdt refers us here to a work by J. D. Kruiger, entitled "Commentatio,

xvi Preface.

speaking, no Commentary on the Old Testament is to be found at all comparable to that given by inspiration in the New. In

&c." but, as I have not access to this work, I must take my materials from others, &c. The learned Reviewer might, therefore, have spared his charitable help, as he terms it, as well as his uncharitable misrepresentation. Come we now to the false translation. The words of Gesenius are these, " Die Rede des Propheten wechselt hier mit der Rede Jehova's so ab, dass. lii. 13-15. Jehova zu reden fortsahrt, wie in dem Vorgehenden: liii. 1 der Prophet redet, und zuar communicativ im Namen seines standes." My false translation is this: "The speaking of the Prophet is here so changed for that of Jehovah, that chap. lii. 13-15. (not chapter lii. 15, as the Reviewer has been pleased to give it,) Jehovah continues to speak as in the preceding context: in liii. 1. the prophet communicates in the name proper for his own station." The amended translation of the Reviewer is, "Jehovah and the Prophet speak here alternately. Thus, at the end of the fifty-second chapter, it is Jehovah who continues to speak, as in the foregoing verses; but, in the beginning of the fifty-third chapter, it is the prophet who speaks,-communicatively indeed, (or in the manner of one who is holding communication with others,) and in the name of his order." Now, I should like to know what the real difference between these two translations is, as far as the matter discussed by me is concerned. I can see none: and I know my adversary can find none. But, if it be asked, Which of these versions is the most literal? I think I know what the answer must be. The first three charges, therefore, of my Reviewer end in mere assumptions, just as creditable to himself as they are likely to be serviceable to the infidel cause, of which he is anxious to be thought a champion. Now for the case of misrepresentation and ignorance combined. "The conclusion," it is said, " he (Dr. Gesenius) comes to at last is, that, in those passages where the Prophet speaks of the servant of the Lord, he had in view not any one particular person, past, present, or future, but the body, or aggregate, of the prophets of the Lord collectively considered: -in other words, the Prophetic order, which he thus personifics," &c .- "In the face of all this Professor Lee comes forward and attributes to him an interpretation of the passage totally different from that which he has thus plainly and distinctly enounced. The servant of the Lord here mentioned, says Mr. Lee, is, according to Gesenius's comment, the Prophet Isaiah."-" We should be inclined," it is added, " to consider this misrepresentation as merely a blunder of ignorance, had not Mr. Lee turned it to such triumphant account in taunting and exulting over his brother Doctor, &c."-" This....would be merely ridiculous, were there not strong reasons for suspecting that there is full as much of unfairness as of ignorance at the bottom of it." I think I may say, that my antagonist is not excessively ceremonious: he seems quite disposed too to give up the charge of ignorance, if he can thereby bring home that of malignity. But why all

this we must look for the Theology of the ancient Hebrews, not in the writings of modern or even ancient unbelieving

this waste of strength and of good breeding? Surely there must be some object here besides that of a desire for the truth. But let this pass, and let us adopt the Reviewer's own statement of the case. Will the matter now be mended? "The servant of the Lord, he (Dr. G.) had in view," (is) "not any one, &c.,.... but the body or aggregate of the prophets of the Lord collectively considered; in other words, the Prophetic order, which he thus personifies." Well: let us now apply either or both of these things, which however are very far from being identical. The passage had in view is, Is. lii. 13.-liii. 9; and my general question was, How could this all possibly apply to Isaiah the Prophet? Let it now be asked, How could it, either to the body or aggregate of the Prophets, or to the Prophetic order personified? Suppose we allow that these persons, or this office, may deal prudently, be extolled, &c., the question now will be, At what period was it, that one or both of them suffered martyrdom for the sins of the Jewish nation? And then, as a reward for these sufferings, (liii. 11, 12.) enjoyed the blessings of a long life, with the happiness of contemplating a numerous offspring? (i. e. of Prophets and prophetic offices personified!) Again, How we are to make the notion of the whole body of the Prophets, alias the prophetic office, growing up before him, &c. to square with, "when we shall see HIM WE hid as it were our faces from нім....не was despised, and we esteemed нім not: surely не hath borne our GRIEFS," &c.? Who, I ask, is the WE and the HIM, in these passages? If Isaiah is now speaking in the "name of his order," surely he must form a part of both these bodies; and, as I formerly remarked, must be "acting moonshine and wall too!" My Censor is very well aware, that even on his own view of the case, the matter proposed is quite indefensible; but he has forgotten to say, that in endeavouring to set this right, he has only placed it in an infinitely more ludicrous light than I had done. And, let it be remembered, this is all with which I am concerned: my only object being to shew, that the Theological views of this school are untrue and unsound. With Dr. Gesenius's literary or gentlemanly reputation, I have nothing to do, except to express my admiration of both, which I have repeatedly done in the work in question; but which I am sorry to be compelled to say, I cannot do for my Reviewer. I thank him, however, for having called my attention to the particular just noticed, as it has afforded me an opportunity to correct what I had said on the person of Isaiah being meant by Dr. Gesenius, and so to direct my arguments as eventually to shew, that the state of the case is really worse than I had represented it. My Censor now dismisses me, having, as he flatters himself, determined that I am quite incapable of considering questions of this nature. The question at issue, however, is not whether the persons concerned are ignorant or learned; hut whether the Orthodox or the Rationalist Divine be right

xviii PREFACE.

Jews, nor yet in the Apocryphal books of Scripture. These may profitably be consulted; but then, the greatest care must be taken to give them no more than their real due;—to make a prudent use of their Philology and History;—but to treat their Theology as a system adopted by blind and misguided men.

I myself have been much surprised at the similarity of character discoverable between the Philology and Theology of the ancient Hebrews. Both appear to me to be of the most easy, simple, and definite, character. In neither have we any thing forced, unnatural, or metaphysical. They generally exhibit things as they are, or as they appear to be, naked, unincumbered, and detached from every species of refinement. The principal difficulty which an European has to contend with, accustomed as he has been to art and to abstract considerations, is, to divest himself of these, and to return to the simplicity of primitive times. The state of the mind too, unless I am very greatly mistaken, has quite as much to do as the powers or the head have in making the able Theologian, or the good Oriental Grammarian. There must always be a willingness to learn;—to divest self of long-rooted prejudices, and in simplicity and godly sincerity, (qualities by far too lowly rated in general,) to drink deeply into the sincere milk of the word of truth. Without these, the interpreter of Scripture may write very learned commentaries, but they will be very useless, if not dangerous, books. With this, however, and with the aids now accessible to every one, there is great probability that the light which the Scriptures have long afforded us, will shine more and more, perhaps to the perfect day: and will not only put to flight the instances of darkness still visible among ourselves, but will succeed in dispensing their healing beams to the most distant parts of the earth.

in his views. Personalities are certainly easier advanced than arguments; and where arguments are not to be had, which I have reason to suspect is the case here, the enlightened and liberal system attempted to be propped up must necessarily rest on personalities!

PREFACE. XIX

I have been anxious to say thus much on the subject of Oriental Grammar considered in connection with the question of scriptural interpretation; because I am of opinion that Theologians, of this and other European countries in general, evince a much greater lack of knowledge of this sort, than they do of natural ability, industry, or perseverance: while there are others, not indeed deficient in personal piety, but so little accustomed to extensive literary inquiry, and to modes of thinking and expression unlike their own, that they make no hesitation in coming at once to conclusions the most plausible, splendid, and flattering; but which have not the least possible foundation in truth; and which, instead of recommending religion, as they might have been intended to do, tend eventually to injure it. Religion, to deserve that name, must necessarily be founded in TRUTH; and this the most extended, the most scientific, and the most rigid inquiry, never can, and never will, injure.

I may now offer a few remarks on the use of this Grammar. It is one of the greatest misfortunes to this country in general, and to the interest of true religion in particular, that so little encouragement is given in our Universities to the study of sacred literature.* The necessary consequence is, a few may be found hardy enough to encounter the many years' labour, which is absolutely requisite to form the truly learned and enlightened Theologian: but these can never be numerous; and times may come in which there may not be even one. In such a state of things, short, and if I may so speak, royal roads to this kind of eminence will daily be advanced and recommended by empirics, whom ignorance will not have it in its power to detect, and whom idleness and vanity will applaud as the prodigies of their times.† I need now say no more on this subject, than that these short and royal roads had very

^{*} The circumstance noticed in my Dedication will, I trust, have a different tendency.

[†] I need not say how remarkably this was exemplified a few years ago, in an attempt which was pretty extensively supported, to obtrude upon the British public a new translation of the Hebrew Scriptures.

XX PREFACE.

nearly succeeded in patting to flight every trace of real Hebrew learning in this country. The wire-drawn theories of Masclef, John Hutchinson, Parkhurst, and a host of others, certainly made every thing appear very easy to the learner, while, in fact, they effectually enveloped it in impenetrable darkness.

It has more than once been suggested to me, that my Grammar had better be made more popular, and if possible, shorter. My answer once for all is: To those who have made up their minds to take none but a short and popular path, this Grammar will be found to answer full as well as any other can; while it will have the advantage of enabling them to make a far greater progress, than such works themselves ever pretend to, should they eventually find courage enough to study it thoroughly. All that mere learners can first want must be, to see what terminations the nouns will take under their various inflexions, and how the verbs are generally conjugated. With these the historical books of the Hebrew Bible can generally be made out, with the help of an analysis or translation, or both. And to the beginner who has a teacher at hand, perhaps, this will generally be the best method that can be recommended. I very well know how much a progress even of this sort will encourage the learner, who is otherwise unencouraged and unassisted. I know too how slow the very best of us are to imbibe, and to lay up in the mind, notions, with which we were not previously acquainted. Besides, it is natural and prudent to restrict our first efforts to limits which they will conveniently comprehend, and effectually fill. Time and opportunity will enable us to extend them; and, if we proceed not more rapidly than sure, we shall at least have the satisfaction to know, that what we have done, we have hitherto done well; and, in these studies, this is every thing. The driveller in Theology is soon detected; and, should he be so fortunate as to live and die without doing extensive mischief, he will not without having convinced the world that he was a mere pretender.

These first steps then being taken, the next will necessarily be, to examine with care the nature and character of the PREFACE. xxi

etymology of the Hebrew language, the laws by which the syntax is regulated, the customs, modes of thinking, antiquities, religious belief, and expectations, of the ancient Hebrew nation, which will include the use of Commentaries, Concordances, ancient and modern Translations, the Oriental Languages, Travellers, and in short every other help usually recommended to the student of Scripture. I mention these things, because some are apt to think that a knowledge of the Grammar, with the use of the Dictionary only, is quite enough to enable any one to be an able interpreter of Holv Writ; while the truth is, language, opinions, and customs, are so intimately connected together, that they never can entirely be separated. Where however this is attempted, (and it is often attempted,) conjecture, uncertainty, and doubt, must necessarily supply their place. The study of the Oriental Languages indeed, which is indispensable to the critic, opens a very extensive field of inquiry. Our daily intercourse however with the East, in addition to the numerous valuable elementary and other Oriental works, which are now, by the munificence of the British public, daily making their appearance, has rendered this study comparatively easy and sure. And the probability is, that at no distant period it will be in the power of the British Divine, to call in to his aid every assistance which the East can afford him.* And my own opinion is, that if all these helps be duly appreciated and applied, we may indeed never have it in our power to boast of more erudite, laborious, acute, or pious, Divines than we now can, but we may of those who are more simple, more easy, more engaging, and more practical.

I need now only add, what it is important the student should know, that the Hebrew Bible from which the extracts in this work are taken, is that which was printed under the superintendence of Mr. Judah d'Allemand for the Publisher of this work.

^{*} I allude to the Oriental translation Committee which was established a few years ago, principally by the zeal and activity of the Earl of Munster, aided by the assistance of our present gracious and beloved Sovereign, His Royal Highness the Duke of Sussex, and other members of the Royal Family. See the Reports of this Institution.

CONTENTS.

LECTURE I.

ON THE LETTERS, &c.

On the Alphabet

5—9
9-10
10ib.
ib.—11
ib.
12-13
13
ib.—15
15-16
17—18
19
19-20
20-21
21
21
22
22-23
- 1
24-26
26-28
28-32
33—37
37-38
39—41
41-44
41-44

LECTURE IV.

ON	THE	GENERAL	USE	AND	SITUATION	OF	THE	ACCENTS.

Their offices, Tonic and Euphonic On the Tonic Accent On the anomalies found in its situation On the use and situation of the Euphonic Accent Rules for its insertion On the use and position of Makkaph Rules for its insertion	48 48—50 50—56 56—57 57—59 59—60 60—61
LECTURE V.	
on the terminations of gender, &c.	
On the Masculine Gender On the formation of the Feminine from the Masculine On the inflection of Nouns On the formation of the Dual Number On the formation of the Plural Number Masculine On the formation of the Plural Number Feminine On the changes of termination, &c. necessary for the state of construction	62—64 64—66 66 66—67 67—70 70—72 72—74
LECTURE VI.	
ON THE PARTS OF SPEECH, &c.	
On the primitive character of the Nouns On the Personal Pronouns, Separable and Inseparable On the Inseparable Pronouns when attached to Nouns Anomalies in Do. On the use and signification of Words generally On the measures applied to the primitive forms The Primitive Segolate forms, and their Euphonic character On the first Species of Do. of the forms 779 and 779 On the Second Species of Do. of the forms 779 and 779 On the fourth, of the forms 779 and 779 On the fourth, of the forms 779 and 779 On the fifth, of the forms 779 and 779 On the abstract force of these Nouns On the second general class of Primitive Nouns not Segolate, and	76—80 80—83 83—84 84—89 89—91 91—93 94—96 96—97 97—98 98—100
which are concrete in sense	101
·	

Tables, exhibiting their several inflections, as to gender, number, conjunction with the pronouns, and state of construction	101—105
LECTURE VII.	
ON THE AUGMENTED HEBREW NOUNS.	
Classification of these	107—108 108—110 110—112 112—113 113—115 115—117 118—121 121—122
Tables of these forms generally	125-131
LECTURE VIII.	
ON THE AUGMENTED, REDUPLICATED, AND COMPOUND WO	DRDS.
On those forms which have the <i>He-emanti</i> letters postfixed On the Reduplicated, and otherwise Compounded words On the forms and composition of Nouns, adopted as Proper Names	137-141
LECTURE IX.	
ON THE ETYMOLOGY OF THE PARTICLES.	
On the forms and characters of the Separable Particles Do. of the Inseparable Particles On those termed Paragogic On the Demonstrative Pronouns On the Relative Pronoun On the Interrogative and Indefinite Pronouns, &c. On the Definite Article	153—157 157—164 164 164—165 166—169 169—174
LECTURE X.	
ON THE HEBREW VERBS.	
On their etymology, forms, and properties	179-187

Etymology and forms of the conjugation of the two Tenses of the first species Kal	199—203
LECTURE XI.	
Etymology and forms of the conjugation in the second species, or Niphhál. Do. in the third species, or Pūhál. Do. in the fourth species, or Pūhál. Do. in the fifth and sixth species, or Hiphhál and Hophhál Do. in the seventh species, or Hithpūhál. On the remaining augmented, but less usual, species of the conjugation of Hebrew Verbs	209—212 212—217 217—219
LECTURE XII.	
ON THE DEFECTIVE VERBS.	
On the causes of the Defects found to exist in Hebrew Verbs generally The conjugations of those commencing with 3, reconciled with those of the regular triliteral Verbs Do. of those commencing with 8, and 3, respectively	223—224
Do. of those having ', or ', for the middle radical letter respectively	231—233 234—237
LECTURE XIII.	
On those Verbs which have their second and third radical letters the	
Same On those Verbs which are doubly defective On the Abbreviated Pronouns as attached to Verbs On the mode of affixing these to the Defective Verbs Tables exhibiting the conjugation, in the several species, of every sort of Verb, whether Defective or not, with their Infinitive and	243—248 248—254 254—255
Participial forms	200-209
LECTURE XIV.	£
AND MILES OFFICE AND	'

On the construction of the several sorts of Propositions generally .. 270-272

On the Predicate of Propositions in Hebrew	272-274
On the concordance of the Subject with the Predicate	274-278
On the concordance of the Nominative with its Verb	278-281
On the Nominative Absolute	
LECTURE XV.	
ON THE GENERAL DEPENDENCE OF WORDS ON ONE ANOT	HER.
This dependence is regulated by two principles, generally, i. e. that	
of Apposition, and that of the Definite State of Construction	285
On the Apposition of words, immediate and mediate	285 - 291
On that termed Hendiadys	291
On that affecting the Nouns of Time and Place	
On Attributives when in Apposition	
On the Use of the Definite Article	
On the Adverbs, and other qualifying terms	
On the emphatic repetition of words and phrases	301-302
On Nouns put in the Definite State of Construction, both imme-	
diately and mediately	303—307
On that sort of Definite Construction which has been termed	
Distinctive	
On the construction of the Numerals	
On their concordance with the thing numbered	
Other modes of construction	310-312
LECTURE XVI.	
ON THE LOGICAL COMPLEMENTS OF WORDS GENERALLY, AND O	OF VERBS,
The Principles by which these are regulated stated	
Examples illustrative of these	
On apparently Elliptical Constructions	
On Impersonal Expressions	326
the state of the s	on the
LECTURE XVII.	0 1
ON THE MODES AND TENSES OF THE VERBS.	
On the Principles which regulate the use of the Tenses generally, in	1-16
direct (or indicative) constructions	
Ditto in Subjunctive or Conditional constructions, whether regulated	
by the separable particles, or by the forms termed Apocope and	
Paragoge	
On the Preterite used as a Future, or Imperative, emphatically	

616	111	TITI	TETLO
	110	4 P. I	VTS.

xxvii Hypothetical sentences how enounced 354-356 Commands, requests, &c. enounced by the Imperative forms 356-357 Remarks on the Principles regulating these 357 LECTURE XVIII. ON THE NATURE AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE PARTICLES. On the Adverbs generally The construction of אָפֶס ,לאׁ, אָיוֹן, יִבְּלִי, רָבְּלִי, יִבּוֹד, אָפֶס ,לאׁ LECTURE XIX. ON THE NATURE AND USE OF THE CONJUNCTIONS. On the Relative Pronoun Tunk (also p. 283.) 368 Of Adverbs, Prepositions, &c., simple and compound, בלתי אם יאָם ,פֶּן ,טֶּרֶב ,עַד אֲשֶׁר ,עַד אָם ,עֵקָב אֲשֶׁר ,עֵק and on the forms used in oaths On the Copulative Conjunction 1 generally: when redundant, or defective: or denoting distribution...... 372-373 the Conditional, Causal, and Conclusive, Conjunctions. ילְמַעַן אַשֶׁר ,לִמַעַן ,עַל־בֵּן ,בֵּן ,כִּי אִם ,כִּי ,אִם ,אוּלֵי תַחַת, אָשֶׁר, הַתַּחָת, שָׁשֶּׁר, לָבֵן, עֵכֶּב אֲשֶׁר, הַתַחַת אֲשֶׁר, בּני מַן אַ גָּב. 373—374 On the Interjections LECTURE XX. ON THE COMPOSITION OF SENTENCES AS POINTED OUT BY THE INFLUENCE OF THE ACCENTS. A Table, &c. pointing out their rank, order, and powers 378-381 Words in Apposition, or definite construction, the nominative with

its verb, &c. how combined 381-383

Qualifying words and phrases, parentheses, &c. 383-384

On the Accentuation of the Metrical Books, Job, Proverbs, and the

Psalms

ERRATA.

Page	line	read
22	28	may, for will
ib.	29	may, for must
25	26	Mūnákh
32	13	id.
55	4	יִבְּנְעִרּן
69	14	אַתֹּיָת
83	11	פִּילַבְּשֵׂהוּ
151	31	Kimkhi. ib. Mikhlol
171	36	والمبررد
ib.	37	وبين
209	21	מְפָפֶּקרוֹת ,מְפָפֶּקרִים מְפִּקּקרָה
ib.	22	מפקדת סי
279	25	which

LECTURES

ON THE

HEBREW LANGUAGE.

LECTURE I.

ON THE ORTHOGRAPHY, SYLLABICATION, &c., OF THE HEBREW LANGUAGE.

1. AS it is proposed to offer, in the present course of Lectures, a comprehensive and practical view of the structure of the Hebrew Language, all discussions relating to General Grammar, Philology, and Antiquities, will be avoided as much as possible; referring as we proceed to Authors who have treated on these subjects. It will also be taken for granted, that the Student is acquainted with Grammar as generally taught; and, that he is penman sufficiently good to write down such forms of letters, &c., as shall be laid before him.

2. But, although it is desirable that the Learner should know something of the principles of Grammar as generally taught; yet, he must not hence be tempted to infer, that a system almost entirely at variance with that to which he has been accustomed, is unphilosophical or wrong: or, because he cannot at first sight comprehend all its bearings, that it is therefore uncouth, embarrassed, or ambiguous. For it may be true, that the Language of the Patriarchs and Prophets is as consistent in its structure, as the subjects on which it treats

are interesting and momentous; and, that it is as explicit and regular as other languages, which have been cultivated with much greater ardour, while they had infinitely less to offer in return. He must, therefore, allow the Language on which we are now entering, to stand or fall on its own merits; and, our delineation of it to be judged by the rules of criticism peculiar to itself. We mention this in the outset, not to depreciate the labours of others who may have taken a different view of this subject; but to warn the student, that the idioms of the Hebrew and other Dialects connected with it, are neither to be judged of nor explained by those of European languages; and hence, to caution him against that trouble and confusion, into which some have had the misfortune to fall.

On the Alphabet.

3. The system of Orthography found in our Hebrew Bibles, and that which has ever been taught with the greatest success,* presents a succession of consonants written in a direction proceeding from the right hand of the page towards the left. Two or more of these are found in every word; while the words themselves are separated from each other by a moderate space. To this system of consonants another is added consisting of vowels; and these are placed above, in the middle, or below, the line of consonants, as their several natures may require. To this, again, is superadded another, consisting of Accents, which are also placed either above, in the middle, or below, the consonants, in the same line or rank with the vowels. Before the student can possibly read the Hebrew text, therefore, he must be made familiar with these several parts of Hebrew orthography.

4. We shall proceed, in the first place, to delineate

4. We shall proceed, in the first place, to delineate and explain the characters of the consonants; the number, forms, names, powers, and numerical values, of which are

as follows.

^{*} We say, the greatest success; for after all that has been said by the advocates for the unpointed system, it will be extremely difficult to point out one writer of that school who has really advanced Hebrew learning.

Number and Order.	I	FORMS.		NAMES.	POWERS.	Numerical Values.
	Biblical.	Samari- tan.	Rabbinical.			
1	8	15	ħ	אלף Álef	H unaspirated, as in humble, hour, &c.	1.
2		9	3	Béth	V or B respectively, as in van or ban.	II.
3	ا <u>نا</u> or	T	د	Gimel בּימָל	G hard, as in gird,	III.
4	T or T	2	7	Dáleth הלת	gain. D, as in do, dare.	IV.
5	ក្	3	ס	N⊓ He	H aspirated, as in	V.
6]	3	1	Yaw, or Waw	hard. V, by some, as in vow, by others, W, as in work.	VI.
7	_	A	ı	Záyin	Z, as in zeal, or S in those.	_VII.
8	П	A	ק	הית Khéth	Kh strongly aspirated, as ch in the German, nicht.	VIII.
9	Ö	V	υ	Téth	T, as in turn.	IX.
10	7	u	,	Yód Yód	Y, as in yes, yonder.	x.
11	or and as a final letter or	ಸ	and as a final 7	75 Caph	C, as in carry, cash.	XX.
12	5 1 1	2,	,	Lámed למד		
13	and as a	25	nand as a	Mém	L, as in love, &c.	XXX.
10	final		final D	I LI Mein	M, as in man, &c.	XL.
14	and as a	3	and as a	Nún	N 1 0	
• •	final 7		final	172	N, as in no, &c.	L.
15	י מ	4	0	720 Sámech	G :- G'-	
16	77	V	ע	Ayin	S, as in Sir; never as S in those.	LX.
10				Ayın	The true sound of this letter being unknown, it is usually passed over in silence, as H in humble: we shall designate it thus, H.	LXX.
17	Dor Dand	5	D and as a	TD Pé	PH, when without	LXXX.
	as a final		final 7		the point, as in Philip; P, when pointed, as in pint.	
18	3 and as	W.	5 and as a	Tsadé	TS, as in mats, &c.	xc.
	a final		, final [1 1	
19	D'	P	· p	Koph Koph	K, as in look, &c.	C.
20	1.5	9	,	Résh	R, as in roast, &c.	CC.
21	Wil	au.		אוניין Shin	SH, as in shine.	ccc.
	1275	-	5	Sin Sin	S, as in son, never	111
				-	as S in those.	
22	D or D	N	ת	Taw or	TH or Trespectively as in thin or tin.	cccc.

In this and all future examples where the Roman vowels will be put to represent the Hebrew ones, \acute{a} , or \bar{a} , will have the sound of the Italian a, or a in far; and a, as a in man; \acute{e} , or \bar{e} , as a in wane or ai in rain; e, the same sound shortened: \acute{i} , or \bar{i} , as ee in seen; i, as i in in: \acute{o} , or \bar{o} , as o in rose; o, the same sound shortened: \acute{u} , or \bar{u} , as o in boot; u, as o in g ood. The first vowel in each case will represent the accented or emphatical syllable, e. g. \acute{a} in f \acute{a} ther, &c.; the second with the mark (-) as \bar{a} , \bar{e} , &c. will shew, that, as such vowel generally terminates a syllable, it will be pronounced openly, but not with an accent.

- 5. The Samaritan and Rabbinical forms of the Hebrew character have been given for the following reasons:—
- 1. The Samaritan Pentateuch being nothing more than a different edition of that in use among the Jews, the Student will want no other help for reading it, than the forms of the Samaritan character: and,
- 2. As the Rabbinical commentaries are composed, for the most part, in Biblical Hebrew, he will stand in need of little more than a copy of their alphabet to enable him to read them.*

^{*} Should he wish to make a considerable progress in Rabbinical learning, he will find some of the best books pointed out in Sect. xi. of my Sylloge Librorum Orientalium. Cantab. 1821.

- 7. As to the forms of the consonants, it is highly probable that they were originally hieroglyphical:* but, whether the Hebrew or Samaritan form is the most ancient, a difference of opinion seems to have prevailed as early as the times of Jerome; and which, as Schultens has justly remarked, has been contested in some instances with an acrimony, which neither the nature of the case, nor the love of truth, could justify: + some maintaining, that the Samaritan was the primitive form, and that Ezra adopted the other on the return from Babylon: others, that the Biblical character, as we now have it, is the same with that in which Moses composed his Autograph. For my own part, I am inclined to believe with Bavers and some others, that which of the characters soever we take as exhibiting the most ancient form, the other is no greater a variation from it, than may be traced in our own black letter as derived from the Roman; and, by no means so great as that discernible between our own hand-writing and the printed letter, from which it has been derived.
- 8. The letters תרםלהא, when occurring at the end of a line, are sometimes lengthened out thus, אוויס, in order to fill up the space.

On the Powers of the Consonants.

9. Alef, as already remarked, has the power of an unaspirated H: that is, it is used for the purpose of enouncing the vowel following it without any audible aspiration. It appears probable also, that this was its power in ancient times; not only, because the same letter has to this day the same power in the Syriac, Arabic, and

^{*} Champollion Précis. du Syst. Hier. pp. 312, 316. Plates A to K.—P. Lacour. Essai sur lés Hier. Bordeaux. 1821. pp. 45—48, 131, 166, &c. Though I can see no reason why we are to go to Egypt for the Hebrew Letters, unless we are to suppose that marks, representing certain substances and sounds, could not be formed elsewhere.—See Geschichte der Hebräische Sprache, &c., von Wil. Gesenius, § 40—45. See my Sermons and Dissertations on Prophecy, &c. p. 117, &c. Proleg. to Bagster's Polyglott Bible, Prol. I. § ii.

[†] Fundam. Ling. Heb., p. 18.

[‡] See the controversy between Capell and Buxtorf.

[§] Crit. Sac. Baveri, Tract i. § 12. p. 125 (mihi).

Ethiopic, which are nothing more than dialects of the Hebrew, but because we find it occasionally put for in Hé, or v Ayin, in the Hebrew Bible itself; and, in the cognate dialects, the Syriac and Chaldee, for v Yôd.* Among the Greeks and Latins it was expressed by one or other of the vowels unaspirated, and mostly by Alpha or A.† In writing Hebrew words in Roman letters, we shall form the syllable in which this letter is found, by representing that vowel only which accompanies it.

- 10. \supset Béth is found either with or without a point inscribed, as \supset or \supset . In the first case it is pronounced as our B; in the second, as V; and hence, it is sometimes represented by \supset Vaw, as \supset occasionally written \supset . In the cognate dialects it is often changed for \supset Pé or \supset Mém, being a letter of the same organ with them. But of this more will be said hereafter.
- 11. \(\text{2}\) Gimél is also written with or without the point, thus \(\text{2}\) or \(\text{2}\). In the first case, all are agreed that it should be pronounced like G in the words gird, give, and the like. How it should be pronounced in the second, grammarians are not agreed. Some think it should take the sound of G in ginger; others, that it should be pronounced as G in the German Gemacht, &c. The usual practice, however, is, to sound it like G in gird, in every case.\(\frac{1}{2}\)
 - 12. 7 Daleth is also found both with and without this

^{*} As will be shewn in my Hebrew Dictionary.

[†] See the Bibliotheca Sacra by Masch, partis secund. vol. ii. pref. p. 35, &c.: also, the Dissertatio R. P. Bernhardi de Montfaucon de veteri literarum et vocalium Hebraicarum pronuntiatione, tom. ii. of his Hexapla of Origen, or in the Bibliotheca Hebrae of Wolfius, tom. ii. p. 648; or the Appendix to Jahn's Gramm. Ling. Heb. &c.

The manner in which the ancients represented this, and other letters of the Alphabet, may be seen in Masch or Montfaucon, as cited above.

point, as 7 and 7. In the first case it is pronounced like D in dare, do, &c. In the second, some give it the sound of TH, in thine, this; others neglect this distinction, and pronounce it like D in every case. It is probable, that it was originally pronounced with the tip of the tongue placed against the roots of the fore-teeth, just as its corresponding letter is still pronounced by the Orientals; which will give it rather a softer sound than that of our D. It is also probable, that it had two sounds, as it is the case now with the Arabic, where we have a Dál and is Dhál; the former pronounced like D dental, the latter like th in thine. Hence we may account for דבר Dāvár meaning a word, and דבר Déver, meaning a pestilence: the root of the former being ¿ Dhábara, scripsit librum, &c., the other دبر Dábara, ulceratum fuit, &c. We also have ذبر Dhábr from the first root signifying Scriptura, and فار Dhábir, bene discens, et edoctus, sapientiam, of similar import with דבר Dāvár, a word, relation, &c.; and from the second, Libr mors, of similar signification with דבר Déver, pestis, &c. This method of considering the powers of several other letters of the Alphabet, will generally explain the apparently conflicting significations sometimes found to prevail in the same Hebrew root, of which the modern Lexicographers have very properly availed themselves.*

13. It will be unnecessary to make any additional remarks on the following letters till we come to \(\pi \) Khéth; and, on this it is only necessary to say, that like \(\pi \) Dáleth, it probably had two sounds originally, the one more, the other less, aspirated, as noticed in the Dictionaries.

^{*} Eichhorn in his Edition of the Lexicon of Simonis, Schulz in his edition of Cocceius, and Dr. Gesenius in the Hebrew Dictionary. See also Storr's Observationes ad Analogiam et Syntaxin Hebraicam, p. 48.

- 14. $\ \ \,$ Téth should be pronounced with the tip of the tongue against the roof of the mouth, just as our own T is, and hence it may be termed cerebral.
- 15. 'Yód is equivalent to our Y, as stated in the table. The Italians and Germans represent this letter by J, which they pronounce like our Y: and, as the first Hebrew Grammars studied in this country were imported from the Continent, we adopted the word Jehovah, Jehu, &c. which they very properly pronounced Yehovah, Yehu, &c. When occurring at the beginning of a word with the vowel i, it loses its power as a consonant, and takes the sound of the vowel only, as Is-ra-el, not Yis-ra-el. This is also found to take place in the Arabic, as Iktob, not Yektob, for منافقة المنافقة المناف
- 16. The sound of \supset , without a point, probably partook more of the sound of K than of \sqcap Khéth, which will account for its being represented by the Greek χ and κ . With the point (\supset) it is universally sounded like our C in carry, as already stated.
- 17. D Sámek, and W Sín, have for many centuries been pronounced alike, i. e. like S, in sin; and, although many Hebrew words are now found written with either promiscuously, it is doubtful whether this is not owing to the copyists; possibly it may be a departure from the pronunciation of W Shin which may have been peculiar to this form (W) even in ancient times. See Judg. xii. 6.
- 18. \forall Ayin probably had, like \neg Dáleth and \neg Khéth, two sounds originally; one approaching to that of g mixed with h or r; another to that of \bowtie Alef, just as it is the case with the Arabs, who have both $\not\in$ Ghain, and $\not\in$ Ain. The examples to be found in the modern Lexicons under this letter, as well as the various orthography of

proper names found in the Septuagint, may be considered as sufficient proof of this position.* At present, this letter is usually passed over, like the Alef, in silence. The sound of ng in king, given to it generally by the Jews, may probably have prevailed even in ancient times. I have observed in the pronunciation of the Arabs of Barbary, that they give a nasal sound to their Ain, &; and, that the Archbishop of Jerusalem, who was some time ago in this country, pronounced the Syriac & in this way, although the Maronites inform us, that it should be pronounced with a sort of compression of the throat.† We shall designate it in the Roman characters by an Ḥ, with a point under it.

- 19. The sound of \triangleright Kóph is rather harder than that of \triangleright Cáph: it is made by a sudden compression of the throat, and may be compared to the noise made by water when discharging itself from a bottle: it is, perhaps, analogous with the Roman Q. The explanations given in the table will be sufficient for the remaining letters.

^{*} See the Lexicons of Gesenius, (and Simonis, edd. Eichhorn and Winer,) under this letter. See also Storr as above, p. 48.

⁺ Amira's Grammatica Syriaca, Rome, 1596, p. 6.

¹ Joannis Buxtorfii de Abbreviaturis Hebraicis Liber, with the Supplement of Wolfius. Biblioth. Heb., tom. ii. p. 575. For the abbreviations found in the Masora, see the Tiberias, or Commentarius Masorethicus, of Buxtorf.

- 21. The numbers from ten to twenty are made by adding such unit to the numeral for ten, as will make the number required. Thus א' will represent eleven, ב' twelve, and so on. The number 15, however, is made by ב', i. e. 9+6, not הי, i. e. 10+5, because הי is one of the names of God. In like manner, 21 will be אס, 22 בס, 32 ב', 42 ב', and so on. On this principle, the number given at the end of Genesis for the verses found in that book is, ה'לכו" \$1534.
- 22. Whether this method of expressing numbers formerly prevailed in the Hebrew manuscripts, has been a subject of some dispute, and one which it is now impossible to determine. That the numbers have been expressed in words written at length for some centuries, there seems to be no doubt, but whether this was the case in very ancient times or not, it is difficult to say.*
- 23. As the Hebrew letters are sometimes changed for one another, both in primitive words, and in the process of derivation; and, as this change mostly takes place in letters of the same organ,† the following classification of them, according to the different organs of speech, has usually been given:—
- 1 Gutturals, N Alef, ☐ Hé, ☐ Khéth, V Ayin.
- 2 Palatals, A Gimél, Yód, Cáph, P Kóph.
- 3 Linguals, \(\mathbb{D}\tilde{aleth}, \(\mu \) Teth, \(\mu \) Taw.
- 4 Sibilants, 7 Záyin, D Sámek, 2 Tsādé, w Shín, w Sín.
- 5 Labials, Béth, Waw, B Mém, B Pé.
 - 24. The letters 5, 0, 1, 7, are termed liquids. ‡
- 25. Four of the letters of the Alphabet occasionally lose their powers as consonants, and are then said to be quiescent or silent. These letters are, Alef, 7 Hé, 7 Vaw, and Yód, which form a sort of memoria technica

^{*} See Baver's Critica Sacra, Tract i. § 23, page (mihi) 188.

⁺ As בו for מחל the back; מריא and בר fat; and many others to be found in the dictionaries.

[‡] Whether any of the letters בברכפת be with or without the points, as given in the table of the Alphabet, or whether others assume their own final forms or not, makes no difference as to their classification, they will still belong to one or other of the above classes.

in the word אהרי Ehëvi. Those letters too which occasionally have a point inscribed, have, for the same reason, been formed into the technical word בגדכפת Běgad-kěpháth. The final letters which are sometimes lengthened are exhibited in the words אהל תמר Ohel Tāmár, Tamar's tent: and the finals which vary in form, as mentioned in the table, are comprehended in the words כמנפץ Camměnappéts, "Like a disperser."

- 26. The letters have been further divided into two classes; one containing such letters as are occasionally servile, that is, are employed in the process of grammatical accidence and derivation; the other, those only which are never so employed; the first have been termed servile, the second radical, letters. The servile letters are, however, occasionally radical, though the radical ones are never servile.
- 27. The servile letters are all comprehended in the following memoria technica, viz. משה איתן וכלב, Mōshé Ēthán Vēcālév, i. e. Moses, Ethan, and Caleb. The remaining letters are termed radical.*
- 28. The best method the learner can adopt for making himself acquainted with the letters is, to write them over carefully and frequently, till he is quite familiar with all their forms. In doing this, he should strongly mark the difference between those letters, which are in some respects similar, such as \(\mathbb{B}\) \(\textit{eth}\), and \(\mathbb{C}\) \(\textit{chph}\), \(\mathbb{C}\) \(\textit{imél}\), and \(\mathbb{N}\) \(\textit{un}\), and so on; in order to avoid the

^{*} In almost all the printed copies of the Hebrew Bible, we find some of the letters occasionally larger or smaller than others; others inverted, or suspended a little above the general line of printing; in one instance, we have a final letter in the middle of a word; in others, a medial letter is used as a final: out of all which the Jews, and indeed some Christian writers, have endeavoured to elicit certain mysteries. But as they are most likely all owing to some accident in the transcription of the MSS., we may be excused in dismissing them without further notice.

confusion which beginners often experience, and to make that pleasant which is to many extremely irksome. If he use a pen, the point should be cut a little oblique, in order to make the horizontal strokes strong and regular; the others, which should be perpendicular, should be made fine and equal. An oriental reed, however, makes by far the best pen for writing these letters, which should be cut nearly like a pen, with a slit, and the point oblique, as already mentioned.*

On the Vowels.

29. It has already been remarked, (Art. 3.) that the Hebrew vowels present a system of marks or characters arranged sometimes above, at others in the middle, and at others beneath, the consonants: we now proceed to delineate and explain this.

Table of the Hebrew Vowels.

Figure.		Na	Name.		Power.		Exemplifications.				
	(T	קמץ	Kāméts	\tilde{a}	as	Ţ	$b\bar{a},$	1	gā,	Ţ	dā, &c
		44.44	Tsēré		_	3	$b\bar{e},$	ڍ	gē,	٦	dē, &c
	\ \	תִירִיק בְּדוֹל	Khīrík Gādól	ī	_	בִּי	$b\bar{\imath},$	בָּר	gī,	קנ	dī, &c
	1+	חוֹלֵם	Khōlém	\bar{o}	_	בו	$bar{o}$,	בר	gō,	דר	dō, &c
	17+	שורק	Shūr ėk	ū	_	13	$b\bar{u}$,	12	gũ,	77	dū, &c

^{*} These reeds may be purchased at Messrs. Parbury and Allen's, Booksellers in Leadenhall-street, London; and at Stevenson's, Cambridge. The exact method of cutting and holding them may be seen in the Developpemens des Principes de la Langue Arabe Moderne, par Auguste F. I. Herbin.

[†] In these cases, the consonant γ is considered merely as the fulcrum of the accompanying vowels, and in the first it is often omitted, the point then resting on the side of the preceding or following consonant. The γ too is pretty much in the same predicament, and is also occasionally left out. In this case analogy must determine, whether it stand for a perfect or imperfect vowel.

Sheva, and its Substitutes.*

$$\left\{egin{array}{lll} & Sheva & ar{e} & ---- & bar{e}rar{i}, & ijgerar{i}, & ijgerar{i}, & igerar{i}, & ige$$

- 30. It will be seen from the Exemplifications, which of the vowels-marks are placed above, which in the middle, and which below, the line. From the note appended to the table of consonants, will also be seen what sounds are given to the Roman vowels, as here made to represent the powers of the Hebrew ones; and, consequently, what powers the Hebrew vowels have.
- 31. The learner cannot now do better than make out a syllabarium for himself, extending throughout the whole of the alphabet, in the manner of the Exemplifications just given; bearing in mind, that whenever either of the letters contained in the memoria technica, בגרכפת Begadkepháth, begins a syllable, the point should be inscribed. The exceptions will be given hereafter. He should also bear in mind, that the consonant must

^{*} The sound of \check{e} in these examples is remarkably short, better expressed by our brī, grī, drī, &c., without a vowel. So in the substitutes, which usually accompany the guttural letters. The final vowel 1-, &c. has been added, in order to facilitate this rapidity of utterance in barī, garī, -behē, bohā, &c., making one syllable only.

always precede the vowel, as marked in the table: a few exceptions to this rule will be noticed hereafter.*

- 32. By perfect vowels, as given in the first class, is meant, vowels which, being preceded by a consonant, will constitute a complete syllable in Hebrew orthography, as $\exists b\bar{a}$, in which both the consonant and vowel are fully and properly enounced. The same is the case, when either of the letters contained in the memoria technica, $\forall \bar{b} \in \bar{b} \in V$, which occasionally lose their powers as consonants, (Art. 25,) follows its homogeneous vowel, (of which more hereafter,) as, $\forall \bar{b} \in V$.
- 33. By imperfect vowels is meant, those vowels which are not generally found to constitute syllables without either the addition of another consonant, or of an accent. Such syllable, therefore, must either be like $\exists \exists bad$, or $\exists b\bar{a}$, i. e. followed by a consonant, or accompanied by an accent. When, moreover, a consonant is found to follow a perfect vowel, with an accent, as $\exists \exists p$, &c., this vowel may be considered as an imperfect one: i. e. generally, an imperfect vowel, accompanied by an accent, will have the power of a perfect one; and, vice versa, a perfect vowel so accompanied will exert the power of an imperfect one. Hence it will follow generally, that no syllable, excepting the last of any word, can have more than one quiescent

^{*} The usual classification of the vowels has been, 1. Long vowels, 2. Short vowels, and 3. Vowels still shorter than the preceding. We have adopted a different one, for the following reason. These distinctions cease to exist when the vowels are combined with the consonants; for then, the second class of vowels becomes long, either by position, that is, when a consonant follows, or, by being accompanied by an accent. Both these classes of the vowels are, therefore, long when reduced to practice; and it is useless to speak of them in any other point of view.

It can never be necessary, we believe, to trouble the learner with the *five* different lengths of time ascribed to these vowels by Albert Schultens and Schræder; although the latter has declared, that it is a law amplissimum usum habens, because we have been unable to discover in what the use consists.

letter after a vowel; as in קָּהָת, קְּוֹסְ. Such forms as מְלָהָת, are anomalous, and occur but rarely.

34. The last class of signs has been designated "Substitutes for the mark (:) Shevá," which, in truth, they are, as we shall see hereafter.*

On the Combination of the Vowels with the Consonants.

- 35. Considering then, as we do, all the letters of the alphabet as consonants, no possible difficulty can arise from their combination with the vowels, when a consonant only precedes, as is the case in our exemplifications, (Art. 29.); but, when a consonant also follows, some questions may arise as to the conjoint effect produced. This we now proceed to explain.
- 36. The only difficulty that can present itself to a learner, will be in the concurrence of any of the letters בּהַנִינ Ehevi, with certain vowels preceding.
- 37. It has been remarked (Art. 25.), that these letters will occasionally lose their powers as consonants. We now say, this happens only when they are preceded by those vowels which are, or may become, homogeneous with them in sound, respectively. In this sense א may become quiescent after any vowel, as may also א will be quiescent with Khōlém or Shūrék only: and with Khūrík, Tsēré, Sēgól, and occasionally with Kāméts; as א בָּ bā, בֹ bē, bē, בֹ bē, bē, בֹ bē

^{*} Our object in adopting this new nomenclature is, to avoid the inconsistency of detailing a system of long, short, and most short vowels; and then, of informing the learner, that after all, every short vowel becomes long in practice; and, that the class of the shortest vowels contains nothing more than substitutes for what, in fact, are no vowels at all.

coalesce, and consequently the power of the consonant will be merged in that of the vowel. When these letters have no vowel, they will necessarily be silent, as in אַטְּה $Kh\acute{e}t$; הַבְּיא $H\bar{e}v\acute{i}$, where the א is in each case said to be *otiose*.

- 38. A vowel, however, may precede, which is not homogeneous with such consonant; this will happen only in the cases of and and and then a diphthongal sound will be formed, as ai, in אַרֹבְי Adōnai or Adōnay; oi, in בּלוֹי or Goy; ui, in בֹּלוֹי or Gālúy. Other diphthongs may be formed; but more do not occur in Hebrew.—It must be remembered, however, that when any of these letters happens also to have a vowel of its own, i. e. following it, it will not be quiescent.
- 39. There are, moreover, a few instances in which two such letters may be found following a vowel, which is homogeneous with neither of them, as אָלֵין, and the like; in which, according to analogy, is should form the diphthong ai or ay, and the last letter is be considered either as a consonant, or as combining with the foregoing in a kind of triphthong, as \bar{E} -laiv, or \bar{E} -laiv, $H\bar{a}$ -laiv, or $H\bar{a}$ -laiv, but, generally, the is in these cases considered as quiescent,* and the following read as the consonant v, or v, as \bar{E} -lav, or \bar{E} -law, and so on. Indeed, in the case of the diphthong, it is of little consequence whether we consider the concluding letter as a vowel or a consonant, i. e. whether we sound as i, or j, as j, or j.

^{*} It seems to be a general rule, that when a final (:) Sheva, either expressed or understood, comes under or i, the diphthongal sound takes place: in other cases these consonants become quiescent, as in עליו or עליו where it would be contrary to usage to supply a Sheva (:) under the ', as אַלִּיִּג and עַלִּיִּג .

On SHEVA and its SUBSTITUTES.

- 40. $Sh\check{e}v\acute{a}$ (:) has two situations, one at the beginning of a syllable, the other at its ending. At the beginning of a syllable, (where it may be said to be initial,) it is, from the necessity of the case, pronounced like a very short e, as mentioned in the Table (Art. 29.). The only question, then, which can arise here will be, How is it to be known when this mark begins, or concludes, a syllable? The answer is: Whenever it is found at the beginning of a word, it must necessarily be considered as commencing the syllable; and, consequently, must be pronounced as a short vowel, otherwise the consonant, under which it is placed, cannot be uttered at all: as in the word בָּבָית Běvá-ith. The same holds good, whenever it follows a perfect vowel unaccompanied by a tone-accent; because, as that vowel must conclude the preceding syllable, the consonant, under which this mark is found, must necessarily commence the next. In this case, therefore, as before, it must be enounced as a very short vowel, as in the word הַנְתָה Hā-yĕthá; here too, it will be termed initial, because it commences the syllable.
- 41. When, however, Shevá (:) commences a word, (and must therefore be audible,) but is, by accident, preceded by some particle with an imperfect vowel, it will become final; e. g. בנול Gevúl, a boundary; prefixing ? for ?, we shall have לְנְבוֹל Lig-vúl, not Ligĕ-vúl.
- 42. In the next place, whenever the mark (:) Shevá follows an imperfect vowel, having no tone-accent either expressed or implied, the consonant under which it is found must be taken as the last of the syllable. In this case, therefore, Shevá (:) will have no sound, and may be said to be final or quiescent: e. g. DAR Kam-tém, not Kame-tém.

43. To these rules, however, there are two exceptions of very extensive application; and these arise from the introduction of an accent. For, as it has already been remarked (Art. 33.), the operation of an accent will generally give to a perfect vowel the character of an imperfect one, and vice versû. In these cases, therefore, Shēvá (:) may be final after a perfect vowel, and initial after an imperfect one: e. g. of the first case, אַרָּבְּלָּה (Example 1) Tā-gél-nā, Ps. li. 10; and of the second, אַרָבְּלָה (Example 2) Vā-yehi, &c. When, however, such accent is purely euphonic, of which more hereafter, this does not always take place; as אַרַבְּרָבְּלָה (Exod. xxxix. 20, &c.*

44. Shevá is rarely written at the end of a word. The reason probably is, that, as no vowel usually follows the last letter of Hebrew words, it would be superfluous to express Shevá in order to shew this: the mark is consequently omitted. In one case, however, in which the preceding consonant has also a final Shevá, it seems to have been added to shew, that no vowel has been omitted through negligence; as in the word pap kamt, &c., to distinguish it from pap, &c. It is also found in the letter 7, but this may be considered as a part of the letter.

^{*} The instances occurring of this kind are numerous: as אָבָּיוֹתְ Hā-yēthá, Gen. i. 2; ib., ver. 7, אַבְּיִיתְ Hā-rā-ktaḥ; אָבְיּתְ Thē-rā-te, ib., ver. 9. So also אַבִּיתְ Tad-she, ver. 11, and אַבְיתְ יִי יִי יִי יִי יִי יִי דְּיִּבְּיִ הַּ הַּ הַּ הַּבְּיִּתְ הַּ וֹּ אַבְּיִרְ בַּיִּתְ הַּ וֹּ אַבְּיִר בַּיִּתְ בַּיּר הַ אַבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בַּיִּבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִּבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִייר בּיבִייר בּיבּייר בּיבִייר בּיבִייר בּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִייר בְיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִייר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבִּיר בְּיבּיר בְּיבּיר בְּיבּיר בְּיבּיר בְּיבּיר בְּיבּיר בְּיבּייר בְּיבּיר בּיבּייר בְּיבּיר בְּיבּייר בְּיבּייר בְּיבּייר בְּיבּייר בְּיבּייר בְּיבּיייי בּיייי בּיייי בּיייי בּיייי בּייי בּיייי בּיייי בּייי בּיייי בּייי בּיייי בּייי בּייי בּייי בּיייי בּייי בּיייי בּייי בּייי בּייי בּייי בְיייי בְיייי בְּיייי בְיייי בְיייי בְּיייִי בְייִיי בְייִייי בְייִייי בְייִיי בְּייִיי בְייִייי בְייִיי בְּיייִי בְּיבּייי בְיּבְייי בְייי בְייִיי בְייִיי בְייִיי בְּיִייִיי בְּיייי בְּייִיי בְּייי בְיייי בְייי בְיייי בְייי בְיייי בְייי בְייי בְיייי בְיייי בְּייי בְייי בְייי בְייי בְיייי בְייי בְּייי בְייי בְּייי בְייי בְיייי בְייי בְּייי בְייי בְייי בְייי בְיייי בְייי בְייי בְייי בְייי בְּייי בְיייי בְייי בְיייי בְיייי בְיייי בְייי בְיייי בְייי בְּיייי בְייי בְיייי בְייי בְיייי בְייי בְי

45. A part of one of the substitutes of Shevá is occasionally found under the last letter of a word; but this happens only when such letter is one of the gutturals, n, v, or \overline{r} , with Mappik. The reason of this substitution seems to be, that, as these letters will not admit of the rapidity of utterance which the other consonants will, this vowel, or substitute, is added for the purpose of affording due time for their enunciation; but here the (:) is dropped, as before, and the mark (-) only remains. This mark, termed Furtive Páthakh, is, however, not to be pronounced after, but before, the letter under which it is placed, as מָשִׁים Mā-shíakh, not Mā-shí-kha, Messiah, or Anointed. The same is the case when such guttural letter is followed by any other consonant having a point, or Dāgésh, within it, accompanied also by Shevá; as, קּעַעְהְ Pā-sháaḥt, הַנְעַהְ Hig-gáaḥt; not Pā-sháhat, &c. 46. Those marks, which have been termed "the Sub-

46. Those marks, which have been termed "the Substitutes of Shevá," supply, as it has already been intimated, the place of Shevá, with one or other of the guttural letters Ν, Π, Π, or ν, for the reason just given with reference to the Furtive Páthakh. In many cases, therefore, in which analogy would require the insertion of an initial Shevá (:), upon the occurrence of one or other of the gutturals, some one of these substitutes will take its place. If a vowel immediately precede, the substitute will be that which is homogeneous with it: i. e. if (-) precede, (-) will be the substitute; if (·), (··); if (·) o, then (··) will be the substitute. When no such vowel precedes, the substitute most congenial with such guttural, or with the analogy of the word, will be preferred. In the first case, Ν will mostly take (-), occasionally (··); Π (··), rarely (··); Π or ν (··), rarely (··); (··) is seldom used, unless (·) Khātúph, i. e. o, precedes, or unless it stands in the place of a i Khōlém, which has

been rejected. In these last cases, however, usage alone can be relied on.

On the Points DAGESH and MAPPIK.

- 47. Some notice has already been taken of Dūgésh (Articles 10, 11, 12, 31.); we now have to shew what its offices are. 1st. It will double any letter, which is from its situation capable of being doubled; and, 2dly, it will deprive the letters אַבְּלַבְּלַבְּלַ of their aspiration. In the first case, whenever any consonant has this point inscribed, and is, at the same time, both preceded and followed by a vowel, or is preceded by a vowel, and has also a Shēvá, such consonant is to be read as two, e. g. אַבְּלַ Limméd, or אַבְּלַ Limmédú. But, if such consonant is not so preceded, &c., it cannot be doubled; and in that case, it is found only in the letters אַבְּלַבְּלַבְּלָ inscribed merely for the purpose of taking away their aspiration, as already noticed. Now, this last mostly takes place when such letter commences a syllable, as אַבְּלַ בַּמָּל, אַב Ben, &c.; in some instances when it ends it, as אַבְּלַ בַּמָּל. It must also be remembered, that whenever any one of these letters is in a situation to be doubled, the aspiration will also be taken away by the influence of this point, e. g.
- 48. In the first of these cases, this mark has been termed Dāgésh forte, in the second Dāgésh lene; terms, it must be confessed, ill chosen to designate the offices just described. I should prefer terming it Dāgésh in every case, its situation being always sufficient to determine its powers, according to our rule.
- 49. Mappik is a single point (like Dāgésh) inscribed in the letter \vec{n} only, thus \vec{n} . Its office is to shew, that this letter, when so marked, retains its power as a consonant, and is to be treated as such, both in the pronunciation

and etymology. The Jews consider it also as extending to the letter, as in יְהוּדָיָה Yĕhū-diy-yá; but this is a useless distinction.

On the Mark דֶּבֶּד RA-РНЕ.

- 50. Formerly when $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$ was not found in any of the $\Box \bar{c}_{i}^{2} \bar{c}_{i}^{2} \bar{c}_{i}^{2}$ letters, a mark called $\Box \bar{c}_{i}^{2} \bar{c}_{i}^{2} \bar{c}_{i}^{2} \bar{c}_{i}^{2}$, was placed above it, in order to shew that the point had not been omitted by mistake. With the ancient Syrians this was nothing more than a point made with red ink. The Hebrews probably wrote it in the same way: but, as this point might be mistaken for the vowel $Kh\bar{o}l\acute{e}m$, when printed, or, for one of the accents, the form of it was altered for a short line thus (-), which is still found in the Hebrew manuscripts,* though very rarely in the printed books.
- 51. Other points are sometimes found placed over certain words in the Hebrew Bible, the use of which is now unknown. The accounts given of these by the Rabbins may be seen in Buxtorf's Thesaurus Grammaticus, lib. i. cap. v. art. 6, which need not be detailed here.
- 52. It will be seen by recurring to the table of vowels (Art. 29.), that Khōlém is given with a Vaw for its fulcrum, thus i. The Vaw, however, is frequently left out. But, as the letters \dot{w} Sín and \dot{w} Shín are also written with a point on the left or right side, respectively, a question may arise, as to how the Khōlém is to be represented in the case of its following \dot{w} , or preceding \dot{w} . The answer is: Supposing any consonant to follow \dot{w} Sín, and this \dot{w} to have no other vowel-mark, then will its own diacritical point also stand for Khōlém; as \dot{v} Sō-né. In every other case, \dot{w} will be merely the consonant s, as given in the Table (Art. 4.). In the next place, with respect to \dot{w} Shín: Supposing any consonant to precede \dot{w} Shín, having neither Vowel-mark nor Shěva,

^{*} And in these it is sometimes put over a letter requiring $Dag\acute{e}sh$ forte by analogy, also over \aleph and \sqcap when quiescent.

then will the diacritical point of \vec{w} Shin stand also for $Kh\bar{o}l\acute{e}m$, thus, $\vec{w}\supseteq B\acute{o}sh$. But, if the preceding letter have a Vowel or Shevá, \vec{w} Shin will be used merely as directed in the table of consonants. This expedient has been resorted to, in order to avoid the inconvenience of marking these points twice over, which must otherwise have taken place.

- 53. It will also be seen in the table of the vowels, that the letter 'Yod has been placed above the point (·) then called Khīrík Gādól, in order to shew that it is a perfect vowel. This 'Yod, however, is frequently omitted; and, when that is the case, an accent, as it will be seen hereafter, is mostly added to make the distinction. But, as this accent is also sometimes omitted, analogy will then be the only criterion by which the distinction can be known.
- 54. The learner will also perceive, that $K\bar{a}m\acute{e}ts$, and $K\bar{a}m\acute{e}ts$ $Kh\bar{a}t\acute{u}ph$, have the same form, viz. (τ). How then, it may be asked, are they to be distinguished? I answer; Whenever any consonant follows the mark (-) having also a vowel of its own, it will be seen, that (-) must make a complete syllable, and must therefore be the vowel Kāméts, as in the word בְּבָּר Rā-phé. For here, as D begins the following syllable, the with (+) must necessarily constitute the preceding one: (+) therefore necessarily constitute the preceding one: (י) therefore will here be the perfect vowel Kāméts. In the next place, (י) found under any one of the letters אוכלב, (Art. 27.) at the beginning of a word, will be Kāméts; because, in this case, a contraction must have taken place; as, בְּהָלֵילִ Bā-khölí, for בְּהָלֵילִ Bā-ō-hol-ká, for בַּהְלֵילִ Bā-ō-hol-ká, for בַּהְלֵילִ בּּהָלִילִ These cases will be explained hereafter.

 55. The following are the exceptions: 1. Should (יו) Khātéph Kāméts follow (י), or a (י) which has arisen

out of (י:) by the operation of a rule hereafter to be given, then will (י) be Kāméts Khātúph, and must be read as o, although an accent accompany it; as, אַרָבּיּ Pō-hol-ká, in which case the (י) Khātúph being sustained by an accent, becomes equal to the perfect vowel (ז), And, 2dly, when any consonant following (י) has no vowel, and the syllable is also without an accent, (י) will then be Kāméts Khātúph; as, אַרָבּיִּ Ik-botɛ, &c.

56. As to the names of the vowels, &c., they are generally Chaldee or Syriac, whence some have argued that their invention is modern.* But, as this will involve questions of no importance to the learner, nothing need be said on the subject here.

57. Whether the pronunciation here ascribed to the different consonants and vowels was that in use in ancient times, it is impossible now to say: nor is it of much importance either to the learner or the critic. That the approximation is near, there cannot be much doubt; and, that the system is generally the same, is, perhaps, sufficiently certain. But, as the Jews differ in their pronunciation in different countries, we have here taken that of the Portuguese Jews, as nearly as it could be obtained, which is generally allowed to be the best.

^{*} The heads of the arguments urged on this question may be seen in the Institutiones Ling. Heb. by Schultens, p. 53, &c., or in the Arcanum punctuationis revelatum, by Capell, &c. Various hypotheses have been offered on the names of the letters: one of the latest, and perhaps most improbable, is, that, as they occasionally present forms not in use in the Hebrew, they must therefore have been taken from some more ancient form of the language!

LECTURE II.

ON THE HEBREW ACCENTS, &c.

- 58. Having given tables of the Hebrew consonants and vowels, with some explanations and rules as to their powers and use, we now come to consider the accents, and to give a table exhibiting their forms, situations, and names, to be followed, as before, with some observations on their nature and application. The following table given by Alting and adopted by Schultens and Gesenius, has been chosen as sufficiently extensive and explicit for our present purpose. The word here taken for the purpose of exhibiting the situation, &c. of accents, is cited from Isaiah lvii. 18, and signifies, with its affixes, "And I will heal him." The distinctive powers which these accents are believed to possess, will be considered hereafter.
- 59. The Hebrew accents are found placed below, above, or on a level with, the line of the consonants. Those which are found below, are twelve in number: their situations, forms, and names, are as follows:—

No.	Sit. and Form.	Name.	
1.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵהוּ	סלוק or סילוק	Sillúk,
2.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵהוּ	אַתנַח	Athnákh.
3.	ואָרְפָּאָהוּ	מפָתָא	Tiphkhá.
4.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵהוּ	Do. anterior.*	
5.	וָאֶרְפָּאֶהוּ	תָבִיר	Tevir.
6.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵרוּ	יִתִיב	Yĕthîv.
7.	וָאֶרְפָּאֶחוּ	מונח	Mūnákh.
8.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵהוּ	מַהְפַּד	Mahpák.

^{*} Used in the poetical books only.

Sit and Form

No.	Sit. and Form.	Name.	
9.	ואָרַפָּאָהֶוּ	וַרַת	Yė $rakh$.
	וֹאֶרבּּמֶתוֹ	בֶּקרָבָאָ	Mercá.
	וֹאֶרפַּאָתוּ		Id. doubled.
	וָאֶרְפָּאֶתוּ	ַרָּבָ <i>א</i>	Dargá.

Those which are placed above the line of consonants, are eighteen in number. Their names, forms, and situations, are as follows:—

No.	Sit. and Form.	Name.	
1.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵתוּ	רָבִי <i>ע</i> ַ	Rĕvíäḥ.
2.	וָאֶרְכָּאֵהוּ	Id. with בָּרָשׁ	$G\acute{e}resh$ in the poetical books.
3.	וָאֶרְכָּאֵׁדוּוּ	זַקף קטון	Zākėf Kātón.
4.	יָּמֶרְ כְּ אֵׁהוּ	זַקף בָּדוֹל	Zākēf Gādól.
5.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵהוּ	ְסְגֹּלְתָ א	Sĕgoltá.
6.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵהׁוּ	×5,45	Pashtá.
7.	וָאֶרְבְּאֵׁהוּ	ؙڛۣڔؙٚڛؗٛڕؙ۩	Shalshéleth.
8.	וְאֶרְכְּאֵהׁוּ	זַרקא	Zarká.
9.	וָ אֶרְפְּאֵ הוּ	זַרְקָא	Zarká anterior.
10.	וָאֶרְפְּאֵׁתוּ	פַּזַר	$Par{a}z$ ėr.
11.	ָוָאֶרְפָּאֵהוּ	ڎۣڔؖۻ	Géresh.
12.	וָאֶרְפָּאָׁהוּ	בְּרָשַׁיִם	Id. doubled.
13.	וְאֶרְפָּאֵּהוּ	קַרְגֵי פָּרָה	Karné Phārá.
14.	וְּאֶרְפָּאֵתוּ	הְלִישָׁא נְדוֹלָה	Tělīshá Gĕdolá.
15.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵהוּ	תְּלִישָּׁא קְטַנְּה	Tělīshá Kětanná.
16.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵהוּ	קַרְמָא	Kadmá.
17.	וָאֶרְפָּאֵׁתוּ	מונח	Mūnách superior.
18.	וָאֶרְכְּאֵׁתוּ	מַהפַּד	Mahpák superior.
	" T : " :		

Zarká anterior, and the two last, are found thus in the poetical books only.

The accents, written both above and below the line at

the same time are four: their names, forms, and situations, are as follows:—

No. Sit. and Form.

Name.

1. ארב Mahpák with Mercá.

2. במרוֹן Zarká with Mercá.

3. אָרְבְּאָרון Zarká with Mahpák.

4. וְאֶרְפָאֵרוּן Mūnákh with Mahpák.

One only is found in the same line with the consonants.

בּקרוּ וְאֶרְפְּאָרוּן Legarme, or בְּקרוּן Pesik, according to its situation.

It should be observed that, as several of these accents have the same form, they can be distinguished by their positions only. The best way to get acquainted with them is, to write them over a few times, first with, and then without, the tables.*

- 60. These marks are divided, with respect to their offices, into *Tonic*, and *Euphonic*, accents.
- 61. The tonic accent, however situated, can affect only the ultimate or penultimate syllable of any word, which

^{*} Various offices have been ascribed to these accents. By some they are thought to have been attached to the sacred text, in order to regulate the tone of voice, either in reading or chanting. Others have supposed them to have been affixed for the purpose of dividing it into its several members, as verses, the larger and smaller members of sentences, &c., and to point out the different dependence which these parts exhibit on one another. Others, again, have assigned to them emphasis, parenthesis, parallelism, &c., while others have given them all these offices together. Some, again, have supposed them to be of divine, others of human, origin. In the present work we shall consider their application to the text, as the best grammarians usually have done, viz., as intended to divide the context into its different members, and to determine (for the most part) the tone-accent of the words in which they are found. As to their authority, we cannot allow it to be divine, until better proofs than any hitherto offered shall have been given on that point. As coming from men, who had perhaps made the Hebrew Bible the study of their lives, they are valuable. They may, nevertheless, be rejected, whenever a more convenient division can be discovered.

it does by directing the accentuation to be placed on that syllable. When this accent is found on any other syllable, the tone-accentuation of such word can be known only from its analogy. In other cases, the accent will point it out.

- 62. When a word happens to have more than one tonic accent, and both are the same, the first will govern the accentuation; as, אוֹלְילֵוּ but, when they are not the same, the last will have it; e.g. וּלְמִנְעִדִּים U-lěmō-ădím.
- 63. Generally, all words either being, or terminating in the form of, Segolates (to be considered hereafter), will have the accentuation on the penultimate syllable: all others on the ultimate.
- 64. The Euphonic accent supplies a sort of secondary accentuation to the syllable on which it is found, which can never be the ultimate of any word, nor even the penultimate of those having the Segolate form.
- 65. Of this accent, modern grammarians count two sorts, Métheg אָרָבָּ, and Makkáph אָבָּבָּ. The former of these has the same form with Sillúk (י); but, as it can never hold the same situation, the distinction is easily made. The latter takes the form of our hyphen; as in בּּלֵּר kól, and generally deprives the preceding word of its tone-accent. In neither case is their insertion always solitary; for, several Méthegs are sometimes found in the same word, and several words are occasionally connected by the operation of Makkáph. Particular rules for their insertion will be given hereafter.
 - 66. One mark more it may suffice to notice here, and that is, a small circle sometimes found over a word in the Hebrew context; thus, אַבְּחִיב. The word over which this mark is found is said to be Kethiv, or written; and the mark itself is intended to direct the attention of the reader to some note to be found either in the

margin, or at the foot of the page. For the most part, it directs the reader to a various reading, on the right side of which we find the word 'T' Këri, meaning either reading or read.* The readings thus pointed out in the Hebrew Bible amount to about 1000, and are generally preferred to those found in the text. The far greater part of them has been found by Kennicott and De Rossi in the MSS. which they collated.†

67. Having thus far explained the powers of the several consonants, vowels, accents, &c., and given some rules on the subject of their combination, we now proceed to examine a portion or two of the Hebrew text, in exemplification of what has been said. The passage we shall first take is, Zephaniah iii. 8, in which we have all the letters of the Alphabet with most of the vowels and other marks. In reading this, we would advise the learner to mark each syllable distinctly with a slight pause, and to continue this practice until he is perfectly familiar with all the consonants, vowels, &c.

s,	7		6	.5	4	3 2	T.
לְעַר	קומי		לְיָנוֹי	מם־יְהוָה	33)	תַבּוּ־לָ	לָבֵן
lĕḥád	$Kar{u}$ -m $m{i}$	lè	yom Y	ĕhō-vᇗne	eum li-	-khak-kū	Lā-ken.
15	14		13	12	. 11	0 10	
לִשְׁפַּדְּ	מַמְלַבׁוֹת		ַלְקָבְ צִי	גוים	לַאַסר	١٥٥٥	כי מִינִי
lish-pók	mam-lā-kóth		lĕkov-tsi	gō-ím	lē-ĕsóph	Mish-1	nā-ti ki
: 23	22	21	29	19	18	17	16
לַנְאָתִי	בְאָשׁ	کر	NEL	תַרָנוּ	בָּל	זעכוי	עליהם
kin-ā-thí	bĕ¢sh	kí	ap-pî	khärón	kól	zaḥ-mí	hălē-hem
					20	25	, 24
					אָרֶץ:	בָּל־תָּגָּ	תַּאָכֵל
					hū-á-r	ets-kol	tē.ā-kėl

For an explanation of these Masoretic marks, the Tiberias of Buxtorfius should be consulted.

[†] Kennicott's Dissertatio Generalis, § 39. De Rossi Proleg. § xxxix.

[†] This word is pronounced אָרֹבְי Adō-nái by the Jews, whenever it is found as pointed above: but, when it precedes the word אָרֹבְי in the text, it then takes the points of the word אַרֹבְי Elōhim, thus בּוֹבְי, and is then pronounced Elōhim. This is a mere Jewish superstition, derived from a considerable antiquity; it having been their opinion, that this name ought to be pronounced by none except the High Priest, and by him only once in the year.

The learner will recollect that he is to read, beginning at the right, and proceeding towards the left, hand (Art. 3.); and that the consonants must precede the vowels (Art. 31.). The numerals are added to shew the order of the words. Let us now proceed to analyse the syllables, &c.

In No. 1, we have $L\'{a}med$ with $K\~{a}m\'{e}ts$, making the open syllable $L\~{a}$. After this we have Caph with $Ts\~{e}r\'{e}$, followed by $N\'{u}n$, making the syllable $k\'{e}n$. Here, according to our system of syllabication, we should have had some imperfect vowel under the Caph (Art. 33.), which would have been sufficient to complete this syllable; but the accent $Mahp\'{a}k$ being added, the anomaly is corrected (ib.).

No. 2. Khéth with Pathákh, followed by Caph with the point Dāgésh inscribed: and, as a vowel follows this letter, it must be doubled, the point is, therefore, Dāgésh forte (Art. 47.). The first syllable, consequently, is khak, the second is kú, and this is composed of the second Caph, and the vowel Shūrék. We next have the mark called Makkaph, which is used to connect numbers 2 and

3 together, like our hyphen (Art. 65.).

No. 3. Lámed with Khīrîk followed by Yód, making the open syllable $l\bar{\imath}$; to this is added the tonic accent Pashtá, which may be represented by lí.

No. 4. we have Nún with Shevá. In this case Shevá is very slightly pronounced (Art. 40.), but it is not reckoned as a syllable. In the next place we have Alef with Kibbúts followed by Mem. This syllable, therefore, must be pronounced úm, in which u has the sound of oo in good. The whole word will then be neum, in which the \breve{e} will be passed over as rapidly as possible. We then have the mark Makkaph, as before.

No. 5. is the word Jehovah, pronounced Yeho-vá.

Here $Sh\check{e}v\acute{a}$ is passed over rapidly as before. The point over the left limb of $H\acute{e}$ is the vowel $Kh\bar{o}l\acute{e}m$ (Art. 52.); and, as the Vaw following has a vowel of its own, it is not quiescent in the preceding vowel $Kh\bar{o}l\acute{e}m$, which it otherwise would be (Art. 37.). The first syllable, therefore, including the $Sh\check{e}v\acute{a}$, will be $Y\check{e}h\bar{o}$, the next $V\acute{a}$, in which the terminating \vec{n} will be quiescent in the preceding $K\bar{a}m\acute{e}ts$. Over the Vaw commencing this last syllable, we have the accent $Z\bar{a}k\acute{e}ph$ $K\bar{a}t\acute{o}n$. In no respect, therefore, can the mark (τ) under this Vaw be a $K\bar{a}m\acute{e}ts$ $Kh\bar{a}t\acute{u}ph$. (Art. 54.).

be a Kāméts Khātúph. (Art. 54.).

Nothing now occurs worth remark till we come to No. 11. In the word high Lē-ĕsóph, then, the first syllable consists of Lāméd with Sēgól, accompanied by an Euphonic accent (Art. 65.) called Métheg, making a complete syllable (Art. 33.). This syllable, therefore, is open Lē. The Alef following, with Khātéph Sēgól which is a substitute of Shēvá (Art. 46.), does not constitute a syllable; and, therefore, it is passed over as rapidly as convenient, in connection with the following syllable Sóph, which has the tonic accent Pashtá (Art. 59.).

No. 12. The first syllable consists of Gimél with (1) Khōlém (Art. 29.). The next syllable is commenced by Yód, with Khūrík following, and which, by analogy, should be followed by another Yód, as D' Yím, or ím (Art. 15.): but the second Yód is frequently omitted, as will be seen hereafter. Khūrík thus situated is nevertheless a perfect vowel: and, as the syllable also ends with a consonant, the accent Géresh is added to rectify the syllabication (Art. 33.).

No. 13. יְלְקְבְצִי Here the first letter has an *Initial* Shevá, which will therefore be passed over rapidly. In the next place we have β Koph, followed by (τ) , i. e. either

Kāméts or Kāméts Khātúph. It cannot be Kāméts, because it precedes a consonant which has no vowel of its own, and is accompanied by no accent, (Art. 54.). The first syllable, therefore, will be Lekov, and the last, tsi, which need not be further explained.

On No. 14. it will be necessary only to remark, that the point placed over the middle of the letter \supset is the accent Reviah, not the vowel Kholém, which however follows that letter. A recurrence to the tables will always be sufficient to shew, that this accent is placed over the middle of the consonant, Khōlém always on the one side.

No. 19. forms one syllable only: the Khātéph Páthakh (-:) found under the T Khéth, being one of the substitutes of Shevá, is not counted in the syllabication.

68. The names of the different accents will be found by turning to the table (Art. 59.), with which the Learner will do well to make himself familiar: otherwise, he will occasionally confound them with the vowels, and, in many instances, be unable to determine whether Shevá begins, or ends a syllable, or whether the mark (+) be Kāméts, or Kāméts Khātúph. We shall subjoin a passage, for the sake of practice, in which the greater part of the accents is found, with the syllables in Roman letters, as in the last, in order to facilitate the reading.

2 Kings I. 6.

וַיִּאֹמֶר אֵלְיו אָישׁי עָלָה לִקְרָאהֵנוּ וַיִּאֹמֶר אֵלְיו אָישׁי עָלָה לִקְרָאהֵנוּ וַיִּאֹמֶר אֵלִינּ -a-lā-nú vai-yó-mer lik-rā-thé-nā ḥā-lā ísh ē-lāw Vai-yō mĕrú שׁוּבוּ אֶל־הַמֶּלֶךְ אֲשֶׁר־שָׁלַח אֶתְכֶם וְדַבַּרְתָּם vědib-bar-tém eth-kém shā-lákh---ăsher ham-mê-lek—el shū-vú מַלְיוֹ כָּהֹ צָּמֵר יְהוְיָּה הַמִּבְלִי אֵין־אֱלֹהִים בְּיִשְׂרָאֵּל אַתְּה at-tá bčis-rā-ti člō-him---ēn hămib-bčlí Yěhō-vá ā-már kó ē-láw

שׁלֵתַ לִּדְרֹּשׁ בְּבַעַל זְבִוּב אֱלְהֵי עֶקְרוּן לְּבֵן tā-kėn ḥck-rón ĕlō-hė zčvúv bĕvá-ḥal lid-rósh shō-lèйkh הַפִּשְּה אֲשֶׁר־עָלִיתְ שֶׁם לְאֹ־תֵרֵדְ מִפֶּגְּהְּה פִּי־מְוֹת móth—kī mim-mėn-nā thē-rėd—lō shshám ḥā-li-thā--ŭshcr ham-mit-tá הַמְוֹת:

69. It will be unnecessary to point out the names of the different vowels and accents found in this extract, as the Learner will easily find them by recurring to the tables. It may be necessary however to remark, that the accent found between the third and fourth words is termed Legarmé, not Pesík. The distinction consists in this: that when the accent Mūnách precedes the mark (1) it is then termed Legarmé. When any other accent precedes, it is termed Pesík. In the word precedes, it is termed Pesík. In the word precedes, while the accented syllable is the following one processes but this accent, viz., Telishá gedölá, is always found on the first letter, see the Table, while the accentuation is regulated by the analogy (Art. 61.) As the word preceding word is so connected with it, as to enable the reader to pronounce it as two, as in shshám (Art. 47.).

70. The Learner cannot now do better than to take a Hebrew Bible, and transcribe a chapter or more at a time, in Roman letters, divided into syllables as given above; and this he should continue to do until he is quite familiar with the letters, vowels, accents, and syllables. After this he may read, which he had better do, aloud, until he finds no difficulty in enouncing every word fully and correctly. A little perseverance will accomplish this, which is perhaps the greatest difficulty he will have to encounter: and, when he has succeeded, he will find his progress both easy and delightful.

LECTURE III.

ON THE GENERAL PRINCIPLES WHICH PREVAIL IN REGULATING
THE ETYMOLOGY OF THE HEBREW LANGUAGE.

X 71. IT is well known that changes take place in the consonants and vowels of all languages, which can be accounted for on no other principles than those of euphony. Certain vowels and consonants would, in some situations, introduce sounds so difficult of utterance and so grating to the ear, that conversation would become painful both to the speaker and hearer. In order to avoid these, certain changes are found to take place in the constitution of words, which at first sight appear to be anomalous, and which give great trouble to the learner until the laws by which they are regulated are understood. In no language is this more apparent than in the Hebrew and its dialects, particularly the Arabic. In the Sanscrit it prevails in a much greater degree, and is found in its descendant the Greek to give more trouble to the learner than any thing else brought under his observation. It is our intention to commence. our investigation of the principles of the Hebrew language, by detailing the rules by which these apparent anomalies are regulated, for the purpose of enabling the learner to account for the different forms of words as they occur. Because, until he has some knowledge of this subject, he will meet with scarcely any thing but difficulty; and may, after a study of some years, be induced, as many have been, to confess that there is nothing certain in this language.

72. It has been remarked (Art. 36, &c.), that the letters contained in the technical word אָבֶוּךְ, will occasionally lose their power as consonants, or become quiescent in the sound of the preceding

vowel, so that the pronunciation of the word will continue the same whether these letters be written or not: and, the consequence is, they are often omitted; as, אַבָּיִם for מֹבְיִבִים for אַבְּיבִים for אַבְּיבים for אַבְּיבִים for אַבְּיבִים for אַבְיבִים for אַבְּיבִים for אַבְיבִיבּים for אַבְּיבִים for אַבְּיבִים for אַבְּיביים for אַבְּיבִים for אַבְיבִים for אַבְיבִיבִּים for אַבְיבִיבּים for אַבְיבִיים for אַבְּיבִים for אַבְיבִים for אַבְיבִיים for אַבְיביים for אַבְיבִיים for for אַבְיבִיים for for אַבְיבְיבִיים for א

73. Hence, when Shěvá (:), or one of its substitutes, happens to be initial, and to precede one of the letters אָהָהָא, a contraction generally takes place, by which both (:) and the אָהָהָא, a contraction generally takes place, by which both (:) and the אָהָהָא letter is rejected, and the following vowel drawn back to the place of the rejected Shěvá; e. g. אָהָה for אָבֶּהְר הָּהָא for אָבֶּהְר הָּלִּא הָרְי, הְבָּהְבָּיִר, הְּבָּהְר הָּלִין for יָבְּקְר הָר הַּלָּין, בְּרַבְּיִר הָּלְי, הָיִן for יָבְיָר הָין for יָבְיִר הָין (pl. of בַּלְּה הָלוֹי, וְדִּבְּשִׁים הָלְּה הָלוֹי, וְלִיִּר בְּלְה הָוֹין (cities, pl. of בְּלְה הָלוֹי, וְלִבְּשִׁים for יְבָּשִׁים (cities, pl. of בּלָּה הָלוֹי, הָבְּלְּה הָלוֹי), שִׁרְיִם pl. of בּבּבּר, head, where, however, the א is retained).

74. When any one of the letters בייליל, terminates a word, and has no vowel either preceding or following, it is often rejected: as, אַבְיי for בּיִילְי a captive, בְּיִל for בּיִל a valley, בּיִר מוֹן a line, בּיִל מוֹן מוֹף a precept, עַרִין or מְיַלְי (passing) yet, ever, eternal.

1. When these letters remain, they are said to be otiose (Art. 37.), and this may happen either in the middle or at the end of a word: as, אַרָא thou broughtest, אַרָּ a valley.

75. Any one of the letters ה, , or , when preceded and followed by a vowel, will occasionally be dropped: as, בַּקְרָהָיִם for בַּקְרָהָיִים I visited them; בַּקְרָהָיִם for בַּקְרָהְיִם for בַּקְרָהָיִם for בַּקְרָהָיִם for בַּקְרָהָיִם for בַּקְרָהָיִם for בַּקְרָהָיִם for בַּקְרָהָם for בַּקְרָהָם for בַּקְרָהָם for בַּקְרָהָם for בַּקְרָהָם for בּקרָהָם for בּקרָהָהָם for בּקרָהָהָם for בּקרָהָהָם for בּקרָהָם for בּקרָהָהָם for בּקרָהָהָם for בּקרָהָם for בּקרָהָם for בּקרָהָהָם for בּקרָהָם for בּקרָהָם for בּקרָהָם for בּקרָהָם for בּקרָהָם for בּקרָהָם for בּקרָהָהָם for בּקרָהָה for בּקרָהָה for בּקרָהָה for בּקרָהָה for בּקרָהָה for בּקרָהָה for בּקרָה for בּקרְה for בּקרָה for בּקרָה for בּקרָה for בּקרְה for בּקרְה for בּקרְה בּקרָה for בּקרְה for בּקרְ

76. Either of the letters 'or when initial, † and generally

^{*} Hence it is that the letters '> 'S' (for 77 rarely occurs in such situation,) have been termed "Matres lectionis," and supposed to have been used as vowels at some former period.

[†] Mr. Stuart has, after Gesenius, given דְרַ for לְּבֶּר Jud. xix. 11; הַתְּה for בְּרַתְּהָר 2 Sam. xxii. 41, &c.; שְׁנִב for לְשִׁר Jer. xlii. 10, as instances in which

when terminating a syllable (not the last) and having a Shevá (:), will be dropt: as, לֶנֶת for יֵלֶנֶת bearing a child; בְּעַת for יִלֶנֶת for יִלֶנֶת a child; יִדְעַת knowledge; wil for will approach; will for will he draws near; אַמָּרָת for יְנְבְּקָּקְר ; for אָמָר ; אָמָר for אָנָת מּנָת מּנָת מּנָת for אָמֶת for אָמֶת מּנָת אַנָת אַנ truth. In the latter case, when a is dropt, the following letter will, when it can, be doubled by Dāgésh, which may therefore be considered as compensative. לקם likewise in the verb לקם, when it ought to take (:) Shevá by analogy, is dropt: as, \Box ? for \Box ? take.

- 1. The reason of these elisions seems to be, that as the 'would be enounced with some difficulty with an initial Sheva, and the 2 in each case on account of its being a nasal, both have been neglected in writing.
- 77. When the last two letters of any root, and occasionally of derivatives, happen to be the same, one of them is usually dropt: as, ID for ID he surrounded; ID for ID surrounding; ID for □□□□ a people; □□□□□□□□□ a mother; which, however, will return (by Dagésh) when any augment is made: as, In they have surrounded; שָׁלֵי my people, &c. In this case the rejection has probably taken place, in order to avoid an ambiguity which might arise from the operation of a rule hereafter to be considered, by which the Learner or Reader may possibly misunderstand this for a reduplication, introduced for the sake of emphasis or the like.
- 78. Letters of the same organ are, on account of a similarity of sound prevailing among them, sometimes changed the one for the other: e.g.
- 1. Labials: אַב, בַּב, or אַב the back; מַלָמ or אַבְ fat; מַלַמ or be escaped.
- 2. Palatals: סַבְר or סְבָר he shut up; אָבָל or בְּלְ he travelled; סובע or קובע a handle.
- 3. Linguals: אַבְּק or אַבְּק or אַבְר he robbed. 4. Sibilants: עָבִי or עָבָי or עָבָי he exulted; אָב or אַבְּי he cried out; Prip or Prip he laughed.
- 5. Gutturals: אָנָם or אָנָם he was weak; אָנָם or אָנָם he was mournful.

or having a vowel, is dropt by the aphæresis. For my part, I doubt whether these are not rather infinitives than preterites; and if they are so, the elision comes under the above rule.

- 79. In a few instances, letters of different organs are changed one for another: as.
- 1. Sibilants for Linguals : אָנַן or אָנַן he quenched; אָנָן or קַנָּן און און און אַנּין אַנּין
- he watched; שוֹרה or הַרוֹת a fir tree; דְּרָם or חָרָת he engraved.

 2. Liquids for one another: אָרָל or אָרָל he oppressed; הַּצְּרִיר or הַבְּיִר he caused to shine; אָל he opposed; הוֹר or הַבְּרִיר tottering; זבט or סבט a proper name. So נבוכדנאשר Něvūkadnētstsár, or אַנרַרָרָאָ Něvūkadrētstsár.
- 80. The letters, considered either as consonants or quiescents, will occasionally be changed for one another: particularly, when the pronunciation of the word is not materially affected by the change: e. g. בְּלוֹאִים or בְּלוֹאִים rags; בּלוֹאִים Opég, a proper name; קּרָהָא or בְּלוֹאִים or בְּלוֹאָים or בּלוֹאָים or הַבְּלוֹאָים or הַבְּלוֹאָר or הַבְּלוֹאָר or הַבְּלוֹאָר or הַבְּלוֹאָר or הַבְּלוֹאָר הַבְּלוֹאָר or הַבְּלוֹים or הַבְּלוֹאָר or הַבְּלוֹים or הַבְּבְּיִים or הַבְּלוֹים or הַבְּלוֹים or הַבְּבְּיִים הַבְּבְּיִים הַבְּבְּיִים הוֹים הַבְּבְּיִים הַבְּבְּיִים הַבְּבְיִים הַבְּבְּיִים היה הַבְּבְּיִים היה הבּבְּיִים היה הַבְּבְּיִים היה הַבְּבְּיִים היה הבּבְּיִים היה הבּבְּיִים היה הבּבְּיִים היה הבּבְיים היה הבּבְיים היה הבּבְיים היה הבּבְיים היה הבּבּים היה הבּבּים היה הבּבְייִים היה הבּביים היה הבביים היה הבּביים היה הבּביים היה הבּביים היה הבּביים היה הבביים היה הבביים היה הבּביים היה הבביים הבביים היה הבביים היה הבבי מון אין איז אין איז אין איז אין איז אין איז אין איז אין the head; בִים or בִּאָם or בִּאָם the first; בִים he revealed, for \$2, &c.
- 81. In like manner, 3 is occasionally found in the place of one or other of the 취임 letters: as, 무물 for 무를 he set up; 마음 for א היא היא he was beautiful. So in the Syriac, בְּקְשִוּל for הַ בְּקְשִוּל he kills.

 82. The letters of a syllable are sometimes transposed; as,
- נַפַש for בָּבָש he was foolish; בֶּבָש for בֶּבֶש a lamb; קנַל for בָּבָש he breathed; TEP for YTP he broke out; TEP for TEP he sighed; for אַנְאוֹת vallies: and, in some cases, a letter is transposed to another syllable; as, עַּלְהָה for עַּלְלָה wickedness; שִּלְקָה for שמלה a garment.
- 1. These changes and transpositions (i. e. from Art. 78. to this place) do not otherwise affect the grammar of the language, than point out to the student what roots, &c., are said to be cognate; that is, are related to one another in their radical letters, and have the same, or very nearly the same, signification. The preceding, as well as the following, will affect the grammatical forms of words.
- 83. When the n of the Hithpahél species of conjugation will, by analogy, precede any of the Sibilant letters (Art. 23.) a transposition, and occasionally a change, of that letter will take place: e. g. In the first case, הַּסְתַּבֵּל for הַהְּסָבֵּל he loaded himself; הַשְּׁמַבֵּן for הַּעְשַבְּן he caused to keep. In the second : הַּצְטַבּּן for PALANT he justified. In this case only, is the n changed to to.
- 1. But, when a letter of the same organ with \(\simega \) (see Art. 23.) follows, both will coalesce by Dagésh placed in the radical

letter: e.g. בְּיִלְים for בְּיִלְים, root בְּילִים, root בְּיִלְים, root בְּילִים, root בְילִים, root בְּילִים, root בּילִים, root בּילים, root בּילִים, root בּילים, root בַילים, root בַילים, root בַילים, root בַילים, root בַילים, root בַילים, root בַי

- 2. This coalescence, which has been termed Assimilation, is found very generally to prevail; but not without some exceptions which will be noticed hereafter.
- 84. Letters are sometimes added either for the purpose of facilitating the pronunciation, or, for modifying the signification of words: e. g. in the first case: אַרְלְּוֹלְ ' yesterday ' yesterday ' yesterday ' אַרְלְוֹלִ ' the arm.* In the second: אַרְלְוֹלְ ' most cruel, from ' יוֹלְי ' cruel, &c. Of this kind are the paragogic letters, as well as those used in forming the different species of nouns, conjugations, &c., of which an account will be given hereafter. The first of these cases is termed Prosthesis; the second Epenthesis, Paragoge, Hēčmanthi, &c., according to their different offices and uses.
- 85. Letters are occasionally dropt, (Art. 72. 76.), so also ב, (and ז in the Syriac, Chaldaic, and Arabic,) terminating words in the plural number, when those words happen to be in the state of definite construction, of which more hereafter. ז likewise, in a very few instances at the end of proper names: as, מְלֵבְּדֹּוֹן for מְלֵבְּדֹּוֹן, for the reason given in Art. 76. 1.

On the Contractions which take place in the Vowels.

- 86. As it may occasionally happen, in combining the vowels with the consonants, that certain sounds may arise either disagreeable to the ear, difficult of utterance, or, from some reason or other generally avoided by the people speaking a given language; it is of importance to know, in what cases these difficulties are found to arise in the language before us, and how they are obviated.
- 87. Whenever any vowel not homogeneous with one of the letters' or (Art. 38.) happens to precede such letter, a vowel will be formed from the combination partaking of the sound of both.

^{*} Of this sort are the words stablish and establish, special and especial, in English. So in the Greek στάχος ἄσταχος, νῆστις ἄνηστις, &c.

- 1. (-) Páthakh preceding j will become i Khōlém: e.g. מִוֹלֵר for מִוֹלֵר for יוֹלֵר, Art. 73.) he begets; מִינִים for בַּיִוֹלֵר (for בְּיִנִים ib.) he causes to reside.*
- 2. Vaw preceded by (ד) Khātúph, (ב) Kibbúts, (ב) Sēgól, or (ב) Tsēré, will become יו e.g. איי for יוֹלָן, or יוֹלָן (from יִלְּכֶוֹן (from בְּלְכֵּוֹן), Art. 73.) he is begotten; מַלְכֵּוֹן אַ standing; יִנְלֵּךְ וֹלָן (probably for מַלְכֵּוֹן Art. 73. of מַלְכֵּוֹן (probably for מַלְכֵּוֹן אַר אָר. 73. of מַלְכֵּוֹן יִנְלֵּדְ in being, root מִלְכֵּוֹן mas, reigning, kingdom. So יִנְיִלְר וֹלְי וֹלִי וֹלְי וֹלִי וֹלְיי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִיי וֹלִי וֹלִיי וֹלִי וֹלִיי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלְיי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִיי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלְיי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלְיי וֹלִי וֹלְיי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִיי וֹלִי וֹלְיי וֹלְיי וֹלְיי וֹלְיי וֹלִי וֹלְיי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלְיי וֹלְיי וֹלְיילִי וֹלְייִי וֹלְיי וֹיי וֹלְיי וֹלְיי וֹלְייִי וֹלְיי וֹלְייי וֹלְייי וֹלְיי וֹלְייִי וֹלְייי וֹלְיי וֹיי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלִי וֹלְייי וֹלִייי וֹלְייי וֹלִייי וֹלְייי וֹלִייי וֹלִייי וֹלְייי וֹלִייי וֹלִייי וֹי וֹלִייי וֹלִייי וֹי וֹלִייי וֹייי וֹייי וֹלִייי וֹייי וֹייי וֹיייי וֹייי וֹלִייי וֹייי וֹיייי וֹייי וֹיייי וֹייי וֹייי
- 4. When the vowel (י') or (י') precedes ', the contraction will take place in '- perfect: c. g. אָלָה for יִּבְּלָה (formed perhaps from בָּלָה cutting, and בָּלָה or הָּלֶה for הָּלֶה (formed perhaps from ant; הַאָשׁיִר for הַּלָּה beginning; אָל for הַּלָּה for מָבֶל a man. So for יִבְּלָה rebellion; יִבְּל captivity; יְבָה for יִבְּל half.
- 5. In like manner, when Shěvá precedes any perfect or imperfect vowel, or, a substitute of Shěvá follows an imperfect one, a contraction may take place, in which the Shěvá or its substitute will disappear: as, 1st. אַשְׁי for אַשְּׁי elevation; אַבְּילְי for אַבְּילִי for אַבְּילִי for אַבְּילִי for אַבְּילִי for אַבְילִי he says, &c. 2. אַבְּילִי for אַבְילִי he separates; and, by Art. 72, בּיבִי for אַבְיי he prospers.
- 6. Either (-) or (ד) preceding a guttural letter which has (י) Kāméts, is frequently changed into (יי) for the sake of euphony : as, אָקר, שׁ masc. אַקר, fem. one; הְּהָרָים for מְהָרָים the mountains.

^{*} So in the Sanscrit regularly, a and u become o; a and i, c. See the Grammars. From this and similar cases which will occur, it appears extremely probable, that א when a consonant, was originally pronounced like our w, as Malkewth, which is regularly written מַלְכָּוֹף Malkewth: and so of others.

[†] There is a manifest anomaly in the first vowel of these words, which may be corrected thus: ፲፫ጵ or ፲፫ጵ, ፲፫ጵ or ፲፫ጵ, ፲፫ጵ or ፲፫ጵ. This last would approach the orthography of the Syrians; the other, that of the Arabs.

On the General Changes of the Vowels.

- 88. Could we suppose Hebrew words to have remained unaltered as to their original forms, or unvaried in pronunciation with reference to the syllable on which the accent is placed, we might also suppose that no variation would ever have taken place in the vowels. But the fact is, the forms of words vary, in order to express the different shades of meaning of which the root is capable; and from this, as well as other causes, the accented syllable will not always remain the same: and, consequently, as the pronunciation of the word thus varied must alter, the vowels accompanying it must vary likewise.
- 89. Hence it will appear, that two circumstances are to be attended to with reference to the change of the Hebrew vowels. One is, the etymology or form of the word; the other, the situation of the accent.
- 90. The form of the word can only be understood from rules hereafter to be detailed. The principles, however, upon which the etymology rests, may here be considered; and that will be sufficient at the present.
- 91. Any augment whatever prefixed to a word will not influence our present considerations, as the changes of the vowels do not depend upon this. We have now to do only with augments post-fixed to words; because it is upon these alone that such changes depend.
- 92. These augments, then, may be considered as of two sorts: one commencing with a vowel, and which may be termed Asyllabic; the other with a consonant, which may be termed Syllabic.*
- 93. We can readily perceive, that, upon any Asyllabic augment being postfixed to a word, the terminating consonant of such word must be taken in order to enounce it, otherwise it will remain silent; it being contrary to the laws of syllabication for any vowel to begin a syllable (Art. 31.). If, then, we attach the asyllabic augment \Box , which is the mark of the plural number masculine, to any word, such as \Box a people, or \Box pure, we must necessarily take the last letter of either of these words to enounce it: and, if

^{*} The reason of these terms is obvious: a vowel cannot commence a syllable in Hebrew; hence augments thus commencing are termed Asyllabic: those commencing with a consonant are, for a similar reason, termed Syllabic.

the word have by analogy but one such terminating letter, then must the preceding syllable necessarily be perfect; but, if not, imperfect; e. g. אַבְּי pure, (root אַבְּי, the אוֹ being rejected by Art. 72.) adding בּי, we have בְּיִבְּי Bā-rim, pure ones, &c. But, taking אַ a people, which is derived from the root בַּיבְּי, (one of the radicals being rejected by Art. 77, we have בַּיבִי, and adding בּי, we shall have בַּיבִי Ham-mim, peoples. It will entirely depend, therefore, upon the analogy of the word, whether the preceding vowel is to be perfect or not, due regard being had to the laws of syllabication.

- 94. In the next place, if our augment is Syllabic, as \(\mathbb{P}_i\), (which is one of the pronominal affixes signifying your, and carrying the accent with it,) as Hebrew words generally end in a consonant, the preceding vowel, in such case, must necessarily be imperfect; because, both the vowel will be without an accent, and it will be followed by a consonant: e. g. \(\mathbb{P}_i \) \(\mathbb{P}_i \)
- 95. Hence it will appear, that a perfect vowel will occasionally become imperfect; and, vice versa, an imperfect one, perfect: i. e. in other words, vowels will be changed for their homogeneous perfect or imperfect ones, as the rules of syllabication shall require.
- 96. This correspondence however in the vowels is of two sorts, Direct, and Oblique.
- 1. The direct correspondence is that of the perfect with the imperfect vowels, respectively, as (+) with (-), (-) with (-), &c. as given in the Table (Art 29.).
- 2. The oblique correspondence is that of vowels, in some respects, dissimilar; as, (*) or (-) with (") or ("); (") or (") with (') or ('); and (§) with (s).
- 97. Generally, therefore, when any change of the vowels must take place in order to comply with the laws of etymology and syllabication, those vowels which are either directly or obliquely homogeneous with their primitives, will be taken: the directly homogeneous ones for the most part; the other cases may be considered as exceptions to be learned by usage.
- 98. This change of the vowels, moreover, will occasionally affect the penultimate syllable of a word, as well as the ultimate. Let us now consider by what laws the change will be regulated in this case.
- 99. Generally, when the penultimate syllable ends in a perfect mutable vowel, that vowel will be rejected and its place be supplied

either by Shěvá or one of its substitutes, according to rules hereafter to be given; but, if that vowel be immutable,* it will remain unaltered: e. g. affixing בּוֹלְתָּיִם a word, we shall have בּוֹלְתָּיִם Děvā-rím, words: but, attaching it to פּוֹלְתָּיִם visiting, where the penultimate vowel is immutable by analogy, we have בּוֹלְתָּיִם persons visiting.

100. In like manner, if the penultimate syllable end in a consonant, and have no accent, it will necessarily remain immutable, whatever be the affix: as, בַּיְקְדְישִׁכֶּם a sanctifier, בַיְקְדִּישִׁכֶּם or בַּיְקְדִּישִׁכָּם.

101. In all cases, in which the penultimate is immutable either by analogy or position, the ultimate vowel, if mutable by analogy, will be rejected: but, when both are immutable, no change will take place in the vowels, whatever be the affix. Examples of the first case, בְּבְּוֹעְכֵם מְפֵּרִלִּים a person visited, בְּבִּוֹעִים of the second, בַּבְּוֹעִים בּּבִּיעִים בּיִבְּיִים.

102. The changes to which the vowels are liable from the accidence of the Grammar, can affect no vowel beyond the penultimate: because, first, the prefixing of any augment whatever to a word does not affect its vowels (Art. 91); and, secondly, as no syllable beyond the penultimate can be affected by the toneaccent, neither can it by any augment affixed to such word: the syllables thus situated will therefore remain undisturbed by grammatical accidence.

103. The reason for abridging words, either in the ultimate, or penultimate, syllable (for both seldom occur together) when any augment is suffixed, seems to be this: Were words thus to be augmented in addition to their own primitive vowels, they would become inconveniently long. And, on the other hand, as those vowels, which have been termed *immutable*, constitute the distinctive character of the words in which they are found, perspicuity forbids that any change should take place in them.

On the Use of Shevá and its Substitutes.

104. Having laid down the general laws relating to the changes of the vowels, we now proceed to notice a few affecting the use of Shěvá and its Substitutes. To enter into all the minutiæ

^{*} It will be shewn hereafter, in what cases this takes place.

usually brought forward about these points, would neither be necessary nor agreeable to the student: the length and subtilty of the enquiry would not only be tedious and embarrassing, but when brought to a close, would probably leave him in possession of less practical knowledge on the subject, than the use of tables and his lexicon would supply. We shall therefore be brief, contenting ourselves with generals, and noticing a few anomalies as we proceed.

- 105. Shevá (:) may be either initial or final (Art. 40.). It will be initial at the commencement of any syllable, whether that be at the beginning or in the middle of a word; final when at the end of any syllable.
- 106. By grammatical accidence, however, two Shěvás, or Shěvá with a Substitute of Shěvá, may concur as initials: but, as no one of them can stand for a vowel and so constitute a syllable when in conjunction with any consonant, some change must necessarily take place. In this case, the first Shěvá, or Substitute for Shěvá, will be changed into some imperfect vowel.
- 2. One or other of the Substitutes of Shěvá may coucur with Shěvá or with another Substitute of Shěvá, either in the beginning or in the middle of a word, the change will then be into the imperfect vowel homogeneous with the substitute; e. g. אַבְּישֵׁי for אַבְּישֵׁי he is turned over. So, in the middle, for אַבְּיבִין they stand. There are, however, many exceptions: as, אַבּיבִין it is made, or done, masc., but fem. אַבְּיבִין אַבּיבִין אַבּיבִין אַבּיבִין אַבּיבִין אַבּיבִין אַבְּיבִין אַבְּיבִין אַבּיבִין אָבָּיבִין אָבָּיבִין אַבְּיבִין אָבִיבְּיבִין אָבִיבִין אָבִיבְיבִין אַבְּיבִין אָבִיבְיבִין אָבִיבְיבִין אָבִיבְיבִין אָבִיבִין אָבִיבִין אָבִיבְיבִין אָבִיבִין אַבְּיבִין אַבְּיבִין אָבִיבְיבִין אַבְּיבִין אַבְּיבִין אָבִין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבִין אָבִין אָבָּיבִין אַבְּיבִין אַבְּיבִין אָבִין אַבְּיבִין אַבְּיבִין אָבִיין אָבִין אַבְּיבִין אַבְיבִין אָבִיין אָבִין אָבִין אָבִין אַבּיין אָבִין אָבִיין אָבְייין אָבִיין אָבִין אָבִין אָבִין אָבִיין אָבְייִין אָבִין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבִין אָבִין אָבִין אָבִין אָבִין אָבִין אָבִין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבְיין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָבִיין אָביין אָבִיין אָביין אָּבִיין אָביין אָביין אָביין אָּבִּיין אָביין אָביין אָביין אָּיין אָביין אָביין אָביין אָּביין אָביין אָביין אָּביין אָביין אָ
- 3. But as the Substitutes of Shěvá are various, it may be necessary here to state in what cases either of them is generally preferred; because, upon this the operation of the foregoing rule will depend.

^{*} This is regularly the case in the first person singular of the species Kal, Niphāl, and Pīhēl, when no guttural letter follows.

- 4. Generally, when one of the guttural letters commences a syllable, whether in the beginning or in the middle of a word, and ought by analogy to take (:) Sheva; Khātéph Páthakh (-:) is mostly taken in its place: e. g. 1. At the beginning of words: מַּבְּינְהַ their father; בְּבִּינְהַ your brother; אַבּינְהַ who, which; שִּבְּינִהְ their father; בּבְּינְהָ your brother; אוֹלָ this redeemer; קּבְּינְהָ fear ye; אוֹלְבְּיִלְּהָ she proceeded.
- 5. There is, however, a considerable number of exceptions to this rule:* and, 1st, Several monosyllables with their compounds commencing with & will take (...) Khātéph Sēgól in the place of Shevá; as אָלִימֵלֵּדְ Elīmélek, a proper name. 2dly, Infinitives and Imperatives of the conjugation Kal, when commencing with א: as, אַכְוֹל eating, or eat thou; אַכְוֹל saying, or say thou. 3dly, The characteristic 7 of the Hiphhil species, in verbs having the middle radical letter quiescent, and receiving some augment: as, בְּמִיתָם he restored him or it; הַמִיתָם he put them to death. The first person singular mostly follows the general rule, and takes (-:). 4thly, In a few words (::) is found with y at the beginning of a word: as, עֵנוֹת strength; עֵנוֹת a pestil; עֵנוֹת a pestil; affliction; TTY arrayed; TY respond ye. 6thly, Khātéph Sēgól (::) is also found in the middle of a word: as, אַהָּלָה towards the tent; אחזה they take hold of thee; מחזה and they become inflamed; she conceived me. 7thly. In the following we have (ד:) similarly situated: אַנְקְמָה I rest; יוֹשִׁרָהָן thou (fem.) didst bribe. And, 8thly, Generally, whenever a mutable has been rejected, its place may be supplied by (7:) when commencing a word, and frequently when in the middle, whatever be the accompanying consonant: as, בְּדֶשִׁים months, from מוֹנָשׁים a month; from בְּדָשִׁים holiness, &c. And, 9thly, Some cases occur in which one or other of these Substitutes is found with a non-guttural letter, and when analogy does not seem to require it: e. g. בְּקְרֵה gold, Gen. ii. 12; she was taken, ib. ver. 23; and a few others, which may perhaps be attributed to the mistakes of the copyists.
- 107. Any guttural letter, originally commencing a word, and having a substitute of Shěvá in its own right, by Art. 106. 4. upon being preceded by some particle with Shěvá, but which by Art. 106. 1. must become an imperfect vowel, will require that such

^{*} See also Art. 46.

imperfect vowel be homogeneous with that in the Substitute: e. g. אָבָי making, &c. prefixing אָרָ, אָרָ, אָרָ, &c. which are the personal preformatives of the present tense, we shall have אַבְעָבִי he makes; אַבְּעָבִי she makes; אָבָי אָבָי אָרָ אָרָ, אָרָ, אָרָ, אַרָּ אַבָּר הַעָּבִי for בַּעַבְּר הַּנְעָבִי in making; בְּעַבְר ,בַּעָבְר ,בַּעָבְר ,בַּעָבְר ,בַּעָבְר ,בַּעָבְר ,בַּעָבְר ,בַּעָבְר ,בַּעָבְר ,בַּעָבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַב ,בּעַבּר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַב ,בּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַבְר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּער ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּעַר ,בּער ,בַּעַר ,בּעַר ,בַּער ,בַּער ,בַּער ,בַּעַר ,בַּער ,בַּער

There are, however, some exceptions, as in the first persons singular of some of the species of conjugation, &c.; as, אַנְאָיֵא I make, &c., which seems to be derived from אָנָא as before; all of which, however, will be found in the dictionaries.

108. There are also other substitutions made for the mark Shëvá, which may be termed Euphonic. These take place, for the most part, at the end of words where two Shëvás concurring, by analogy, would introduce some difficulty into the pronunciation.

Particular Rules for the insertion of DAGESH.

^{*} In a few instances, however, it is found in 7 and 8; as in 1 Sam. x. 24; xvii. 25; Gen. xliii. 26; Ezek. xvi. 4; Job xxxiii. 21, &c.

its insertion, either the preceding vowel is made perfect, by way of compensation, as אַבְּיבָּים Bā-rék, instead of אַבְּיבָים Bar-rék, in which case it is said to be expressed; or, it is only considered as being so, as, אַבְּיבִיים měrā-khé-pheth, instead of אַבְּיבִיים for אַבְּיבִים (Art. 43. note); here Dāgésh is said to be implied.

- 110. Generally, $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$ is to be inscribed in any one of the letters $D\bar{c}g$, whenever such letter begins a syllable; provided the preceding syllable do not end in a perfect vowel, or in one of the letters $D\bar{c}g$ in a quiescent state, or in one of the Substitutes of $Sh\bar{e}v\acute{a}$; for then it will not be inscribed in any of these letters. The exceptions are as follows:—
- 1. Should the preceding vowel be perfect, or the syllable end in one of the quiescent letters אָרָוֹלְּאָלָ, still, if two of the רַּבְּרַבְּיבָּע first will receive Dāgésh; e. g. בְּיִבְּיִּבְּא first will receive Dāgésh; e. g. בְּיִבְּא דְּרָהְיִּא first will receive Dāgésh; e. g. בְּיִבְּא דְּרָהְא first will receive Dāgésh; e. g. בְּיִבְּא דְּרָהְא first will receive Dāgésh; e. g. בְּיִבְּא דְּרָהְא first will receive Dāgésh; e. g. בְּיִבְּא first will receive Dāgésh will also be inscribed, should such perfect vowel, or quiescent letter preceding, have a distinctive accent; or, should the preceding word have any accent on the penultimate. A few exceptions are found when the preceding accent is conjunctive.†
- 111. In the next place, when any one of the בְּבַרְבָּלֵּם letters occurs, not being at the beginning of a word, but following a final

^{*} Some, however, read this passage without Dāgésh.

⁺ Exod. xv. 11, 16. Isa. liv. 12. Jer. xx. 9. Dan. iii. 3; v. 11. Ps. xxxv. 10. On the conjunctive and distinctive character of the accents, see the last lecture in this work.

Sheva (:), it will regularly receive the point Dāgésh; as, 무기가 Pa-kâd-tā, 무기가 Pa-kâdt, except in the following cases.

- 1. When this (:) stands in the place originally occupied by either (י) or (י), Dāgésh will not be inscribed in the בַּבְּבְּבְּׁבּ letter following: e. g. אַבְּבְּבְּיִּ kid-vā-ré-kā, not אַבְּבָּבְּ kid-bā-ré-kā: the primitive form of which is בְּבְּבְ dā-vár. So בִּבְבַ הָ Lē-váv, from אַבָּב בּ בּ Lē-váv.
- 2. After an initial Shěvá (:) which would be pronounced, but which becomes quiescent upon some particle being prefixed, (Art. 41.) Dāgėsh will not be inscribed : as, בְּבִרְּבָּל הַ Gěvúl, and, prefixing בַּבְרִיּל Lig-vúl, not Lig-búl. So with בַּבִרי a vesture, בַּבִּרִים weeping, בְּבִרִים a he-goat, &c. as singulars, and בַּבִּרִים words, בַּבִּרִים sepulchres, &c. as plurals. Infinitives and Imperatives of the form בַּבִּרְיִב or בְּבִּרִים with any augment, will also be excepted; as, בַּבְרִים Rod-phó, his persecuting, בּבִרְיִבּיּב thou down, &c. where the last radical letter is one of the letters.
- 3. After a final Shevá (:) following an imperfect vowel which has arisen out of some one of the substitutes of Shevá (Art. 106. 2. &c.); e. g. אַרָּיִיבְייִ Nā-ḥam-dá, not אַרָּיִיבָּי, Isa. l. 8.

But if such Shevá itself have arisen out of one of these substitutes, Dāgésh will be inscribed; as, YEML Yakh-pôts, Deut. xxv. 7.

- 4. The affixed pronouns אָר, בּבֶּי, never receive Dāgésh lene: the letters בְּבִּיְבְּפָּת preceding אָר, as, בּבְּיִבְּיּת Mal-kúth, עַּבְּדָּרָת Hav-dúth, בַּבְּיִרְּתְּל Yal-dúth. So also בְּבִּיִּרָה, and בְּבִּיְרָת Weg-dá only, Ps. cxvi. 14;* בּבְּיִר Big-dó, 2 Kings ix. 13, &c., to which a few others might be added.
- 112. Dāgésh, in its capacity of reduplicating certain letters, is sometimes found at the beginning of words; as, מַבְּיבָּי pronounced Maz-zé, מְשָׁה לֵּאמֹה Mō-shel Lē-mór, &c., in which case it is purely euphonic. So also in מִּבְּיבִּ Mik-kĕdásh, for מִבְּיבִ Mik-dásh, Exod. xv. 17; מְבִּיבְיּ Vá-ek-kĕré-hā, for בָּבִּיבָ, &c.
- 113. And, on the contrary, there are a few instances, particularly in verbs having one of the liquids either for the second, or the two last radicals, in which every letter is written at length; as, בָּלִלוּ Tsil-ló, Job xl. 21; בְּלֵבִי Khon-né-nī, Ps. ix. 14; not בִּלוֹים or

^{*} Which, however, Ib. ver. 18, has Dagesh, הַנְּבָּדָה.

: and others in which it is omitted, contrary to analogy; as, מְלָּבֶּי for הַלְּלֶּר she sent; הַלְּלֶר praise ye; בְּלָר praise ye; בְּלָר הַקְּקָר, Exod. v. 14, &c.

וול (rather from אָבִיּבְיּבְּי, Arab. בבּבּע, Gen. xxix. 6; אוֹרָבְיּבְּיבּ thorns, from בְּבָּרָבּ, 2 Sam. xxiv. 22. In the same manner, אוֹרָבּ מּ wine-press; אוֹרָ בּ consternation; אוֹרְבּ measure; אוֹרָבּ בּירָבּ circuitous; אוֹרָבּ בּירָבּ וּשׁרַ וּשִׁרְבּ בְּירַבּ בּירָבּ וּשׁרָבּ בּירָבּ וּשׁרָבּ בּירָבּ וּשׁרָבּ בּירָבּ וּשׁרָבּ בּירָבּ וּשׁרָבּ בּירָבּ בּירָבְּיבּ בּירָבּ בּירָבּי בּירָבּ בּירָב ביירָב בּירָב בּירְבּי בּירָב בּירָב בּירְבּי בּירְיייי בּירָב בּירְבּ

LECTURE IV.

ON THE GENERAL USE AND SITUATION OF THE ACCENTS.

- 115. OF the origin and use of these accents very little can now be said with any degree of certainty. Much labour and learning, indeed, have been bestowed on their investigation; but, after all, the conclusions arrived at are beset with so much uncertainty, that some of the best Grammarians both Jewish and Christian have confessed that they knew but little on the subject.* We must, therefore, be content with the common rules respecting them, and here, with those only which are generally found to interfere with the vowels of the text.
- 116. These accents (Art. 60.) are said to have two offices; the one *Tonic*, that is, having the power to moderate the tone of voice in which any portion of the Scripture is to be read; the other *Euphonic*, that is, when added either for the purpose of giving a kind of secondary accent to words, or of regulating the syllables. It seems most likely, however, that they are added generally, for the purpose of filling up the system, as to perfect or imperfect vowels, and of obviating a great number of anomalies, which would otherwise occur.

On the Tonic Accent.

117. The Tonic accent may be any one of those found in the table (Art. 59.): and it will always be found either expressed, or implied,† on the penultimate or ultimate syllable of every word. When on the penultimate, the word is said to be אַלְבֶּעְ Milhél; when on the ultimate, the word is said to be אַלְבֶעְע Milráh. The following are the general rules for its insertion.

All words the penultimate vowel of which is imperfect and has not a consonant immediately following it, will have the Tonic

^{*} See my reply to Dr. Laurence, entitled, "A Vindication of certain Strictures," &c. Cambridge, 1822, p. 17, &c.

⁺ That is, if the accent be one of those not placed on the regularly accentuated syllable, it must be considered as giving emphasis either to the penultimate or ultimate, according to the analogy of the word.

accent on that syllable. Of this kind are all duals, and segolate nouns; nouns having the feminine affix ז (thy), attached to dual or plural forms; and all nouns having terminations peculiar to the segolate forms: as, בּוֹלֵי both hands, Gen. xxvii. 22; אַרְבֵּי hunting; אַרָּבָּי silver; אַרְבָּי thy eyes (fem.), Cant. i. 15; אַרְבָּי thy appearances, Ib. ii. 14; אַרְבָּי a rose; אַרְבָּיִר perfumed with incense, Ib. iii. 6, &c.

The reason is obvious; the penultimate syllable is in all these cases imperfect, the addition of the accent is, therefore, necessary for the purposes of syllabication (Art. 33. 43.).

Segolate nouns having the penultimate vowel perfect, will, on account of their peculiar character, retain the accent on that syllable: as אַרָה concealment; אַרָה (for אַרָה) death; אַרָה (for אַרָה) emptiness (See Art. 43. note). Also proper names ending in אַרָּה בּאַר Zedekiah.

- 3. Verbs terminating (i. e. in the process of conjugation) in the pronominal syllables אָר, יִּח, חֹם, and חֹם; as אַבְּאָרְיִדְּיּ thou art willing, Ps. xl. 7; אָרָבּאָרְיִרָּ I have announced (good tidings), Ib. v. 10; we have dealt falsely, Ps. xliv. 18; אַרְבּאָרְיִרָּה they (fem.) shall come, Ps. xlv. 16.
 - 4. Asyllabic afformatives are subject to the same rule, in the

^{*} These cases ought, regularly, to be pointed, \(\bar{\gamma} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\gamma} \bar{\gamma} \), i. e. with (\(\alpha \)) with the second syllable. The Jews however seem to have pointed verbs with (-), for the purpose of distinguishing them from nouns; as, \(\bar{\gamma} \bar{\ga

conjugation Hiphhil: as, הוֹחְילִי hope thou (fem.), Ps. xlii. 5; הוֹשְׁינְהוֹ it (fem.) brought salvation, Ps. xliv. 4. Also in the surd and concave verbs: as, אבֹין surround ye, Ps. xlvii. 13; אבֹין they move, Ps. xlvi. 7; likewise when these affixes are joined to the third person singular and plural of the preterite of verbs: as, אבֹין הִי וֹיִי אַרְיִינִי it (fem.) hath overwhelmed me, Ps. lxix. 3; אבֹרְרִינִי she hath loved thee, Ruth iv. 15; אבּרִרִינִי they surrounded me, Ps. xviii. 6.*

5. When the paragogic הוא is added to nouns, pronouns, or particles, it exercises no influence on the accent, for the most part. In such cases, therefore, the accent will be in the penultima: as, בּוֹלֶבְיּה Ephratah, Gen. xxxv. 16, &c.

118. The tonic accent will have its place on the last syllable of words, in the remaining cases, which are then termed מֵלְרָע Milrah, and are as follows:—

1. All words ending with a consonant preceded by a perfect vowel by analogy: as, אַבְּיִם great; אַבְּיִם luminary; בַּבְיִם sons; daughters. The same is the case when any of the אָבִים a great; מַבְּיִם a year; מַבְּיִבָּיִם a pillar: and even ד preceded by (י), as, דְּבִיּיִם he is, &c.

2. All words ending in one of the grave affixes: as, דַּמְבֶּר your blood; בְּיִהֶם their father; עַרִיהָם ye shall be, Gen. iii. 5.

3. Verbs having no affixed pronoun: as, אוֹלָיָה he took; אוֹלָיִה he is called.

4. Verbs taking the asyllabic afformatives אָרָ, אָ, and '.: as, אַרְּיִּשְׁי it is quiet; יְדְיִּיְ they visited; אַרְּיִּרְ hide thyself (fem.); and finally, all words not comprehended in any of the preceding rules (i. e. Art. 117.).

On certain Anomalies as to the situation of the Accent, and on the changes effected by it on the vowels and consonants of words.

119. These generally take place, when the illative particle 1+ is prefixed to verbs, in which case, 1st, the Tonic accent which is

^{*} These particulars will hereafter be illustrated by tables.

⁺ Usually termed Vaw conversivum, but which corresponds to the Arabic

or 9, therefore, &c.

- 3. In the next place, the accent will be removed from the ultimate to the penultimate syllable of the present tense, when the illative particle is prefixed, and when the form of the verb will allow of the change: e. g. אַרָּבְּיִי (for אַרְבָּיִי) so he is visited. But in the third person plural masc. it will keep its place: as, אַרְבִּיִּי (from אַרְבִּיִּבְיִי). The same holds good also in the form אָרִבְּיִי : as, אַרְבִּיִּבְיִי so he visits.

Corollary. Hence it will follow, that the accent being removed from the last syllable, the ultimate vowel must necessarily become imperfect (Art. 33.): as, אַבֶּר and he was struck (for אָבֶר (for אָבֶר)); and he said; אַבֶּר and he died (for אַבְּר); or יְבְּיִרוּף,; and so of others.

In one instance, however, we have אָרֶהְיָ (for אָרֶהְ, root מָרֶה) and she broke, Judg. ix. 53.

- 5. When, however, the last letter of the root happens to be one of the אָהָהָיּן letters in a state of quiescence, the perfect vowel will occasionally remain: as, אַבָּיִן and he brings, Gen. iv. 3.
- 6. In many cases, also, this removal of the accent is altogether neglected: as, and so I sit, 1 Kings viii. 20, &c.
- 7. In consequence of this removal of the accent, the terminating vowel of the present tense of verbs is changed (No. 3. above), and entirely rejected with the consonant following, when that is quiescent: e. g. בַּבְּלֵד for בַּבְּלֵד (Art. 74.); and, by 108, the former will be בַּבָּל, which is termed Apocope.

- 10. Examples of imperatives subject to Apocope: אַ reveal thou (for בּּיִי in Pīḥet); אַ smite thou (for בּּיִי אָם), Amos ix. 1; בּיִינִי in Hiphhil. Here the form assumed is that of a segolate noun, the first vowel becoming (יי), in order to accommodate itself to the sound of the second, Art. 108.), Ps. li. 4.

- So הַּעְּלֵהְ cause thou to ascend (for הַּעְלֵהְ), Exod. xxxiii. 12; הַרְחָלֶּלְ feign thyself (to be) sick (for הָהָחַלֶּל, 2 Sam. xiii. 5.
- 11. It frequently happens in verbs ending with a radical תונים (for ') and receiving some asyllabic augment, that not only is the accent drawn back, as in the cases above mentioned (No. 8.), but the original radical letter also appears: as, תְיֵבְיוֹ it (fem.) hath trusted (for תְבְיִבְיוֹ according to the general paradigma, from the root תְבִיבְיוֹ for 'בְיִבְיוֹ, Ps. lvii. 2. So יִבְיבִי they are tranquil (for יִבְיבִי for 'בְיבִי whence regularly יִבְּיבִי), Ps. cxxii. 6, &c.; יִבְּיבִי let them come (for בַּבְּיבִי , root תְבִּיבִי let them come (for תְבִּיבִי , root תְבִיבִּי , Ps. lxviii. 32; יִבְּיבִי de principle (for תְבִּיבִי , Art. 73.), Jer. xii. 9.

- 2. Verbs, receiving any asyllabic augment, and on that account rejecting any of their primitive vowels, will, upon the removal of the accent by the above-mentioned rule, restore such rejected

^{*} These Imperatives are probably nothing more than primitive abstract nouns, termed Segolates, enounced with some energy.

⁺ The principles which regulate this retraction of the accent, and the consequent apocope, will be considered in the Syntax.

- 3. Should, however, this drawing back of the accent with the consequent change of the ultimate vowel tend to destroy or obscure the original form and signification of the word, no such change will take place: as, בְּלֵבְתוֹ לִבְּלֵּךְ the hidden things of the heart (not passing over the sea (not מָבֶרְ).
- 4. The accent will frequently fall on the penultimate instead of the ultimate syllable in words which conclude a sentence, or a member of a sentence. These accents are, for the most part, Sillúk, Athnákh; and, in the poetical books, Mercá with Mahpák (Art. 59.).
- 5. In many of these cases, the removal of the accent will occasion no change whatever in the vowels: as, בּלֹבּ (for יִבְּישׁבָּה (for יִבְּישׁבָּה) make bare, Ps. cxxxvii. 7; בְּלֹרּ (for יְבָּרֹרּ) they are consumed, Ps. xxxvii. 20, &c.
- 6. But, in those persons of the verb which are formed by some asyllabic augment, or have the paragogic ה, and in which the second vowel of the root has been rejected, the accent, taking that syllable, will restore such vowel: as, חַבְּרָלוּ (for אַבְּרָרְ from the root בְּבֶּלְי (for אָבֶּרְי (for אָבֶּלְי (for יבִּלְי (from יבְּי (from יבִּלְי (from יבְּי (from יבְי (from יבְּי (from יבְי (from יבְּי (from יבְּי (from יבְּי (from יבְי (from יבְּי (from יבְּי (from יבְּי (from יבְּי))) (from יבְּי (from יבְּי)) (from יבְּי (from יבְי)) (from יבְי) (from יבְי) (from יבְי)) (from יבְי) (from יבְי) (from יבְי) (
- 7. When the original final vowel is (-) it will generally become (י) when thus accompanying the accent: as, יבְּלֶּהְ she departed (from the root יבְּלֶהְ), Is. xlvi. 2. So יבּלֶהְ (from יבּלֶהְ (from אַבְּלָהְ) it was taken, 1 Sam. iv. 17; יבְּלֶהְ (from אִיבֶּלְה) and I sleep, Ps. iii. 6; יבָּלֶה (from יבִּלֶּה (from יבִּלֶּה (from יבִּלֶּה (from יבִּלֶּה (from יבִּלֶּה (from יבְּלֶה (from יבִּלֶּה (from יבְּלֶה (from יבְּלֶה (from יבְּלֶה (from יבְּלֶה (from יבְּלֶה (from יבְּלֶה (from יבִּלֶה (from יבְּלֶה), Is. xxix. 9.
 - 8. But, when a paragogic I follows the asyllabic augments I or

^{*} The Dagesh found here in the b has, perhaps, been introduced for the sake of euphony only, (Art. 112.)

- יִר, the accent usually retains its proper situation, while the second vowel of the root is restored as in the last article: as, יַלְיִלְּיִלְּיִ (from בְּיִלְיִין) they will collect; יְבִוּלְרוֹין) they will seconfounded; יִבְּוֹעְרוֹן (from יִבְּיִלְרוֹין) they will expire, Ps. civ. 28, 29.*
- 121. Segolate nouns of the form אַרָּי: derived from roots having for the third radical letter a quiescent אין, will, upon taking the pause accent in the penultimate, restore the original vowel to the first radical: as, אַרְיּ (for בְּיִר, from the primitive form בָּיִר, the half, 1 Kings x. 7; בְּיִר, from בְּיִר, from בְּיִר, (for בְּיִר, from בְּיִר, (for בְּיִר, from בּיִר, from בּיר, from בּיר, from בּיר, בּיר, from בּיר, from בּיר, from בּיר, from בּיר, from בּיר, בּיר, from בּ
- 122. Apocopated present tenses of verbs having '- in the place of the third radical letter און ליידי (י' ווידי for the vowel of the personal preformative, when so circumstanced as to receive the accent proper for the pause on that syllable: as, בְּיִבִי (for בְּיִבִּי from בְּיִבִּי (for בְּיִבִּי from בְּיִבִּי (for בְּיִבִּי from בְּיִבִּי (for בְּיִבִּי from בְּיִבְּי (for בְּיִבְי from בְּיִבְּי (for בְּיִבְי from בְּיִבְי (for בְּיִבְי from בְּיִבְי (for בְּיִבְי from בְּיִבְי (for בְּיִבְי from בְּיבִּי (for בְּיִבְי from בְּיבִּי (for בְּיבִי from בּיִבְי (for בְּיבִי from בּיבִּי (for בְּיבִי from בּיבִי (for בְּיבִי from בּיבִי from בּיבִי (for בְּיבִי from בּיבִי from בּיבִי (for בְּיבִי from בּיבִי from בּיבִי בּיבִי from בּיבִי בּיבִי from בּיבי from בּיבי (for בּיבי from בּיביי בּיבי בּיביי בּיבי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי (for בְּיבִיבְי from בּיביי בּיבייי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיבייי בּיביי בּיביי בּיבייי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיבייי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיביי בּיבייי בּיביי בּיבייי בּיביי בּיבייי בּיביי בּיבייי בּיבייי בּיבייי בּיבייי בּיבי
- 123. When any word with the affixed pronoun Thappens to be the last word of a sentence, &c., so that the accent proper for the pause will fall upon its penultimate vowel, two methods have been invented for the purpose of avoiding any disagreeable concurrence which may happen in the vowels.
- 1. Instead of (:) which is proper for affixing this pronoun, as will be seen hereafter, (י') is introduced as a vowel of union: as אַבֶּילְיִין (for אַבְיִין (for אַבְייִן (for אַבְּיִין (for אַבְּיִין (for אַבְייִן (for אַבְּיִין (for אַבְייִן (for אַבְייִן (for אַבְייִין (for אַבְּיִין (for אַבְּייִן (for אַבְייִר אָבְייִין (for אַבְּייִן (for אַבְייִן (for אַבְּייִן (for אַבְייִן (for אַבְייִן (for אַבְּייִן (for אַבְייִין (for אָבִיין (for אָבְייִין))) אווייין (for אָבִיין (for אָבִיין (for אָבִיין))) אַבּייַין (for אָבִיין (for אָבִיין))) אווייין (for אָבִיין)) אַבּיין (for אָבִיין)) אַבְּייִין (for אָבִיין)) אַבְייִין (for אָבִיין)) אַבּייִין (for אָבִיין)) אַבּייַין (for אַבְּיִין)) אַבְּייִין) אַבְּייִין (for אַבְּייִין)) אַבּיין (for אַבִּייִין)) אַבּיין) אַבּייין (for אַבְייִין)) אַבּייין (for אַבְייִין)) אַבּייין) אַבּיין (for אַבִּייִין) אַבּייִין) אַבּייין) אַבּייין (for אַבְייִין) אַבְּייִין) אַבְּייִין) אַבּייין אַבְייין אַבְּייין אַבְייִין)
- 2. The vowel proper for this pronoun is occasionally transposed: as, אָרָהְיָשְׁיִתְ (for אַרְהָּיִּהְ) thy destruction, Deut. xxviii. 24; אַרָּהְאָּהָ (for אַרָּהָּהָ) he hath adorned thee, Is. lv. 5; אָרָהְ (for אַרָּאָר) he hath commanded thee, I Sam. xiii. 13.
- 3. The particles 지원, 고기, 크, and ?, having 키 affixed to them and receiving a pause-accent, are always subject to this rule: 키디와 thee, Deut. xxviii. 48; 키디와 with thee, Gen. viii. 17; 키큐와 with thee, Gen. xxix. 25; 키큐 in thee, Ps. ix. 3; 키큐 to, for, or of, thee, Exod. xxxii. 34, &c., for 키디와, 키큐와, 키큐와, 키큐, 키큐, &c.
 - 4. The pronoun 7 is subject to this rule even when not in

^{*} The influence and use of this adjunct will be considered in the Syntax.

the situation for receiving a pause-accent: as, 키가 he answered thee, Jer. xxiii. 37; for 키가.

- 6. There are however certain exceptions: as, 기구함 * he laughed, Gen. xvii. 17; 기간 prey, Ib. xlix. 27; 가함 they shall be set on fire, Is. xxxiii. 12; 귀한 thou hast spoken, Ib. xxxix. 8; 기가함 security, Prov. i. 33; 기가와 a nurse, Ruth iv. 16. But these may be errors of the copyists.
- 7. The pause-accent will sometimes change a terminating (") into (-): as, 되었는 for 되었는 he shall go, Job xxvii. 21; 그렇지 return, restore, for 그렇지, Is. xlii. 22; 기구주 (for 기구주) tarry not all night, Jud. xix. 20.
- 124. Makkáph following a terminating perfect and mutable vowel which precedes a consonant, will change the vowel into its corresponding imperfect one: as, אָרִריָּלְיִ (for אָּרִילְּלִים (for אָּרִילְּלִים) all kings; אָרִילְּלִים (for אָרִילְלִים) the people, &c.
- 2. But if such final vowel be immutable, no change can take place: as, אַרְאָרָאָרָי, to, or for, another man, Jer. iii. 1; אַרְיּהְבָּרְיחֹא the sign of the covenant, Gen. ix. 12. The reason of this is; the addition of Makkáph deprives the word to which it is attached of its tonic accent, and this makes it necessary that the preceding vowel be imperfect, when that is possible (Art. 33.).

On the Use and Situation of the Euphonic Accent.

125. It has already been remarked, that the Euphonic accent, Métheg, may be considered as supplying a secondary accentuation (Art. 64. 5.), with reference to some tonic accent preceding it. Monosyllables, therefore, can never have an Euphonic accent, unless indeed they happen to precede Makkaph; but, in that case, they are considered as making an integral part of a compound word, and may receive the Euphonic accent according to rules presently to be laid down. Dissyllables may receive an Euphonic

^{*} In some editions PULY.

accent; but these syllables must have a final (:) Shevá intervening: as, This he shall be, &c.

Rules for the Insertion of the Euphonic Accent.

- 126. The third syllable (not ending with a consonant) of any word, reckoning inclusively from the tonic accent, will have the Euphonic accent Métheg; as, אַרְהָילִין the one, Gen. ii. 11; אַרְהִילִּין which proceedeth, Ib. v. 14; אַרְהָילִין I shall possess it, Ib. xv. 8; from our father, Ib. xix. 32; אַרְהָילִין according to their tongues, Ib. x. 20; אַרְהִילִין and from thy kindred, Ib. xii. 1.
- 127. But if this third syllable end in a consonant, the Euphonic accent will be with the fourth: as, מְלֵבְילֵב and of their fat, Gen. iv. 4; מוֹלְבְּלְב and I remain, 1 Kings xix. 10 (where , being doubled by Dāgésh, concludes the syllable; as, אוֹנְאָלָבוּיל.).
- 2. Perfect vowels preceding Shěvá, and having no tonic accent, will, if occupying the third place from any tonic accent, (reckoning the Shěvá), receive an euphonic one: as, אַרָּהָי it was, Gen. i. 2; thou (fem.) shalt bring forth, Ib. iii. 16; אַרָּהָר Nineveh, Ib. x. 11; אַרְּבָּרָה generations, Ib. ii. 4; אַרְּבָּרָה he shall bruise thee, Ib. iii. 15.
- 3. In many instances the Euphonic accent is omitted; nor is it necessary it should ever be added, if we except one case, viz. when the figure of (τ) $K\bar{a}m\acute{e}ts$ precedes $Sh\check{e}v\acute{a}$, for then this vowel will be either \bar{a} or o, just as the accent is added or not: as, $\exists p \exists r \ she\ was\ wise;$ or, $\exists r \exists r \ wisdom\ (Art. 55.)$. In every other case, no difficulty can arise, whether the accent is added or not.
- 4. In some cases, however, the Euphonic accent seems to mark the substitution of an imperfect for a perfect vowel: as, אַבְּיִרְאָּ (for אַבְּיִרְאָּלְּ thy border, Exod. xxiii. 31; אַבְּיִרְאָּ for אַבְּיִרְאָּ and they shall fear, Mic. vii. 17; where it is necessary for the completion of the syllable (Art. 33.). It is, nevertheless, frequently omitted, and must be supplied by the reader, particularly before an implied Dāgésh (Art. 109), &c.
- 5. The letter with Shūrék prefixed to a word, and situated as above, with respect to the tonic accent, will sometimes be found with the Euphonic accent, and followed by one of the substitutes of Shěvá where (:) would be regular: as, אוֹרָבְּיִלְשׁיִי and lead thou captive, Jud. v. 12; מוֹר and be thou sought, Ezek. xxvi. 21.

- 128. Words consisting of more than two syllables, the first of which is terminated by Dāgésh, will receive the Euphonic accent on that syllable: as, מַבְּבָּיִרָּע and they heard, Gen. iii. 8; מְבַּבְּיִרָּע on the morrow, Ib. xix. 34; הַלֵּבְּבָּיִר the knife, Ib. xxii. 6.*
- 2. This will also hold good when the Dāgésh is omitted: as, אַרְבְּשָׁבְּיֵלְ and he felt him, Ib. xxvii. 22; אוֹבְּבְּעָבְיִ mhich covereth, Exod. xxix. 13, &c. In all these cases Shĕvá is initial; and, consequently, any one of the letters אָבְּרַבְּּבְּּלָּ, which may happen to follow, will retain its aspiration: as, בַּרַבְּעַבְיִם the abhorrers, Mic. iii. 9. Hence אַבְּיִבְיִּבְּיִּלְ is to be pronounced Hālĕlú, not Hallú. Something of the same kind is observable in the words אַבְּיִבְּיִּבְּ and אַבְּיִבְּיִבְּיִן just cited (Art. 127. 4.)
- 129. When any one of the substitutes of Shěvá happens to be preceded by a vowel, that vowel will receive the Euphonic accent: as, אַרָּאָלָן let us make, Gen. i. 26; אַרָּאָלָן faithful, Numb. xii. 7; אָרָאָלָן his tent, Gen. xiii. 3; אַרָּאָלָן the ground, Ib. i. 25; בּיִאָיִלְּיִ crying out (pl.), Ib. iv. 10; אָרָאָלָן and I would have dismissed thee, Ib. xxxi. 27.
- 2. When the substitute of Shěvá, moreover, is resolved into its homogeneous imperfect vowel (Art. 106. 2.), the Euphonic accent will still remain: as, אַנְיֵלְיִלָּיִ thy sandal, Isa. xx. 2; זְלֵילִין and they shall fear, Hos. xi. 10.
- 131. On some occasions, other accents are found to occupy the situation of Métheg. These are, ¬ Mūnákh, ` Kadmá, ¬ Mercá: as, מְלֵבְילִי and the pieces of wood, Gen. xxii. 7; מלְבִילֵּי and Aaron, Exod. vii. 7; מוֹלְבִילֵּי and the priest, Levit. vii. 8; לְבִּילְבִילֵּי

^{*} The Student must not be surprised, if he finds the different editions of the Bible vary on these points.

Malchiel, Numb. xxvi. 45. In these cases we have Mūnákh or Kadmá in the place of Métheg, followed by Zāképh Kātón. In אַלְבֹיל so they proceed, Numb. xxii. 7, Kadmá is followed by Géresh; and, Ib. xxxii. 39, we have it again, coupled with Métheg, in some editions: as, אַלְבֹיל , which, with many similar examples, is perhaps to be ascribed to the carelessness, hurry, or whims, of the copyists.

- 2. In the following examples we have $Merc\acute{a}$, in the place of $Meth\acute{e}g$: as, בְּלֶבְבֶּלְ like the stars,* Exod. xxxii. 13; בֹּלְבְּבֶלְ ii so he hides him, Exod. ii. 12.† In these cases $Merc\acute{a}$ is found with $Tiphkh\acute{a}$ for its tonic accent.

On the Use and Position of MAKKAPH.

132. Learned men are not agreed whether this mark is, or is not, to be ranked among the accents. Some have argued that it ought, because it is always found to supply the place of an accent. Others, again, that it ought not; because it is universally found to deprive the word to which it is attached of its tone-accent. That it is equivalent in effect to an accent, I think, both parties allow: and, if I mistake not, its depriving the word to which it has been attached of its tone-accent, seems to make for the hypothesis, that it ought to be considered as performing the functions of such accent. It seems to me, therefore, but a loss of time to argue against its being termed an accent.

^{*} In some editions בְּרַוֹּרְבֵי regularly.

[†] Which is also with Metheg in some editions.

Rules for its Use and Insertion.

- 133. Words immediately connected with each other, either in signification or by grammatical construction, are frequently connected by Makkápk, the former being then deprived of its tone-accent: as, אַרְרִיבְּיִי Jehovah's word, Amos ii. 16; אַרִיבְיִי pure of heart, Prov. xxii. 11; אַרָּיבִי and he pitched (his tent) there; אווי בּוֹלֵי מוֹלִי מוֹ מוֹלִי מוֹלִי מוֹלִי מוֹלִי מוֹלִי מוֹלִי מוֹלְי מוֹלִי מוֹלְי מוֹלִי מוֹלְי מוֹלִי מוֹלִי מוֹלִי מוֹלִי מוֹלִי מוֹלִי מוֹלְי מוֹלִי מוֹלְי מוֹלִי מוֹלְי מוֹלִי מוֹלְי מוֹי מוֹלְי מוֹלְיי מוֹלְיי מוֹלְי מוֹלְי מוֹלְי מ
- 2. Since then the tone-accent is in all these cases taken away, words so connected, ending in a perfect mutable vowel, and followed by a consonant, will generally take the correspondent imperfect one in that syllable: as, בַּלֵבְיּלְ every high thing, instead of יְּבֶרִילְ בָּלִי בָּלִי בְּלֵי בִּלִי בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּלֵי בִּלִי בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּלֵי בִּלִי בְּלִי בְּים בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּים בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּלִי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּלִי בְּיִי בְּלִי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיּי בְּיי בְּיּי בְּיי בְּיּי בְּיי בְייי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְייי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּיי בְּייי בְּיי
- 3. In the following and similar cases, the terminating vowel of the preceding word is immutable: as, בּלְרוֹלְיּלְּלְּחָ the daughters of Lot, Gen. xix. 36; בְּלִרְיּלְיִילְיִ great (in) hunting, Gen. x. 9; וְּלָבְּלִי the Jordan) shall limit it; בּלִרְיּלִי David's heart, 2 Sam. xxiv. 10, where בֹּלֵי is put for בַּלֵּלְי the giving of its (fem.) strength, Gen. iv. 12, חַבָּּי being put for בּלָּבָּי.

4. We have, however, Prov. xxii. 15, הַלְבִּיבְּעָ in the heart of a child. We also have, Gen. xvi. 13, שֵׁבִּיבְּעָּה, and, Ib. 15, בְּנָי שִׁי אָשִׁר-בְּנָי which, with similar instances, may perhaps be referred to the carclessness of the copyists.*

[•] The most complete list of these exceptions is given in the second volume of the Heb. Gram. by Guarin, pp. 320-1, 2.

- 134. Makkáph is inserted in the following cases:-
- 1. Particles, which from their nature can never have a distinctive* accent, are mostly connected with other words by the mark Makkaph: as, בַּבּילִיבָּילְ even to her husband; בַּבִילִייִּבְילִי in the integrity of my heart, Gen. xx. 5, &c.
- 2. When words are to be construed together the tone-accents of which would concur; i. e. when the accent of the preceding word is on the last syllable, and the following word is either a monosyllable or a dissyllable having the accent on the penultimate, then, in order to avoid such concurrence (Art. 120.), such words are to be connected by Makkáph: as, וֹרֵעֹי בְּיִלְי וֹנְיֵנְשֵׁקְ־לְוֹ וַנְיֵעֶּיִלְּרְלְוֹנְיִנְשֵׁי ְלִוֹנְ בַּשִּׁרְלְוֹ וַנְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וַנְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וַנְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וַנְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וַנְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וַנְיִנְיִבְעָּרְלְוֹ וַנְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וְיִנְיִבְּעָרְ בְּיִרְנִיבְּעָרְלְוֹ וְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וְיִבְיִבְּרְלְוֹ וְיִנְיִבְּעָּרְלְוֹ וְיִבְיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִרְיִבְּיִבְּרְלְוֹ וְיִבְיִבְּיִרְ וְיִבְיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִרְיִבְיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִרְיִבְיִבְּיִבְּיִר בְּיִרְיִבְיִבְיִי בְּיִרְי בְיִבְיִבְּיִבְּיִבְיִבְיִבְיִבְיִבְיִי בְיִבְיִבְי so evening was, and morning was, Ib. i. 5, instead of בְּיִרִיִּרְיִבְיִבְי, &c. The remaining rules, usually given by the grammarians, may be resolved into one or other of the preceding.
- 3. In any of the above cases, the Euphonic accent may be appended to the former of such words, according to the rules already laid down (Art. 126, &c.).

^{*} See the last Lecture in this work.

LECTURE V.

ON THE CHANGES WHICH TAKE PLACE IN THE TERMINATIONS OF WORDS, IN CONSEQUENCE OF THE FORMATION OF THE FEMININE FROM THE MASCULINE GENDER; OF THE DUAL OR PLURAL FROM THE SINGULAR NUMBER; AND OF THE STATE OF DEFINITE CONSTRUCTION.

Of the Formation of the Feminine from the Masculine Gender.

- 135. It should be premised that in the Hebrew Language there are but two genders, viz. the Masculine, and the Feminine.
- 2. The Masculine is generally restricted to nouns signifying the proper names or offices of men: as, אַבָּה David; אַבָּה Uzza; אַבָּה or אַבָּה a governor; מְהַבֶּּה a preacher, &c., whatever be their termination.
- 3. Also to words signifying People, Rivers, Mountains, or Months: as, יְשִׂרְאֵל Israel; יַרְהֵן Jordan; סִינֵי Sinai; Nīsán, &c., without reference to their termination.
- 4. Words ending in any radical letter (not included in No. 5.), also those ending in ה preceded by (י), and others terminating in ה, סר, or ן, servile, will be of the masculine gender: as, הָבֶּרְ a word; מְבְּרָיִ dominion; מְבְרָיִ a plain, or field; שִׁרְהֵן vision; מְבְרִי a Hebrew; בּרִיוֹם redemption; שִׁרְהַן a table; בְּרִיוֹם an offering.*

^{*} The exceptions are: אָלְיִּמְרּ a stone; אָלְּאָ a bowl; אָלְאָלּ a viper; אַלְּאָלּ or אַלְּאָלְּ a step, pace; אָלְּ a well; אָלְ a corn-floor; אַלְאָלְ a sword; אַלְ a stake, post; אַלְ a vessel so called: אַלְ מִ a full cup; אַלְ a talent; אַלְלָ מַ a frying-pan; בְּעֵל light; אַלְ a sandal; אַלְ מָ a cloud; שִׁלְעָ a star so called; אַלְ a bed; אַלְ a morsel; אַלְלְ the north; אַלֶ מַ a quail; אַלָּ הַ the world; אַלֶב a n ass; בּעָל bread; אַלְ a shield; אַלָל the evening, which are all feminine.

- 5. Feminine nouns are the proper names of women, as well as words designating their offices; the names of regions or cities, and nouns signifying the parts and double members of the body, whatever be their terminating letters; e. g. אָבֶוֹל Rākhél; אַבְּלוֹל Mīkál; אַבְּלוֹל מּ consort; אַבְּלוֹל Mōáb; אַבְּלוֹל Khebrón; אַבְּלוֹל Yerūshāláim; אַבְלוֹל the belly; אַבּלוֹל the ear, &c. This distinction, therefore, arises from the signification not from the form of the word: cities and regions being considered perhaps as mothers, and so of others.*
- 6. Nouns ending in הָ or ח servile are also of the feminine gender: as, פּוֹקְדֶת or בּוֹקְדֶת a female visitor; מַנְקְבֶת a beast; מַנְלֶכְת or מַנְלְכְת a kingdom. So שׁנָא sleep, &c.

^{*} The exceptions are, הַ the mouth; אָרָב the neck; אָרָל the back; אַרְר or אַרָּר the navel; אַרְבּל the eyelids; אַרְבְּל the heel; בְּלְבְּלְּח a blasted field; מְרָבְי a meat-offering; מְרָבְי a razor; הְּבְּיִלְ a cucumber bed; and אַרְבְּי an army, which are all masculine. I am inclined to believe, that this termination is nothing more than a fragment of some ancient form of the feminine pronoun of the third person singular, which we also find as the inseparable feminine pronoun of the same person. See the table of inseparable pronouns, Art. 145.

אָרְוֹן a chest, or ark; אַ a garden; מְלִינִ a window; מְלֵינִ a couch; יוֹבֶל a jubilee, &c., which are noted in the dictionaries.

- 136. We now come to shew how the noun of the feminine gender is formed from that of the masculine.
- 1. It will readily be perceived, that the names of certain offices, &c., may apply to either men or women; and that cases might occur, in which it may be necessary to designate the sex of the person, to which such word is applied. The masculine forms have already been pointed out; it will be necessary here only to shew how those peculiar to the feminine are formed from them.
- 2. Feminine nouns will be formed from the masculine generally by adding the termination הַ or הַ or הַ, and changing the preceding vowels of the ultimate or penultimate syllable, according as the analogy of the word (hereafter to be considered) and the rules detailed (Artt. 93, 101.) may require; e. g. בוֹשְׁ a good man, &c.; הַ בְּיִם a good woman, &c.; בּיִּבְּים a good woman, &c.; בּיִבְּים a good woman, &c.; בּיִבְּים a queen; בּיִבְּים a good rules or בּיִבְּים a visitor, or visiting.
- 3. Let us now see in what instances these different terminations are taken. It will be extremely difficult to lay down rules comprehending every possible case: we shall content ourselves therefore with the following, given by Albert Schultens.
- 4. Nouns ending in an *immutable** vowel will generally take the termination הַ: as, אבקר visited, masc.; בְּקוֹל fem.; בְּקוֹלְה fem.; בְּקוֹלְה fem.; בּקוֹלְה fem.
 - 5. Patronymics, and nouns originally ending in , also

^{*} What vowels are to be considered as mutable or immutable, will be shewn in the next Lecture.

ordinal numbers ending in ' and falling under this rule, will double the (') by Dāgésh, upon receiving this feminine termination: as, מוֹאָבִי a Moabite; מוֹאָבִי (or אַבְמִיהָ a Moabitess. So, אַבְמִי a Syrian; fem. אַבְמִיה (or בּוֹבִיְה ; אַבִּמִיה bearing fruit; אַבִּמִית weeping; אַלִישִיר the third, masc.; אַלִישִיר or אָלִישִיר, fem.

- 6. Participles of the *Hiphhil* conjugation are excepted: as, מַבְּקִד, fem. מֻבְּקְדֶּת, with some other nouns: as, שַּׁלְיָט, fem.; בָּרָר, a master; נְבִירָה or בְּבִירָה a mistress.
- 7. Nouns receiving a final Shēvá (:) in the penultimate syllable will take הַ for their feminine termination: as, מַלְּבָּל, masc.; אַרָּטָּל, fem.; אַרָּטָּל, fem.; אַרָטָן surrounded, masc.; וּנְלֶּבָּר, fem. So, וּנְלֶּבָּר fem.; נְּלֶבָּר, fem. So, וּנְלֶּבָּר fem.; נְלֶבָּר , from נְלֶבְּר, masc. by contraction (Art. 73.).
- 8. Hence all segolate nouns will form their feminines in הַ ; as, אָבֶלְהָ for בְּיִלְבָּה a king, fem. מִלְבָּרְ a queen; מַלְבָּרְ for אַבְלְ for אַבְלְ for אַבְלְ ; אָבְלְה for אַבְלְ ; אָבְלְה for אַבְלְ ; יעוֹלְה for אַבְל ; יעוֹלְה in אַיִּר אָבְל contracted by (Art. 87. 3.) אַיִּר hunting, fem. צִירָה.
- 137. Nouns not subject to these restrictions will, for the most part, have their feminine forms ending in הַיָּה or in some equivalent termination: as, אַטֶּעֶר, a crown; אַטֶּעֶר, fem. (which also has עַטַרָה).

On the Inflection of Nouns.

138. Three numbers are recognised by Hebrew Grammarians in the inflection of Nouns: viz. the Singular, the Dual, and the Plural.

On the Formation of the Dual Number.

- 2. All feminine nouns ending in ה, change ה to ח upon receiving any increment whatsoever, as also when put in *construction* with any other noun, of which more will be said hereafter: hence we have מְלְבֶתִיב as above.
- 3. The dual number is, for the most part, restricted to things which are double by nature or art, as the parts or double members of the body, &c. It is never found in the conjugation verbs.
- 4. A few instances occur in which a dual termination is added to a noun already in the plural number: as,

דמחים two walls, Isa. xxii. 11; לחתים two tables, Ezek. xxvii. 5.

The changes of the preceding vowels will be regulated as before (Art. 93, &c.): these will be particularly considered in the next Lecture.

On the Formation of the Plural Number Masculine.

139. Nouns of the masculine gender are made plural by attaching the asyllabic augment בים to the singular: as, אוֹט good, masc., בּוֹי plur.; אָלֶרְיׁ (for קֹלְרִים or קֹלְרִים a king, plur. בּוֹי מִלְרִים a nation, plur. בּוֹיִם (for בּוֹיִם a stranger, plur. בּוֹיִם (for בָּרְרִים ty omission, Art. 75.) nations; בְּרָרִים for בָּרְרִים by contraction and omission, Artt. 75. and 72.). So יַרְרִים Jews (for יַרְרִים).*

2. In many instances, however, words of this kind are written fully: as, בּרִשִּׁים לֵּבְּיִם בּיִבּים Cushites (vulgarly Ethiopians); בּיבִּים Chittim, Isa. xxiii. 12; בּיבִּים Esth. iv. 7, viii. 7; בּיבֹּים Chaldeans, 2 Chron. xxxvi. 17, &c.; where the marginal reading is generally of the contracted form.

In one instance א is inserted in the place of Dāgésh: as, עַרְבִּיּאִים for עַרְבִּיִּים, or contr. עַרְבִיּאִם Arabs, 2 Chron. xvii. 11.

3. We sometimes have the Chaldaïc termination זְיִר: as, מֵלְכִיוּן as, וֹיִרָּיָן as, אַיִּרוּ islands, continents, Ezek. xxvi. 18, &c.

^{*} So שְׁבְיִּם pl. of שֶׁבְיִם scarlet, Isa. i. 18; שְׁבְיִם of שְׁבְּיִם, free, Isa. lviii. 6; שָׁבִיִּם of יְשָׁבְּיִם, &c.

The dadded to Hebrew masculine plurals, as well as the i of Syriac and Chaldaïc ones, seems to me to have been added for the mere purpose of filling up the hiatus which would otherwise have happened. Such is the Tanween (عرب عليه) of the Arabs; which, according to them, is always cut off when the word is placed in the state of definite construction, as is also its vicegerent the final of the dual and plural. من التنويل المضاف عن التنويل التنية والجمع هي المناف عن التنويل التنية والجمع والمعاملة والمع

- 4. The terminating letters D, or (Chaldïac) , are always omitted, when the noun is in the state of definite construction with any following word (Art. 143.), or when any affixed pronoun is attached to it: as, אָרֶבְי אֶּרֶע kings of the land; בֹּיִלְבִי אֶּר their kings, of which more will be said hereafter. The first of these forms is termed absolute, the second is said to be that of construction.
 - 5. In some cases also, when such plural words are not in the state of construction, these letters are omitted: as, אוֹם הוֹמֵי לְּנֹי those who trust in him, Ps. ii. 12, &c.
 - 6. We occasionally find the termination '= used instead of סיה: as, יבוֹ locusts, Amos vii. 1, Nah. iii. 17: יבוֹ vindows, Jer. xxii. 14; יבוֹ princes, Judg. v. 15; יבוֹ mountains, Zech. xiv. 5; יבוֹ net works, Isa. xix. 9; יבוֹ (men, &c.) uncovered, Isa. xx. 4; and frequently, יבוֹ the Almighty; יבוֹ Lord, &c. But, as we find that this diphthong (:=) is only another form for '= (Art. 87. 3.), we may perhaps hence conclude, that this termination is nothing more than a contraction for '=, and therefore, perfectly equivalent to it in signification: but, not containing any thing superlative as some have thought. This termination has also been supposed to designate collective nouns; but, as most plural nouns may be considered as collectives, there does not seem to be any necessity for this distinction.
 - 7. There are moreover several passages, in which '- has been thought to be a plural termination: as, איש השליש head of the captains, 2 Sam. xxiii. 8, which in the parallel passage, 1 Chron. xi. 11, is ראש השלישים. So ראש השלישים the captains and the runners, 2 Kings xi. 4, 19. Of this kind some suppose הַבְּבַעְּבָי 2 Sam. xiii. 6, xiii. 7; בְּבַעִּרִי וְבָּבְעִרי 2 Sam. viii. 18, xx. 7, 23, &c. to be, while others believe the terminating (') to indicate nothing more than a patronymic or gentile noun.* To these some other passages

^{*} I am very much disposed to believe, that the plural termination attached to Hebrew nouns and verbs, is nothing more than a fragment of some word originally used to designate plurality. In the Malay, Sanscrit, and some other languages, the plural number is still formed by adding some word or words signifying much, many, or the like; or, by repeating the same word: as, in the Malay, Orang būniak, or Orang brang, many man; or man man. So in Sanscrit. See Yates's Gram. p. 59.

might be added: as, פְּלִילִי Job xxxi. 28, compared with Ib. v. 11; יְצִי אַ 1 Sam. xx. 38; חֹרִי Gen. xl. 16; עַמִי 2 Sam. xxii. 44, Ps. cxliv. 2, Lam. iii. 14, &c.

In such cases as these, '- may, according to our hypothesis, be

Jehovah, the great being, emphatically styled ὁ των, τω, or καπ, as well as Exod. iii. 14. So in the Sanscrit bhŭvān, literally and etymologically, being, applied to God or any great man; from the root bhū, be. Now, if we can conceive a noun of the primitive form בקר, i. e. היה, being, substance, much, or the like, thus to be used, we shall have the forms by Euphony, (Art. 108), and הוה by contraction, (Art. 87. 3.). Writing then דְבֵר הַוֹיִן in the form proper for construction but in one word. and striking out the first 77 by Art. 73, and the last by Art. 74, we shall have for the contracted plural form, to which the Euphonic ס or 7 may be added or not: and without the (·) Khīrik, דָּבַר, which is a form noticed above. Again, taking היה, which is the segolate form proper for construction, and striking out the two דברי as before, we shall have דָּבֶרָי, which is the termination proper for the state of construction likewise. The termination , or by contraction , (Art. 87. 2.), may, for the sake of distinction, have been taken for the verbs from the cognate root min having the same signification: for, taking the segolate or primitive form הַוָּה or הַוָּה, we shall have הורה by contraction; and, writing קקדה for בַּקְרַהוּה, as before, we shall have the plural terminations for some parts of the verb, as also for some nouns above noticed, which I believe are real ancient forms of nouns in the plural number, and which therefore stood in no need of correction by the Masorets.

The plural termination of the feminine nouns may have arisen from this same root: for if we take קַוֹם of the form פּֿקָרָה, and change the final הווס ה, which occasionally takes place, we shall have by contraction הוה by Art: 87. 1, and, for חוֹת פּוֹקְרוֹת פּוֹקְרוֹת בּוֹת as before.

- a plural termination, the Euphonic D being cut off: but, as it will be hereafter seen, that (`.) is also the termination of patronymic or gentile nouns, the context alone must be our guide in ascertaining the sense in such passages.
- 8. Dr. Gesenius is of opinion, that the termination '= is, in some cases, nothing more than an Arabism for the singular יוֹבָי: as, יבָּיִי for יוֹנָי a field, Deut. xxxii. 13; Ps. viii. 8. So יוֹנִי for מּבָּיִר a seer, 2 Chron. xxxiii. 19, &c., but this is unnecessary.
- 9. Some have also supposed, that זֹי, and זֹי without the Euphonic ז, are occasionally used as plural terminations: as, זְּשִׁבְּיוֹ צִּלּבְּּלְּהְּעִּתְּיׁ נִּשׁבְּרְוֹּ שִׁבְּרֵעְ שִׁבְּרְוּ שִׁבְּרֵעְ שִׁבְּרִי שִׁבְּרֵעְ וֹ נִשְּׁבְּעִוֹ to be read הֹבְּרֵעְ שִׁבְּרֵי (by Art. 87. 2.) augurs, i. e. dividers of the heavens. So Isa. xlvii. 13, נְבֵּבִי the multitude of my laws. So יִּבְיֵעְ רְבָּבִּי בַּבְּרִעְ (נְבַבּי) 2 Sam. v. 8; בְּבֵּר זְּבְּרִעְ נִבְּבָּי וֹ Chron. vi. 11, &c. In most of these and similar passages, however, we have a various reading in the margin, which supplies the regular plural termination,

On the Formation of the Plural Number Feminine.

- 140. The termination used to designate the feminine plural is הוֹך,* which like the preceding ביר, &c. is asyllabic: as, אוֹד a generation, הוֹרָת (or Art. 72. קוֹרָת) generations.
- 2. When the feminine singular ends in הַ, חַ, סר מּרְבָּר, &c., these terminations are rejected in forming the plural: as, מַלְּרָרוֹת פוֹקְרָוֹת visiting, (fem.) אַבּוֹקְרָוֹת plural.† The same may be said of the Chaldaïc termination הַבְּיִר as, הַלְּרָת מְּרִבְּיִר praise, הַלְּרָת praises, Ps. ix. 15, &c.
- 3. Feminine nouns ending in n; and n; take the same termination, with this difference, viz. that ', in the

^{*} See the note to the preceding article.

[†] In other words, the feminine plural is formed from the masculine singular, not from the feminine singular.

first case, is doubled by $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$: as, עִבְּרִית a Hebrew woman, plural עִבְּרִיִּת (the singular being עִבְּרִיָּה or עִבְּרָיָּת): and in the second by prefixing ', and preserving the homogeneous imperfect vowel corresponding to ז: as, בַּלְּבְיִוֹת a kingdom, בַּלְּבְיִוֹת kingdoms, as if the singular were a contraction of בַּלְבִינִּה.

- 4. Nouns ending in יה are sometimes found with their plurals formed by the addition of the plural terminations בְּנִיתִים aspear, pl. הֲנִיתִים and זְנִוּתִים, &c.
- 5. So also others ending in הָּבֶּי, as, הֶּלֶת a door, pl. אָבֶּת bows; אָשֶׁת bows; שְּבֶּת (for שֻׁבָּת bip, pl. שָׂבָּת bips.
 - 141. The plural belonging to a considerable number of masculine nouns is found with the feminine termination אַרָּה, while, on the other hand, many feminine nouns are also found with the masculine termination בּיִבְּי in the plural: as, 1st, בּאָר מּ father, pl. אַבְּרִים; אַבְּיִר מּ a treasure, pl. אַבְּיִר and, 2d, בּאָרָי מּ a stone, fem. pl. אַבְּיִר מּ fir-trees; all of which the Lexicons will supply as they occur.
 - 2. Nouns of the common gender are sometimes found with two plural forms: as, אַנְיִם a year, pl. בְּשָׁבְּי and אָנָיִם years: so יְמִים and מַּבְּים days, for יְמִים or יִינְמִים, pl. of יִוֹים for יְמִים a day; and, a few others have the masculine added to the feminine form of the plural: as, בְּמִיתְם a high place, pl. הַּמְים and בְּמִיתְם. Others again are found only in the plural number: as, בְּמִים the face; שׁנִים life; שִׁישׁ women. Others are used in the dual only: as, בֹּמִים a mill; בֹּמִים balances, &c.
 - 142. Generic nouns signifying any whole species, may, in the singular number, be construed as plurals when the context requires it: as, Fin fowl, or fowls; Fin child, or children; Fin flock, or flocks.
 - 2. Hence, nouns signifying Metals, Liquids, Virtues, Vices, to which may be added Proper names, are generally found in the singular number only: as, אָבֶּי silver, אַבָּין gold, אַבְייִ wine, אַבְּייִ oil, wisdom, אַבְּיִין foolishness, אַבְּיִי hatred, אַבָּין Moses, אַבְּיִי Israel, &c.

- 3. We have, nevertheless, הְבְּלְהוֹ understandings, בְּבְּלְהוֹ loves, הַבְּלְהוֹ graces, הְבָּלְהוֹ angers, הְבָּלְהוֹ misdoms, &c.; but, in these cases, the signification is intensitive.
- 4. Nouns implying age are mostly found in the plural number: as, בְּעַרְּרָים childhood, צֵלְרִּבְים youth, יְלַרְרָּח i old age, &c. We have, nevertheless, בַּלְרָרִּח for childhood, and מַלְבִּרָּח for youth, to which some others might be added.
- 5. Words ending in הי and הי, generally denote the state in which any person or thing is said to be. Hence will signify the state of childhood; these words therefore need not be put in the plural number. In the other case, the word שַׁבְיִם is probably understood; we shall therefore have שָׁבִים for שִׁבְּרִים childish years; and so of others, which will account for the apparent anomaly.

The nouns generally found in the dual number have already been pointed out (Art. 138, 3.).

On the Changes found to take place in Nouns when put in the Definite State of Construction.

- 143. By the definite state of Construction is meant, the juxta position of two or more nouns not meaning the same thing, when the latter is added for the purpose of defining, or otherwise qualifying, that which immediately precedes it: as, יב יה יה ליה ברול Jehovah's hand; שׁבֶּע מֹן the days of the years of the life of Abraham.
- 2. Now, as such words are added for the sole purpose of presenting some one definite idea, the whole combination seems to have been considered as presenting one compound word only; and, hence, the governing toneaccent has been supposed to rest on the last so construed; and then the vowels of the preceding word have been contracted or rejected, as far as the analogy would allow.
 - 3. Hence, nouns having perfect and mutable* vowels

^{*} These will be shewn when we come to treat of the forms of nouns.

in their ultimate and penultimate syllables, will generally change that in the ultimate to its homogeneous imperfect one, and reject that in the penultimate: as, דְבר יְהוֹה Jehovah's word (from תּוֹבָת יְהוֹה Jehovah's law (from תּוֹבָת יִהוֹה).

- 4. All feminine nouns however ending in \overline{n}_{rr} will change the \overline{n} to \overline{n} , probably for the purpose of rendering the character of such words more susceptible to the ear, than they would be with the \overline{n} remaining (Art. 138. 2.).
- 6. Segolate nouns having ז or 'for their middle radical letter, will undergo a contraction when preceding other nouns in the state of construction: as, אוֹך הַבּן the midst of the garden, (from אָרָף of הַבּוּך (for בִּיה נַשְּקְב the house of Jacob, בִּיה יַשְקְב the house of Jacob, בִיה יַשְקְב (for בַּיִה sufficiency of burning, Isa. xl. 16. (Art. 87. 3.).
- 7. All masculine dual and plural nouns, ending in בים and בים respectively, will take the termination בים and reject, or otherwise contract, the preceding vowel, whenever it is perfect and mutable; e. g. דְּבָר יְהֹנֶה (sing. דְּבָר יְהֹנֶה (sing. עֵינוֹ לְיבִר יִהֹנְה (sing. עֵינוֹ לְיבִר לְיבִר לְיבִר לְיבִר לְיבוּ לִיבְי לִיבְּי לִיבְי לִיבְּי לִיבְי לִיבְי לְיבִי לְיבְי לְיבִי לְיבְי לְיבְי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבְי לְיבִי לְיבְי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִּי לְיבִי לְיבְי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִּי לְיבִּי לְיבִי לְיבִּי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִּי לְיבִּי לְיבִּי לְיבִּי לְיבִי לְיבִּי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִּי לְיבִי לְיבִּי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבְי לְיבִי לְיבִּי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְיבִי לְּבְיִי לְּבִי לְּבְיִי לְּבְּי לְיבִי לְּבְּי לְבִּי לְּבִי לְּבְיִי לְבִּי לְּבְּי לְבִּי לְבִּי לְבִי לְבִּי לְבִּי לְבִי לְבִי לְּבְּי לְבִי לְבְּי לְבִי לְּבְי לְבִּי לְּבְּי לְּבְּי לְבִי בְּיִי לְבִי לְּבְי לְבְּי לְבִּי לְבְּי לְבִי לְּבְי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְבְּי לְבְיי לְבְּי לְבִי בְּיִים לְּבְיי לְבְּיי לְבְּיי לְבְּיִי לְּבְּי לְּבְיּי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְבְּיי לְבְיי לְבְּיי לְבִּי לְבִי בְּיִים לְבִי בְּיי לְבִי בְּיִי לְבְיי לְבִיי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְּיִי בְּיי לְבְיי לְבְּיי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְבְּיי לְבְיי לְבְּיי לְּבְיי לְּבְיי לְבְייִי לְּיי לְבְיי לְבְיי לְּיי לְיים לְּיי לְבְּיי לְבְּיים לְּבְייל בְּיי לְבְיים לְּבְּיים לְּיים לְּבְיים לְּבְיים לְּבְיים לְּבְּיים לְּיים לְּיים לְּיים לְּי

^{*} This terminating vowel seems to have been taken in order to avoid the confounding of these nouns with feminines ending in $\overline{n_{rr}}$

[†] Hence, perhaps, the termination '... in plural masculine nouns, as בְּבֶר is for דְּבָרְתִּיה, see Art. 139. 7. note.

euphonic, as the 7 also is in the Chaldaïc, Syriac, and Arabic (Art. 139. 4.).

On the termination , occasionally found in this situation, see Art. 139. 6.

8. From the examples already given, it will be seen that this construction may generally be translated by the genitive case in other languages; but, as one or other of the particles is occasionally introduced for this purpose, as well as to form combinations equivalent to the different cases of the Greek and Latin grammars, the Student is referred to the Syntax for further information on this subject.

LECTURE VI.

ON THE PARTS OF SPEECH IN GENERAL, AND ON THE NOUN IN PARTICULAR.

- 144. Having laid down and exemplified the general principles of syllabication, &c. as found to prevail in this Language, we may now consider its different parts of speech, and shew how they stood in their primitive forms, and how they have been derived from one another.
- 1. The Hebrew language is, like all others, found to consist of nouns, verbs, and particles,* so arranged in sentences as to convey to the mind such ideas or notions as are intended to be inculcated by any Speaker or Writer. Of these, the third person singular masculine of the verb has generally been taken as the root or theme, from which the others have been derived. For

^{*} The Arabian and Jewish grammarians comprehend in these all the other parts of speech generally given in the grammars of Europe.

my own part, I believe the noun ought rather to be considered as the root; not only because the learner may by this means be enabled more clearly to see how the conjugations of the verbs are carried on, but also because he may ascertain, with a much greater degree of precision, the force of all those nouns which have hitherto been considered as branches of the verb. Again, there are classes of the verb which do not exhibit the root fully in the third person singular masculine of the preterite; and these comprehend all those which have or for the middle radical letter: which are found complete in the noun, but defective in the verb. In some others, indeed, the noun appears in a defective form; but, in these cases, it is not found complete in the verb. Again, the variation found to prevail in the last vowel of the preterite, is more naturally accounted for in the noun than in the verb; and it is a fact, that a noun having the same vowel is almost universally found to exist. Besides, the participial and other nouns, which have no tenses in themselves, are much better understood when considered as derived from the primitive nouns, than when derived from words conjugated as verbs. Add to this the circumstance, that a verb in the state of conjugation either is, or must be considered as, compounded with a pronoun; and, therefore, in a state unfit to be taken for a primitive word. It is when without these pronouns, as well as every other adjunct, and when a word is in its simplest form, that we consider it as the root; * and, this we contend, is

^{*} The school of Basra hold the same opinion, with reference to the Arabic. See Ebn Farhát on this subject in M. de Sacy's Gram. Arabe, vol. i. p. 229, note. M. De Sacy himself thinks it will come to much the same thing, whether we consider the infinitive form as the root, or whether we take the third person singular of the masculine preterite, because the one may be termed the logical root, the other the ctymological one, Ib. p. 197. But why, it may be asked, are

the more natural way to proceed. Induced by these considerations to give the noun the first place in the etymology, we shall now proceed to lay down the forms of the personal pronouns, as used either in connection, or not, with other nouns; not, because these words have any prior claim to our consideration; but because, we shall thereby enable ourselves to shew, when we come to detail the forms of the nouns, how these pronouns are connected with them.

Of the Pronouns.

145. The pronouns are, in the Hebrew, as in other languages, 1. Personal, 2. Demonstrative, 3. Relative, and, 4. Interrogative, with which, 5. the Reflective, pronouns and the Definite Article, are sometimes classed. We shall at present consider the Personal Pronouns only.

The Personal Pronouns.

1. These are termed Separable, and Inseparable. When Separable, they may be considered as representing the person to which they belong in the nominative case:

we to have two forms of the root for the same word? And why may not that, which is termed the logical root, be also considered as the etymological one? If the one presents a form more simple than the other, which is the fact, Why, I want to know, may not the less simple be considered as derived from the other? I must confess, whatever the school of Koufa may think of it, that of Basra appears to me to have reason on their side in this question; and to their opinion I am therefore compelled to subscribe, which M. De Sacy has also done at p. 128, note a of his second vol. See also the Mikhlol of Kimkhi, fol. N27 verso. The passage will be cited hereafter. I use the small edition of 1545. See also Le Court de Gebelin, Monde Primitif. vol. iii. pp. 55, 56, 80, &c.; Mr. Forster's Essay on Sanscrit Gram., p. 540; Caroli Aurivillii Dissertationes Goet. 1790, p. 376, &c. It is a curious fact, that in the Burman, verbs are nothing more than participial nouns conjugated with the pronouns. See Carey's Grammar of the Burman, p. 79, &c. See also Humboldt on the Chinese, Journal Asiatique, vol. iv. p. 115.

when Inseparable, they exhibit only a part of the Separable pronoun combined with some other word. When attached to verbs, they may be said to represent either the objective or some other oblique case; but. when attached to nouns, they stand for the correspondent possessive pronoun: there being no other way of expressing the possessive pronominal sense in Hebrew.

2. The Separable personal pronouns are as follows:

3. In a few instances As thou, is used in the masculine gender: viz. Num. xi. 15, Deut. v. 24, and Ezek. xxviii. 14. DAN is used as a feminine in Ezek. xiii. 20: निवा is also used as a feminine, Cant. vi. 8, Ruth i. 22, Zech. v. 10: and as a masculine, 2 Sam. iv. 6, Jer. l. 5. 17 also occurs as a masculine, Ruth i. 13. We also have איה for אוח, 1 Kings xvii. 15; and היא for איה for איהוא throughout the Pentateuch, if we except eleven instances. This is usually ascribed to an archaïsm, grounded on the supposition that in ancient times the pronouns were all considered as being of the common gender.

- 4. אָבֹקְי is probably a foreign word: Egyptian, perhaps, where we have אַתוּאוֹ, there being no trace of it in any of the sister dialects of the Hebrew. Gesenius finds it, however, in the Phænician.*
- 5. The *Inseparable* pronouns are abbreviated forms of the pronouns above given; they are invariably found attached to some preceding word, whether that be a noun, verb, or particle.† The following is a table of their forms when attached to nouns; we shall give those for the verbs hereafter.

SING. COM. GEN. FOR NOUNS SING. FOR NOUNS PLUR. יאָנָן, or אָנָן we have ו<u>יי</u> ו<u>יי</u> my, or mine. For the 1 Pers. ינף סיד פור ינף or אנחנף our, or ours. SING. MASC. רת אין, or אָני. אין, or אָריי, or אָריי, or אָריי, or אָריי, or thine. שַּתֶּם אַתֶּם your, or yours. Pers. SING. FEM. יני און, or אָהְי, or אָהְי, or אָהְי, or אָהְי, or thy, or thine. יבן אתנה סר אתנה, or your, or yours. SING. MASC. אוֹ, יורף, poet. יירוף, זי, זוֹ, זֹי, זוֹ, סיר יירוף, זי, די, poet. יירוף אוֹג יירוף יירו PLUR. קבים, poet. אָבֶּים, poet. אָבֶּים, poet. אָבֶּים, poet. אָבֶּים, poet. אָבָים, poet. אָבָים, poet. אָבָים, poet. אָבָים Pers. SING. FEM. אָרָה, or אָרָה, ידָר, הָדָ, הָדָ, hers, her. PLUR. , or הַנָּה, הַן, הַן, הַן, הַן, לוֹבָי, their, theirs.

^{*} Lehregebäude, page 200, note.

[†] This may be considered as an illustration of the general principle of abridging and compounding words in Hebrew, and as confirming, in a great degree, the remarks offered in the note, Art. 139. 7. above.

- 6. It is to be observed, that in affixing these abbreviated pronouns to singular nouns, it will be necessary when such word does not end in a vowel, to take that form of the pronoun which is preceded by one, and thence called the *Vowel of union*. In this case, an accent will accompany it, as given in the table. But, when the preceding word ends in a vowel, no such *union-vowel* can be introduced: in that case, the abbreviated pronoun is taken which has no such preceding vowel of union.
- 7. Nouns ending in 'will drop that letter, upon receiving the affixed pronoun of the first person singular: as, אָלֹיִ a nation; בּוֹלִי my nation, for בּוֹלִי .
- 8. The words 그룹 a father, 다음 a brother, 다급 a father-in-law, and 다음 the mouth, will take 'when construed with a noun following, or when receiving any one of the above pronominal affixes: as, '그릇, the father of Canaan; 다양, they father. But, as two Yods ('') would, in these cases, concur in the first person, as '그릇, one of them will be dropped by the rule (No. 8.): as, '그릇 (the root being '그놈 or 다그놈) my father; and so on of the rest. Some other words ending in 'for 다, may take the affixed pronouns in the same way: as, '그릇 fruit; 다양, their fruit; or, they may take it with a vowel of union: as, 다양은 or 가장은 their (masc. and fem.) fruit.
- 9. Here, however, the masculine form of the pronominal affix is sometimes taken, when the sense seems to require the feminine, and vice versa, see Gen. xxxi. 9, Ruth i. 8, 9, 11. 13, Ezek. xiii. 19, 20, 21, Jer. ix. 19. So also I for I in the same manner we have in the Judy for I in the same manner we have in the Judy in Judy in
- 10. On the contrary, docurs for בּ : as, אַרְבַּעְּהָּן for בְּלֵּהְיּלָּהְ to them four, Ezek. i. 10, twice; and again, verr. 16, 18. Also in בְּלֵּהְיָּלְ Ib. verr. 9, 12, 17, in their going; הוּ also occurs as a masculine, בְּלֵיתְיִ their four (sides), Ib. ver. 17; and again, in verr. 18, 24, 25. Also with a paragogic הוּ ; as, הוֹלָי their bodies, Ib. ver. 11.
- 11. In affixing these pronouns to nouns, it must be remembered that they are not made to agree, either in number, gender, or person,

with the nouns to which they are attached, but with those to which they relate, and which generally precede them in the context.

13. Of these inseparable pronouns $\square \supset$, $\square \supset$, and $\square \supset$, are termed grave (Art. 117. 2.), because they always have the accent. The others are, by way of contradistinction, termed light.

It is of importance to bear these distinctions in mind; because the changes of the vowels of the preceding word, will, in a great measure, depend upon them: e. g. if to אָבָק a word. I affix י my, mine, the הוא סל הואס של של הואס של הוא this vowel: as, דָּבְּרָרִי. But, by our laws of syllabication, the preceding I must have a perfect vowel; (-) will, therefore, remain unchanged. And, as the first (+) is not immutable, and as the accent is with the affix, this vowel will become (:), and we shall have רְּבָּרִי devā-ri; where the asyllabic affix exerts a considerable influence on the ultimate form of the word. But, if I take a syllabic affix, let it be Do your, we shall then have Don't your word. In this case, the ס of קבר closes its last syllable; and as the accent is removed, the (-) preceding this letter originally, must, by our laws of syllabication, become (-); and we accordingly have דְּבַרְכֶם. But, if we take א which is also syllabic, we shall not have דְּבַרְק, but דְּבֶּרְךְ; because, although the affix ז is syllabic; still, as the accent accompanies the preceding syllable, it must remain perfect (Art. 33.) and we have דְּבֶּרְךְ. The same holds good in all other cases. (Artt. 93. 94.).

- 15. Any word, preceding one or other of these affixes, may be considered as in construction with it, and therefore subject to all those changes in the vowels, to which words so situated are (Art. 143. 3.). The only difference is, that instead of the latter noun being written at length, it has been abbreviated.
- 16. The following examples will shew the application of the Inseparable pronouns to nouns singular and plural, masculine and feminine. No example of the dual is given, because it will always take the affixes proper for the plural : as, עינים two eyes, עיני my eyes, &c.

Examples of a noun masculine in both numbers, with the pronominal affixes.

Sing. Masc.	DID a horse.
1 pers. sing. com.	my horse.
2 masc.	קוֹסְכָת or קוֹסְלָן thy horse.
2 fem.	TOTO thy horse.
3 masc.	וסוס or סוקה his horse.
3 fem.	חוְסָה, rarely קוֹסָה her horse.
1 pers. plur. com.	our horse.
2 masc.	your horse.
2 fem.	שוּקבֶן your horse.
3 masc.	סְנְקָם, poetice לְנְקָם their horse.
3 fem.	וְסָוֹרָת or לוְסָנָת their horse.
Plur. Masc.	הוקים horses.
1 pers. sing. com.	my horses.
2 masc.	לקיף thy horses.
2 fem.	קוַסְן thy horses.
3 masc.	סוְקָרוֹן or סוְקָרוֹן his horses.
3 fem.	her horses.
1 pers. plur. com.	our horses.
0	

```
masc. ביים your horses.
fem. ביים your horses.
masc. ביים your horses.
masc. ביים your horses.
fem. באר אווים אווים
```

Example of a noun feminine in both numbers, with the pronominal affixes.

```
חורה a law.
Singular.
                    my law.
1 pers. sing. com.
                   thy law.
2 pers. sing. masc.
                   דורתן thy law.
2 ..... fem.
                    יוחדות or הורתה his, its law.
3 ..... masc.
                   חורתה, rarely תורתה her, its law.
3 ..... fem.
                   our law.
1 pers. plur. com.
                  your law.
2 ..... masc.
                   your law.
2 .... fem.
                    הורתם poetice ותורתם their law.
3 ..... masc.
3 ..... fem.
                    זורתנה rarely הורתנה their law.
                    חורות laws.
Plural.
                    my laws.
1 pers. sing. com.
                  thy laws.
2 ..... masc.
                  יבי or יבי thy laws.
2 ..... fem.
3 ..... masc.
                   יורותיו or חורותו his, its laws.
                  חורותיה her, its laws.
3 .... fem.
                  סערותינו our laws.
1 pers. plur. com.
2 ..... masc.
                 your laws.
                  your laws.
2 ..... fem.
                 חורותיהם, or poetice לורותיהם their laws.
3 ..... masc.
                  their laws.
 3 ..... fem.
```

^{17.} Nouns ending in 77 take the affix 177 instead of i or

^{*} The final Π becomes Π by Art. 143. 4.

Anomalies.

- 18. שֵׁיְהוֹ his flock (of sheep), Deut. xxii. 1, from שֵּׁיְהוּ. The affix יִשְׁיִהְ is occasionally found attached to other nouns: as, אַּיְרָהְּהּ his concubine, Judg. xix. 24; מַּבְּרָהְהּ his yoke, Nah. i. 13; בְּבֹּרְרִיהּ his light, Job xxv. 3. And also with plurals: as, בּבּרֹרְיהּ his heroes, Nah. ii. 4.
- 19. The following examples are also anomalous. 2 Pers. masc. יוֹלְבָּה Ps. x. 14. 2 Pers. fem. אַלְשִׁתְיּלָּה thy third part, with inserted, Ezek. v. 12; פֿרָלָה giving thee, Ib. xxiii. 28; אַלָּדְּה the whole of it.

Of the first person plural מַרְלְּחְנֵרּ our acquaintance, Ruth iii. 2; מְרְבְּרָּהְ our substance, Job xxii. 20. Of the second fem. זְּמַרְהָבָּרְּהְּ your baseness, Ezek. xxiii. 48.

Of the third masc. בּלְחָבֶּף all of them, 2 Sam. xxiii. 6. Of the third fem. קרָבָּנְה the whole of them. So Gen. xli. 21; קרָבָּנְה for the midst of them.

With Nouns Plural.

- 20. 1 Pers. sing. עֵדוֹתְי for עֵדוֹתְי my testimonies, Ps. cxxxii. 12. The union vowels of the affix of the 3 fem. sing. are sometimes contracted: as, אַרוֹתְי for אַרְיוֹתְי (Art. 87. 3.) thy sisters, Ezek. xvi. 52, &c., in which case the 'Yod is dropped. This sometimes takes place with the masc. pronoun: as, אַרְיֹתְי thy strokes, for אַרְיֹתְי Deut. xxviii. 59. So אַרְיֹתְי thy messengers, Nah. ii. 14. It. 2d fem. אַרְיִלְיִר your pillows. Of the 2d. masc. אַרְיִלְיִרְי his benefits, Ps. cxvi. 12. Of the Chaldaïc form: אַרְיִּלְיִרְיִי their posts. 3d fem. אַרְיִּרְיָּהְי its galleries; אַרְיִּרְיִי their bodies, Ezek. i. 11, &c.
 - 21. The affix Do of the 2d pers. plur. masc. is, in one instance,

preceded by (.);* as, הְּבּוֹרְיכֶּם your dispersions, Jer. xxv. 34. These may all perhaps be errors of transcription.

22. The ', which precedes the affixed pronouns in the plural numbers of nouns, is frequently dropped: as, אור בּיִבֶּיך for דַּיְבֶין thy ways, &c. But, many of these anomalies will come under the rules detailed in Art. 159. 7, and will, therefore, be rather apparent than real; the rest perhaps are the mere mistakes of the copyists.

N. B. The demonstrative and other separable pronouns will be given when we come to treat on the particles.

On the Use, Signification, and Forms of Words generally.

146. Words are nothing more than sounds, simple or compounded, which have been adopted in order to represent the ideas conceived in the mind of one person to that of another. Whether any of these were first imparted to man by the Creator, or whether he had only the powers given sufficient for appropriating such sounds for the purposes of life, it is impossible now to say: nor is it very important; for, in either case, their adoption will be traced to the appointment of the Deity, either mediately, or immediately. From what is revealed in the Scriptures, however, I am inclined to believe, that it was an immediate appointment, as far at least as the necessities of society at first went; leaving to his ingenuity the further extension and cultivation of this power, as the increasing wants or refinements of life might suggest. If then the boon was immediate, nothing can be more probable, than that the use of words would be regulated by some analogy; which, it is likely, would also have been the case, had unassisted reason been left to shift for itself. In any case, therefore, we might

^{*} In some editions regularly

expect to find that some analogy had been resorted to in the structure of language, whether we originally possessed the skeleton of it as given by inspiration, or, as made by man for his own use. Things must have had names; and these must have been such, as would generally be allowed and understood, whether we can now see their suitableness or not. Actions and events must likewise have had names; and, whether we can now see the reason why certain words or sorts of words have been employed for these purposes, or not, it must be next to certain, that there once was an immediate cause both for their adoption and forms.

2. If then this be the true state of the case, it may be worth while to consider, in the next place, how the primitive significations of words would, in process of time, be varied in order to meet the necessities which would daily arise. Let us first take the word קלֹן walking, going, proceeding. This, we can suppose, was the name given to that sort of action, by which a person removes himself from one place to another. If then we add another word, or words, the whole may now mean, proceeding towards, either as a friend or an enemy:—from, with, &c. with the additional notions of co-operation, resistance, haste, delay, or the like. This action might, in the next place, be applied to the mind, and then signify its progress, improvement, general conduct, conversation, &c. and, in such acceptations is this word used. Hence Enoch is said to have walked with God, used. Hence Enoch is said to have walked with God, לְתְבֵּלֵךְ חֲנוֹךְ אֶּת־הָאֱלֹהִים, Gen. v. 22. Again, it may be applied to any thing in the sense of progress, and this may be understood to intimate either increase or diminution: as, וֵיֶלֶךְ הָלוֹךְ וְנָבֵל, Gen. xxvi. 13. So, he proceeded, proceeding and becoming great, i. e. gradually. And, Ib. viii. 3, &c. וַיִּחְסִרוּ וִיִּחְסִרוּ מוֹלְרָךְ וְשׁוֹב and the waters returned proceeding

and returning, so they decrease, &c. And, accordingly, this word is often used in the sense of proceeding gradually.* Again, let us take the word ny rising, mounting up, &c. This with certain adjuncts may signify, to become superior to, or to get the upper hand of, another; to conquer him, to humble him; also to excel in state, dignity, power, &c.: the being conversant upon, or about, any thing: being near a place or thing, before it, or, as we say in English, over against it: also, over and above, implying excess; being incumbent upon, as a duty, &c. all of which might arise out of the primitive word, by considering it either in its proximate or remote bearings: and such are the acceptations, in which, in one form or other, it is actually found.

3. If then we can conceive how words would thus be made to vary from their primitive significations, in consequence of their different bearings, as just noticed, we shall find no difficulty in seeing, how the cause, beginning, continuation, completion, consequence, &c. of any action may be likewise intimated by such words, as also the ability, duty, right, will, endeavour, custom, occasion, permission, or notification, &c. which may also be intimated or implied by their various forms; and this in their more remote significations, according to the Grammarians and Commentators,† has actually taken place in many instances in the Hebrew; as, indeed, it has, in a greater or less degree, in all languages; but which is nothing more than what the necessity of the case absolutely requires.

4. The law or necessity by which this variety has

^{*} So also the Persian وفته وفته going going, for gradually. So Virgil,

[†] See Glass. Philolog. Sacr. Ed. Dathe. p. 178-249. &c. Storr. Observ. p. 1, &c.

been brought about, has, for the sake of convenience, been termed Tropology, and this, for the same reason, has been divided into Metonymy, Irony, Metaphor, and Synecdoche. Metonymy respects cause and effect; subject and adjunct: Irony, contrariety: Metaphor, comparison: Synecdoche, distribution, as to the whole with reference to its parts, the genus to its species, the material to the thing composed out of it, &c. For a full account of which the reader is referred to the second volume of the Philologia Sacra of Glassius, or the work of Storr, books which every student of the Hebrew Language ought to have.

- 5. Let it be remembered, however, we are not to recur to these figures for the purpose of reconciling any passage of Scripture with our own preconceived notions. It must appear clearly from the context, considered in conjunction with the character of the writer we may be consulting, whether such figure has really been used or not, otherwise we shall make the sacred writers occasionally to talk like madmen: and shall, perhaps, extract from the same writer, nay the very same passage, the most incongruous and discordant notions.
- 6. When, therefore, we have to ascertain the meaning of any given word, we must carefully consider, whether the primitive or some derived signification is to be taken. When the literal acceptation of such word will answer our purpose, the work is done, and we need proceed no further: but, when this is not the case, we must try in what way our principles will help us: e. g. We find in Job ii. 9, the following passage, which has given considerable trouble to the Translators and Commentators: מְּבֶרְךְ מֵּלְהִים וְבֶּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבָּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבָּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבָּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבָּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבַּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבָּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבַּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבַּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבַּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבַּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבַּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבַּרְ אֵלְהִים וְבִּרְ אֵלְם וֹבְּרָם וֹלְם וֹחִים וְיִים וֹחִים וֹחִים וְחִים וֹחִים וֹחִים וֹחִים וֹחִים וֹחִים וֹחִים וֹיִים וֹחִים וֹחִים וֹחִים וֹחִים וֹחִים וֹחִים וֹיִים וֹיִים וֹיִים וֹיִים וֹי

explanation I can give is this: ברך used as a verb seems first to have been applied to camels kneeling down to receive their burdens.* Hence, perhaps, arose the idea of submission in kneeling, when receiving something from a superior: and hence also that of receiving a blessing; and, actively, giving or bestowing one: also, to ask or receive a blessing on departing, &c. To this קללף lightness, is opposed as implying a curse, Gen. xxvii. 12, &c. In the next place, as the imposing of a burden seems to be connected with the primitive meaning of this word, this signification may also be taken in the sense of oppression or affliction; + and, actively, treating or considering another as the author of it; which, I believe, is the force of the word in this place. Storr (p. 37-8.) has taken it in the sense of bidding farewell, and hence of forsaking and giving up. Parkhurst, with some of his school, has taken it as an irony here, which is hardly necessary.-What has now been said is intended to refer to unaugmented words generally. On the augmented ones we shall have something to offer hereafter.

7. With reference to the forms of Hebrew words, the student will readily perceive, that if the root in its simplest form, which is constant, will always represent a certain class of words; then, upon any augmentation

^{*} See the Sihûh of Jauhari and the Kāmoos under this word, which give السَّعَانُةُ الزَّيَانَةُ وَالنَّمَاءُ happiness, &c, as its meaning, &c. See also Gen. xxvii. 36, Jos. xv. 19, &c.

[†] The Author of the Kāmoos has والتحمالةُ أو رجالها الذين يسعون &c., which are all to this purpose. So ويتحملونها staken to signify buseness in a bad sense and humility in a good one. See the Moallakah of Antara by Menil and Wilmet, p. 135. The same is the case with many other Arabic words. See also the Notæ Miscellaneæ appended to the Porta Mosis by Pococke, cap. ii.

being made, either in its vowels or consonants, or both, other forms will be produced, which may severally represent words of other classes, each having meanings, or shades of meaning, peculiar to themselves: and, such is actually the case.

8. If then this be the fact, we can adopt certain words representing the various forms found to prevail; and these we can use, like the formulæ in Algebra, to designate whole classes of others having the same forms. Thus, 772, may be put for any word, having the vowel Páthakh only, between its first and second radical letters. In like manner 772, 772, may represent any others having a Khōlém, Tzēré, or Khīrík, in the same place, respectively; and these are the forms of primitive nouns, generally having an abstract signification.* In the next

^{*} This is also the case with the Arabic words of these forms: as, comprehension, so knowledge, beauty; and where the adscititious tunwin may be considered as equivalent to our Hebrew euphonic Segol. We must carefully bear in mind, however, that, although words of this form will generally be abstract in sense, they will not necessarily always have an

active signification. For example, ضرب زيد will mean Zaid's striking, i. e. either the striking which he receives, or gives, passively or actively, objectively or subjectively, as the context may require. In such instances, the abstract noun

לבורי, striking, may be considered as equivalent to a passive or active participle, respectively; and then the abstract may be said to occupy the place of a concrete noun: as, in Gen. xxxi. 42, we have בּבְּרָלְיִבְּיִי the fear of Isaac, i. e. the object of Isaac's fear, his God, which in the parallel passage is, אַבְּלָיִי my Father's God. So also again in ver. 53, Ib., where it is similarly explained. In Rom. ii. 26, iii. 30, the Gentiles are, in like manner, termed ἀκροβυστία, and the Jews περιτομή, i. e. uncircumcised, and circumcised, respectively. These considerations will occasionally affect the verbs, so that the active form will have a passive sense and vice versa, of which examples will be given hereafter. See Viger on the Greek Idioms, Cap. v. § i. Ed. 1813. Hence the various acceptations of δικαιοσύνη in the New Testament. See also Storr, p. 201, &c.

- place, אָבָּקְדָּק, אָבָּיִ, אָבָּיִ, אָבָּי, אָבָּי, אָבָּי, אָבּי, אָבָּי, אָבָּי, אָבָּי, אָבָּי, אָבָּי, אַבּיּ, אַבּיּ, אַבּיּי, אַבּיּי, אַבּיּי, אַבּיי, אָבּיי, אָבּייי, אָבּיי, אָבּייי, אָבּיי, אָבּיי, אָבּיי, אָבּיי, אָבּייי, אָבּיי, אָבּיי, אַבּיי, אָבּיי, אָבּיי, אָבּיי, אָבּיי, אַבּייי, אָבּייי, אָבּיייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּיייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אָבּיייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּיייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אָבייי, אָבייי, אַבּייי, אָבייי, אָבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אָבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אָבייי, אָבּיייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אָבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי, אַבּייי
- 9. In the Rabbinic grammars, dictionaries, and commentaries, the word פעל, with its variations, is taken as the common measure of other words; but, as great inconvenience arises from the use of this word, on account of the medial y, we have, with Schræderus and others, taken דברכפת, not that it is entirely unexceptionable, there being one of the בגרכפת letters, both as its initial and final letter, but because it is sufficiently easy of application for our purpose.
- 147. It will appear from what has been said (Artt. 75. 76. 77. &c.) that primitive nouns originally consisting of three radical letters, may frequently be found with two only; and there are cases to be noticed hereafter, in which we have but one. Making these allowances, therefore, the forms of all nouns will be either Simple, Augmented, or Compounded.
- 2. The simple forms, as already noticed (Art. 146. 8.) will consist of the radical letters (supposing none of them to have been dropped as just mentioned) accompanied by one or two vowels.
- 3. The augmented forms of nouns will exhibit one or other of the simple forms, augmented either by the reduplication of its middle radical letter by Dāgésh, or by the addition of one or more of the letters found in the word אָהָאֶבֶּינְהָיִי, or by both taken together.
- 4. Compounded words are those which are formed by the combination of one or more words, simple or aug-

mented, written together as one, contracted however or abridged as the rules may require.

- 5. The simple forms may be divided into two classes, the first of which may, from their peculiarities, be termed Segolate: the second, Primitive nouns, only.
- 6 By Segolate nouns is meant, nouns which, in addition to their primitive vowel, generally introduce an additional (*) for the sake of Euphony (Art. 108.). In the other class of primitive nouns this does not take place.
- 7. We shall first consider the different sorts of Segolate nouns, and then proceed to the others, whether simple, augmented, or compounded; giving, at the same time, the forms which they severally assume in forming the plural number—when in the state of construction, whether singular or plural,—and when having any of the pronouns attached to them.
- 148. The forms of the primitive Segolate nouns are the following: viz. I. 기구의, the alternate form of which is, 기구의 or 기구의: II. 기구의, alt. 기구의, alt. 기구의, alt. 기구의, alt. 기구의 alt. 기구의 the leading form, have the accent on the penultimate (Art. 117. 1.).
- 2. Now, as the pronunciation of the leading forms of these words would, in many cases, be exceedingly difficult, an additional vowel, (\cdot) $S\bar{e}g\delta l$, for the most part, is introduced for the purpose of obviating that difficulty (Art. 108.): e.g. instead of saying $\neg p \ni$, (where it would scarcely be possible to enounce the \neg) by introducing

^{*} As the vowels (") and ("), (ד) and (-), may here be considered, respectively, as identical, the number of the forms will be reduced to four: viz.

I. בְּקָר , מִּקְר , מַּקְר , וּבְּקַר , מַּקְר , פַּקְר . See also Hoffmann's Syr. Gram., p. 239, &c., Halle, 1827.

- 3. In all cases, in which this class of words will, by the accidence of Grammar, receive any asyllabic augment, no necessity will exist for this Euphonic vowel, and then, either the primitive or the alternate form of the word will be used: as, אַלְבִּי his king; בַּילְבִי his king; בִּילְבִי his king; בִּילְבִי (from the alternate form אַלְבִים kings, when not in the state of construction. So also in the feminine form, בַּילְבִּים a queen, which in the plural number will take the alternate form אָלְבִיֹם, as before. The (-) becomes (-) Kāméts, by Artt. 97. 136. So also אַלְבִיל his shoulder, from אַלְבִיל his shoulder, from אַלְבִיל his holiness, from אַלְבִיל his holiness, from אַלְבִיל his holiness, from אַלְבִיל (Art. 33, &c.)
- 4. As we have already laid down the rules relating to the formation of the feminine gender of nouns (Art. 135.), of the dual and plural number (Artt. 138, 139, &c.), to the state of construction (Art. 143.), and to the inseparable pronouns as affecting the forms of the nouns (Art. 145. 5.), it is now our intention to shew in each form, as far as it may be necessary, in what way the vowels are affected by these circumstances. We have chosen this method of detailing what has usually been termed the mutationes punctorum, because it appears to be the only

one likely to be of any service to the Student. In the grammars of Buxtorf and others of his school, it was customary to give a considerable number of rules on this subject with examples; and then to leave the Student to make his way as well as he could. But, as the analogy of the syllabication and of the forms of words are the only sure guides, little use could be made of those rules, until the Learner had become familiar with these; and, when this was done, the rules themselves were almost useless. In the more elaborate grammars of modern Germany, the analogy is first taught, and then the nouns are divided into a certain number of declensions. This, however, seems to be labour thrown away; for, when the Student is once made acquainted with the general laws of syllabication, and the forms of words, a further classification of these forms must rather tend to confuse than to instruct him. But, supposing this not to be the case, still the labour is multiplied; and, as far as I can see, for no useful purpose.

I have been agreeably surprised to find, upon turning over the Rabbinic grammars of D. Kimkhi and some of those who succeeded him, that under the *forms* of the nouns, the changes of the vowel-points are in all cases given; which, indeed, had appeared to me the most rational way of proceeding. In conformity with this principle then, it is my intention to proceed to the classification of the nouns, beginning with those termed *Segolate*, giving at once all that appears to be necessary for the information of the Student, and adding such notes on the different forms as the circumstances of each case may seem to require. Having already given a classification of the forms of the Segolate nouns, we shall now proceed to exemplify them.

On the First Species of Segolate Nouns of the forms 기구의,

]	FORMS.	EXAMPLES.	Sr.	ATE OF CON	STRUCTION.
פַקד		קלֶהְ a king.	Fem. Gen. בולבה a queen.	Masc. پاڑ	בַּלְכַּת מַלְכַּת
פַקד	ate Form,	מ א בור a man (Ch	ald. Syr.) ——	ּנְבָר	none.
יי פַּקּד	פַקד	ם writing.		בְּתָב	none.

- 5. The Absolute masculine plurals (Art. 139. 4.) of all Segolate nouns, except those only which have (1) אלהים for one of their vowels, take the form of בְּקְרָים; feminines take that of בְּקְרִים. In the first case, therefore, we shall have מְלֶבִים, and in the feminine, from the alternate forms (Art. 148. 1.). And, so of all the others, whether of the masculine or feminine gender, with the above exception. The plural form for construction with other nouns, or with any of the inseparable pronouns, will follow the primitive form of these words (Ib. 3.). In the examples given above, we shall have בְּלְבִי, and בְּלְבִי, and בְּלְבִי does not occur in that situation. It should be observed, however, that the Chaldaïc and Syriac forms TPP or TPP are to be referred sometimes to one class and sometimes to another, of the Segolate nouns: thus, בְּקְבָּ is manifestly of the class בְּקָבּ, the plural in construction being בְּקָבּ; but בְּקַבּ; but plural of construction, no less than the forms found with the pronouns being בְּקַבּ; as, וֹבַּקְבָּן his silver; בַּסְבֵּיהֶם their pieces of silver.
- 6. The regular forms for the affixed pronouns singular and plural of the first form, are, מַלְכֵּי, מָלְכֵּי, מַלְכֵּי, מַלְכֵיהֶם, and, by analogy, though not occurring in the text, מְלְכֵיך, הָלְכֵי, &c. So

that the plural of construction,—that used in connection with the pronouns of the second and third persons masculine and feminine plural, will follow the primitive forms of these nouns; in all the other cases, they will follow the alternate form, 7,2, or 7,2, due regard being had to the laws of syllabication.

- 7. It should be remembered, that in every case in which a guttural letter is the second or third of such word, the accompanying or preceding vowel may be (-); as, "?! an arm (Art. 108.). For a list of nouns of this form, see the "Arcanum Formarum" of Simonis, p. 307, &c.
- 8. It should also be observed, that some Segolate nouns are, in their original forms, of the feminine gender (Art. 135. 5.): and that, generally, words need not receive the termination $\overline{n}_{i\tau}$, as distinctive of that gender, except such as relate to sex.
- 9. A considerable number of defective words may be referred to the Segolates, of which the following belong to this class in particular: מְנֵים death (prim. בְּיִבְיּב, Art. 108.), which, in construction, or with the pronouns, will take the form אוֹם (Art. 143. 6.): as, מֹרְתָּה בִּיבֶּינֶר the death of the child, Gen. xxi. 16. So בְּיבֶּינֶר בִּיבֶּינֶר בָּיבֶּינֶר בָּיבְינֶר בַּיבְּינֶר בָּיבְינָר בָּיבְינֶר בָּיבְינָר בַּיבְינָר בַּיבְינֶר בַּיבְינָר בַּיבְינָר בַּיבְינָר בַּיבְינָר בַּיבְינִר בַּיבְייר בַּיבְינִר בַּיבְינִר בַּיבְינִר בַּיבְינִר בַּיבְינִר בַּיבְינִר בַּיבְינִר בַּיבְינִר בּיבְינִר בַּיבְינִר בַּיבְינִר בַּיבְינִר בּיבְינִר בַּיבְינִר בּיבְינִר בּיבּינִי בּיבְינִר בּיבְינִיי בּיבּיי בּיבְיי בּיבּיי בּייי בּיבּיי בּיי

٦١٦ Arab. دور Art. 87. 1.).

- 10. Examples with a medial ': דָּיִדְ (prim. בְּיִדְ, Arab. (סְׁאֵב id. (Art. 87. 3.); בְּיִּדְ (prim. בִּיִּדְ, Arab. בִּיִּדְ, in constr. בִּיִּדְ, with pron. בִּיִּדְ, &c. (Art. 143. 6.). The plural now in use, viz. בְּּתְּיִם, is evidently derived from some other primitive.
- 11. Of Nouns doubling the last radical letter: בְּ a garden, prim. בְּבָּב, by (Art. 77.) fem. בְּבָּב id. (Ib.). And, with the pronouns, בְּבָּב, אָבָּ מָּבְּ מָבְּ בְּבָּבְי מֹבְּ for בַּבְּבָּי, and fem. בְּבָּבְוֹת, in order perhaps to avoid the too frequent recurrence of the same sound. The form of construction taken by the feminine is בַּבְּב, instead of בַּבָּב, probably for the sake of variety. So בַ יְּעִינִים pure

(prim. בְּרָבׁ Arab. יִיּרָ: fem. בְּרָהׁ, for בְּרָהׁ (Art. 109.) purity. In the plur of constr. masc. בֵּרֵי for בַּרָּה.

12. With a medial I and final S:—אוְשֵׁי, prim. form, and, by apocope, וְשֵׁי (Art. 74.) vanity; fem. אוֹשֵׁי destruction. So with ', אוֹבְּ prim. form, a valley. In constr. איב, and, by apocope, 'ב, and in construction, 'בּ ; pl. אוֹבָיצּב, and with the light affixes, אוֹבָיצּב thy vallies.

13. With a final וֹסר :- עַדַר (prim. עַדַר) eternity; so אָדוֹן for

אַרָּהָ (Art. 87. 2.) a bulrush; אַרוֹשְׁ swimming.

For further examples of this sort, the Student is referred to the

"Arcanum Formarum" of Simonis, sectio v. throughout.

149. Of the Second Species of Segolate Nouns.

Fo	RMS.	EXAMPLES				In Cons	TRUCTION.
Prim.	Usual Do.			m. Form.		Masc.	Fem.
		מַלֶּד	an age.	י מִרְרֵוּ	ociety.	מֹלֶב	הֶבְרַת
Alternate	form.	שָׁכֶּם	a shoulder.			ישֶׁכֶם	

Nouns of this species occur but rarely; and it is doubtful whether the last of these does not more properly belong to the primitive form אָבְקָּי, as we have it with the pronouns שִׁבְּעִי, אָבְעִי, &c. But, as a proper name (as some think) with the local היי we have אַבְעָי towards Shechem, Hos. vi. 9.

In the first example, we have הֶלְלֶּדְי, &c., with the pronouns.

150. Of the Third Species.

For Primitive.		EXAMPLES.	Fem.	In Cons Masc.	TRUCTION.
	פַקד & פַקד	חברת nard.	rem.	-	rem,
11: PT	शःल प्रजन	ם portion.	ים לֵקה id.	בולק	הַלְקַת
פַקד	פָּקֶד	מבל a vanity.	ארה kin.		

The absolute form of the plural is here, as before,

masc. and הַלְּקִים, fem.: as, חֲלָקִים, but חֲלָקִים does not occur. So הַבְּלִים pains; הַבְּלִים vanity, הַבְּלִים vanities, and so of others. The pronouns are affixed thus: הַלְּקִי הַלְיִם his portion; הַלְּקִי my portion; הַלְּקִי their portion. So fem. הַלְּקִי, הָלָקִי, &c. חֲבָלִי, חֲבָל of חֲבָלַי, הַבָּלִי, &c. חַבְלַי, הַבֶּל of הַבְּלַי, הַבָּל of הַבְּלַי, אָב.

אָטְתָּ, is with the pronouns הָטְאָבֶּם, &c. In the plur. abs. דְּטְאָיִם, constr. דְּטָאָיִם: with the pronouns,

תַּטְאֵינֶם, הַטְאֵינְוּ, &c., and so on.

3. The following are a few of the defective nouns, which seem to belong to this species: בְּוֹלֵ a fleece; (prim. בְּוֹלֵ Art. 77.), fem. בּוֹלֵ for בְּוֹלֵ , constr. בְּוֹלֵ , masc., in constr. בּוֹלֵ cuttings; בּוֹלֵ , the cud (prim. בְּוֹלֵ); בּוֹלֵ , the palate (prim. בְּיֵל , Art. 76.), with the pronouns בְּיֵל , בְּיֵל , בְּיֵל , בּיִל , בּיל , בּיִל , בּיל , בּיִל , בּיִל , בּיִל , בּיל , בּי

Formarum," p. 326, &c.

4. Chaldaic and Syriac words often occur of the form 기구 : as 키고구, Heb. form 키고구 silver; 기구구 counsel. So, in Hebrew, 기본과 instead of 기본과 elevation. See the "Arcanum Formarum," pp. 309, 310.

Of the Fourth Species of Segolate Nouns.

151. This, according to our arrangement, will comprehend all primitive nouns of the forms בְּקָרָ, and קבָּף, but of the latter no examples occur.

Forms.	EXAMPLES.		In Con	STRUCTION.
Primitive. ' Usual.		Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
ָּבָּקָר · :פָּקָר	נבֶע a lamb.	כִּבִשָּׂה	בֶּבֶשׂ	בִּבְשַׁת .
	excellence.	יָתְרֶה		יתרת

2. Here, as before, the plurals, &c., are regularly formed: as, pl. abs. masc. בְּבְשִׁי, fem. בְּבְשִׁי, the pl. masc. of construction does not occur in this example: but, for the fem. we have בַּבְשִׁי. The only instance in which this word occurs with the pronoun is Job xxxi. 20, בַּבְשֵׁי So בַּבְּשֵׁי a vow; בְּבָשֵׁי justice, righteousness; בּבְּשִׁי learning; בּבְּשִׁי confidence; to which many more may be added. Of the Defective nouns, בַּבְּשִׁי אָ מֹרְ מִּבְּשִׁי אָ מֹרְ הַּבְּשִׁי (Art. 75.); בְּבִּשִׁי for בַּבְּשִׁי (Art. 75.); the mouth (prim. בַּבְּשִׁי, the latter בּבְּשִׁי being dropped, Art. 74, and the first changed to ', Art. 80.). So, with the pronouns: בֹּבְשִׁי (Art. 75.) my mouth; בּבְּשִׁי his mouth, &c.: and, in the fem. plur. בּבְּשִׁי mouths, and masc. בּבְּשִּׁי The Learner should be informed, however, that it is often extremely difficult to say, to which of the forms such words as these belong; and, as it is of no very great importance to which they are attached, we shall on every occasion offer but a very few.

Of the Fifth Species.

152. These have the primitive forms אָבְּק, and אָבְּ, and constitute a considerable class of Nouns in the Hebrew Language.

F	ORMS.	EXAMPLES.		In Construc	TION.
	Usual Do.		Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
Alternate	לְּקֶּדְּ קּקָדָּ	holiness.	יאָכְלֶה meat.	קָדָשׁ	_
פְּקִד	פְּקִׂד	יקשט truth.	שׁאָם stink.	בָאשׁ	

- 2. Of this last form, perhaps, no other word occurs, unless the Infinitives of the form TPD are to be added: these, however, upon receiving one or other of the pronouns, assume the same form with the first, but are never found in the plural number.
- 3. The masculine plural of the first of these forms is regularly of the form בַּקְדִים: as, קַדְשִׁים; the substitute for Shevá preserving the original vowel of the word. In the plural of construction we have קַדְשִׁים; and with the pronouns singular and plural, קְדִשִׁים, &c.; pl. קְדִשִּׁים, אָרָשִׁין, all regularly

4. When the middle letter happens to be a guttural, the euphonic (*) becomes (-) (Art. 108.): as, カラ form, アブラ a pustule, &c., while in some instances the (*) remains: as, フラマ a tent; プラ the thumb.

5. In some cases Khōlém remains in the abs. pl. as, בּיִבְּיִּא ; as also in those which have not the grave pronominal affixes: as, אַרְבִּיִּא ; but, in construction and with those affixes, the first vowel is Kāméts Khātáph: as, בּיִבְּיִּא ōhŏlé, בּיִבְּיִּא , &c. which are regular. D. Kimkhi takes it to be Kāméts.*

6. בּהֹלְנוֹת the thumb, fem. forms the plural בָּהֹלְים, but does not occur with any of the pronouns. In the same manner are formed

^{*} Michlol, fol. זֹר, verso, which, according to him, is always the case when a guttural letter occupies the place of the second radical: as in מַּבְּיֵלֵי מִּבְּיִלְּהְ the tents of Edom, Ps. lxxxiii. זֹיִ בְּּבְּיִלְּהְ the defilings of the priesthood, Neh. xiii. 29; אַבְּיִלְּהְ twin roes, Cant. vii. 5; but ווֹבְּאַבְיִי וּבְּיִלְּהְ lb. iv. 5, is, he thinks, derived from a different primitive. I believe, however, that in the above cases, (ד) is Kāméts Khātúph, to be read as Khōlėm; and if so, there is no irregularity in the word, although there is a slight one in the syllabication.

the plurals of לְבִּה splendour, and יְבְּרוֹת front, presence: as, בְּרוֹת and יִבְּרוֹת; but these, according to D. Kimkhi, belong to some other form of the singular (perhaps בְּרוֹת, בָּרוֹן, &c.); as, בְּרוֹן, Amos iii. 10.

7. A few peculiarities prevail in the word $\overset{\bullet}{w} \overset{\bullet}{\to} ill$ savour, which deserve notice. Its original form seems to be $\overset{\bullet}{w} \overset{\bullet}{\to} \overset{\bullet}{\to}$; but, with the pronouns it is written $\overset{\bullet}{w} \overset{\bullet}{\to} \overset{\bullet}{\to}$

8. In those cases, in which the last letter is a guttural, the sound of o is lost: as, רְבִּיחִיהְ a spear, pl. בְּחִיה : but, with a pronoun it returns: as, בְּחִיה their spears; a way, makes אַרְחוֹר pl.

abs., but in construction אַרְהְוֹאָ.

9. Of the defective nouns, we have אָרָהָה for הָּהֹן emptiness (Art. 87. 2.); אָרָהָ for הְּהֹן, waste; הְּהָן for הָּהֹן fem. bitterness; to

which many others may be added.

10. These nouns are regularly abstracts in signification (Art. 146. 8.): and, in many instances, where they appear not to be so, some translated sense takes its place: as, אל מוֹני a king, which originally meant possession, dominion, or the like, but which, in process of time, seems to have lost its primitive force. Perhaps it still retains that sense in Proverbs xiv. 28.

בָרַב־עָם הַדְרַת־מֶלֶדְ וּבְאֶפֶס לְאֹם מְחִתַּת רָזְוֹן:

"In the multitude of people is the glory of rule;
But in the diminution of a nation is the stroke of poverty."

In this case is opposed to it, which seems to me to prove, that rule or dominion is the sense in which it must be taken. Some indeed have proposed to read prince, at the end of the second hemistich, but this will be unnecessary when we restore is to its primitive signification. In like manner the word which means power, rule, or the like, has been applied to the Emperor of Constantinople; and in our own lan-

guage we use Justice, Magistrate, Lordship, and many similar expressions, which were originally abstract nouns. See also Hosea x. 15.

On the Forms of the Second Class of Primitive Nouns, which are not Segolate.

153. Having laid down the different forms and inflections of the primitive nouns which are termed Segolate, we now come to others which are also primitive, but which have not the peculiarity of punctuation found to prevail in them; and which, moreover, have the toneaccent on the last syllable: and as it will be unnecessary, to give the forms of the plurals in all cases-of the noun when in the state of construction, or when in connection with the several pronouns; we shall only point out the instances in which any difficulty may arise, either from a vowel being immutable, or any other cause, which will be all the Learner can want. The following are the forms peculiar to this species of nouns: viz. I. פָּקָד: II. פָּקָד: V. : פָּקָד: V. : פָּקָד: VI. : פָּקָד: VI. : פָּקָוּר: VII. : פָּקָוּר: VII. : פָּקָוּר: VII. : פָּקָוּר: VII. : פָּקָוּר: VII.XI. אַכְקוֹד.* The feminine forms will be given in their places.

Forms.		Examples.		
Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.	
בּקָד וּ	פַּקּדָה	יקר value.	יָקרָה id.	
בַּקָד .11	פָּקדָה	מודה a word.	דקקן righteousness.	
	פַקּקֶת, ייס		שְׁתֶּרֶת abundance.	

^{*} If, however, we consider the forms, בְּקְר and בְּקְר and בְּקְר and בְּקְר and בְּקְר and מְקְר and בְּקְר and בְּקְר and בְּקְר and בְּקְר and בְּקְר and בְּקְר , respectively, identical, the number of the forms will be reduced to six.

- 2. As the last example exhibits the feminine form generally used in construction, nothing further need be said about it. In the first two, we have no vowel which can be said to be *immutable*. The plurals therefore and pronouns will take those forms, which a regard to the vowels and accents would suggest as proper: and the rule seems to be, that in every case, the vowel farthest removed from the accent will be rejected; as, דְבָּרִים, in constr. בְּבָרִים, (Art. 143. 3.) with the pronouns בְּבָרִים, הִבְּרִים, הִבְּרִים, אָרָבִרִים, אָרָבִרִים, אָרָבִרִים, אָרָבִרִים, אָרָבְרִים, אָרַבְּרֵים, אָרָבְּרֵים, אָרַבְּרֵים, אָרַבְּרֵים, אָרַבְּרֵים, אָרָבְּרֵים, אָרָבְרֵים, אֹרַבְּרֵים, אֹרָבְּרֵים, אוֹר אוֹרַם, אוֹר אוֹר אוֹר אוֹר אוֹר אַרַיִּים, אוֹר אַרַיִים, אָרַבְּרֵים, אוֹר אַרָים, אֹרָבְרֵים, אֹר בְּרֵים, אָרָבְּרִים, אַרָּבְרֵים, אוֹר אַרִים, אוֹר אַרִים, אֹר אָרָבִיים, אָרָבְּרִים, אוֹר אַרָים, אוֹר אָרָב, אַרְיּבְרִיים, אַרְיּבְרִים, אוֹר אַרְיִבְּיִים, אָרָב וֹרִים, אוֹר אַרְיבִים, אָרָבְיִים, אוֹר אַרִים, אַרְיבְּרִים, אוֹר אַרְיבִּים, אוֹר אָרִים, אִרְיבְּרִים, אַרְיבְּרִים, אַרְיבְּרִים, אַרְיבְּרִים, אוֹר בְּרֵים, אַרְיבְּרִים, אַרְיבְּרָים, אַרְבְּרָים, אַרְבְּרָים, אַרְבְּרָים, אַרְבְּרָים, אָרְבְּרָים, אָרְבְּרָים, אָרְבְּרָים, אַרְבְּרָים, אָרְבְּרָים, אַרְבְּרָים, אָרְבְּרָים, אַרְבְּרָים, אַרְבְּרָים, אַרְבְּרָים, אַרְבְּבְיִים, אַרְבְּרָים, אַרְבְּרָים, אַרְבְּרָים,
 - 3. In some instances the first (ד) is immutable, but in these it is believed to occupy the place of א: as שַּׁקְשָּׁ a horseman; Arab. פֿלּרָשִיּרִם; pl. בְּּרָשִיִּים.
- 4. Nouns of these forms are generally Concrete, and will therefore designate substances: as, 미국복 a man; 기구크 hail; 기반크 flesh: epithets; as, 미국국 a wise man; 기반크 a wicked man.* In some cases they seem to be abstract: as, 왕국국 weeping; 기구빗 crying out, &c.; but this may take place by the operation of a metonymy, just as the contrary effect sometimes takes place in the Segolates (Art. 152. 10.).

^{*} Whether the Hebrews ever considered words of this kind as adjectives, as we do, I very much doubt. But, whatever may be said on this subject, it is much more convenient, in considering the structure of this language, to treat them as epithets, including a substantive with their attributive properties, as in the English word fool, &c. See the Syntax.

Forms.			Examples.		
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.	
III.	FAL	פַּמָנֶת ייּ פְּמֵנֶת	a fence. לוֹבָ stolen.	ינר יי פְּבֶרֶה id. גולה id.	
IV.	פָּקִד	ָבְּקֶנֶתְ or בְּקֵנֶת		מפלה darkness.	
		פַקרות once		heaviness.	

- 5. In these forms, which are very nearly related to each other, the second vowel is generally immutable, the first not so: as, בְּבִילְ his fence; בְּבִילְ thy (fem.) fences; her fences; pl. fem. בְּבִילְים fences. This being known, the vowels proper for construction, &c., are also known. In a few instances we have a inserted: as, ווֹנְיִי littleness; שְׁבִיין tranquillity; בְּבִילְם escape, which seems to account for the attendant vowel's being immutable; and also to suggest, that some affinity exists between this and the next form.
- 6. The signification peculiar to these forms, is that of habit, custom, passion, whence they have by some been termed participles. Those of the third are found in words signifying feeling, perception, or the like, and are often used as roots of verbs having this sense: as, yan willing; naw rejoicing, &c.

	Fo	RMS.	EXAMPLE	S.
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
v.	פַּקִיד	פָקידָה	a prophet.	a kiss.
VI.	פֿלוב	id.	a libation.	מַלִילְר, an action.
VII.	בְּקוּד	פַּקוּדָה	learned.	יול למודה id.
VIII.	פָקוּד	id.	boasting.	prediction.

7. In all these cases the 'and inserted are immutable, the other vowel is not: the plurals, &c. are, therefore, formed accordingly. In some instances the 'or 'will be

omitted, and their places supplied by () or () respectively; in all which these vowels will remain immutable as before, for the purpose of preserving the original form of the word: as, שְּלֵּחָ masc. בּשְׁלֵחְ fem. darkness. So בּשְׁלֵּחְ fem. for לַעְצֶּרְ advice (Art. 76.); and בּשְׁלֵחְ a visitation, being visited, &c.

8. Forms V. and VI. are very nearly allied to the foregoing both in form and signification; and from these, the forms VII. and VIII. scarcely present a shade of difference.* Simonis indeed makes a difference, but it is perhaps only an imaginary one. To the two last he ascribes the sense of past time, as if the action, of which this form is usually said to present the object, took place at some distant period; but, innumerable instances may be pointed out, in which it has a present or a future signification according to the context. The truth seems to be, that each of them implies habit, custom, &c., of such action, &c., as the root may signify; but, that in some roots, the one form is found to prevail, in others, the other.

FORM	s.	EXAMPLES.	
וא. Masc.	Fem. פוקדה	Masc. יוֹרֶל descending.	Fem. וֹרְדָּה id.
	פּוֹקֶדֶת		יוֹרֶדֶּת id.
בְּקְוֹד .x	פקודה	great.	id.
או. בְּקוֹד	פָּקוֹדֶה פָקוֹדֶה	ענונ luxurious. the arm.	יענגה id. בשורה good news.
	פְּקוֹדְית •·		מּלִקְית a sort of dish.

^{*} So יְלְיִד and יְלְיִד born, a son; בְּקִיד and בְּקִיד and בְּקִיד and יְלִיד and יְלִיד and יְלִיד and יִלִיד and יִלִיד born, a son; בְלִיד and marginal reading will have the other.

- 9. In these forms the i Khōlém only is immutable. We shall have therefore in the plural, &c. שְּלֵקְלָּיִם masc. מּוֹקְלִים fem. and בְּלֵּלְיִם masc. בּלִּלְיוֹח fem., which will also be the forms proper for the state of construction, and for the pronominal affixes.
- 10. Of these forms a few nouns will be found abstract in signification. אוֹם, with its feminine, is generally used as an agent. Sometimes the feminine occurs as, בּוֹרָהָּדּה fructifera: (Art. 136. 5.).
- 11. It may be taken as a general rule, that whenever a lor lor one of their equivalents (log or (log), happens to be found in one of this class of primitive words, that vowel will remain unchanged under all circumstances. The reason is: these vowels principally constitute these forms.

LECTURE VII.

OF THE AUGMENTED HEBREW NOUNS.

154. Having considered the *Primitive* nouns of both kinds, we now proceed to the *Augmented* ones, which may be classed under four heads. I. Those found to double any letter or syllable, which hence may be termed reduplicated. II. Those which are augmented by one or more of the letters contained in the technical word האכות I have believed, reduplicating, or not, at the same time, any of their letters or syllables. III. Nouns compounded of two or more others, each of which may be otherwise augmented, or not: and, IV. Foreign words.

- 2. It is evident that whenever any addition is made to a word, some modification will be made in its sense. difficulty consists, however, in determining the law by which this process of augmentation is carried on, and thence ascertaining, what is the precise force of every form thus modified: and, it must be confessed, that although a considerable number of instances occur in most languages, in which we can clearly perceive a peculiar force attendant on certain specific forms; yet, there are others, in which no such power is discoverable; others again, in which one form is used for another, and even different forms linked together in the same phrase, or corresponding to one another in the parallel parts of the same context. To this may be added the figures of speech, which exercise a very considerable influence on the significations of words, and conspire very much to disconcert both the Learner and the Learned in enquiries of this nature, Still we must not throw away all the helps we have, merely because they will not conduct us to absolute knowledge in every case: besides, further enquiry may throw much new, and even sufficient, light on this subject. We shall, therefore, follow the course just marked out, in detailing the forms of augmented nouns.
- 3. Writers on this subject seem generally to agree in the following principles, viz. That, by augmentation will be expressed either intensity, frequency, duration, defect, or the like, of that action, passion, habit, &c. which is contained in the signification afforded by the primitive word:—that, in some instances, a word which is intransitive, will, by adding a letter, become transitive; as in the English words, rise and raise, which in Hebrew will be given in 다. (for 그것), and 다한 이 다. A similar effect is produced in Hebrew by prefixing a letter: as, 마다. which will also signify raise, the root being by rise. In many cases, however, as already remarked, the real force of the augment is not perceptible; and in these, we must be content to abide by usage. As we proceed, however, it is my intention to offer some conjectures on the origin of the different augments; and, should I not succeed in producing conviction, I shall certainly gain my point in another respect, namely, in impressing upon the Learner's mind, the different forms with which he will meet. Besides, by attempting to ascertain what these additional syllables really are, and what they mean, some light may be thrown on the manner in which language in

general has been constructed.—It is my belief, that language has grown up, in a great measure, out of necessity; and, that words which now exceed their primitive length, must have been made so by the addition of others qualifying or otherwise modifying their significations, as circumstances should require. In process of time, many of these additional words may have become attached to others, and so abbreviated as greatly to obscure their original forms and significations: e. g. The English word attempting, seems to be compounded of at, (ad, whatever that word was originally) tempt, and ing. The last component part is probably the same with the Latin Ens, or Greek &v, so that each part of the word might originally have stood singly, but is now so compounded as to stand for one. In such words as incomprehensibility, transubstantiation, &c., I suppose we have not fewer than five or six primitive words confounded together in one.

4. The Greek and Latin prepositions, with which so many words are compounded, were perhaps at first significant words: and, it strikes me as most probable, that their terminations of case and gender, no less than the variations found to prevail in the conjugations of their verbs, were all, at some time, significant words, which have been so attached to the root, as to supply the sense which these conjugations, &c. now bear. It may, indeed, be impossible now to ascertain exactly what they were; still the attempt to do so, cannot but be attended with beneficial results.—

To proceed, the following tables will exhibit the forms with some examples of the first class of these nouns.

Of Reduplicated Words, Intensitive, or otherwise modified in their Signification.

I	ORMS.	Exam	PLES.
Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
בּקד וּ	פַקֶּרֶת	עקש very perverse	e. אָנֶּלֶת great folly.
פַקר זס	פקדת or	quite dumb.	prompt obedience.
	פַקדָר ייס	teaching. לַמֶּד	baldness.

5. To this form may be referred all those nouns, which, on account of having a 7 or 7 for their middle radical letter, and therefore not convenient for reduplication (Art. 75.), double the last:

as, שוֹקְק שׁוֹקְק מּשׁוֹלְן desiring, fem.; שוֹקְק id., root עוֹל ; שוֹּק מּשׁוֹקְק מּשׁוֹלְן מּשׁוֹלְן שׁוֹלְן וּשׁׁוֹל ; שוֹּלְן מּשׁׁוֹלְן וּשׁׁיל desiring child, root עוֹל . The same form is also found in words derived from roots having the second and third radical letters the same: as, שׁבְּשׁׁ mɨc fem. שׁבְּשׁׁ desolate, desolation, root שׁבְּשׁׁ. The passive forms of these will be שִׁבְּשׁׁ מִשְׁלָּלְ, אָנֹרֶלְּל , שׁוֹלֶל , שׁוֹלֶל , שׁוֹלֶל , שׁוֹלֶל , שׁבְּשׁׁ מְשׁבְּשׁׁ מִשְׁלָּב , אַנִּבְּשִׁ מּ wheel, pl. אַנַבְּיִם a field-mouse, pl. שִׁבְּנִים time, pl. וְמַבְּנִים , &c.

6. Some one vowel will, in all these cases, necessarily be immutable; the other occasionally so: e. g. אַרָּקְשָׁי &c., pl. abs. עַּקְשָׁי, constr. עַּקְּשָׁי. The pronouns, therefore, will be affixed accordingly. Under this head may be placed all those verbal nouns, which are generally arranged as the infinitives, &c., of the Pihel conjugation.

7. As this form is taken for the root of one of the species of the Conjugation, some account should be here given of its force.

It has been laid down as a rule, that verbs which are intransitive in the first species, are generally transitive in this: as, אל למד he learned; he taught. The same property prevails in the

Arabic: علم he knew; علم he taught. This they term the transitive property; التَّعَدِيثُة. The other dialects also recognise this property.

8. Another property is, the becoming either in reality, or in estimation only, that which the primitive word signifies: as, אַבָּבְּי he became, or was adopted as, the first-born, from the primitive first-born: but this word, when used of trees as producing fruit, will mean prematurity.

Under this head may be placed all those cases, in which is intimated a Declaration, Announcement, Accusation, &c., of what the primitive word signifies: as, Nan pronouncing, or accusing another of being, unclean; nother of perhaps, Nan pronouncing, confessing, &c. sin. And hence by a metonymy, expiating it.* These properties are termed by the

^{*} This property is termed by the Arabic Grammarians when deprivation:

as, جلاتة I skinned him, or deprived him of his skin. Lumsden's Arab. Gram., p. 178. See also his Pers. Gram., vol. i. p. 230.

Arabian Grammarians, التَّسَمية changing, and قوس التَّمَالُ السَّمية the man became Bowed, or bent like a Bow. Where the English word bowed is similarly formed from bow: 2, צֹבוּל l vilified Zaid, i. e. pronounced him to be so; בֹבוּל he called Zaid an infidel; calling one a coward, &c. Of this kind are אַלַרְרָּל they shall bless me, Gen. xxx. 13; i. e. they shall declare that I am blessed; אַלְרָרָל he shall not make innocent, i. e. declare to be so, Exod. xx. 7. The same may be said of all those passages given in this form, in which God is said to have hardened Pharaoh's heart. See Exod. iv. 21, ix. 12, x. 20. 27, &c., compared with Exod. vii. 13, 1 Sam. vi. 6, &c.*

^{*} Hence from the noun אַבְּרָק just, we have the verb in this form אַבָּרָן he pronounced, estcemed some one just, i. e. he justified him, e. g. Jer. iii. 11. המשם הוקד she justified herself. See also Job xxxiii. 32, &c. See also Buxtorf's Chaldaic and Talmudic Lexicon under 721. Greek verbs in άζω, ίζω, εύω, ύνω, άνω, έω, άω, όω, &c. have frequently this force: so Δικαιόω or Δικαιῶ in the New Testament; so also 1 Cor. i. 20, ἐμώρανεν ὁ Θεος την σοφίαν του κόσμου τούτου, He hath made foolish, i. e. pronounced to be so, the wisdom of this world. So also with the verb ποιέω. which will give an equivalent sense, John xix. 7, ξαυτὸν ὑιὸν τοῦ Θεοῦ έποίησεν, He made himself the Son of God, i. e. He declared himself to be so. In a similar manner the verbs in the gave, appointed, and by he placed, laid down, or the like, will intimate the mere declaration of something done or to be done, e.g. Gen. xxvii. 37. בָּרִיר שַׂמְהָיוֹ I have laid him down, declared him, great. וְאֵת־כָּל־אֶחְיו נָתַתִּי לוֹ, and all his brothers have I given to him, i. e. have declared shall be his. See Gen. xxxv. 12. Comp. Exod. xii. 25. So 1 Kings xxii. 23. נְתַן יְהוָה רוּחַ שָׁקָר Jehovah hath given a spirit of lying, i. e. has declared that it is so. See Prov. ix. 9, where in give, in the first number, is explained by Dir make known, in the second. See also Ezekiel xx. 24-26. Other verbs too, not of this form but expressing some action, are occasionally used to signify a declaration of that action only; as in Jer. i. 10: to root out, to pull down, &c. Hosea vi. 5, I have hewed them by the prophets, &c. i. e. I have declared that they are, or shall be, hewn. See Glass. Phil. Sacr. Lib. III. Tract. III. Canon XV., &c. Storr. p. 26, &c.

9. Another property of this form is, to intimate Intensity, Abundance, or Frequency, of the action or passion signified by the primitive word: 기구학 he broke; 기구학 shivered or broke to pieces; 기구학 it shot up, grew; 기구학 it shot up quickly and abundantly. Equiva-

it shot up, grew; المنافع it shot up quickly and abundantly. Equivalent to this, is the property termed مُرَّدُ of the Grammarians of Arabia: as, عَرَّلُ he praised him very much; أَحَّرُ he exercised his horse very much; مرح الحق the truth became very apparent, &c.

10. In a few instances also, this form is thought to have a causative signification: as, I phe walked; I he caused another to walk, &c.

FORMS. EXAMPLES. Fem. Masc. Fem. Masc. Fem.

11. אַפּוּרָה פֿקּוּד פּתּוּדָה פּקּוּד פּתּוּדָה פּקּוּד פּתּוּדָה פּקּוּד or בַּתּוּדָה פַּקּוּד or אַשְׁרִּר פַּקּוּד amarching. בּנּרָר of a wound. שׁבּוּלָה bereaved. שׁבּוּלַ id.

The vowels will in every case here be *immutable*; the plurals, &c., will therefore be regularly formed, פַּקּוּדְים, פַּקּוּדְים, &c.

To this form are referred some words having '- between the first and second radical letters, which is thought to be a mere compensation for the omission of Dāgésh: as, פְּדְוֹן for זְבֹּין a spear; בִּיְדְוֹן invasion; בִּיִלְוֹן a fetter; and, according to some, אַיִלְוֹן Shiloh.

FORMS.

Masc.

Fem.

Masc.

Masc.

Masc.

Fem.

Masc.

Masc.

Fem.

Masc.

Art. 45. 109.).

11. Here, as before, the vowels are immutable. No feminine form occurs.

FORMS.

Masc.

Fem.

Masc.

Masc.

Fem.

Masc.

Masc.

Fem.

Type or חַקָּבָּ a thief.

Type or חַקָּבָּ a farmer.

Type enquiry.

Type id.

Type sterility.

- 12. These nouns imply constant habit or the like, and are used to designate trades, professions, &c.*
- 13. Both vowels are here necessarily immutable, the first on account of the syllabication, the second because it seems to supply the place of א, by analogy: as, שֵׁקְהַ an artificer (Art. 153. 3.), pl. בְּשִׁיִקְה, and in construction מֵלְהוֹי artificers; הְבָּי a mariner, pl. בִּיבְּיים, with pron. בִּיבְיה their mariners. A few instances occur in which (י) occupies the place of (-) or (·): as, הַּבְּיִבְּי (Art.87.6.) and הַּבְּיבִי perturbation. To the feminine forms Simonis adds בּיבְּיבִי of which two examples only occur, viz. בּיבְּיבִי coagulated milk, according to him, Job vi. 6; and בּיבִּיבִי terror, Ib. xxi. 6, &c. But these are probably compound forms.

	Forms.		EXAMPI	ES.
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
v.	TP2	פַקדת	TP \ trained, accustomed.	spelt (herb).
		בָּקוֹדֶת		a coat.
	,	rarely.		taken.

- 14. Simonis places אַלְּיִלְּהָ Judg. xiii. 8, under this form; but nothing can be more probable than that this is the third person singular of the verb, construed with הוו in the sense of אַלְּיִבָּי, and to be understood as a future tense; e. g. אַלְּיִלְּהָ who should be born. Perhaps the word does not occur construed as a simple noun in any case.
- 15. Under this head may be classed all those nouns which are generally arranged as infinitives of the Pūhál conjugation, and which may therefore be considered as passives corresponding with the active forms, 729, &c.
- 16. In all these cases, the first vowel is necessarily immutable; the last not so universally: but, as examples do not occur sufficiently numerous to decide this question, we can say but little about it.

^{*} The same forms have the same force in Arabic: as, and perfumer, and is a baker; from and perfume, and is breud. So in Syr. and Chaldaïc is Chald. So in Syr. and Chaldaïc is Chald. So in Syr. as singer, &c.

112

17. These forms are thought to be intensitive of those given under the preceding class (Art. 153.): but whether such force is always to be found when they occur is doubtful.

Of those Nouns which are said to be reduplicated by implication (Art. 109.)

155. These are nouns which are thought to have an intensitive signification, without presenting any reduplication either in the vowels or consonants: but, as they generally have a perfect vowel, occasionally immutable, in the penultimate, it has been supposed that this presents a compensation for the Dagesh which has been omitted. The following are their forms:

Forms.			EXAMPL	ES.
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
I.	פַקד,	יפֿללטי.	unknown. בֶּבֶר	a dish.
	or פֿיקד		a temple.	

2. For further examples see the Arcan. Form. p. 202, &c.

EXAMPLES. ערנב a musical instrument so called. II. מונר a fetter. אריה a stable, stall, &c. vacillating.

3. With respect to the inflection of these words, it may be remarked, that the perfect vowel (") in the first form, is sometimes immutable, at others not so. No rule, therefore, can be given,

upon which reliance, as to the plurals, &c., can be placed. With respect to the other forms, whenever the or remains, its vowel also remains unchangeable; but, when it drops, the defect may be compensated by inserting the point Dagésh in the following consonant, as it is the case in the feminine הווף, in which the perfect vowel is supplied by its corresponding imperfect one; e.g. for Tigs, we have Tigs. So also, vice versa, letters doubled by the insertion of Dagésh, will frequently lose this point, and then the preceding imperfect vowel will change into its correspondent perfect one. Hence it is, that these forms are supposed to contain an implicit reduplication, (Art. 109.), or, in other words, to be forms equivalent to those which have the middle radical letter doubled by a Dāgésh forte. But, as the nouns of this class are few, it is scarcely possible to glean from the usage of the language, what vowels are mutable and what are not so. For the same reason, it is impossible even to say, whether we are right in all cases in our classification of them.

On the Augmented Nouns, termed הַאֶּמֶנְתִּי Hē-emantí.

156. In nouns of this description one or more of the letters contained in the technical word הַאֵּבֶנְהָּ (Art. 147. 3.) will be found attached to one or other of the preceding primitive or augmented forms, for the purpose apparently of varying the signification. That these are fragments of words I have no doubt: but, what they originally were, it may now be exceedingly difficult to say. I may, perhaps, be excused if I offer a few conjectures on this subject, hoping, that although I may not succeed to the extent that may be wished, I shall, nevertheless, afford something likely to fix these forms more permanently in the mind of the Learner; and this is my principal object.

2. Of these letters (viz. האמות) one or other will be found at the beginning of words; while ה, י, ה, ו, or ה, will be found at their ends. In some instances, words are augmented by one or more of these letters,

both at the beginning and the end at the same time, having also the middle radical letter doubled by the operation of Dāgésh forte, as in the Participial forms of Hithpāhél, מְתַבַּקְרֵים, &c.

Of the Letters termed אָמֶנְתִּי, when prefixed to words.

157. Simonis* considers nouns having N or 'prefixed, as having some affinity in signification to those persons of the verbs which have these letters as preformatives; and the n as presenting a force nearly allied to that of the *Hithpāhél* conjugation. This may perhaps be true; but, as it leaves these conjugations, &c., unexplained, I have thought it would be better, to consider the force of these adjuncts in the nouns: because, if we can succeed in this, we shall have no difficulty whatever when we come to the verbs.

I suppose, then, that the letters n, n, or nn, are nothing more than abbreviated forms of some primitive words, which might have originally been written in full, and placed before others, for the purpose of modifying their sense. These, in process of time, might have lost some of their letters, and then have been compounded with others presenting the forms which we now have. If, therefore, we can now find words which may be thus abbreviated when joined with others, and giving the significations which such augmented forms seem to have, we shall perhaps make this subject more intelligible and interesting than it has usually been found to be.

^{*} Arcanum Formarum, p. 522.

[†] It is remarkable, that the causative and other words in the Coptic are formed in precisely the same manner. "Verba composita," says Scholz. Gram.

- 3. We may now consider the force of words thus augmented: and, as some of them are taken for the leading words of a certain species of the conjugation of verbs, it will be as well now to determine their properties.
- 4. If then the primitive word have an active sense, as, אַבְיי he heard, it will in this form have a causative one; as, ישָׁבְיי causing to hear: but, if the primitive be neuter, this form will be active in signification: as, אַבְיי he stood; הַשְּׁבִיי he set up; אַבְיי he was glad; הַשְּׁבִיי he rejoiced another.*
- 5. If, in the next place, the primitive be a noun substantive or adjective, it will, in this form, generally signify the being, making, becoming, doing, suffering, permitting, &c. the sense of the root: הַבְּרִיא he made fat; noun, בְּרִיא fat (Form V. Art. 153.); he used

Copt. § 86. e duabus vocibus coalescunt. In compositione verbum Ep esse, vel facere, ωεπ suscipere, cli ferre, si projicere, xest invenire, σι accipere, † dare, sæpissime occurrunt: e. g. ερογωιπι lucere, ex εp et ογωιπι lux ... ωεπείκλε, pati, ex ωεπ et sikλε, dolor, clipωογω curare, ex cli et pωογω cura, sicent fundare, ex si et cent fundamentum, xesikλt intelligere, ex xest et κλτ intellectus, σιωιπι pudeĥeri, ex σι et ωιπι pudor, †ωογ glorificare, ex † et ωογ gloria." In these cases the words prefixed have precisely the same power with those above proposed, and one, viz., si projicere, is very nearly allied both in sound and sense to our N or π, particularly in the Hiphhil form τις στο το π, δες.

^{*} These properties are also common to the Arabic: as, 1. أحفر ته نهراً Zaid dug a canal; احفرته نهراً I caused him to dig a canal; 2. يصر زيد عمل المعالم عمل المعالم المعالم

- 6. Another property is, the exhibiting, declaring, or esteeming, the person or thing designated by such word, as possessed of the quality intimated by the primitive: as, א הַצְּבְּיִיץ he declared, or esteemed another, just, from אַבָּיִיץ just; שִׁיעִי micked. So, in the Arabic, אַבּיִיץ wicked. So, in the Arabic, אַבּיִיץ I called him an infidel; בּיִּשִּׁיץ if accused him of sin. To this property may be referred all those passages, in which this form is used, and in which God is said to have hardened the heart, blinded the eyes, made the heart gross, deceived the people, &c.—where nothing more than declaring this to be the case can be meant.
- 7. Another property of this form is, excess, or intensity, of the action, passion, &c. afforded by the primitive

^{*} See Deut. ii. 28, 1 Sam. xxv. 22, Ps. cxix. 31, Is. lxiii. 17. The properties are all peculiar to the analogous forms in Arabic: see Lumsden's Arabic Gram., p. 173, &c.

⁺ Lumsden's Arab. Gram., p. 175.

[‡] Exod. vii. 3, x. 1, Deut. ii. 30, Is. vi. 10, comp. with Matth. xiii. 15. This property Storr refers to the influence of a metonymy. Observ. pp. 24, 28, &c., which he thinks amounts to nothing more than permission. See also pp. 194—5—6. This, however, leaves the matter nearly as unsatisfactory as it found it. Very nearly parallel to these Hebrew forms and usages, are those of Greek verbs terminating in άζω, ίζω, αίνω, ύνω, εύω, όω, and έω, many of which are manifestly derived from nouns: as, ἐορτάζω from ἐορτή, νομίζω from νόμος, σεμαίνω from σῆμος, εὐδύνω from εὐδός, παιδεύω from παῖς, δουλόω from δοῦλος, τιμάω from τιμή, φιλέω from φίλος, &c. See Glassii Phil. Sacr., Lib. iii., Tract iii., Canon xv. Note to Art. 152. 8.

word: as, אַבְּיֵלְ he was very red. So, in the Arabic, أَسُورُ اللَّهُ اللَّهُ الْصَبِهِ the morning was very bright; أَشُورُ ٱللَّيْخُلُ the palm tree bore much fruit.

- 8. Many verbs, not used in the first species of the conjugation (Lect. X.), have occasionally either a neuter or transitive signification in this form: as, הַשְּׁלֵיךְ he rose early; הַשְּלִיךְ he threw. Sometimes they will have both significations: as, אָלִיךְ he watched, or he roused up another; he sang, or he stimulated another to sing.*
- 9. Several of the properties belonging to this form are also common to that of 722, see Art. 154. 7.
- 10. When the ה is prefixed with (-) Khātúph, (-), or (ז), as in הַבְּקַד, or הַבְּקַד, or הַבְּקַד, the sense will be passive of one or other of the above-mentioned properties.†
 - be a fragment of the root RT, Syr. Land or land decent, becoming, benign, beautiful, excellent, inviting one to rest, &c., which, when compounded with any other word, may afford the sense of durability, strength, excellency, or the like, which Grammarians are generally agreed is the force of words so com-

^{*} And so often in the unaugmented forms, the force will at one time be transitive and at another neuter in the very same word.

⁺ It will perhaps be difficult to assign a good reason for the adoption of this vowel to give a passive signification to words in the Shemitic dialects. Nothing can be more certain, however, than that this is the fact. And, hence, we not only have it in the passive conjugations of verbs both in the Hebrew and Arabic, but it will also impart a sort of passive, or rather habitual, signification to some verbs conjugated in the active form: as,

he was strong; کُرم he was bountiful; سنے he was beautiful; he was exulted. So in nouns, אונים patient, habitually, &c.

bined; examples will be given in Art. 159. But, as no conjugation is grounded on this form, it will not be necessary to dwell very

particularly on it here.

12. We now come to the forms having \$\lambda \to \tau, \quad \text{N}, \quad \text{or} \quad \text{pre-fixed.}\$ These then we take to be derived from some word, which, when combined with any other, will give the modification of sense usually attributed to these forms. If we take \$\text{N}_1 \text{N} \text{ Chald.}\$ or Heb. \$\text{T}_1 \text{N} \text{ coming, arriving at,}\$ as the primitive word, and suppose \$\text{N} \text{N}, \text{N}_1, \text{or} \text{N}, \text{other n}, \text{or} \text{N}, \text{to be a fragment of it, we shall have a particle, which when prefixed to any word will give something like a passive or reciprocal sense, which all are agreed is the import of this form: e.g. \$\text{T}_1 \text{P}_1 \text{N}, \text{or} \text{or} \text{the Chaldee form, or } \text{T}_1 \text{P}_1, \text{will mean, coming, or as we say in English, becoming, visited; and will be either passive or reflective, &c., as the sense of the context shall require. So in Latin, amatum iri, in which the word iri is manifestly derived from the verb Ire, to go. The passives of the Persian, Hindustani, and probably of the Sanscrit, are formed in a similar manner.

Properties of the Conjugations grounded on this Form.

^{*} Lumsden's Arabic Grammar, p. 182—"Submission." Or, as the Arabic Grammarians define it, حصول اثر الشيع عن تعلق الفعل المتعدي اثر قد نحو كسرت الزجاج فانكسر ذلك الزجاج فان انكسار الزجاج اثر قد نحو كسرت الوجاء فانكسر الذي هو اثر الفعل المتعدي i.e. The impression made upon any thing by the action of a transitive verb: as, I broke the glass, and the glass became broken. Now, the glass's becoming broken,

Arabic species, to which this is nearly allied, we have the same property: as, عَمْمَتُهُ فَاغْتُمُ I grieved him, and he

expresses the impression made by the active verb breaking .- In the المطاوعة هي حصول الاثر عن تعلَّق الفعل :we have كتاب التَّعريفات المتعدي بمفعولة نحو كسرت الآناء فتكسر فيكون تكسر مطاوعاً اي موافقًا لفاعل الفعل المتعديّ وهو كسرت لكنّه يقال لفعل يدلّ i. e. Almotáwaat, is the receiving of an impression from the operation of an active verb upon its object: as, " I broke the vessel, and it became broken." So that (تكسر) it became broken is the Motāwaat (or Motāwia), i. e. it is the word corresponding to that of the agent of the transitive verb, which is here, I broke (کسرت); but the verb to which reference is made is termed Motawau (مطاوع) with the vowel a after the w: naming the thing in question by the name proper for that affected; i. e. the verb which has here the passive form is named by a word (مطاوع) which has an active signification, and vice versa. I have been the more particular in pointing out this distinction, because we shall have occasion to refer to it again, and because Mr. de Sacy has entirely misunderstood its force. In his Gram. Arab., vol. i. p. 104, we have the

nomme encore مطاوع comme le dit Giggeius: et en effet, Beïdawi, sur le v. 4. de la surate 42 ou on lit مطاوع تكاك السموات يتفطرن peu s'en faut que les والأول البحريان وأبو بكر باللون (Les deux lecteurs de فطر وهذا مطاوع فطر Basra et Abou-Becr lisent ينفطرن mais la première leçon est plus ener-

gique, parce que يَفْطَرُ est le passif de , et أِنْفُطَرُ et le passif de . فُطَرُ

was therefore grieved; أَوْمَعُ فَالْدًاءُ he reproached him vehemently, and he became reproached.

14. Secondly, To feign, pretend, exhibit, &c. that which the primitive word signifies: as, Josh. ix. 4, יצְטַיְרוּ they feigned themselves to be messengers; 2 Sam. xiii. 5, 6, הְתְחַלְּ he pretended to be sick; Prov. xiii. 7, מְתְרוֹשְׁיֵט, one boasting (himself) to be rich. So, מִתְרוֹשְׁיִט, one boasting (himself) to be rich. So, מִתְרוֹשְׁיִט, one feigning (himself) to be poor; אַתְּחַלְּחָלָ thou shewest (thyself) gracious; מִתְּבְּיִבּן thou shewest (thyself) perfect, Ps. xviii. 26. And, in Arabic, הַּבּרָבּרַלּ he pretended

Nothing, I think, can be less accurate than to class the term مطاوع with those of غير متعد , and الزم , as Mr. de Sacy has here done.

All that Beidawi means, seems to be, that يتفطرن is more elegant than مطاوع , because it is the مطاوع , ose the definitions above) of فطر , not of فطر , as the other reading is.

The real difference between neuter verbs, termed by the Arabs غير منعد, , &c. is, that they imply intransitive action, habit, or inseparable properties: as, beauty, deformity, colour, &c.; whereas مطاوع or خاوعة signify the accidental influence of any transitive verbs exerted upon their objects; which is the real difference between the Hebrew forms אַבְּקְּקָדְּדָּבְּיִי, as will be seen hereafter.

The real force, then, of this form will be best expressed by made, became, or the like: as in מְבָּבְּלָּתְ made to go, שְׁבְּבָּלְתְ became visited, &c.; which will lay the groundwork for the reciprocal sense, &c., the context always determining who is the agent.

* The fifth species which has often a passive sense; and constantly in the Ethiopic.—It was shewn, Art. 146. 8, that certain forms had occasionally an objective or subjective signification, according to the view in which they were taken; the same appears to be the case in these forms; and, hence it is, that these verbs have sometimes a passive, at others a reflective, and at others an active signification. The same is the case in the Niphhal form; and apparently for the same reasons.

satiety; تَرَجَّلْتُ ٱلْمِرَاةُ the woman assumed the manners of a man.

15. Thirdly, Frequency, or intensity, of the action or passion meant by the primitive word: as, אַרְהַרָּהַלָּה he walked about much, or continually; he solicited a favour with great earnestness. In Arabic בُבُّה he persevered in shewing bravery; he persevered in acquiring mildness. And in the eighth Arabic species, he persevered in acquiring; and, in the sense of earnest request, אַבּוֹר he demanded his hire.

16. Fourthly, In many instances the sense is the same as it would be, if the verb had been used in the primitive form termed Kal: as, אַרָּשְּׁתְּשֶׁר he kept the laws, statutes, &c. This also, with many other properties unknown to the Hebrew, is found in the Arabic.*

For the transpositions and other changes which take place in certain words, when augmented by the particles $n\pi$, or $n\aleph$, see Art. 83.

17. Nouns which receive \supset at the beginning will generally designate the *Instrument*, Agent, Action, Passion, State, Place, or the like, by or in which the influence of any verb is exerted, suffered,

This a curious fact that in the Arabic, the eighth species of the conjugation of the form לפֹשׁשׁל, equivalent in force to our הַהַבְּבָּקּה, has occasionally the sense of the first (פֹשׁשׁל); in that case the seventh, וֹפֹשׁשׁל mostly used. Now, this seventh Arabic species corresponds exactly with our בְּפָעָל (see No. 19.); and, the consequence will be, if these dialects mutually illustrate one another, that the Hebrew הַּתְּבַּקִּל will have the same, or very nearly the same, force: see Ps. ii. 2, where both occur in the same passage: and also that both will not be found under the same roots unless the הַתְּבַּקִּר have the force of the Kal: and, generally, these are found to be the facts of the case.

&c. respectively: as, מַבְּוֹלֶף an ax (root בְּוֹלֶ cutting); יחוב מוּ one visiting; מוֹלָם a place (root קוֹם standing).*

With respect to the reason for this variation of signification, Grammarians are generally silent. Simonis has supposed it to be equivalent to that which the preposition a similarly situated would supply.† I may perhaps be excused if I offer a different solution. If we suppose, then, that the word originally prefixed was either ים or מון who, מון or חם which, or what, as the sense may require, we shall have significations corresponding to those of the words of these forms, e. g. לֵילֶ בְּקֵּד he who visits, or is visiting; and, striking out the , for the purpose of abridging the word, we shall have as above. The same will be the case, if we take 700 10, for then the I will be lost by Art. 76. These are the forms which designate agents when the verb is transitive: and such are all the participial forms commencing with ". In the next place, if we take no or no that which, &c. and prefix it, contracted as before, we shall have מָבְוֹרֶה an ax, for בְּוֹרֶה that which (is a) cutting, &c. So, מְצַמֶּין a work, for נְיִה that which (is a) doing; and so of others.

18. We now come to give some account of the prefix 3, and of the modification of sense, which primitive words undergo in consequence of its influence. And here, as before, we may perhaps be allowed to offer a conjecture, as to its origin. If, then, we take it as the defective form of some primitive word, appearing sometimes as at other times as a only, we may suppose it to be derived from the root اني, which, had it been preserved in the Hebrew, might have been written אָבָה, הְבָּהְ , הְבָּהְ , הַבְּּאָר, or אַבָּאָר,. The senses attributed to it by Castell are, among others :-- "Ad extremum perfectionis terminum pervenit assecutus fuit, seu percepit. IV. Retinuit, detinuit, coercuit V. Lenitate, modestia et patientia usus fuit, &c." Supposing, then, this word, or some defective form of it, to be construed with any other, the sense of both taken together will, in general, give the force of the forms thus compounded. And, as this form of compound is often used as the leading word of one of the species of the conjugation, it becomes the more important to ascertain its properties.

^{*} Hoffman's Gram. Syr., p. 244.

⁺ Arcanum Formarum, p. 447.

19. First Property: Primitive words receiving this particle will have a sort of passive sense, or will exhibit subjection to the action implied by the primitive accidentally, but not habitually: and in this respect they differ from words of the form פָּקוֹד : as, בְּרְכוּ בָּדְ they (shall) become blessed in thee, Gen. xii. 2, i. e. in thy seed. So in the Hithpāhél, Gen. xxii. 18, וְהַתְּבַּרְכוֹ בְוַרְעָךְ, and they (shall) become blessed in thy seed; their state shall be changed to that of blessedness: but in the phrase, ברוך יהוָה blessed (is) Jehovah, we have no such intimation of accident or change : if, however, יהוה be added, as in Gen. xxvii. 33, the two forms will have the same force. So, will mean an hireling; but, גְשְׂבֶּר a person hired on some particular occasion. So I understand Neh. vi. 12, 13, סַנְבַּלֵם שְׂכָרוֹ : לְמַעַן שָׂכוּר הוֹא Sanballat had hired him, because he was an hireling; and 1 Sam. ii. 5, ישְׂבֶעִים בַּלֶּחֶם נִשְׂבָרוּ those who have been (habitually) full, are (occasionally) hired for bread.

This property is common to the Arabic, and is termed by the Grammarians, as before, مُطَارِعَةٌ : as, مُطارِعَةٌ he sent him, and he was sent; اَغْلَقْتُهُ فَانْغُلْنَ I shut it, and it was shut. (See No. 13, above.)

This property of the Hebrew form (as a verb) was pointed out many years ago by Elias Levita in a work entitled אָרְבָּיִבְּי,; but, as he supposed it also to involve a preterite tense, Glassius and others very properly objected; and, the consequence has been, two distinct forms, viz. אָרָבָּי, and אָרָבָּיִ, have been confounded together; and, what is still worse, a tense has been ascribed to each, which is more than can be proved of either of them.

20. Again: Words, receiving this augment subjecting them to the action implied by some primitive word, may, when the context requires it, be construed as having a reciprocal sense, or implying possibility, capability, exhibition, propriety, affectation, or the like,

of the action, &c. meant by the primitive : as, אנשׁמֵר he guarded himself, 2 Sam. xx. 10, Mal. ii. 15. To this property may be referred many passages, in which this form seems to have a neuter signification: as, בקרב he brings himself near, i. e. draws near, Exod. xxii. 7, Josh. vii. 14;—and, in a few instances, an active one: as, גלְחַם he fought; נִשְׁבַע he leaned upon; נִשְׁבַע he swore; he contended, disputed; יאָבֶל it is, or may be, eaten, Gen. vi. 21. So, לא־יַעשֶה בן it is not, may not, cannot, or ought not, to be done thus; he shewed himself honourable; אַדֶר he shewed himself glorious; he sighed. Such significations, according to European idiom, these passages seem to require, while in truth, became, or the like, will always satisfy the terms of the original. The subjective or objective force found respectively to prevail in these forms, was probably at first regulated by the view taken, in these respects, of the primitive noun. See Note on Artt. 146. 8. and 157. 14.

21. These properties are intimated in the Arabic by the terms الكروم والعلاج), necessity and remedy; which, Mr. Lumsden says, indicate "that verbs of this class must be intensitive by their own nature, and significant of actions performed by the members of the body:" but, the examples he adduces are not confined to this rule: he broke, is as much an active verb as any other can be; which, when thus augmented becomes passive, not neuter: nor has and intensity the market was unfrequented, any thing to do with the members of the body. I am induced to believe, therefore, that the terms الكروم والعلاء are to be understood as intimating the properties above-mentioned.

^{*} Arab. Gram., p. 187.

158. EXAMPLES OF THE FORMS ABOVE-MENTIONED, ARRANGED UNDER THEIR SEVERAL FORMS.

First Class of Heemanti Nouns, having an & prefixed.

- I. אַרְהָאָ and אַרָּהָא, fem. אַרְהָאָ, אַרְהָאָ home-born, indigenous, אַרְהָאָ (changing (ד) to (י) on account of the following אַרְהָא (changing (ד) to (י) on account of the following אַרְהָא (changing (ד), מֹים, אַרָּהָא (for אָרָהָא Art. 87. 5.) strength, אַרְהָא reward, wages, אַרְאָלָ מּ finger.
- II. אָבְּרְוֹם, the fist, אָבְרְוֹם the young of any bird, אֶבְרְוֹל sometime ago, yesterday, אָשְבְּוֹל grapes.
- III. אַפְּקָר, fem. אַפְּקָר, as, אַבְּקָר, an amethyst, אַפְּקָר very false, אַפְּקָר, recollection, אַפָּקר (for אַפָּקר Art. 73.) a granary, אַפָּקר (for אַבָּקר or אַבָּקר Art. 87. 1. and 76.) an animal so called.
 - IV. אַכְּהֵד ; as, אַבְּהֵשׁ a girdle, הַבָּי the locust.
- V. אַפְּקְוֹרָת, fem. אַפְּקְוֹרֶת; as, אַפְּקְוֹרָת a dunghill, אַפְּקְוֹרָת guard, custody.
- VI. אַפְּקְרִּד, fem. אַפְּקרִּדְּה as, אַפְּקרִּדְּ (for אַפְּקְרִּדּ, α cruet, אַפְּקרִּד, β guard, custody.
- VII. אָבָקּל; as, אַבְּלָּל or אָבָל (for אָנָבֶּל) a (never-failing) river.
- VIII. Some nouns which lose one of their radical letters by contraction assume the form of the Segolates: as, ㅋㅋ٠ (for ㅋㅋ٠) the palm of the hand.
- 2. Nouns of this class are few; and of the last form, perhaps, a second does not occur. It is curious enough to remark, how easily nouns, deprived of any of their vowels, slide into the Segolate forms. We shall see, hereafter, that the same thing often takes place in the conjugations of the verbs, in similar cases.—It will be seen that I have not entirely followed the classification of Simonis. My reason for doing so was this: he seems to me to have given some forms without examples to bear him out: and, in one case, he has given a form Tipes for which he has only one example, and that example he has also given in another class. (See Direct), pp. 547 and 639. Arcan. Form.)

159. Second Class of Heemanti Nouns having prefixed.

I. Form יְבֶּקְר ; as, הַבְּיִי oil, וְבְּקָר Isaac.

II. יַפַקר; as, יַנַכּה the ostrich.

ווו. יַנְיִיןְר (for יַנְיִיןְל) one who (יַנְיִיןְל (for בְּלְּדִי) one who expects, יָנִייְל an herald (for יָנִייְל), קרָן (for בְּלִייִן the thigh, יָבְיּ (for יַנְיִיִל) a disputant, יַנְּלְּדִי) a germ, stem, &c.

IV. יַפְקוֹד :* as, בַּיְבְלוֹם an adamant, אוֹנְשִׁיף an owl.

ע. בְּקְוּר a species of goat, בְּקְוּר a purse, בֹּקְוּר being

(for בַּקְרָהַ Art. 73.).

VI. יוֹבְלֵי: as, יוֹבְלֹי (for יֵוֹבְלֵי Art. 87. 2.) a constant river. And, according to some, the Segolate יוֹבְלֵי thigh (for יוֹבְלֵי), the last radical being rejected, and the (י) added, as in other Segolates, for the sake of Euphony.

2. In this class also is placed, as a special anomaly, the word וֹהְיוֹי Jehovah, with a caution, however, that these vowels do not exhibit the ancient pronunciation of the word. The Jews, as it is known to every one, never pronounce this word, but substitute either אַלוֹקִים or אֵלוֹקִים for it; and hence it is, that we sometimes find it pointed הווֹד, i. e. with the vowels proper for the word אלהים, which is invariably the case when אלהים and יהוֹה occur together. The reason is, the word אַדֹבְי would in this case be pronounced twice, which is not desirable; the word אַלהָיָם is, therefore, pronounced instead of it (see page 28, note). That Jehovah is not the ancient pronunciation most writers are agreed: but what that was it may be difficult to determine. Some have proposed אַבּוְרֵבְי Yāhavó, of the form לְּבְּוֹרָבׁ, as Capellus, Walton, Clericus, &c. Others have proposed הַנֶּהֶ, הַנֶּהָ, or הָנֶה, as Mercer, Montanus, Amama, Scaliger, &c., taking the Samaritan pronunciation of it, as preserved by Theodoret, Ἰαβέ.‡ Others again take the Latin Jove; but which of these is nearest to the original pronunciation, no one can say.

^{*} Simonis places "N" under this form: but as there is some difficulty in ascertaining the root from which it is derived, and as the word appears to be of Egyptian origin, it may as well be omitted.

⁺ See Art. 139. 7, note.

[‡] Simonis Arcanum Formarum, p. 545.

160. Third Class of Heemanti Nouns beginning with 7.

These forms, according to Simonis and Reimarus, as quoted by him, were not much used before the time of the Captivity. He takes the liberty, however, of excluding all the Infinitives of the Hiphhil conjugation, which I think he ought not to do; because, I believe these infinitives are nothing more than such nouns, upon which that species of the conjugation is constructed; and, if this be the case, there will be no reason why they should not be considered as forming a part of this class.

Examples.

I. Form : הַפְּקֵר or הַפְּקֵר ; as, הַבְּשֶׁל, rule, dominion, הַשְּׁבֵּל intelligence, הַשִּׁמְיִע proclaiming, causing to hear, הַסָבַב (for הַסָבַב) causing to turn, דְשָׁא (for הַפְּנִיץ) dispersing, הַבְּשָׁא (for הַבְּנִיץ) seduction. Roots ending in silent 7 often reject it in words of this kind, and take הו as their terminating syllable: as, מוֹבָּבֶּת and תַּרְבְּוֹת multitude, הַנְצְלְוֹת elevation, &c.*

II. הַּבְּקָּהְ ; as, הַבְּבְּה (for הַבְּנְקְה) tranquillity, הַבְּפָּח (for הַבְּנְקָה) liberation.

III. הַפְּקוּדְה ; as, הַפְּנִיה (for הַפְּוּבְּה) termination, cessation. IV. הַפְּקוּרָה; as, הַפְּקּקרָוּת relation,† &c.

ע. הַּבְּקְוּר ; as, הַתּוּה pouring out (pr. הַבְּקְוּר Art. 76.). עוֹ הַבְּקְוּר (for הַבְּקָת (for הַבְּקָת); as, הַבְּקְוּר (for הַבְּקָת) making war; and so all the Infinitives of the Niphhal species.

VII. הַּפְּקָר or הְפָּקָר; as, הְפָּקָר visited, appointed, הומָת slain (for הומת or הומת Art. 87. 2.). These forms have a passive signification (Art. 157. 10.).

2. It will immediately be seen, that the forms to which the particle is here prefixed, are by no means peculiar to the Hiphhil

^{*} These, however, are probably compound nouns, formed of the original root with אוֹ, a contracted form of אוֹם being, for חַוָּה. See Art. 139. 7. note.

⁺ Words having this termination are also compounds formed of the Heemanti noun, and the word nin contracted to ni by Art. 87. 2, &c. The signification of which words, ending in na and ni- is, that of the state of action or passion denoted by the primitive word; as, מַלְכָּוּת a kingdom, or state of reigning; First state, or beginning (Art. 87. 2. 4.).

conjugation, and consequently that no one can, without violating the principles of analogy, derive them from that conjugation. truth appears to me to be, that the Hiphhil conjugation is nothing more than the adjunction of one or other of the pronouns to words of the form Tip, &c. to which also the particle 7, (or 8 for the Chaldee form) is prefixed, for the purpose of varying the sense, as already noticed.

161. Fourth Class of Heementi Nouns commencing with 2.

ו. בְּכְּקָלָה, fem. מְנְבָּלְ, הִיקְבָּה, or מְכְּלָּקה: masc. מָנְבָּל *a tower*; fem. מִלְחָבֶה war, מִצְשַׁקּוֹה oppression, מִלְחָבֶה custody.

II. מְפַקֵּה ; for which Simonis gives only one example, and that

has suffered contraction: as, בַּסְבָּב for בַּסְבָּ.

 ווו. מְּלְּבֶּלְה, as, מִלְּבֶל, poor; with final ה, מִלְּבְּלְה a fan, &c.
 ווע, ביוְלְיוֹר a fan, פּבְּלְרוֹב, as, מִלְּבְּלְרוֹב, a psalm, מִשְׁלְלֶת a plummet.

ע. בְּפַקּקד as, מַלְּמָד a goad, מוֹתָר for מַלְמָד gain (Art. 87. 1.),

ל פַּפָל for מָנְפָּל soft, &c. (Art. 76.).

VI. מַכְּקָד ; as, מַחַבָּק (Art. 106. 2.) strangling.

VII. מַפְּקָּוֹד or מַפְּקָּוֹד, fem. מַפְּמָדָן; as, מַפְּמָד a slaughterhouse, מִוֹבְיּע for מִבְּבְּיל (Art. 87. 1.) a wonder, מַּרְבָּיל a vision, מַוְבָיע מַוְרָיע מִוֹבְּהָיל sterquilinium, מְוֹבְיּל (for בּלְתַּחְתֵּי) consternation, בְלִהָּתְ (for בַּלְתַּתְּחָ) contusion.

VIII. מַפְּקוֹד, fem. מַפְּקוֹדֶת or מַפְּקוֹד, as, מַפְּקוֹד want, מִבוֹרָה food, and מַאַלְלֶּת, trading, מַבְּלֶּלֶת food, and מְבוֹרָה

for מְבְוֹרֶה (Art. 73.) a candlestick.

וֹאַבְּוּל for מַבְּרָּוֹל a stall, מַבְּקּוֹר, for מַנְבְרוּל a stall, מַבְּקּוֹר מַנְבְרוּל (Art. 76.) a deluge, fem. מְבוּוּסְה trampling, (for מְבוּוּסְה Art. 73.).

X. מְכָּקָד ; as, שַבְּיָם for מְנְבָּט (Art. 76.) expectation, מָנְבָּוֹ vision (Art. 106. 2.)

XI. מְפַקּקר or קְּפְקָר; as, מְנְבֶּוֹך station, with all the participles usually ascribed to the Hophhal conjugation.

XII. מְפַקּוֹד , and מְפַקּוֹד ; as, מְפַקּוֹד one who takes account, with all the participial nouns usually attached to the Pihél species. The remaining two are only variations of the same form, and occur but rarely.

XIII. מָכָקָד ; as, מְלָפֶןד learned, מְנָלֶד discovered. To which may be added all the participles usually ascribed to the species of Pūhál.

XIV. מְלְפַקּר; as, מְלְהַבּּלְּר; an invader, &c. with all the participles of the Hithpāhél species.

XV. Some defective nouns, which for ease of pronunciation assume a Segolate form, are derived from roots having a medial וויס י', or doubling the second radical, or having וויס הי', or doubling the second radical, or having וויס הי', or doubling the second radical, or having וויס הי', or doubling the second radical, or having וויס הי', or the third. The forms will vary with those of the Segolates, and for the same reasons. If, therefore, we reject the last radical, &c. and prefix ש, we shall have מְפַלְּהְ, מְפַלְּהְ, מְפַלְּהְ, מְפַלְּהְ, מְפַלְּהְ, אָפֶלְּהְ, אַפֶּלְּהְ, אַפְלָּהְ, אַפְלָּהְ, אַפְלָּהְ, ווּשׁ בּיֹ מִילְהְיִם מִּיְלְהְּלְּהְ מִיְלְהְיִלְּהְ מִיּבְּלְּהְ מִיּבְּלְּהְ מִיּבְּלְּהְ מִיּבְּלְּהְ מִיּבְּלְּהְ מִיּבְלְּהְ מִיּבְלְּהְ מִיבְּלְּהְ מִיבְּלְּהְיִבְּלְּהְ מִיבְּלְבְּלְּהְ מִיבְּלְּהְ מִיבְּלְּהְיִבְּלְּהְ מִיבְּלְּהְ מִיבְּלְּהְ מִיבְּלְּהְיִים מִיבְּלְבִילְ מִיבְּלְּהְ מִיבְּלְיִים מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִבְּלְים מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִם מִיבְּלְיִבְּלְים מִיבְּלְיִבְּלְים מִיבְּלְים מִיבְּלְיִבְּלְים מִיבְּלְים מִיבְּיְלְים מִיבְּיְלְים מִיבְּלְים מִיבְּיְלְים מִיבְּיְלְים מִיבְּיְיִים מִיבְּיְיִים מְיבְּיְלְים מִיבְּיְיִים מְיבְיּבְיּם מְיבְיּבְיּים מְיבְיּבְיּים מְיבְיּבְיּים מִיבְיּים מִיבְיּים מִיבְּיִים מְיבְיבְיּים מִיבְיּים מִיבְיּים מְיבְיּים מְיבְיּיְיִים מְיבְיּים מְיבְיּים מִיבְיּים מִיבְיּים מְיבְיּים מְיבְיּים מְיבְיּבְיּים מְיבְיּבְיּים מְיבְיבְיּים מְיבְיּבְיּים מְיבְיבְּי

2d, Simonis thinks (p. 450, Arcan. Form.), that the participles should not be considered as nouns of the participles. I believe they ought to be so considered; because I hold, that, properly speaking, there are no participles in the Hebrew language. It is true, the Grammarians have placed a number of these nouns in the same page with the paradigma of the verbs; but it will not hence follow, that they are possessed of any of the properties of real Participles. If, indeed, it could be shewn, that they involve the tenses of the verb as the Greek participles do, the opinion of Simonis, &c. would have some weight; but this has not been done; and, I think, cannot be done satisfactorily. I have therefore thought it most conducive to the progress of the Student, as well as more conformable with the analogy of the Language, to class them all as nouns: it being from the context alone, that any thing like tense is to be discovered.

162. Fifth Class of Heemanti Nouns beginning with I or In.

וּ בְּלֵּכְהָ , הַבְּּלֶּהְדֹּ , מִרְּהָבָּ, הַבְּּלֶּהְדֹּ , מַרְ בָּבְּלָּהְדֹּ , מַבְּּלְּהָדֹ , מַבְּּלְּהָד , מַבְּּלְהָד , מַבְּּלְּהָד , מַבְּּלְּהָד , מַבְּּלְּהָד , מַבְּּלְּהָד , מַבְּּלְּהָד , מַבְּּלְּהָד מוֹ וֹ (Art. 87.1.) inhabited; בְּבַבְּ (for בְּבָּבְּי) turned back, &c. So all the participles and preterites of the Niphhal conjugation, with this difference, that the participle ends in (דְּבָּבְּר הַבְּּלְּהַר), the preterite in (-); also, ווֹבְּבְּלֵבְּל turned about; בּבְּבְּלְב sealed; בּבְּלָבְּל הַתְּל אוֹנוֹ מָבְּלָבְּל , Art. 76.); בּמַבְּלָבְּל , Art. 76.); בּמַבְּלָבְל , Art. 76.)

II. בְּמָקְר; as, בְּמָלֶן (for בְּמָבֵּן), as בְּסֶבְ above. This is mostly found in words doubling their last radical letter; a form not found

used in the Niphhál conjugation.

163. Sixth Class of Heemanti Nouns having n prefixed.

The forms commencing with n, as given by Simonis, are the following:

ו. תְּבְּקָה, fem. הְיִּמְרָה; as, הְּקְהָה hope; הִּיבְּקָה conflict; הִימְרָה

(for הַּלְמְרָה, Art. 87. 5.) self-exaltation.

וו. הְּיִּלְּהָׁח, fem. הְלְּבְּשׁׁה and הִבְּלְּהָה; as, הַבְּלְּהָה (for שִּׂיִרְיּה) new wine; הְבִּיבְּיה (for מְבִּילִים) dispersion; הַבְּלְבָּשׁׁה clothing.

III. הַפְּקְרָה, fem. תְּפְּקְרָה, or הַפְּקְרָה; as, דֹלָה (for תַּפְּקְרָה) and הוֹלֶלְה gencration, succession; תַּבְּקְרָה hope, expectation; מּוֹלֶלְה an ostrich.

על יד, fem. הַּפְּקִיד, a disciple; מַּבְּרִיה a robe; מַבְּרִיה self torment (for הַּבְּרִיה, Yód in many instances standing for ח, and, being doubled in this place, makes compensation for

the is rejected).

VII. הְּלְּאָה, fem. הְּלְּאָה, ; as, אְבָּקְה, (for הִּלְּאָה, fem. הְּלְּאָה (for הִּלְּאָה) self-exaltation.
VIII. אָנְהָה, fem. הַּהְּלָא, ; as, הַבְּקְה, (for הַבְּלְה, fem. אַבְּקֹר, as, הַבְּקָר, (for הַבְּלָה, fem. אַבְּלָה, fem. הַבְּלָה, fem. אַבְּלָה, fem. הַבְּלָה, fem. אַבְּלָה, fem. הַבְּלָה, fem. הברים, fem. הברים,

VIII. אָבְּקְהָ, fem. הְּבְּקְהָ, as, הְבְּקְהָ (for הְּרְבְּהָה) deceit, הַּבְּקָה, (for הְּבְּבָּה Artt. 73. 86. 2.) grief, הּבְּבָּה (for הְּצְבָּה, contr. for הָּצְבָּה or הָּצְבָּה) occasion, opportunity.

ונא (לפּרְ יפּן, fem. הָּפְּקִין, הָּהָפִּין, from the root הָּבְּקִין, from the root הָּבְּקִין, division, separation, הַּבְּקִין (for הָּבְּקִין, solidity, substance,

wealth.

X. Here, as in the former class, the rejection of the last or

^{*} See Art. 87. 2., and the note.

XI. יְּפַקְּוֹר; as suffusion (of the eye). To which may be added a few others, reduplicating some of the radical letters; as,

self-elevation, &c.

164. Seventh Class of Heemanti Nouns, receiving na as a prefix.

I. אַבְּבְּיִה, as, שׁהְיִהָי, (Art. 109.) genealogical computation. So all the infinitives of the Hithpāhél conjugation, due regard being paid to the radical letters composing such words. אין my prostrating (2 Kings v. 18), with the pronoun ', seems to be an anomalous word, deformed perhaps by the carelessness of the copyists.

II. াটু caused to be visited; but perhaps this form never occurs as a noun.

III. הְתְפַּקּרְוּת; as, הַתְחַבְּרְוּת confederation, &c.*

In a few instances we have had, in the above examples, terminations in Π , Π , and Π , which may be said to be $H\bar{e}emantic$. In these cases, therefore, we have anticipated our next chapter, which is to treat on the $H\bar{e}emantic$ postfixed syllables: but, as we could not adduce our examples without bringing in these forms, we shall perhaps be excused.

^{*} But this is perhaps a compound form as before, Art. 87. 2. and note.

LECTURE VIII.

ON THE HEEMANTI LETTERS WHICH ARE POSTFIXED TO WORDS.

165. It has been stated, (Art. 156. 2.) that, the Hēemanti letters א, א, א, and א, are severally found attached to the ends either of primitive or derived words. The cases in which א, א, א, א, are found, have already been noticed (Artt. 135. 6, 137. 2, 164.). It will be unnecessary, therefore, to notice them further. We shall now proceed to consider those which end in א, א, and א, respectively.

Eighth Class of Heemanti Nouns ending in :-.

- 166. These nouns ending in are used to express either persons or things, bearing some relation to the primitives from which they have been derived; and hence they have been termed Relative Nouns by the Arabian Grammarians.* Of this kind are the Patronymic or Gentile nouns of the Grammars of Europe; all of which, must from their nature necessarily be attributive, and, therefore, subject to the variation of the gender (Art. 135.).
 - 2. In endeavouring to account for the form and signification of this class of words, I suppose, as before, that the additional letter (') is the fragment of some word, which, if used at length, would impart the same signification which (') now does to words thus augmented. In the Ethiopic we have the triliteral adjunct ''', used for the purpose of forming nouns of this class†; and, occasionally,

إلاسم المنسوب *

[†] See Ludolf's Ethiop. Gram., fol. edit., pp. 95. 97.

in the Arabic , بريّ which is also further abbreviated into , ;† and this is the augment universally found to prevail in the Hebrew.

- descendant of Judah; and so of others.

 4. It may also be considered confirmatory of this conjecture, that these Hebrew nouns, when receiving any asyllabic augment, are generally found with the 'doubled by Dāgésh: as, בּוֹלֶייִנּ Levites; בּוֹלֶייִנּ below, &c., which are their true forms. See Art. 136. 5.†
- 5. The following are a few examples, with their primitives: בְּלֵבְיִלְיִ a Canaanite, from בְּלֵבְיִלְי princely, from בְּלֵבְיִל princely, from בְּלֵבִין pastoral (for לְּהָה by contraction), from מ לּבְּרִל belonging to the north, from בְּלִביִּל the north.
- 6. When, however, the primitive word ends in ', the Patronymic is formed by prefixing the definite article only; the as, שונה של שונה של שונה מונה מונה מונה מונה מונה של שונה מונה מונה מונה של של מונה מונה מונה מונה של של מונה מונה מונה של מונה מונה של מונה מונה של מונה מונה של מונה ש

^{*} See Mr. de Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. i. p. 239, &c.

[†] The teshdid which is also found to accompany this in Arabic, was, perhaps, added originally for the purpose of supplying the defect occasioned by the rejection of one or two letters from its primitive form.

[‡] Of this property of the definite article some account will be given hereafter.

7. In forming Patronymics from compounds, two methods have been adopted; one, by adding 'as before, which is regular; the other, by also prefixing the article to the last word in the compound. Examples: 1. בֵּיל הַלְּיִל a Benjamite; 2. בְּיל הַלְּילִי the Bethlehemite, 1 Sam. xvii. 58; בֵּיל הַלְּיל the native of Beth Shemesh, from בֵּיל בִּיליבְי בִּיל הַלְּיל the native of Bethel; the Benjamite, 1 Kings ii. 8.

8. Nouns ending in i never form the Patronymic by the addition of '-, but by some periphrasis: as, בַּלִייִרִיף the citizens of Jericho, Josh xxiv. 11. So בַּלִייִרְה men of Jericho, Neh. iii. 2; בּלִייִר בְּלוֹר שִׁילְהוֹ בּלוֹר שִׁילְהוֹ בּלִייִר children of Jericho, Ib. vii. 36; בַּלִייִר מַשְׁלְהוֹ בּלִייִר בּלְיִיר בּלִייִר בּלִייִר בּלִייִר בּלִייִר בּלִייִר בּלְייִר בּלְייִר בּלְיִיר בּלְייִר בּלִייִר בּלְייִר בּלִייִר בּלִייִר בּלְייִר בּלְייִר בּלְייִר בּלִייִר בּלִייִר בּלִייִר בּלִייִר בּלְייִר בּלְייִר בּלְייִר בּלִייִר בּלְייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּלְייִר בּייִר בּלְייִר בּלִייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּיייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּיייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייִר בּייי בּייר בּייי בּייר בּייי בּייר בּייי בּייי בּייר בּייי בּייר בּייי בּייר בּייי בּייר בּייי בּיייי בּייי בּיייי בּיייי בּייי בּיייי בּייי בּייי בּייי בּיייי בּיייי בּיייי בּיייי בּיייי בּיייי בּייי בּיייי בּייי בּיייי בּייייי בּיייי בּיייי בּייייי בּיייי בּיייי בּייייי בּייייי בּיייי בּייייי בּיייי בּייייי בּייי

Haggīloni, from לְּלֵה Gīló, 2 Sam. xv. 12, Josh. xv. 51.*

9. The same frequently takes place in compound words: as, בָּבִי הַּרְיַת עָּרְיִם the children of Kiryath Harim, Ezra ii. 25; בָּבִי הַרְיַת עָּרִים the children of Hazmáveth, Ib. ver. 24.

10. Proper names ending in D are sometimes subject to the same

rule: as, בְּלָשֵׁי סְדְם men of Sodom.

11. In Patronymics derived from compounds, the last only of the compound is sometimes taken: as, אֶּרֶץ יָבִינְי for אֶּרֶץ בָּין the land of the Benjamite, 1 Sam. ix. 4.†

12. Some primitives ending in 7 drop that letter in forming the patronymic: as, אַבְּעָבֶּן Hannāḥamí, Num. xxvi. 40, from בַּעָבֶּן

Nāhămán.

13. In forming Patronymics from dual or plural words, one or other of the following methods is adopted: 1, The word, from which such patronymic is to be derived, is reduced to its primitive form, and then בו is added as before; as, בּוֹלְיִבְּי, and with בֹּי, בְיִבְּיִבְּי, and with בֹי, בְיִבְּיִבְי, and with בֹי, יוֹשֵׁלִים an Egyptian. 2. Either בּוֹלִי inhabitant, בוּת יִרוּשָׁלִים inhabitant of Jerusalem; Isa. v. 3, בַּוֹלְיִבְּיִלִים מוֹלִינִּת מִוֹרִיוֹשְׁלִים inhabitant of Jerusalem; Isa. v. 3, בַּוֹלְיִבְּיִלִים מוֹלִינִים מוֹלִים מוֹלִינִים מוֹלִינִים מוֹלִינִים מוֹלִים מוּלִּים מוֹלִים מוֹלִים מוּלִים מוֹלִים מוֹלִים מוּלִים מוֹלִים מוֹלִים מוֹלִים מוּלִים מוֹלִים מוּלִּים מוֹלִים מוּלִים מוּלִים מוּלִים מוֹלִים מוֹלִים מוּלִּים מוּלִים מוֹלִים מוֹלִים מוֹלִים מוּלִים מוּלִים מוּלִים מוּלִים מוּים מ

^{*} So in the Arabic join lie spiritual, employ belonging to the body, &c.

[†] These abbreviations are found to take place in most languages. See M. de Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. i. p. 247. Lud. Gram. Eth., fol. edit., p. 22. Wilkins's Sanscrit Gram., Art. 1174., &c.

[‡] But here we have a personification; in the other two cases a periphrasis.

15. Irregularities are occasionally met with in the forms of these words: as, הַבְּיִ a Hagarene, 1 Chron. v. 10, from הַבְּיִנְי זְּבְּי מִּ a Hagarene, 1 Chron. v. 10, from הַבְּיבִי ; הַבְּי Hagar, as if the primitive had been a Segolate noun of the form הַבְּיבִי ; הַבְּי Timnite, Judg. xv. 6, for הִּבְּיבִי , primitive הַּמֵּלְבִי ; הַבְּי הַי אַלְּי ר אַנְי בְּיבִי זְי הַבְּי הַי זְּי בְּיבִי זְי הַבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְי וֹ הַבְּי וֹ הַבְּי וֹ הַבְּי וֹ הַבְּי וֹ הַבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹ הַבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹ הַבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹיִי בְּבְּי וֹ הַבְּבְּי וֹ בְּבִי וֹ הַבְּבְּבְיוֹי הַ בְּבְּיוֹ בְּבְּיוֹ בְּבְּיוֹ בְּבְּיוֹ בְּבְּיוֹ בְּבְּיוֹ בְּבְּיוֹ בְּיוֹ בְּבְּבְּיוֹ בְּבְּיוֹ בְּבְּבְיוֹי בְבְּבְיוֹי בְּבְּבְיוֹי בּיוֹ בְּבְּבְּיוֹי בְבְּבְיוֹי בְיוֹ בְּבְּבְיוֹי בְּיוֹ בְּבְּבְיוֹי בְּבְּבְיוֹי בְּבְּבְיוֹי בּבְיוֹ בְּבְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְּבְיוֹי בְּבְּבְיוֹי בְּיִי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְּבְיוֹי בְּבְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְבְיוֹ בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְיוֹי בְּבְיוֹי בְּיוֹי בְּבְי

16. For the feminine forms of these nouns, see Art. 136. 5, and for the plurals, Art. 139. The forms taken in construction, or when any of the pronouns are affixed, will be regulated according to the analogy of the word. Art. 143.

17. Another class of nouns ending in ', preceded by (-) or (-) has been thought to denote excess. These, however, are probably nothing more than nouns in the plural number (Art. 139. 6.). The following are examples: בּוֹבְי a swarm of locusts; שֵּבִי מַשְּבִי מִשְּבִי מִּבְּי מִשְּבִי מִשְּבִי מִשְּבִי מִּבְּי מִשְּבִי מִּבְּי מִשְּבִי מִּבְּי מִשְּבִי מִּבְּי מִשְּבִי מִּבְּי מִּבְּי מִשְּבִי מִּבְּי מִבְּי מִּבְּי מִּבְּי מִבְּי מְבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּיִי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּי מִבְּים מְבִּים מִבְּים מִבְּים מִבְּים מִבְּים מִבְּים מִבְּים מִבְּים מִבְּים מִבְּיבְים מִבְּים מִבְּים מִבְּים מְבִּים מִבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מִבְּים מְבְּים מִבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מִבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּיבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּיבְים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּיבְים מְבְיבְים מְבְּיבְים מְבְּים מְבְּיבְים מְבְּים מְבְּיבְים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּב

the Almighty, root בּלְּבְּיִלְיּנְן supreme Lord, from אַרוֹיְבָּן. These will be considered in the Syntax.

We now proceed to the IXth class of augmented nouns, which take the *Hēemanti* letter D at the end.

167. In this class the vowel immediately preceding the final \square is either (τ) or (\mathfrak{I}) , which induced Hiller to suppose, that the adjunct was $\square \mathbb{I}$, or $\square \mathbb{I} \mathbb{N}$, respectively. It is believed that these nouns are intensitive in signification. If then we suppose $\square \mathbb{I}$ to be a particle derived from $\square \mathbb{I} \mathbb{I} \mathbb{I}$, which means tunult, as of a multitude, or noise, as of the waves of the sea, we can easily conceive how the addition of such a particle to any primitive word would make it intensitive in signification.* This will account,

^{*} Nothing, surely, can be more ridiculous than the opinion of Simonis and others, expressed in his "Arcanum Formarum," (p. 584. 5.), viz.: that the

perhaps, for the termination $\Box_{i\tau}$. In the next place, the root does not occur in the Hebrew Bible, but is to be found in the

Arabic Arabic signifying, he became fat, or corpulent. If, then, we can suppose an abbreviated form of this word to be attached to any other, we shall have the termination in, which will also give an intensitive signification to the compound. The following are a few examples: the student may arrange them under their respective measures, if he shall think it necessary to do so.

lice; אַירְם the whole day; שְּלְם most truly; מְירָם quite silent; עִירְם, or מְילִם quite naked; מִירְם and אַירְם full redemption; שִׁירְם the entire whole; a body of men, Judg. xx. 48.

Tenth Class of Heemanti Nouns, receiving a final 1.

168. Grammarians are generally agreed, that nouns receiving this letter as a final are intensitive in signification.

This letter is affixed to words simple or augmented, with the vowels (+) or i; thus in or iii; whence we may suppose, that iii, or iii, signifying strength, power, riches, wealth (the primitive form of which will be iii, or iii, Art. 87.) is the root. And hence, also, we may have the form iii, or iii, contracted by Art. 75. to iii, the words from which these terminations may have been taken; and which, added to any other word, would naturally give it an intensitive meaning. Some have also supposed the meaning to be occasionally diminutive,* which I am inclined to believe is the case in some instances.

Examples.

אַלְמֵן (for בִּירָבִית, fem. בִּירָבִית, בְּירָבִית a widow; בִּירָבִית, a large gift; בִּירָבִית, Art. 73.), root בְּירָבִית, a great palace.

figures of \square and \uparrow being extended, may account for the *intensitive* meaning of these forms; and that \square , being inclosed, will give the idea of *comprehensiveness*, representing as it were a pregnant mother inclosing her offspring within the womb! For it is very probable these words were in use before the letters were invented.

^{*} See the Arcanum Formarum, p. 564.

מִלְּכְוֹן widowhood; וֹהְלְיוֹן (for אָלְיִוֹן Artt. 73. 96.) frequent entry; אָלְיוֹן a palace; אֶלְיוֹן The Most High. So also with augmented nouns: as, אַלְיוֹן great confidence; בְּיָוֹן great contempt; אַלְיוֹן great drought.

In שַּהַלְנִים lunulæ, Is. iii. 18, and אִישׁוֹן homunculus, i. e. the reflected image of a man in the eye, Deut. xxxii. 10 (from שַּהַלּר moon and שִיאָּ a man) we have, perhaps, examples of a dimi-

nutive.*

the Arabian grammarians, الذرى التاكيدي The confirmatory Nún; its office is to impart certainty or intensity to the verb, to which it is attached. The same powers have been ascribed to the Hebrew is similarly situated, though it must be confessed, such powers are not always apparent from the context.

N. B. All words thus augmented, whether the augment is prefixed or postfixed, may be considered as compound words.

On the Reduplicated and otherwise Compounded Words.

169. We shall have no difficulty in perceiving, that, if one word may be qualified or otherwise restricted in its signification by the addition of another, a similar result will be obtained when any word is repeated: and, that the effect will be the same, whether both such words are written out at length, or whether they are combined in one. Both these methods are adopted in the Hebrew. In some cases entire words are repeated; in others they are contracted into one word, by rules presently to be considered. In the

We have in the Syriac a diminutive of this form; see Hoffmann's Gram.
 Syr., p. 251.

- 2. Let us now come, in the second place, to the rules which have been given for the formation of these reduplicated words.* It has been shewn (Art. 73.) that any one of the MIN letters. will in certain cases disappear. This will happen in the first class of these reduplicated words, where we have THONO for THO THO, which when compounded would become TROTED, and striking out I by Art. 72, we shall have TROND, which, again, by drawing back the (-) and rejecting the (:) by Art. 73, we have THOND: and, if we place (·) Dagésh in the second Samék, either for the sake of euphony, or to compensate for the loss of 7, we shall have TROND for the reduplicated word, meaning, measure by measure, as both the composition of the word and the context require. In some cases, as will presently be seen, the final 77 of such words is also rejected. It must be borne in mind by the student, that considerable difference of opinion is found to prevail among grammarians, as to the original roots from which these words have been derived; and, consequently, that great difference of opinion is found to prevail as to their precise meaning.
- 3. The following are a few examples of the first class of this kind of nouns, which are generally thought to be formed by a reduplication of some word having היי for its final radical letter: e. g. מַנְיִנְיִנִי quite naked, or deserted, from the root מַנְינִינִי naked: by some also supposed to mean the juniper, by others the tamarisk, tree; חַנְיִנִינִינִי the scales of a fish, from הַּשִּׁינִינִינִי peeling;

[•] We use the word reduplicated to signify words which have been formed by the reduplication of some primitive word;—compound, to signify those which have been formed by the coalescence of two different words. In this sense Simonis uses the words Geminuta and Composita.

exquisite delights, from שְּׁשֶׁים looking on (with delight); פּֿלְתַּל copiously flowing (hair), from תַּלְהָּל hanging, תַּלְהַל many and great errors, from תְּלָה erring.

- 4. The second class of reduplicated nouns consists of those combinations derived from primitive words, which have their second and third radical letters the same: as, שְבַּבְּבְּיב most choice (beasts or birds), from יבּבְּבְּבְּיב selecting; a wheel, sphere, or any thing subject to continual turning about, as chaff, &c. from יבְּבְּבְּיִ rolling. And hence בִּבְּבְּי the skull; בַּבְּבְּ gargarism, gargle; also a grain, or berry, from יבְּבְּבְּי drawing, &c. to which many more may be added. It has been shewn (Art. 77.), that when the last two letters of any primitive word are the same, one of them may be dropped for the sake of euphony. This rule holds good when such words are combined, and for the same reasons.
- 5. To this class may be added those reduplicated words, which, in their primitive forms, usually reject a medial 'or ': for here, as in the foregoing examples, we may consider the middle radical letter as rejected for the sake of euphony (Art. 75). Of this sort are the following examples: מַלְלֵבְּלֵּךְ great pain, from אָרְל grieving; מְלֵלְבֵּלְ grievous ejection, or captivity, from מוּל grieving out; בּפְּצַלְּבּלְּלֵלְ eyelids, from איז moving quickly, flying; מוּל flowing copiously, from איז flowing, Ezek. xvii. 5.
- 6. The third class of reduplicated nouns includes those which are formed by a repetition of the last syllable, or, of the two last letters of the primitive noun: as, 마구구크 great gifts, from 크다 giving, the 'being rejected; 모델트, fem. 마그를 기를 reddish, red here and there, having red spots, from 미팅 being red; 키우크를 most mixed collection, or multitude, from 키크를 collecting; 피크르크 most perverse, from 키크로 turning over, &c. 마리크로 most smooth, i. e. deceiving words, or things, from 키크로 polishing, smoothing, &c.
- - 8. In forming the plurals of these and similar compounds,

regard is to be had principally to the analogy of the last component part of the word. In no case can the addition of any increment, whether syllabic or asyllabic, affect a syllable beyond the penultimate of any word. In words, therefore, consisting of a large number of syllables, no change will take place in the vowels beyond the penultimate, and, in many instances, not beyond the ultimate. The only question, then, which can arise must be, as to the change of the ultimate or penultimate vowels of such words. In primitive words, indeed, a greater number of vowels does not exist: and, there, as we have seen, analogy alone can be relied upon. The same is true here. For, first: In all cases, except those in which the second and third radical is the same, upon any asyllabic augment being attached to the word, the preceding vowel must either be perfect or rejected; otherwise the syllable will be incomplete: as, הַבְּהֶבְיִם great gifts, בּוֹכְבֵי stars of —. Here, as the asyllabic '- or '- must necessarily take the last consonant of the word in order to be utterable, the preceding vowel must become perfect, or else be rejected. But, if the root had its second and third radical letters the same, and one had been thrown away for the sake of euphony, then, upon any augment being applied, this rejected letter would return, expressed by Dāgésh forte placed in the terminating letter (Art. 77.): as, יַלְינַלִּים worthless supernumerary shoots, from וְלִילָּנִי , the root of which is יוֹלָי vile, Isaiah xviii. 5.

- 9. The only question which can now arise is, How will these vowels be affected, when the noun is in the state of construction? Generally speaking, unless there be some reason for retaining a perfect vowel, i. e. when some letter has been retrenched for which compensation ought to be made, and hence a perfect vowel retained, either Sheva, or one of its substitutes, will appear in the penultimate: as, בּוֹלְבֶבֵי the stars of —, &c., not בּוֹלְבֵבי But this can be determined only from a knowledge of the analogy of the word.
- 10. We shall now give a few examples of each sort of compound words, omitting the classification of Simonis as unnecessary : עַרָכֵּל thick darkness, from אָפַל it became dark, and אָפַל (Arab. וֹפֹן) the sun set; אָלְוֹן filthy vomiting, from אין? vomiting, and אָלוֹן base; שׁרְשִׁן widely expanding, from פַּרִשִי expand, and פַּרִשִין separating; שַבְּשִׁ invigorating, refreshing, from שַבְ moisture, and שַׁבְּ increasing, spreading; TYPEN a melon, from DEN Arab, he cast down,

and מבן nearly the same thing; מבּרְמֵל a bason, from פּלָב collecting, and שְׁל blood, Arabic, or as others think שׁל dew, water; chief satraps, according to some, from the Persic, שה price, pre-eminence, and שה a satrap; according to others, the chief door keepers, from שמא, شفا, as before, and בּוְבֵּאן כּיִט door keeper; בָּוֹ treasurer, from يرية , agent (بار or وار Pers.) چر or الم agent (كنيخ or كنز Pers) مكانه treasury (perhaps the diminutive كنجك or كنجك of the above); אוֹם something, any thing, from אוֹם אוֹם quid aut quid; ייר להבת flame of the Lord, according to some, from ש, a Chaldaïc particle, בהלה, or הבר flame, and ה the Lord; according to others, from או inflaming, Syriac, and הבר a flame, and ה as before. Others, again, take the ש as derived from the Arabic שהאב, לשהא, flame, &c. as before. In the list of various readings given by Ben Naphtali, this word is read as two שַׁלְהֶבֶּת יָה which is probably the ancient way in which it was written. Some of these, as it will be seen, are foreign words: but, as the number of such is few in the Hebrew Bible, and as the Dictionary must be consulted for their signification and etymology, we have thought it unnecessary to investigate their forms and composition any further.

On the Forms and Composition of Nouns adopted as Proper Names.

- 170. By Proper Names, we understand those words or phrases which have been adopted for the purpose of conveying the ideas of certain specific persons or things. By names of persons are to be understood, names of God, Deities, Angels, Men, &c.; of things, those of kingdoms, cities, towns, villages, mountains, rivers, &c.: as, first, אַרָּיִבְּיִלֵּיִלְ Jehovah; בְּעֵלְ זִבְּוֹבְ Báal Zēvúv; בְּבִּילִים Jehovah; בּבִּילִים Jehoshúāh. &c. Second; אַרְּאָבֶּילִים Jerusalem, &c. And, in short, any word which is used to designate any specific object, (although the same may, in other instances, be used as an Appellative,) is termed a Proper Name, and is to be construed as such. Lion, for example, is an appellative; and yet it is as often used among us as a proper name, and so of others.
 - 2. Whence it will be seen that occasions may occur, in which it

will be extremely difficult to determine whether such word was originally intended to be taken as an appellative, or as a proper name.*

- 3. In the first place then, Proper names which are purely Hebrew, (for some appear to be of foreign extraction,) are, for the most part, found to follow the analogy of the Appellatives; at others, to present forms unknown to them. Those which are of the same forms with the Appellatives, we need not now notice, as those forms have already been discussed.
- 4. The simple forms of proper names which are found to differ from those of the appellatives, are, 1st, those which take some form peculiar to the persons of the verbs; and, 2d, those which add to the end: as, 1st, אַבְּייִבְיי Ishbák, he excelled; אַלּייִל Yāsháv, he returns, or shall return; אַרָּיִי Itskhár, he shines, &c.; and, 2d, as, אָבִיי Ōnô; אַרַיִּ Yĕrēkhô, Jericho. A few foreign names are found of the form אַרְיִיִּיִּ ; as, אַבְּיִיבִּיִּר Basmath.
- 5. Names compounded with one or other of the divine names occur very frequently; a circumstance, which, according to Simonis, happens only twice, with respect to the Appellatives; i. e. in אַרְיַבּוּלְיּלִוּ, and אַרְיַבּּלִיןְ Māŭphēlyá. Another remarkable circumstance is, that in these compositions the order is sometimes inverted: as, אַרַיּבִּלְרִי יְרוֹשְׁבִּעְלוּ 'Yĕhōshavháth, for אַרְיּבּלְרוֹי Yèhōváh: which Simonis terms Anastrophe.† This never takes place in the Appellatives. With these exceptions only, the forms of proper names, whether simple or compound, constantly follow those of the Appellatives; the significations of both being ascertained precisely in the same way. It would be superfluous to swell this work with numerous examples; particularly as they may be found in the "Onomastica" of Hiller and Simonis, classed, arranged, and discussed, as far perhaps as the most sanguine enquirer can wish.
- 6. Having stated what the general rules relating to the formation and composition of Proper Names are, we may now notice a few irregularities which are occasionally found to take place. These may be classed under the heads of, I. Omissions, II. Additions,

^{*} Glass. Phil. Sac., pp. 696, 705, 780, &c.

⁺ Onomast. Vet. Test., p. 345.

- III. The Transpositions of certain letters or syllables; and, IV. The Changes of certain letters of the same organs.
- 7. Omissions: and in the first case, of the initial letter of the primitive word; e. g. בּק וֹ Chron. ii. 9, for בְּקָהָ, (Art. 84.) Matt. i. 3. Aραμ, in the name of a person, Job xxxii. 2; and of a place, 2 Chron. xxii. 5, בְּקָהְ וֹ In a compound בְּקָהְ וֹ Chron. xi. 13, for בְּקָהְ וֹ Sam. xvii. 1. In this last case, בּקָהְ is taken by some as an Appellative: בּקְהָיִ is thought by some to be the same with בּקְהָּ Edúm, i. e. Edom. Others have taken it to be a mystical name, from the signification of the word בּקְּיִ, to come to silence.
- 8. In the following instances, a letter has been dropped from the middle of the word: as, אַבִּיבֶּל 2 Sam. xvii. 25, for אַבִּיבֵּל 1 Chron. ii. 16, (Art. 75.) אַבִּיבָּל 2 Sam. xxiv. 16, and אַבִּיבָּל 1 Chron. ii. 16, and אַבִּיבָּל 1 Chron. xxii. 25. בְּיבִיבֶּל 1, but 2 Chron. xxviii. 5, and אַבְּיבָּל 1 Chron. vii. 1; דְּבָשָׁל 1 Chron. vii. 1; דְּבָשָׁל 1 Sam. xvii. 13, is written אַבְּיבָּל 1 Chron. iii. 5, and שַׁבּיבַּל Sam. v. 14. In the following words a letter has been omitted at the end; בְּבִיבְּל Josh. iii. 16, which is בַּיִּרְבָּל Chap. xix. 36; בַּיִרְבָּק זֹבְּל Is. xvi. 11, and Ib. v. 7, בְּיִרְבָּק זֹבְּל זֹבְּל Ib. v. 24.

^{*}With respect to this last passage, Pilkington has remarked—"The word לדוי, as an Appellative, signifies, a jaw bone; but, as it was also the proper name of the place, where the Philistines met Samson, and where he slew a thousand of them with the jaw bone of an ass, the name also of Ramath-Lehi was given to the place, where he cast away the jaw bone. To consider the word then, as Appellative, in the next verse; and to say that, 'When Samson was thirsty, God clave an hollow place that was in the jaw, and there came water

- 10. To these may be added, יְּבֶיר Ps. cxxxii. 6; for יְּבֶיר יִּבְיר 1 Sam. vii. 1, &c., where the English version has "the fields of the wood;" passim, is written שְׁבָּי Ps. lxxvi. 3; Bethlehem, בּיִבְים בוֹּ 1 Chron. iv. 22; Bethaven, Hos. x. 5, is בּיבָּי ver. 8.
- 11. Some additions which are peculiar to proper names have already been given (No. 8.). We now come to the transposition of letters or syllables: אַלִּישָׁל 2 Sam. xi. 3, is עַּבִּישִׁל 1 Chron. iii. 5, in which the component parts of the compound change places by the Anastrophe, as above noticed (No. 5.); בְּיִהְיֹנְיִי 2 Kings xxiv. 6, 8, is בְּיִהְיֹנְיִי 1 Chron. iii. 16; and, by omission, with the addition of אַבְּיִהְיִּהְיִּ 1 Chron. iii. 16; and, by omission, with the addition of אַבְּיִהְיִּהְיִּ 1 Chron. iv. 4, but Ib. 11, בְּיִבְיִהְיִּ Son עַבְּיִבְּיִהְ 2 Sam. viii. 8, is written בְּבִיבְּיִהְ 1 Chron. xviii. 8; to which some others might be added. According to Hiller,* examples of this kind are very numerous: but here, as the significations adduced by him are grounded on conjectural etymologies, little reliance can be placed on them.
- 12. We shall now give a few examples, in which the letters of the same organ have taken place one of another (Art. 78.): אַרְאָרוֹקְיּבְּי צַּ Kings xx. 12, written אַרְאָרְיִּבְי Isa. xxxix. 1, and אַרְיִּבְי צַ Kings xxv. 27, Jer. l. 2. In the last instance, a quiescent א is also omitted (Art. 72.); אַרְיִבְי Josh. vii. 18, written אַרְי וֹ 1 Chron. ii. 7, and to this last allusion is made in the text; אַרְי בַּיִּבְיִבְי בַּאַרְי בַּי בַּיבְּיבִיבְי בַּאַרְי בַּי בַּיבִּיבְיְבָאַרְי בַּי בַּיבִּיבְיְבָאַרְי בַּי בַּיבִּיבְיְבָאַרְי בַּי בַּיבִּיבְיְבָאַרְי בַּי בַּיבְּיבִיבְי בַּאַרְי בַּי בַּיבִּיבְיִבְיּאַר בַּי בַּיבִּיבְיִבְיּאַר בַּי בַּיבִּיבְיִבְיּאַר בַּי בַּיבִּיבְיִבְיּאַר בַּי בַּיבִּיבְיִבְיּאַבְי בַּי Is. xix. 13, and אַרְי Hos. ix. 6, אַרַּבְּיְבֶיְבֶיְ בַּיְבִיבְיִבְיִאָּאַבְי בַ בַּיִּבְיְבָיִאָּאַבְי בַּיּאַבְּי בַּיְבְיִבְיִבְיּאַבְיּ בַּיְבְיִבְיִאָּאַבְי וֹ Chron. i. 41; Dōdāním Gen. x. 4, is given Rōdāním 1 Chron. i. 7; Rīpháth Gen. x. 3, is Dīpháth 1 Chron. i. 6; Děḥūél Num. i. 14, is Rěhūél Ib. ii. 14; Hădad-Hézer 2 Sam. viii. 3, is Hădar-Hézer 1 Chron. xviii. 5. These letters, although not of the same organ,

thereout,' must be through want of common attention; because, they immediately subjoin, 'Wherefore he called the name thereof En hakkore, (q. d. The well of him that called,) which is in Lehi, unto this day.'—Such mistakes as these give wrong ideas to the ignorant; and furnish the scoffers with matter of ridicule."—" The error indeed, is corrected in the margin, which hath Lehi, &c."—Remarks upon several passages of Scripture, &c., by Matthew Pilkington, Cambridge, 1759, p. 157.

^{*} Onomasticon, V. Test., p. 365.

are found in other languages frequently interchanged. Their change in Hebrew has been accounted for, by the supposition of the Scribes having mistaken the form of the one for that of the other, which is likely enough to have been the case. I think also, that confusion may have arisen from their similarity of sound, which we find has sometimes taken place in other languages.

13. It may not be amiss to offer a few remarks here on those proper names, which are found to end in (*) Yôd, not only because their number is very considerable, but also, because they afford some curious information on the subject of ancient Hebrew theology.

14. These, according to Hiller,* may be classed under four heads. First, Those which may be termed *Denominatives*, on account of some particular circumstance being alluded to, when they were first given: as, בּנִי Lēví, meaning Conjunctive, see Gen. xxix. 34. To which may be added all Patronymics used as proper names.

Secondly, Words found in a mutilated state, which were originally composed of one or other of the Divine names: as, מֵלְקְיֵלְהַי or חַלְּאָרָהְי or חַלְאָרָהְי , signifying, the Lord (is) a portion. So בְּעֲבֶרְי for בְּעֵבְרִי stripped of God; to which many others might be added. The intervening Yod, however, is in many cases allowed by all to be nothing more than a sort of connecting letter, as in the case of עַבְּרָיאֵל for עָבֶּר אֵל servant of God. This method of compounding the Divine name with other words, for the purpose of forming proper names, is certainly of great antiquity among the Jews, and may safely be appealed to in proof of the position, that they never did, as a nation, acknowledge any other God, than that urged upon their notice by the last prophet. Whether we can extract from it the fact, that they also understood the doctrine of the Holy Trinity, of the Immutability, Invisibility. Incomprehensibility, and of the other attributes of the Deity, as known and taught among ourselves now, does not seem to be quite so clear as Hiller will have it. The It is also worthy of notice, that the names given to individuals among the Babylonians, and other nations contiguous to the Jews, were, for the most part, either the names of their idols, or compounds including one or other of their

^{*} Onomast. Vet. Test., p. 261, &c.

⁺ Ib., pp. 228, 229.

titles. The same practice is found to prevail among the pagans of the present day.

- 15. Thirdly, Yod is said to be paragogic when found attached to substantives used as proper names: as, דוֹמֶלְהָי עָזֶוּ 1 Chron. xxv. 4. 31; אָרָרֶעִי Numb. xxi. 33: עֵין בָּדִי Josh. xv. 62, &c.
- 16. Fourthly, Yod is thought to be the pronoun of the 1st person singular in the following and similar examples: מַלּוֹתְי 1 Chron. xxv. 4; בַּלְתִּי 1b. verr. 4. 29.
- 17. Having said thus much on the analogy and irregularities found to prevail in the forms of proper names, and referred the Student to those writers who have treated these subjects at great length, we shall now offer a few remarks; 1. On the persons by whom they were given, and the circumstances with which they were connected: and, 2, On the various names sometimes found attached to persons or places, which has by some been termed *Polyonymia*.
- 18. With respect to the persons by whom proper names have been imposed, they are various, as likewise have been the occasions on which they have been given. In some instances *God* himself gave the name; in others, the *Father*, *Mother*, or some one or other of the kindred.

Of the first, examples may be found in Gen. xvi. 11, xvii. 19, 1 Kings xiii. 2, 1 Chron. xxii. 9, Matt. i. 21, Luke i. 13, &c. Of the second and third,* examples occur very frequently; and of the last, Luke i. 59, may suffice.†

- 19. The principal causes or circumstances connected with the imposition of proper names amount, according to Simonis, to fifteen. These, however, may all be reduced to the original number assumed by Glassius, which is two. § "1. $\kappa\alpha\tau$ ' $\delta\sigma\omega$, vel ratione etymi et significationis, ejusque fundamenti. 2. $\kappa\alpha\tau$ ' $\delta\xi\omega$, vel ratione extensionis ad alia, et communionis."
- 20. In the first place, proper names both of men and places, were first given with reference to some event, either past, present, or future, with regard to such person or place.

^{*} Simonis, p. 13.

[†] Gen. xxix. xxx., 1 Sam. i. 20, 1 Chron. iv. 9.

[†] Onom., pp. 14, 15, &c.

[§] Append. Gram., Tract. iii. p. 709, Ed. Dathe.

21. Of the first sort, are the following: Gen. xvii. 17, 19, אַרְקְיּצִּין: Isaac, so called on account of Abraham's smiling* upon receiving the promise of his birth; Exod. ii. 10, Moses (מְנִינִים) is so called on account of his having been taken out of the water, as the text shews, whether the word itself be Egyptian or Hebrew; for, on this subject learned men differ.

1 Sam. iv. 21, Ī-kāvód (אִי בְּקוֹד) where is the glory? on account of the ark of the covenant having been taken.

22. Secondly; Of names taken from present circumstances, the following are examples: Gen. iv. 2, אַרָּ עָּמוֹי vanity, on account, as it has been thought, of the vanity and instability of all human things, which had been occasioned by the fall; Gen. iv. 25, Seth (אַרָּיִי) because God had placed him in the situation of Abel, who had been murdered by Cain; Gen. xxv. 25, Esau (אַרֵּייִ), whether we deduce it from עַרְיִי בּהַיִּטִּי, as Dathe will have it, which signifies to cover,

&c., or from לתרי של to have long hair, after Simonis; Ib. ver. 26, Jacob, בְּיִבְּיְלְב from בְּיִבְיְלְב the heel, because he took hold of his brother's heel at the time of his birth.

23. The following names appear to have been given with reference to something which was to take place afterwards: Gen. iii. 20, Eve (בְּבָּרָה), because she was to be the mother of all who should live; Gen. v. 29, Noah (בּרַה), because he was to be the means of consoling, or giving rest to, the true believers. In this case, the verb used to explain the proper name is not the same with that included in the name itself, which signifies quiet, rest, &c. The only thing intended by the sacred Writer seems to be on this, as on many other occasions, to give the general sense, and not the exact etymology, of the word.

24. The following are examples of names of places given with reference to certain present events.

Gen. iv. 16, Nód () is the place in which Cain is said to have resided, after he had been driven out from the presence of God, the meaning of which is wandering or wanderer.

Gen. xi. 9, Babel (בְּבֶּל) was so called on account of the confusion which took place there, from בְּלֵל , a reduplicated form of to mix, confound, &c. which, by contraction, will become בְּבֶל

^{• &}quot;Risus Abrahæ exsultatio est gratulantis, non irrisio diffidentis." Eucherius apud Glass. Append. Gram., Tract. iii. p. 711.

It should be observed, that in writing Hebrew names in the Greek letters, the aspirates are frequently omitted: as, Έσρωμ, not Χεσρωμ; Ναασσων, not Ναχσων; and so of others. See Art. 9. note.

LECTURE IX.

ON THE SEPARABLE AND INSEPARABLE PARTICLES.

- 171. These are nothing more than words, or fragments of words, attached to others for the purpose of qualifying or otherwise restricting them; and they are written either separately, or together forming one compound word, as usage may require. In this point of view, therefore, they may be classed under one or other of the forms of nouns already detailed; but, as they occur frequently, and exert a very considerable influence on the force and bearing of the context, they deserve a separate consideration.
- 2. By these Particles are meant, words used occasionally as *Pronouns*, *Adverbs*, *Prepositions*, *Conjunctions*, or *Interjections*. We say occasionally, because some of them will sometimes fill one or more of these offices, as the context shall require, just as similar words often do in our own language.
 - 3. That the Separable Prepositions are words of this

kind, no doubt will remain when we consider, that in many instances they actually present all the peculiarities of nouns put in the state of construction: as, בְּרֵירֵיִלְ, for vanity, or in sufficientiam vanitatis,* Jer. li. 58; so בוֹן בּמִינִי between the waters, Gen. i. 7; † or, distinctio aquarum: 'בִּין (for בֵּיוֹן being the absolute form in the one case, and בֵּין הַפִּיִנוֹן being the absolute form in the one case, and בֵּין בּמִינוֹן in the other, which in construction regularly become בֵּין and בִין respectively (Art. 143. 6.). And, again, words preceding these particles ‡ are often found taking the forms proper for the state of construction: as, בּוֹנִינִי בוֹן Ps. ii. 12; בּמִינִינִי בּוֹנִינִי בּוֹנִי בוֹן Delight of Remin Is. viii. 6, &c., which shews that they are nouns.

4. In the Arabic and Ethiopic dialects, in each of which we have a peculiarity of termination for the state of construction, these particles are clearly marked as being in that state: as, in the Arabic,

the first word loses its tanwin, and the last is put in the genitive case. So in the Ethiopic, **Ont: hap:** to, or towards, heaven; where the first word only has this mark. And, generally, words of this kind, whether conjunctions, prepositions, or adverbs, will be found with the termination proper for construction, when they are intended to be so understood: and without it, when not so intended.

With respect to the adverbs, as they can be known to be such only from the situations in which they are found, and may consist of any form of word suitable to the sense required, something will be said on their character and use when we come to the syntax.

^{*} Nold. sub voce בוֹ in notis, " בוֹ Est absoluti בוֹ constructum."

⁺ Ib. sub. v. בין "Constituit substantivum nomen masculinum, cujus pluralis masc. est בין", &c..... atque inde nominalem significationem, quam vix unquam exuit....discrimen differentiam rerum, qua a se invicem distinguuntur."

[‡] These particles are ב, ב, ל, מין סי מי, לאָל, אָל, אָל, עַל, עַל , Glass. Phil. Sacr., p. 80, &c. Storr. Observ., p. 105.

5. If then these particles were originally nouns, it is likely we should find them exhibiting the simple and augmented forms peculiar to nouns; and such is actually the case, as the following examples will evince. Segolates: מָבֶּי not yet; מִבְּי near, at; near, at; before, in presence of; בֹּילִ here, hither; מְבָּיל only; אַבָּיל by no means. Primitive nouns not Segolate: as, מַבִּיב round about; מְבָּיל (fem.) quickly, soon; בּיל besides, to which many others may be added.

The following are forms augmented by one or other of the letters termed בְּלִילִי (Art. 156.); בּלֵּילִי suddenly, immediately; בּלֵילִי gratis, freely (Art. 167.); אַלִּילִי perhaps, which is probably a compound of אוֹלִי (for בּלִילִי); מַלָּילִילִי (for בּלִּילִי) after, afterwards. Art. 109.

6. Many of these particles are found in the plural number, (a sufficient proof, if any were wanting, that they are nouns); as, וְבֵּילָבּ distinction, between, pl. בֵּילְבִים, and בִּילְבִּר, fem. בִּילְבִיל, fem. מְבִילְבוֹר surroundings, enclosures, adv. or prep. round about; אַחַר, pl. אַחַרִים, and, in construction, בַּילְבּר after the king, q. d. subsequutionibus regis.

8. In some cases several of these words will be found construed

^{+ &}gt;= proprie defectus, sed in particulam abit .. notans non. Simonis Lex. sub voce == attritus fuit. I hope to throw some additional light on these particles in my Hebrew Lexicon.

together and qualifying one another; and, in all of these, those capable of receiving any variation from the state of construction, will invariably take that form. Of this sort are the following: אָם אָבָרְ עִּרְיּ, עִדְּ בִּילִּי, עִדְ בִּילִי, עִדְ בִּילִי, מִדְ בִּילִי, מִדְ בִּילִי, מִדְ בִּילִי, מִדְ בִּילִי, מַדְ בִּילִי, מַדְ בִּילִי, מַדְ בִּילִי, עַדְ בְּילִי, עַדְ בְּילִי, עַבְּי בְּילִי, עַּבְּי בְּיבְּי בְּיִּי בְּיִּי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִּי בְּיִי בְּיְי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיְי בְּיִי בְיְי בְּיִי בְּיְי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיְי בְּיבְי בְּיִי בְּיי בְּיִי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְּיי בְּיִי בְּיי בְּיבְיי בְּיי בְּיִי בְּיי בְּיבְיי בְּיִי בְּיִיי בְּיִי בְּיי בְּיִי בְּיִיי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיי בְּיִי בְּיי בְּיִי בְּי

9. These particles are also found in construction with the pronouns, sometimes in the singular, at others in the plural, number: as, אַבְיבׁ between thee; אַבְיבֹיבׁ on account of thee; אַבִּיבֹיבׁ between us. So אַבֹיבִיבׁ near them; אַבִּיבִיבּי on account of thee; אַבִּיבִיבּי אַבִּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי וואָבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבִיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבּי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבּי אַבּיבּי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיבּי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיי אַבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיי אַבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּייי אַבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּייי אַבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּייי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּיי אַבּיבּייי אַבּייי אַבּיבּיי אַבּייי אַבּיי אַבּיי אַבּייי אַבּיייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּיי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּיי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּייי אַבּיייי אַבּי

10. The pronominal affixes attached to some of these particles differ, in some respects, from those usually attached to nouns: e. g. differ, in some respects, from those usually attached to nouns: e. g. differ יַבְּּיִבְיּ under or beneath me, for בְּּבִּיבִי for בִּבְּיִל for בִּבְּיִל behold me; בְּּבִּיבִי for בִּבְּיל behold him; בְּבִּיבִי for בִּבְּיל mith them; בּבִּיבִי for בִּבְּיל for בִּבְּיל for בִּבְּיל phehold him; and בְּבִּיל for בִּבְּיל for בִּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַבְּיל for בַּבְּיל for בַּבְיל for בַבְּיל for בַבְיל for בַבְּיל for for בבּיל for for

11. The particle $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{N}}^{\mathbb{N}}$, put before any noun which is the object of some verb in the sentence, and used apparently for the purpose of pointing out this particular, appears in three different forms when connected with the pronouns, which Schroederus thinks has arisen from the root's originally presenting three cognate forms, viz.

^{*} D. Kimchi has remarked in the Michlol, (fol. במר שמאמר ראובן הרג ראובן הרג שמעון לא נודע מי ההורג ומי ההרוג וכשתאמר ראובן הרג ראובן הרג מעון הוה מלת את נופל על הפעול ונודע כי שמעון הוא ההרוג את שמעון הנה מלת את נופל על הפעול ונודע כי שמעון הוא ההרוג לפיכך בדבר שהוא מבואר מי הפועל והפעול לא הוצרכו למלת את: So, should you say, ולאובן הרג שמעון Reuben slew Simeon, it would not be known who was the slayer, or who the slain. But when you say Reuben slew הא Simeon, (i. e. Simeonem,) the particle הא points out the objective case, and it is known that Simeon is the person slain. In like manner, in a matter in which the agent and patient are evident, the particle הא is unnecessary.

- 12. Some of these particles take (ד) as the vowel of union before the affixed pronouns אַ and אָן: as, אָרְטָּלְּיִל with us; אַרְטָּלְיּ id.; אוֹרְטָלִּי us, or with us; אַרְאָלִי with thee, fem. אַרְטָּא id. אוֹרְטָלִי us, or with us; אוֹרְטָּא with thee, fem. אַרְטָּא id. אוֹרְטָּא us, or with us; אוֹרְאָלָי with thee, fem.; אוֹרְאָלָי with you, masc.; אוֹרְאָלָי with them, masc.
- 13. The preposition אָרָ out, out of, apparently from the Arabic root אַרְיָּבְינוּ, doubles the ש by Dāgésh, whenever any one of the pronouns is affixed: as, אָרָ or אָרָיִּבְּי from me; אַרְּבְּּרוּ or אַרְּבְּּרוּ (for אָרְבִּירִ וּהַ וּהַ הַּהְּרִּ וּהַ הַּהְּרִ וּהַ הַּהְּרִ וּהַ הַּהְּרִ וּהַ הַּהְּרִ וּהַ הַבְּּרִוּ וּהַ הַּהְּרִ וּהַ הַּהְּרִ וּהְּהַרְּ וֹבְּיִרְבִּיְרְ וֹבְּיִרְ וֹבְּיִרְ וֹבְּיִרְ וֹבְּיִרְ וֹבְּיִרְ וֹבְּיִרְ וֹבְּיִרְ וֹבְּיִרְ בִּיִּרְ הַּהְּתְּ בְּּבְּרִי וֹבְּיִרְ בִּיְרְ בִּיִּרְ בִּיְרְ הַּהְּתְּ בְּּבְּרִי בְּיִבְּרְ בְּיִרְ בְּבְּרִי בְּיִבְּרְ בְּיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִרְ בְּיִבְּרְ בְּיִרְ בְּיִבְּרְ בְּיִבְּרְ בְּיִי בְּיִבְּרְ בְּיִבְּרְ בְּיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִבְּרְ בְּיִבְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְּרְ בְּיִבְּרְ בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִבְּיִרְ בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְיִייְ בְּיִבְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְיבְיִי בְּיִי בְּיבְיבְיבְיי בְּיבְיבְיי בְּיִבְייִי בְּיבְיבְיי בְּיבְיבְיי בְּיבְיבְיי בְּיבְיבְיי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְיבְייי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְייי בְּיי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְייי בְּייי בְייי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְייי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּיבְייי בְּיִיי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִיי בְייי בְּייִים בְּייִים בְּיִיי בְּיִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִיים בְּיבְייים בְּיִבְייִים בְּיִבְייִים בְּייִים בְּיבְּיים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיבְייִים בְּיִיבְייִים בְּיִייִי בְּיִיבְייִי בְּיִייִים בְּייִים בְּיִיים בְּיִיבְייים בְּייִים בְּיִיים בְּייִים בְּיִים בְּייִים בְּייִים בְּייִים בְּיִיים בְּייִים בְּייִים בְּייִים בְייים בְּייבְייים בְּיים בְּיבְייים בְּיבְיים בְּייבְיים בְּיים בְּיים בְּייבְיים בְּיים בְּייבְיים בְּיבְייבְיים בְּייבְייים בְּיבְיים בְּיבְיים בְּיים בְּיבְיים בְּיבְיים בְּייבְיים בְּייבְיים
- 14. The other prepositions take the affixed pronouns regularly, and, for the most part, in the plural number: as, אָלָי to, towards, pl. לאָלִי to me; עַלִי upon, against, plural אָלֵי upon, or against thee; בּלְעָבִי to, usque ad, plural בִּלְעָבִי to him; אַלִי besides; בּלְעָבִי to you; עַלִי upon them.
- 15. The remaining prepositions, are מְבֶּעֶר on account of; בְּעַר by, through; אוֹן mithout, foràs; בְּעַר before, in front of;

This rule, however, is not universally true, as we shall see when we come to the syntax. Similar to this is the doctrine taught by the Persian Grammarians, on the use of the particle 1, (originally perhaps 3, way,) for where, according to Mr. Lumsden, there would be a difficulty in ascertaining from the context, which is the objective case to the verb, this particle is added; and, when it is not so, the particle is always to be omitted. Pers. Gram., vol. ii. p. 202. My edition (9th) of Sir Wm. Jones's Pers. Gram., p. 118.

towards, over against; on this side, beyond (properly, passage); and מבל before, in front of.

On the Inseparable Particles.

- 172. Having laid down the forms, and given some examples of construction, of the Separable particles, we now come to those which have been termed Inseparable. These have been termed inseparable, because, as many of them are no longer found extant in their original and complete forms, but presenting one single letter only, they are always prefixed to some other word. They are all comprehended in the technical terms, משה וכלב, Moses and Caleb.
 - 2. The first (2) is regularly prefixed to nouns with the imperfect vowel (·) Khīrík, and consequently inserting a Dāgésh forte in the following letter: as, מַבְּרֶב from, or out of, the way. This word when written at length is זֹב, probably from מכן, signifying, according to Storr, cutting off, &c.* This Dāgésh, therefore, may be considered as a compensation for the loss of the letter > (Art. 76.).
 - 3. Dāgésh, however, is frequently omitted when the following word commences with (:): as, בְּיִבְיּלְהוֹ from greatness; בְּיבִילְילְּי Art. 87. 5.). Under this rule Schrederus places the word בְּיבִילְילְי thence, from the beginning, 1 Chron. xv. 13. But here, the p prefixed is probably a part of The which, what, or the like.
 - 4. But when any letter incapable of receiving Dagésh follows, (Art. 109.) a compensation is made, either explicitly, or implicitly: i. e. either, 1st, by putting the vowel (") instead of Khīrik; or, 2dly, by considering the following letter as doubled: as, 1st. באלים (for מַבְּישׁנִי from a man; בּבְישׁנִי (for מַבְּישׁנִי from a man; מַבְישׁנִי from a wicked (man); or, 2dly, מִבְּיִשׁנִי (for מַבְּישׁנִי from a thread.

 5. The particle w, which is an abbreviation of אול who, what, &c. will be considered with the demonstrative pronouns; where
 - the use of the inseparable particle 7 will also be shewn.

^{*} See last Ait. No. 13.

On the Particle 1 and, but, moreover, &c.

173. This particle is probably a fragment of the word אוה, Syriac סלי, or Arabic אוה, to augment, connect, &c.: its augmented form is אוֹה desiring wealth, connection, or the like: and, as a noun או a hook, nail, or any thing by which one thing is connected with another. Hence, it is used as a conjunction, and is capable of all the variety of meaning to which such words are subject.

2. This particle is generally prefixed to words with (:): as, אַבְּהְה וְעֶבֶּר he called and said; שָׁבְּה וְעֶבֶּר a servant maid and man.

3. The Shěvá, however, is liable to certain changes. When the word, to which is attached, commences also with Shěvá, this takes the vowel Shūrék: as, וֹלְבֶּלְ מָּ go ye, not וֹלְבֶּלְ (Art. 106. 1.): זְלְבֵּלְ and to, or for, the king, not וֹלְבֵּלְ וֹן or וֹלְבֵּלְן.

4. The same change takes place, whenever any one of the labial letters (קבובר) immediately follows: as, מבלבו and the garment, not יַבְּבֶּין. There are, however, some exceptions: as, מוֹבְּבֶּין and void; and they blushed, &c.

5. When the letter ' with (:) follows, a contraction will take place (Art. 87. 5.): as, יֹחִי (for יְחִייִ or יְיִחִיי and he shall live; (יִי מְּמֵּ (מְיִנְיִנְיִם (for יְיִחִייִנְם) and their right hand. In a few cases we have (י) in this place: as, יִחִייִם and let him be.

6. Any word beginning with one of the substitutes of Shevá, will prefix with the correspondent imperfect vowel (Art. 107.): as, and I; and I; and truth, &c.

7. In some instances of this kind, however, we find Shūrék: as, בְּיֵלְיִי, and gold, Gen. ii. 12; מָּיִלְיִי, and cry thou (fem.), Jer. xxii. 20.

8. When the word commences with an א a contraction is often found to take place: as, בְּלִינְי and my Lord (for בֵּלִינְי and God (for בֵּלְיִנְים, Art. 87. 5.

9. Monosyllables, and dissyllables having the accent on the penultima, will generally prefix this particle with (τ) : as, DiD; and

^{*} Kimchi is of opinion, that in these cases the letter N is to be considered as dropped, and that we should read IN universally.

a horse; מול and a ram. To this, however, there are many exceptions: as, מולר and an ox; מולר and a chariot.

10. This particle has been supposed to have the power of changing the tenses of the verb, i. e. of making the preterite tense future, and the future preterite, and hence has been termed Vaw Conversivum. From what will be said on the doctrine of the tenses of the verbs by and bye it will appear, that the conversive power supposed to exist in this particle is neither necessary nor When it takes the vowel Pāthákh it ought perhaps to be considered as illative, corresponding to the Arabic ithen, therefore, &c., which is regularly so: when it takes (:), as being merely conjunctive (p. 50, note).

11. In some cases when accompanied by (-), the Dagésh of the following letter, as also the Euphonic accent, one or other of which is necessary to complete the syllable, is dropped, probably by the negligence of the scribes: as, will and he consecrated, Gen. ii. 3,

for בְיַבְדָשׁ, or with the accent בְיַבְדָשׁ.

12. In the first person singular of the present tense this particle

takes (ד): as, אַטְשָׁאָן and I hear.

174. The remaining particles I, I, and I, signifying, in, according to, to, or the like, respectively, may be easily traced to their origin as nouns. The first is probably a fragment of the word xiz entering into, &c., which is found in Arabic under the forms of برى he descended to his house, lodging, &c.; and in Ethiopic OOK: meaning nearly the same thing.

2. With respect to the second () signifying like, as, just as, &c., it is probably a fragment of some primitive noun, from which we also have the words מוֹ thus; יבּוֹ for, so; 112 and 12 thus. For the etymology of 5 see Art. 171. 7.

3. These particles are regularly prefixed with (:); as,

י בְּרֵרְךְ in a way; לְאֵרֶץ like a tree; לְאָרֶץ to earth.

If the word to which either of them is to be prefixed, have (:), the particle will regularly take (.) Khīrîk

- (Art. 106. 1.): as, בְּלְנְלְּח in the volume; בְּלְנִי like a vessel; לְבְנִי to his son.
 - 4. But, when any one of the substitutes of Shěvá happens to be at the beginning of such word, the prefix will take the correspondent imperfect vowel (Art. 107.): as, בַּוֹלְים in a dream; like eating, &c.
 - 5. When an א happens to be the first letter, a contraction generally takes place: as, בְאַרֹהֶים (for לָאַרֹהֶי to my Lord; לֹאַרֹהָים (for לֵאֵרֹהָים) to God (Art. 87. 5.).
 - 6. But when prefixed to monosyllables, or to dissyllables having the accent in the penultimate, they generally take (ד) as above (Art. 173. 9.); e. g. אַבָּי in this; אַבָּי like these; אַבָּי for ever. So with verbal nouns or Infinitives, when not in construction with other nouns: as, אַבָּי for standing; אָבֶי for walking.
 - 7. The following affixed pronouns are often found attached to these particles: viz. '크 in me; '크큐 in us; '크큐 in thee, masc.; '큐크 id. fem.; '크 in him, masc.; '큐크 in her; '□큐크 (or Art. 75.) '□큐 in them, masc.; '큐크 id. fem.
 - 8. The particle ל' receives the pronouns in the same manner; but כ' is so found in only two instances; viz. לונה you, and בּקוֹף like them. When it is necessary to use the pronouns with כ', the paragogic particle וֹם is generally introduced thus: לְּמִוֹנְף like me; לְמִוֹנְף like us; לּמְוֹנְף like thee; ווֹף like you; ווֹגף like him; לְמִוֹנְף like her; בּמִוֹנְף like them.
 - 9. When either of the particles בּ, בּ, ס, or בֹּ וֹשׁ , is prefixed to a noun with the definite article, the article is for the most part rejected (Art. 73.) and the particle takes its vowel: as, בּבְּיבִּים in the house (for בַּבְּיבִים); שׁבְּיבִּים jike the man (for בַּבְּיבִים); שׁבְּיבִים in the dry (land, for בַּבְּיבִים Art. 87. 6.). Exceptions: בַּבְּיבִים in the way, Neh. ix. 19: בַּבְּיבָים to the people, 2 Chron. x. 7; ווֹשִׁב like the windows, Ezek. xl. 25; בַּבְּיבָוֹם like the wise man, Eccles. viii. 1.
 - 10. This contraction, moreover, sometimes takes place in those Infinitives which commence with a servile הוא בּבְּשִׁלְ (for בַּהַבְּשֵׁלְ (for לְּהַבְּישׁלְ) in being impelled, Prov. xxiv. 17; לַּהָבִיּשׁ (for בַּהַבְּשׁלְי) for causing to hear, Ps. xxvi. 7; לְבִיא (for בַּהַבְּיִא) for bringing, Jer. xxxix. 7, &c., which is most usual in the Chaldee.

On those Inseparable Particles which are termed Paragogic.

- 175. To the preceding inseparable particles may be added others, which however are always found attached to the end, never to the beginning, of words; and for the purpose most probably, of adding something to the force of the passages in which they are found. These were at first, perhaps, nouns of one form or other just as the prepositions were, but which, in after times, were abbreviated for the sake of convenience.
- 2. These letters or syllables, then, (for they are now nothing more, have been termed paragogic, rather, I suppose, for the purpose of giving them a name, than for pointing out either their nature or their use), consist of one or other of the letters, which is found appended to words, either for the purpose of giving emphasis to the meaning, of promoting euphony, or for some other reason.
- 3. The letter א is mostly pleonastic, and is found attached to words terminating in the vowel (י) or ז: as אַרִּיֶּקְירָהּ its galleries (for אַרִּיֶּקְירָה), Ezek. xli. 15; אַבּוּא its galleries (for אַרִּיֶּקְירָה), Josh. x. 24; אָבוּא they were willing, Isai. xxviii. 12; יבּר they shall be carried, Jer. x. 5. So יִרּה for יִרּה (for יִרָּה Art. 87. 2.) he shall be, Eccl. xi. 3; also אָרָה instead of וֹה he, Arab. בֹּר for יֹר Arab. בֹר יִּרָּה (would to God, utinam, &c., and so of others.*
- 4. The use of π as a paragogic letter is very frequent. In some cases it is thought to augment the signification, in others to be merely pleonastic. On

^{*} This prevails in the Arabic, whence Schultens comes to the conclusion, that it is an ancient manner of writing: others, that it is a mere Arabism.

some occasions it is found appended to words ending in a consonant, with (¬); on others, it is preceded by (¬) or (¬): and in these it becomes quiescent: as, אַבְּבָּבְּיָּ (from בְּבָּבְיִּ (from צְּבָּבְּיִ (from צְּבָּבְיּ (from צְבָּבְּי (from צְבָּבְיּ (from צְבָּבְי (from צִּבְּיִ the earth; בּבְּר (from צִּבְי these.

- 5. It is attached to verbs. 1st, to the Infinitive or Verbal noun; 2d, to both the tenses; 3d, to the second person singular masculine of the Imperative; and 4th, to the participles: e. g. 1st. אַטְשָׁ (for שַּשָּׁ) spoiling; the participles: e. g. 1st. אַטְשָׁ (for שַּשָּׁ) spoiling; (for אַטְּלָרָהוֹ (for אַטְלֵּרָהוֹ) shaking, Isa. xxxii. 11; אַלָּרָהוֹ (for אַטְלֵּרָהוֹ) he rested, Isa. vii. 2; אָלֶר (for אַטְלֵּרָהוֹן) he lodged, Zech. v. 4; אַלְרָהוֹן (for אַשְּׁרְרָהוֹן) אַלְרָהְוֹנְהוֹ (for אַלְרָהוֹן) let us burn, Gen. xi. 3; יְדְשִּׁבְּרָהוֹן (for אַבּר) he will reduce to ashes, Ps. xx. 4, &c.*
 - 6. Verbs ending in ה, usually drop that letter upon receiving the paragogic ה: as, אַשָּׁישָׁהְ (for אַשְּׁשָּׁהָּה Art. 75.) I will look on, observe, Ps. cxix. 117. So בְּשִׁהְּעָה (for הַיִּשְּׁבְּעָה) ne will observe, Isa. xli. 23. This last example Schræderus puts under the form of apocope, which appears to me to be unnecessary and erroneous.
 - 7. With Imperatives: as, אַבְּבְּשׁ repose thou (for בּיִּבּשׁ), Gen. xxxix. 7: אַבְּבָּר (for אַבְּיִּבְּי (for אַבְּיִּבְי (for אַבְּיִבְּי (for אַבְּיִבְּי (for אַבְּיִבְּי (for אַבְּיִבְּי (for אַבְּיִבְי (for אַבְּיִבְי (for אַבְּיִבְי (for אַבְּיִבְי (for אַבְּיִבְי), and with אַבְּבְּרָ (for אַבְּיִבְי (for אַבְּבָּיִר (for אַבְּיִבְי (for אַבְּבָּיִר (for אַבְּבָּיִר (for אַבְּבָּיִר (for אַבְּבָּיִר (for אַבְּבִּיר (for אַבְּבָּיִר (for אַבְּבִּיר (for אַבְּבִּר (for אַבְּבִּר (for אַבְּבִּר (for אַבְּבִּר (for אַבְּבִּר (for אַבְּבִּר (for אַבְּבָּר (for אַבְּבְּר (for אַבְּבְר (for אַבְּבְּר (for אַבְּר (for אַבְּר (for אַבְּבְר (for אַבְּר (for אַבְרְר (for אַבְּר (for אַבְּר (for אַבְּר (for אַבְרָר (for אַבְּר (for אַבְּר (for אַבְר (for אַבְרָר (for אַבְרּר (for אַבְר (for אַבְרָר (for אַבְרָר (for אַבְרָר (for אַבְרָר (for אַבְר (for אַבְרָר (for אַבְּרְרָר (for אַבְרָר (for אַבְרָר (for אַבְּרָר (for אַבְרָר (קריר) (for

(for אַבְּבָּאָ, from בְּצְרָאָ *I will be honoured*, Hag. i. 8.

5. With Participles: as, בּצִרָּה (from בַּצְרָאָ (from בַּצְרָאָר)) escaped, Jer. xlviii. 19.

8. Nouns singular of both genders, as also nouns masculine of

8. Nouns singular of both genders, as also nouns masculine of the dual and plural numbers, will occasionally receive a paragogic ה, still preserving the accent on the originally accentuated syllable: as, מְלֵילָה (from לֵילֵיל (from מַנְילָה) the night; מְלֵילָה (from מִילֶּיל (from מִילֶר) days. Any

^{*} The force of these will be considered in the Syntax.

noun, however, ending in an imperfect vowel on account of construction (Art. 143. 3.), may, when the paragogic ה is added, take the accent on the last syllable: as, חַבְּיִבְּי (from מִּבְיבָּי (from מִבְּיבָּי), in construction (מִבְּיבִי) the rising of the sun, Deut. iv. 41, &c. In this case, such terminating vowel will suffer no change on account of the state of construction: as, מֵבְיבָר בַּבָּבֶּב , above: מֵבְיבָר בַּבָּבְּב the southern country, Gen. xx. 1; מִבְּיבְּר the tent of Sarah, Gen. xxiv. 67.

- 9. This particle is occasionally added to the pronouns, whether separate or affixed : as, 1st, אָבָּה you, fem.; אָבָּה they, masc.; אָבָּה they, fem.; אַבָּה they, fem.; אַבָּה your (fem.) wickedness, &c.
- 10. It will not be necessary to trouble the Reader with instances, in which this particle is found attached to other particles: as, \overrightarrow{n} for \overrightarrow{p} there, &c.: because, as these are manifestly nothing more than nouns, it is reasonable enough that they should receive \overrightarrow{n} paragogic as well as others.
- - 13. Professor Gesenius objects to this etymology, because the vowel points differ, in some respects, from those usually found with the affixed pronoun, and because the affix is also found to disagree

with the noun to which it refers.* I do not think, however, that much stress can be laid on the consideration of the vowel points, because anomalies of this kind frequently occur. Nor is the disagreement of gender discoverable between the noun and the affix of much moment; because little regard is paid in the Hebrew either to the gender or number of words in cases like this, as we shall see hereafter. In the Syriac and Ethiopic, pleonasms of this sort are extremely frequent; but, in general, a preposition is then added to the last word: as, land the gift of him, of God, or rather, the gift of him, who (is) God. The preposition in the Ethiopic is in these cases. See Ludolf. Gram. Eth., p. 138.

14. There are some instances of verbs, in which יוֹ seems to be a paragogic letter: as, אוֹבְיוֹ and he smote, 2 Sam. xiv. 6, root אוֹבְיוֹ and he smote, 2 Sam. xiv. 6, root אוֹבְיוֹ הוֹ has been substituted: and, as Khōlém is most frequently the second vowel proper for the present tense, nothing can be more likely, than that is here made to quiesce in it. We also have אוֹבְייִי in 1 Sam. xxi. 14; probably for אוֹבְייִי he changed. We have ', in like manner, not as a paragogic letter, but, as the true radical letter, in אוֹבְייִי blot out, Jer. xviii. 23; אוֹבְייִ she committed fornication, Ib. iii. 6.

15. The letter () when Paragogic, is like the preceding asyllabic, and is mostly found between two nouns in the state of construction. Its vowel differs in no respect from that of the pronoun of the first person singular; whence Schultens and some of his followers have supposed it to be nothing more than a pleonasm of that pronoun. To this, however, Storr and Gesenius object, and apparently with good reason. The Persian etymology, however, proposed by Gesenius, is perhaps

equally objectionable, as exemplified in the phrase, بوي the scent of musk. Whether it be the same with

^{*} Lehrgebaüde, p. 549.

[†] Storr. Observationes, pp. 441. 442. Gesenius Lehrgeb., p. 547.

the ماني ألنسية, or الجنس, or the Arabs, which is the same with the patronymic of the Hebrews (Art. 166.); or, whether it be that of the plural number, derived from the verbal noun المانية (Art. 139. 7. note), and thence applied for the purpose of giving Emphasis, Intensity, or the like to any passage, it is impossible to say; but, certain it is, that the significations thus derived will suit its application sufficiently well, where any variation of sense is discoverable in consequence of its insertion.*

16. This particle is found appended both to nouns and particles: to nouns, as, בּנִי אַתנוֹ בּנִייִם בּנִייִּבּי בּנִייִּבּי בַנִּיִּים בּנִייִּבּי בַּנִייִּבְּי בַנִּיִּים בּנִייִּב נַנִּיִי בַּנִייִּב נַנִּיִּב נַנְיִי נִיּב בַּנְיִּב נַנְיִי נִיּב נַנְיִי נִינִּב נַנְיִי נִיּב נַנְיִי נִינִּי נִינִּב נַנְיִי נִינִּב נַנְיִי נִינִּי נִינִּי נִינִּי נִינִּי נִינִּי נִינִּי נִינִּי נִינִּי נִינִּי נִינִיי נִינְי נִינְּי נִינְי נִינְּי נִינְי נִינְי נִינְי נִינְּב נְבְּיִי נִינְּב נַנְיִי נִינְי נִינְּב נְבְּיִי נִינְּב נְבְּיִי נִינְי נִינְ נַבְּיִי נִינְּב נַנְיִי נִינְ נַנְי נִינְי נִינְ נַבְּיִי נִינְי נִינְ נַנְי נִינְ נַנְי נִינְ נַנְּבְּעִי נִינְּע נְּבְּעְי נִינְּם נַנְיִּם נְּנִים נְּבְּעְי נִינְּם נַנְיִּם נְּבְּעִי נִינְּם נְּבְּעִי נִינְּבְּעְי נִינְם נְּבְּעִי נִינְּם נְּבְּעִי נִּבְּעְיִ נְיִּם נְּבְּעִי נִּנְיִנְ נְּבְּעִי נְיִּבְּעְיִ נְּבְּעִי נְינִּם נְּבְּעִי נְבְּעִים נְיִּם נְּבְּעִים נְיִּנְם נְּבְּעִי בְּנִּבְּעִי נְינִּם נְבְּעִיי נְיִּים נְּבְּעְיִי נְיִּבְּעְיִּי נְיִּם נְּבְּעְיִי נְיִּים נְּבְּעְיִי נְיִּבְּעְיִּי נְיִּם נְּבְּעְי נְיִּים נְּבְּעְי בַּנְבְּעְי נְיִּבְּעְי בַּנְבְּעִי בְּנִּבְעְי בַּבְּעְיִי נְיִּים נְּבְּעְיִי נְיִים נְּבְּעְיִי נְיִּים נְּבְּעְיִי נְיִּים נְבְּעִיי נְבְּיִּבְּעְייִּי נְיִּים נְבְּעִייִּי נְיּים נְבְּעְיִּי נְיִּים נְבְּעְיִּים נְבְּעִייִּי נְיִּיְיְיִּים נְיּיִּבְּעְיִי נְבְּעִים נְיּים נְבְּיּים נְיּי נְבְּעִיי נְיִּים נְּבְּעְיִּים נְּיִּים נְּבְּעְיִּי נְבְּעִיי נְבְּעִייְ בַּבְּינְבְּעְייִּיים נְבְּיּים נְבְּיוּבְּעייִּים נְבְּיים נְּבְּבְּעייִּי נְבְּיים נְּבְּיבְּיים נְבְּיים נְבְּיוּבְיי נְבְּיים נְבְּיים נְבְּיוּבְיים נְבְּיים בְּיוּבְיים נְבְּיים נְבְּיים נְבְיים נְבְּיים נְבְּיים נְבְּיים נְבְּיים נְבְּיים נְבְיים נְבְּיים בְּבְיבְּים נְבְיים בְּבְּבּיבְיבְיים בְּבְּיבּים בְּיבּיבְּיים בְּיבּיבְּיבְּיים בְּבְּיבְי

Schræderus and Schultens have also applied this paragogic letter to verbs; but, as it is never found, except in those which have Π for the last radical letter; and, as is in these cases generally substituted for Π , there can be no doubt, that this is its character in all such instances, as Storr has justly remarked.

17. The letter is mostly found with verbs: it is also affixed to particles, and is then preceded by (*) or (-); but when in or i precede, it is affixed without any other vowel: as, in (for in), on account of the accent, from

^{*} In some cases, however, the so affixed seems to be nothing more than a fragment of the feminine pronoun of the second person singular אַלָּהָא, as it constantly occurs in the Syriac Participles, see the Grammars. So אַלְּהָא for 'הַבָּא thou bringest forth, Gen. xvi. 11. See also Jer. xxii. 23; li. 13; Mic. vii. 8, &c.

(תִּבְּהָן (for יְשִׁיבָה) he shall turn her back, Jer. ii. 24 ; יְשִׁיבְּנְּה it shall hang over (thee), Hab. ii. 17 ;*

he will honour me, Ps. l. 23, where we have (-) for (-), on account of the accent perhaps: so, הַּחִילִין (for יְחִילִין thou) (fem.) shalt bring forth, Is. xlv. 10; לוֹין thou shalt adhere, Ruth ii. 8. So, הַּרְבְּקִין ye shall fear; יְלְבִּוֹן ye shall see; יִלְכִּוֹן they shall walk, &c., and with () when put for ז: as, יְלַכְּוֹן they shall lie down, 1 Sam. ii. 22; יִרְנִין they shall be watered, Ps. xxxvi. 9; in which cases the accent is always with the last syllable.†

18. Verbs having הווער (without Mappik), for the third radical letter, will drop it by contraction (Art. 73.) when קר paragogic is to be added: as, אַרְאָּלְהַנּ I will smite him, 1 Sam. xxvi. 8 (for אַרְאָּלָהָנּ); זוֹ ווּשׁנּוֹ I will confess to him (for אַרְאָּלָהָנּ), Ps. xlii. 6; אַרְאָּלֶהְנּלּ (for אַרְאָּלֶהְנּלּ) I shall see him, Numb. xxiv. 17, &c. But in these cases, we have also an affixed pronoun, the rules for the addition of which we now proceed to consider.

19. When a paragogic is followed by either of the affixed syllabic pronouns י, ד, סרי, סרי (Art. 145. 6.), no vowel of union is required: אַרָּבְּרָבְיִּבְיִי he will honour me; אַרְבְּרָבְּרָבְיִּבְיִ וּ וֹשִׁרְבְּרָבְּרָבְּיִ וֹ וֹשִׁרְבְּרָבְּיִ וֹ וֹשִׁרְבְּרָבְּיִ וֹ he shall bless him (of יְבְּרָבְּרָבְּיִ , root ברד But, if the pronoun is asyllabic, there must be a vowel of union: as, יְבִּיבְרְרָבְּיִבְּי they shall serve thee, Isa. lx. 7.

20. The affixed pronouns 'בְּ and בֹּ , following the paragogic 1, are frequently expressed by inserting Dāgésh forte in the 1: as, are frequently expressed by inserting Dāgésh forte in the 1: as, are frequently expressed by inserting Dāgésh forte in the 1: as, are frequently expressed by inserting Dāgésh forte in the 1: as, as, the judged me (for בַּבְּבָּבְיּיִ it shall bless me, Gen. xxvii. 19; and, with the particle אַ is not; יִבְּבָּבְּי my not being, (for אַ מִּבְּבָּבְיּ אַ my not being, (for אַ מִּבְּבָּבְיּ אַ אַרָּבָּרְּ אַרָּבְּרָּ אַרָּבְּרָּ אָרָבְּרָּ

^{*} Institutiones, &c. Schultensii, p. 428. In every instance this 7 is probably nothing more than that, by which the intensitive form in nouns is sometimes designated. See Art. 168. 2.

⁺ From these examples it appears, that the 7 is sometimes single, at others doubled by $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$: its use will be investigated in the Syntax.

- 21. The paragogic is frequently inserted in the affix in the same manner: as, אָרֶבֶּיבְּ he has blessed thee (for אַרְבָּיבָּ Art. 76.); אָרֶבְיִיבְי chastising thee, Deut. viii. 5; אַרְבָּיִיבָ answering thee (for אַלְבָּיבָי, and rejecting אוֹנְבָיבָּ by Art. 73. אַלְבָּיבָּי, root אַלְבָּיבָּ No, in the particles, אַרְבָּי behold thou, Ps. exxxix. 8; אוֹנְבָיבָּ where (art) thou? (ending also with paragogic אַרָּב. 175.) Gen. iii. 9.
- 22. The המלקבור of the affix אוֹם is omitted, and a compensation is made by doubling the paragogic ן by Dāgésh forte: as, אַבְּקְבָּוּהְ thou shalt visit him (for הַבְּקְבָּוֹהְ See Art. 73.), Ps. viii. 5. So, אַבְּקְבָּוֹה he shall smite him, I Sam. xvii. 25; אַבְּרָ take him, I Sam. xx. 21; אַבְּלָּי he is not, Gen. xxx. 33; אַבְּלָּי he yet Gen. xviii. 22; and, with the feminine affixed pronoun אַבְּלֶּי בָּי וֹי he shall smallow her up (for בְּלֶּינְיִלְי וֹי), Isa. xxviii. 4; so אַבְּלָי take it, Jer. xxxvi. 14; אַבְּלֵיי give it, I Sam. xxi. 10; אַבְּלָי take it, Jer. xiii. 21. In these cases, Schræderus is of opinion, that the הוו of the feminine pronoun has been struck out, and that the paragogic הוו has been added. Storr, however, is of a different opinion; his words are: "Similiter He paragogicum, in voce אַבְּלָיִי אָבָּי, Ps. cxix. 117, et similibus dubitationem habet, quoniam præter formam הוו אַבּילָּי, ad quam He adjunctum videri possit, etiam alia datur, in Kamez desinens," &c. p. 440, note.
- 23. When the affix (which has always the accent) follows the paragogic 7, no vowel of union is employed: as, אָרָבְּרֹ curse him, Numb. xxiii. 13 (for אָרָבְּרַ הָּרַ בְּרַיִּ). In like manner, we have הַּיִּבְי his being (for בְּרֵבְּי, root בַּרַ,), 1 Sam. xiv. 39, &c.
- 24. This 7 has by some been termed *Epenthetic*; but, as it appears to have been originally the same in every case, it seems unnecessary to give it more names than one.
- 26. The first of these is thought to be the same with the word 'ב', or 'ב', signifying sufficiency, &c. The second, Schultens and some others have derived from 'ב', or ''ב' water; while Jahn thinks it is the same with ב', or ''ב' what, which, &c. In the Arabic

Lo, No, is frequently found attached to the same particles: as, أماً , أماً , i. e. in that which, according to that which, to, or for, that which, &c. So in the Syriac, 1500 kemó; 1500 bemó, &c. having the same signification.

27. The particle 'P is nothing more than another form of and the mouth, found in construction with other nouns. Its meaning, by a metonymy, will be any thing said, enforced, or commanded: as, a precept, edict, &c. which will not always bear to be expressed in a translation.

On the Demonstrative Pronouns.

176. The Demonstrative Pronouns are always found written separately; they are as follows:-

חו masc., חוֹן fem. rarely זוֹן or חֹן; זֹיָם or זוֹ this, sing.

- com. : אֶלֶה, rarely אָל these, pl. com.

 2. The forms לְּנֶה , לְנֶה , masc. this, have the particle to, or for, with the definite article in the. The same may be said of not this, fem. which occurs but once, viz. Ezek. xxxvi. 35, as also of 177, of the common gender, as above.
- 3. These, is also found; it is nothing more than the plural pronoun, as above, with the article prefixed.
 - 4. 73, however, is found construed as a plural, Gen. xxvii. 36, 1 Sam. xxix. 3, Job xix. 19, and Zech. i. 12, vii. 5. אלה is also found as a singular, 1 Chron. xi. 11, 2 Chron. iii. 3, xvii. 14, and Ezra i. 9. But this has been done either by some figure of speech, or what is termed logical construction.

On the Relative Pronoun.

- 177. The Hebrew language recognises but one Relative Pronoun: viz. Twis he who, that which, what, which is common to every gender and number.
 - 2. The gender and number, however, will be determined by that of the preceding or following noun, or pronoun: as, צַּשָׁר הָעֹמְדִים

3. In some instances, the demonstrative pronouns Π_{i}^{n} , Π_{i}^{n} , and Π_{i}^{n} take the place of Π_{i}^{n} : as Ps. ix. 16, xii. 8, and cxxxii. 12, &c. A similar substitution takes place in the Arabic, which the Gram-

marians ascribe to a difference of dialect.*

4. This pronoun is frequently found prefixed to other words in an abbreviated form: as, שָּ, שַּ, פּי, or שַּ, the first and last letters being rejected. Examples: אַלָּא נְתָנְנָן for בּצֹישׁר לֹּא נְתָנָנְן for שֵׁשְּׁר לֹא נִתְנָנְן for שֵׁשְּׁר לֹא נִתְנָנְן for שֵׁשְּׁר לֹא נִתְנָנְן for שֵׁשְּׁר לְּא נִתְנָנְן for שֵׁשְּׁר לֹא נִתְנָנְן for שֵׁשְּׁר לְּחָר לְא שִׁר לְּאַר לְּא נִתְנָנְן for שֵׁשְּׁר לֹא נִתְנָנְן for שֵׁשְּׁר לֹא נִתְנָנְן for שֵׁשְּׁר לְּאַר לְּאַנִין for שֵׁשְּׁר לְּאַר בְּתְנִינְן for שֵׁשְּׁר בְּתְנִינְן for שֵׁשְּׁר בְּתְנִינְן for שֵׁשְּר בְּתְנִינְן for שֵׁשְּׁר בְּתְנִינְן for שֵּׁבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְן for שֵּׁבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְן for שֵּׁבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְן for שֵּשְׁר בּעָם for שִּׁבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְן for שִּׁבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְן for שִּׁבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְן for שִּׁבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְ for שִּׁבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְ for שִּׁבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְ for שִּׁבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְ for שִּׁבְּעָר בְּתָנִינְ for שִּׁבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְ for שִּבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְ for שִּבְּעָר בְּתְנִינְ for שִּבְּעָר בְּתְנִיב וווו. 18, &c. It is perhaps difficult to say, in what cases the vowel (·) is to be preferred to (-), but it is not of much importance. Kāméts is used only when a letter incapable of receiving Dāgésh follows: as, שִּבְּעִיל which thou, Judg. vi. 17. But, even in this case, (·) is occasionally found sustained by an accent: as, שְׁעִילְי they who went up. The usage of (·) in these places cannot perhaps be easily accounted for.

Dathe thinks, that we have an ellipsis of אָנָיָאָר, in these instances. See

Glass. Philol. Sacr., ed. 1776, p. 160.

[&]quot;In the Arabic commentary on the Káfia of Ibn Olhájib, published at Calcutta, (p. ۲۲۹) we have the following remark: ونو الطالبة الي بني طي الختصاص مجيبًا موصولة بلغتم بمعني الذي الني التي قال الشاعر ع وبئري نو حفرت ونو طويت اي التي طريقها والتي قال الشاعر ع وبئري نو دفوت وفو طويت والتي طريقها والتي والتي طريقها والتي وال

On the Interrogative, and occasionally Indefinite, Pronouns.

- 2. אָם, with Kāméts, is used when the article (אֹם, or any other letter, incapable of receiving Dāgésh, immediately follows, excepting אַ or צ : as, אַרְה אָבְּרִיּשׁ what confidence? 2 Kings xviii. 19; אַרְה אָבְרִיּשׁ what (is) man? Ps. viii. 5; אָרָה אָבָרִיּשׁ what (are) they (fem.)? Isa. xli. 22; אָרָה אָרָא לְּרָּא יִנְיִּשׁ what he (was) to her, Esth. viii. 1.
 - 3. Exceptions: 1. We have שֵׁשׁ with Pāthákh, notwithstanding the article following: מְשׁלֵּשׁל what prevarication? Josh. xxii. 16. Also in מְשִׁישׁל what it is, Ps. xxxix. 5; יחַשְּאַת what (is) my sin? Gen. xxxi. 36.
 - 4. Whenever any letter capable of receiving Dāgésh follows, בְּלֵה with Pāthákh, and Dāgésh is expressed in the following letter: as, בְּלֵה בְּלֵה בּלְה how good, and how pleasant? Art. 112. In what two (fem.)? Zech. iv. 12, the Dāgésh is omitted.
 - 5. When any guttural letter, having (ד), or אווי or אווי not having it, immediately follows, we have אווי with Sēgól, apparently for the sake of euphony: as, אווי אָשׁיתִי what has happened to him? Exod. xxxii. 1; אווי אווי what defect? Ps. xxxix. 5; אווי אווי what have I done? &c. Art. 114.

On the Interrogative Particles 7 and 18.

- 179. The Interrogative particle , , or , has been classed by some with the definite article; but, if we consider either its construction or office, we must be convinced that they are different words.
- 2. The office of this particle is interrogation, like the Latin an, annon, num, utrum? or the like; and, in this capacity, strongly to affirm or deny; and thus to increase or diminish the importance of subjects with which it is connected. It is also used indefinitely.
- 3. It is always prefixed to some word, and is often followed in the succeeding member of the sentence, by the particle אָא, having nearly the same signification with utrum followed by an, in Latin, and corresponding to each other in different members of the sentence; or, as an and vel, in the following passage, אַפּרֹל אַ "An tu es, fili mi Esave, Vel" non? Gen. xxvii. 21.* In many instances it loses its interrogative power, as it happens with similar particles in other languages, and then may be construed either as a relative, or indefinitely; as, אַרָּלְלַכוֹא אָרָלְנִ who came with him, Josh. x. 24; שׁלְּלְכוֹא אַרְלְנִ שׁלְּלְנִי whether you are, Deut. xiii. 4; שׁלְלְנִלְנִי whether it blossoms, Cant. vi. 11, &c.

^{*} These particles correspond, both in sense and construction, with the Arabic correspond, as in the following passage from the Koran, c.1. v.5. النفرة من المنافرة من المنافرة المنافرة

[†] In which case it differs but little from the definite article when equivalent to المعة . So, الله in Arabic, for معنف المعقد . So, الله على المعقد . So, الله على المعقد . So, الله على المعقد . So, على المعقد

- 4. When prefixed to any word commencing with Sheva (:) or (:), it will take the vowel (-) with the Euphonic accent, and, therefore, will not require that the following letter should take Dāgesh forte: as, אוֹרָ בְּיִלְּהִי have ye forgotten? Jer. xliv. 9; חַבְּרָבֶּה num benedictio? Gen. xxvii. 38; אַרָּבְּרָבָּה whether on thy account? Job xviii. 4, &c. With gutturals; אוֹרְ אַבְּרָבְּרִר truth? אַרָּבְּיִר whether time?
- 5. In these cases, however, the $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$ is often found written: as, בְּלְכֵּלְ תוֹלְיבִי whether my ways? Ezek. xviii. 29; בּלְכִילִ have ye seen? 1 Sam. x. 24; בּלְבַלְּלֵלְתוֹלְ whether according to her cry? Gen. xviii. 21. And once, when Sheva is not attached to the first letter of such word: as, בּלִילְבּל mill it be well? Lev. x. 19.
- 6. When any word, to which this particle is prefixed, commences with a consonant and a vowel (excepting gutturals with r) the interrogative will take (그): as, ' ' 그리고 whether of the tree?' Gen. iii. 11; ' 라고 shall the flock ...? Num. xi. 22; ' 기가고 shall it happen to thee?
- 7. Guttural letters having (ד) require the Interrogative particle to be prefixed with (בּי): as, שֵּלְכֵּלְ whether I? Num. xi. 12; whether if come to pass? Joel i. 2; אָרָהָן whether strong? We also have, הֵהְלֵּלְלִּי shall I cease? Judg. ix. 9, &c., which is perhaps erroneous, for הֵּהְלֵלְלִי We also have, שֵּלֵּהְ whether you? Judg. vi. 31; and, הַאָּלִרְרָן whether an Ephrathite? Ib. xii. 5, which are anomalous. (See Art. 114.)

hence, whether it is to be understood in a positive or negative sense. When this particle is prefixed, however, this difficulty is removed; but, unfortunately, this is not always the case.

- - 10. It also occurs with a paragogic ה, האָשִׁים as, אַיָּה הָאָנְשִׁים where (are) the men? Gen. xix. 5.
 - 11. This particle is sometimes expressive of desire, admiration, lamentation, expostulation, insult, or negation, as is also the case with most of the interrogative pronouns: as, אַבּוֹל אָרָה אַ where is Jehovah! Jer. ii. 8. (desire) אַבּוֹל אָבּוֹל אָל אַבּוֹל אַל אַרָּה אַ where is now my hope! Job xvii. 15. אַבּוֹלְה אָבּוֹלְה אָבּוֹל אַבּוֹל אַבּוֹל אַנוֹנוּ אַנּיִי אַ how hast thou perished! Ezek. xxvi. 17, &c.

On the Definite Article 7.

180. The Hebrews have the fragment of some word, π , which they prefix to others for the purpose of restricting, or otherwise modifying, their signification, as will presently be seen.

^{*} In Persian, Sanscrit, and some other Oriental languages is an interjection O, Oh, &c. In Arabic has the same meaning as in Hebrew, viz. Quisnam, quis, quod, &c.

2. Some have supposed this particle to be an abbreviation of the pronoun Nor he, or, of one or other of the interjections in, Nor, Nor behold. Others, again, have affirmed that it is only another form of the Arabic article U, No, which should be written In this case, as in many others, each party is perhaps both right and wrong. That both these articles have a common origin is, to me, extremely probable; and, that their force is the same in both these languages, there can be little doubt. No good reason can be assigned, perhaps, why we are to derive the Hebrew form of the article from the Arabic one, rather than we should derive the Arabic one from the Hebrew. Professor Gesenius thinks, that there are still manifest traces of the Arabic article to be found in the Hebrew Bible, such, for example, as, In Prov. xxx. 31,

which he considers as equal to the Arabic אָלְמִוֹדְן the people; אָלְמִוֹדְאַ Gen. x. 26, and אַלְמִוֹדְן Josh. xv. 30, which, 1 Chron. iv. 29, is written אַלְּמִוֹדְן. With respect to the first of these, there seems to be but little necessity for supposing the syllable אַל to be the Arabic article אַל זוֹן. The interpretation of Schultens, Hiller, and others, who suppose אַל to be the prohibitive particle here, appears to me to answer the intention of the Sacred Writer much better. With respect to the proper names אַלְמִוֹדְן אָלְּתִוֹלְדָּא, and אַלְמִוֹדְן אָלְּתִוֹלְדָּא, the particle אַל סִי אָלְּיִי is sufficiently applicable, without having recourse to the Arabic article. The circumstance of אַלְמִוֹדְן being omitted in one place, in the parallel passage, is nothing more than what is found to happen in other words and phrases, and particularly in proper names (See Art. 170. 9, &c.).

3. Another particular dwelt upon is, that in Hebrew the letter following the article is almost always doubled; and, that in Arabic, the \flat of the article is so assimilated to the following letter, in many cases, that the first letter of the word may be said to be doubled:

as, שׁבְּיֵבְי in Hebrew, and pronounced pronounced in Arabic, both signifying the sun. It might be suggested: In Hebrew the imperfect vowel (-), with which this article is usually attended, makes it necessary that the following letter be doubled, in order to complete the syllable commencing with the article, (Art. 33.). In

^{*} Storr. Observ., &c., p. 121.

Arabic, the of the article must according to rule either be pronounced or not. In many instances it must be pronounced: it is only in others, that the sound of is merged in that of the succeeding letter; and to this no parallel is to be found in Hebrew. I am of opinion, therefore, that the Arabs have introduced the of the article, and not, that the Hebrews have rejected it.*

4. The article is regularly prefixed with Páthakh (-), occasionally with Kāméts (-) or Sēgól (-): as, הַּנְּרִוֹּל the great; הַּנְּרָוֹל the man, or הַּנְּרָוֹל the cities.

5. Whenever any letter capable of receiving Dāgésh follows the article, it will be doubled by that mark, and the article will take Páthakh; white the sun.

- the article will take $P\'{athakh}$; שֶּׁבְשֶׁהַ the sun.

 6. But, יְ, יְ, הְ, or יְּ, (with Shēvá) are frequently found so situated without $D\~{ag\'{e}sh}$: as, יוֹי the salvation, Ps. iii. 9; יוֹי the river, Exod. vii. 18, &c. Where the euphonic accent is also omitted.
 - 7. Of words commencing with לְיִלְיִבְּיִלְיִרְ the Levites, Num. iii. 12.—With לְבִּירְ the teacher, Ps. cxliv. 1; ווֹנִינִינִי the proclaimers (fem.) of good news, Ps. lxviii. 12.—Yet we have שְּבְּיִבְיִין the insane, 2 Kings ix. 11; דַּבְּיִבְיִין the delicate woman, Jer. vi. 2; רְּבְּיִבְיִין the adulteress, Ezek. xvi. 32, and בַּיִּבְיִבְיִין the cooks, Ib. xlvi. 24, &c.—With יְב : as, בּיִבְּיִבְיִין the frogs, Exod. vii. 29. Yet we have בְּיִבְּיִבְיִין the frog, Exod. viii. 2. In most of these instances, it is probable the negligence of the transcribers has been the sole cause of the omissions.
 - 8. If, however, either of the letters א, ח, or ש, follow such letter, Dāgésh is mostly expressed: as, בְּיִהְרָּיִם the Jews, &c.
 - 9. But, when any letter incapable of receiving Dāgesh follows the article, it will take the perfect vowel corresponding to (-) in order to complete its syllable: as, אַרָּ אָרָ the man; אַרְּעָלִין the head; זְּבִּלְיִלְיִן the virgin, &c.

^{*} In the Commentary on the $K\bar{a}jia$ by Moolla Jámi, published at Calcutta, in 1818, it is cited as the opinion of El Mobarrad, that \aleph is the original form of the article, and that the \gimel has been added, in order to distinguish it from

والمبرّد ذهب الي أنها .The words are النه الله المفتوحة وحدها زيدت اللهم للفرق بينها وبد همزة الاستفهام.

10. In many instances, however, when הו סוה follows, (-) is still retained: as, אוֹרְיִיהְיוֹ that which proceedeth, Gen. ii. 14; ווייה the living creature, Gen. viii. 1. In all which cases (-) will either be sustained by an accent, or must be considered as placing an implicit Dāgésh in the following letter (Art. 109.).

11. In words, not monosyllables, commencing with \overline{A} , \overline{A} , or \overline{A} , and having $K\bar{a}m\acute{e}ts$ (τ), the article usually takes (\star): as, \overline{A}

the wise man ; הֶּעְרִים the cities (Art. 114.)

12. When such words are monosyllables the former rule prevails: as, Tite mountain; The mountain; the people, Par. 9.

13. The letter ¬, however, having (¬) or (¬:) in monosyllables, will mostly prefix the article with (¬): as, רְהָהַ 1 Kings iii. 22, 23 (where it also occurs, רְהַהַ); the mastes, Ezek. xxxvi. 35. The word רְהָאָּ earth, always takes (¬) with the article, and changes its first (¬) to (¬) for the sake of euphony: as, רְהָאָּרְ the earth.

14. On the use of the article we shall speak particularly when we come to the Syntax. It will suffice for the present to remark, that its offices appear to be two: one, to mark the noun to which it is prefixed, Is. vii. 14, &c.: the other, for the purpose of impressing upon the mind of the Hearer or Reader, the peculiar property, nature, character, &c., of the noun to which it is prefixed: as, הַאָּרֵי an animal remarkable for its properties as a lion, 1 Sam. xvii. 34. So Ib. קרוב a very bear. So, passim הַאֱלֹהָים the real or true God, In this sense it is occasionally used as a vocative: as, הַבַּעל, the Being designed as Lord Possessor, or the like, 1 Kings xviii. 26. So, in the N. Test. & 9eds, Heb. i. 8. Apoc. xv. 3, &c.

^{*} The Jews and some of the German Divines have thought, that in this, and some other cases, the article is equivalent to the Demonstrative pronoun this, the intention of which however has been, to get rid of a certain unpalatable doctrine.

15. This particle is also said to occur in the sense of the Latin, versus, when found either prefixed or postfixed, or both, to words: as, הַכְּלְשָׁחִים towards Ramah, 1 Sam. vii. 17; הַפְּלְשָׁחִים to, or towards, the Philistines, Ib. xiii. 20; קליר to the city, Ib. xx. 40, 42; to the tent of Sarah his mother,* Gen. xxiv. 67. To which many others might be added. In these instances, however, it is very doubtful whether the article does not retain its original signification, the noun following being considered as complementary to some preceding verb : as, Josh. viii. 19, וַיָבאוּ הַעִיר and they entered THE city, &c., or, by the preposition 5% being understood. The following examples will tend to confirm this opinion, in which, according to Buxtorf, the preposition is to be supplied; יְרוּשָׁלָם and he came (to) Jerusalem, 1 Kings iii. 15; וַיַּתֶּד בִּיתָד I will enter thy house, Ps. v. 8; אַבוֹא בִּיתְדּ and the king went up (to) the house of Jehovah, 2 Chron. xxxiv. 30: and he (caused them to enter) brought them to Babylon. Jer. xxviii. 3.

16. The passages in which \overline{n} occurs postfixed to words, and in which it is said to have the signification of versus, are numerous; yet, it must not be dissembled, that passages which must be so construed, but in which this particle is not found, are also numerous. Noldius is of opinion, that it is nothing more than a paragogic letter, added for the mere sake of Euphony; or, with the view of giving some emphasis: and, if this latter be the case, it will be the definite article, as used in the Chaldaīc and Syriac.‡ Buxtorf holds, that it is not paragogic, because, says he, "tales literæ nihil ad vocem consignificant." §

17. When this particle is postfixed, it does not take the accent, in which particular it differs from the feminine affix π . The fol-

^{*} This sentence is elliptical, for אָהֶל שָּׂנְה אָהֵל שָּׁנְה אַפּוּ. See Gen. xxvii. 22, &c. בְּאָלֵב לֵל לְל יַעַלְב.

[†] Thesaurus Grammaticus, lib. ii. cap. xii. Reg. v. See also Hilleri. Onom., p. 339.

[†] II paragogicum nil nisi pronunciantis nisus est, qui vel impetum et tendentiam ad locum objectumve aliud; vel admirationem magnitudinis, aut, in negativis, exiguitatis rei significat; vel ob soni saltem suavitatem vocibus adjicitur." Concord. part. Ed. 1734, p. 217, note.

[§] Thesaur. Gram., p. 568.

lowing are a few examples of its occurrence: אַבְּרֵיבְּי to Egypt, Gen. xii. 10, 14, &c.; אַבְייִ towards heaven, Gen. xv. 5, xxviii. 11, &c.; אַבְייִ into the river, Exod. i. 22; אַבְייִ towards the desert, Exod. iv. 27, &c. In these cases it is said by some to be equivalent to the Syriac and Chaldaïc א, which either makes the noun definite to which it is attached, or gives some emphasis to the sentence.

On the Numerals.

- 181. The last species of nouns, of which we have to treat, are the numerals: these are of two kinds: 1st, those which designate the *number* of persons or things to be thus defined, and are termed the *Cardinal Numbers*; and, 2d, those which determine the *order* in which they are to be taken: as, *first*, *second*, &c., which are termed the *Ordinal Numbers*.
- 2. The Cardinal Numbers are designated in Hebrew by substantives put either in apposition, or in the state of construction, with others designating the persons or things to be numbered: and they have this peculiarity, that those which designate the numbers from three to ten inclusively, generally take the feminine form with masculine nouns; and, vice versâ, the masculine form with feminine nouns. But of this more will be said in the Syntax. The decimal numbers may be considered as adjectives or epithets.

Table of both the Cardinal and Ordinal Numbers.

CARDINAL NUMBERS.

	Masc. Abs.	Constr.	Fem. Abs.	Constr.
1	אָקָד	אַתַר	אַתַת	אַתַת
2	שַׁנַיִּם	الْهِ وَرَ	שׁתַּיִם	שׁתֵּר
3	שַׁלְשׁ	שׁלשׁ	ישַׁלִּשְׁה	שלשת

	Masc. Abs.	Constr.	Fem. Abs.	Constr.
4	אַרְבַע	אַרְבַּע	אַרְבָּעָה	אַרְבַּעַת
5	חָמִשׁ	ਯੁਕੂਯੂ	חַמִּשָּׁה	הַמְשָׁת
6	שַׁשַ	עיש	កម្មឃុំ	שָׁשֶׁת
7	שֶׁבַע	שָׂבַע	ישָבְעָה	שִׁבְעַת
8	שׁמנֶה	שָׁמֹנֶה	שָׁמֹנֵה	שָׁמֹנֻת
9	רַשְׁעַ	תִשְעֹ	תִּשְׁעֵר	תִשְׁעַת
10	אֶשֶׁר	אָשֶׂר	ָעַשָּׂרֶ <i>ה</i>	עַשֶּׂרֶת

Masc., com. gen., twenty, אָשְלְיִם; thirty, שְׁלְשִׁים; forty, אַרְבְּעִים, אַרְבְּעִים; sixty, שִּשִּׁים; seventy, שָּבְעִים; eighty, שְׁבִיִם; ninety, שִּבְעִים; a hundred, בְּאָתִים, constr. בְּאָתִים, two hundred, בְּאָתִים, for בְּאָתִים; two hundred, בְּאָתִים, two thousand, בְּאָלִים; ten thousand, בְּבָּה, רָבְּוֹת or רָבְּוֹת, רַבְּוֹת, רַבְּוֹת or רָבְּבָּה, רַבְּוֹת, רַבְּוֹת or רָבְּבָּה, רַבְּוֹת, רַבְּוֹת processing results.

ORDINAL NUMBERS.

	Masc.	Fem.
First,	אָקֶר יי ראשון	ראשונה
Second,	ישֶׁלֶי	שָׁנְית
Third,	ישְׁלְׁישִׁי	ישָׁלִישִׁית
Fourth,	רְבִּיעִי	רְבִיאָית
Fifth,	הַמִישִׁי	הַמִישִׁית
Sixth,	نپنپن	שִׁשִּׁית
Seventh,	שְׁבִיעִי	שָׁבִיעִית
Eighth,	שָׁמִינְי	שְׁמִינְית
Ninth,	הְשִׁיעֵי	הְשִׁיעִית
Tenth,	יִירֶי	עשירית

^{3.} The rest of the Ordinal Numbers are made by the terms appropriated to the Cardinal ones: as, בַּשָּׁבָה הָאָחַת עָשִׂב the eleventh year; so, שֹׁבְעָה עָשִׂר וֹם the seventeenth day.

^{4.} In some other cases also the Cardinal Number has been preferred: as, שנח שתים day one, i. e. the first day; שׁנָת שִׁתְּיִם the

second year; so, שָׁבֵּת שָׁבֵּל the seventh year. But these are probably elliptical expressions, see Lev. xxv. 10, 11. שְׁבָּת הַחֲבִּשׁים the year of fifty years, i. e. the fiftieth. And Gen. vii. 11, יוֹם שִׁבְּה מִשׁ בֵּאוֹת שְּבָּה in the year of six hundred years, i. e. in the six hundredth year.

The decimal numerals ending in בְּיִשׁרִים: as, עֵשִּׂרִים are never found taking the form for construction, עָשִׂרֵי, &c. (Art. 143. 7.)

- 6. When the feminine form occurs in these numerals, distribution or parcels consisting each of that number of persons or things, is intended to be conveyed: as, שָׁרֵי צַשְּׁרִוֹר and chiefs of the tens, Exod. xviii. 25.
- 7. The intermediate numbers from ten to twenty, twenty to thirty, &c. are made by connecting a decimal with an unit, in the following manner.

	Masc.	Fem.
Eleven,	אַחַד עָשָׂר	אַהַת עָשְׂרֵה
	סי עַשָּׁתֵּר עָשָּׂר	עַשְׁתֵּי עָשְׂבֶרה
Twelve,	ישְנֵי עָשָׂר	שְׁתֵּי עֶשְׂרָה
	ישְנֵים עָשָׂר יי	שְׁתֵּים עֶשְׂרֵה
Thirteen,	ישָלשָׁה עָשָׂר	שַׁלשׁ עָשְׂבֵּרה
Fourteen,	אַרְבָּעָה עָשָׂר	אַרְבַּע עֶשְׂרָה
Fifteen,	חַמִשָּׁה עָשֶּׂר	חָבֶשׁ עָשְׂרָה
Sixteen,	ישִׁשָּׁה עָשָׂר	שָשׁ עָשָׂרָה עָשָּׁ
Seventeen,	ישִבְעָה עָשָׂר	שָׁבַע עָשִׂרָה
Eighteen,	שְׁמִנֶה עָשָּׂר	שָׁמֹנֶה עָשֵּׂרֵה
Nineteen,	הִשְּׁעֵה עָשֶׂר	רְּשַׁע עָשְׂרָה

- 8. In a few cases אַבְּאָ is found as the absolute form: as, בּאָבֶוּר מִבֶּה to one of them, Deut. xxviii. 55. So 2 Kings ix. 1, Ezek. xlvi. 17, Zech. xi. 7, &c.
- 9. In two instances this word is found defectively written, Ezek. xviii. 10, T; and Ib. xxxiii. 30, T.
- 10. The feminine form nnn, when found at the end of a sentence with the accent Athnákh, or Sēgól, is written nnn. See Exod. xxxvi. 10, where it occurs twice.

11. This word (בּבְּרָים) is also found in the plural: as, בּבְּרָים dictiones unæ, like mæniis unis in Latin, Gen. xi. 1, it. Ib. xxvii. 44, xxix. 20, Ezek. xxxvii. 17, Dan. xi. 20; שַּבְּיָם is put for שִׁבְּיַם, the being dropped, and its loss supplied by Dāgésh (not forté, Art. 76.).

12. From twenty to thirty, &c., the intermediate numerals are formed thus:

	Com.	Masc.		Fem.*
One-and-twenty,	וְעֶשְׂרִים	אַתַד	or	אַתַת
Two-and-twenty,	וְעֶשְׂרָים	שׁנֵיִם	-	שַׁתַּיִם
Three-and-thirty,	ושלשום	שָׁלשָׁה	-	יעַריש
Four-and-forty,	ואַרבָעים	אַרבָעָה	_	אַרבָע
Fifty-five,	וַחָמִשִּׁים	חַמִשְׁה	_	חַמשׁ
Sixty-six,	וששים	ۺڛٚ	-	יטִיש
Seventy-seven,	וְשִׁבְעִים	שבעה	_	שַבע
Eighty-eight,	ושמנים	שָׁמֹנֶה	_	שמנה
Ninety-nine,	וְתִּשְׁעִים	תִשְעָה	_	תשע

13. And the hundreds, &c., thus:

Com. gen. Three hundred. שַׁלשׁ מֵאִוֹת Four ditto, ארבע מאות Five ditto. חמש מאות Three thousand. שׁלשֵׁת אֵלַבִּים אַרְבַעָה אַלַפִים Four ditto. Five ditto. חמשת אלפים Twice ten thousand, שתי רבות Twenty thousand, עשרים אלף Ten times ten thousand, עשר רבות A hundred thousand. מאת ים מאה אלף Six hundred thousand. שש מאות אלף

^{*} See No. 2. above,

14. The Cardinal Numerals from three to ten inclusive, require the word designating the thing numbered to be put in the plural number: all the others require the singular. Examples, שֵׁבֶּעָם וֹשְׁבָּיִם נִּשְׁבִּים נִּשְׁבִים נִּשְׁבִּים נִּשְׁבִּים נִּשְׁבִים נִּשְׁבִּים נִּשְׁבַּים נִּשְׁבִּים נִּעְּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבִּים נִּעְּבִּים נִּעְּבִּים נִּעְּבִּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבִּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבִּים נִּעְּבִּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּעְּבְּים נִּבְּים נִּבְּים נִּבְּים נִּבְּים נִּבְּים נִּבְּים נְּבִּים נִּבְּים נִּבְּים נִּבְּים נְּעִּבְּים נִּבְּים נִּבְּים נִּבְּים נִּבְּים נְּבְּיבְּים נְּבִּים נִּבְּים נִּבְּים נְּעִּבְּים נִּבְּים נְּבְּים נְּבְּים נְּבְּים נְּבְּיבְּים נְּבְּיבְּים נְּבְּיבְּים נְּבְּים נְּבְּיבְּים נְּבְּיבּים נְּבְּיבּים נְּבְּיבּים נְּבְּיבּים נִּבְּים נְּבְּים נְּבְּים נְּבְּים נְּבְּיבּים נְּבְּיבּים נְּעִּבּים נְּבְּיבּים נִּבּעּבְּים נְּעִּבְּים נְּבְּבּים נְּבְּבּים בּּיבּים נְּעִּבּים נִּבְּים נְּבְּבּים נְבִּיבְּ

Some instances occur in which this rule is not observed: as, בישורים יון אונים אוני

- 15. When the decimal number takes the precedence, the conjunction וֹשִׁבְּעִים וְשִּׁבְעִים יִשְּׁבְעִים seventy and seven; הִּשְּׁעִים ninety and six, Ezr. viii. 35, &c.
- 16. When the numerals are to be used distributively, they are repeated like other nouns: as, שְׁבַיִם שְׁבַיִם two and two, i. e. by twos; שׁבַיִם by fifties, or, every fiftieth man.
- 17. Numerals signifying the repetition of any quantity or thing, are generally expressed by the dual number: as, אַרְבַּעְּחָיִם four-fold; seven-fold, or, seven times repeated.

LECTURE X.

ON THE HEBREW VERBS.

- 182. WE now come to treat on the Hebrew Verbs, and to shew, first, In what way they appear to have been formed; and, secondly, To delineate and explain their several conjugations.
- 2. The verb, we believe (See Art. 146.), is, in its crude state, nothing more than a noun of one form or

other,* and, that its signification will be regulated by that peculiar to the form of the noun to which it belongs, whether that form be primitive or derived.

- 3. If, therefore, we have the means of knowing what signification is to be attached to the different forms of the primitive nouns, as also how the augmented forms are derived, and what sense they will bear; we shall also know, to what class the verb itself is to be referred, and what must be its general force and meaning.
- 4. In our Lectures on the forms and derivation of nouns, we laid before the Student all that appeared necessary on that subject, leaving it to his own industry to follow out the enquiry to any extent he might think proper. We now come to shew how this bears on the question before us.
- 5. The different forms of the verb, as found generally in use, amount to seven; four of these have an active, and three a passive (and sometimes a reciprocal) signification.

- 6. The first of these has been termed Kal, i. e. levis, light; because the root is here exhibited in its simple state. Verbs of this species are either active or neuter.
- 7. In this conjugation, or *species*, as we shall term it, three forms are in use, which may be represented by the measures 7,2, 1,2, and 7,2, corresponding to the forms of nouns given in Artt. 153. Ff. II. III. X.*
- 8. Again, in this, as in every other species of the conjugation of Hebrew verbs, two tenses only are found; namely, the *Past*, and the *Present*.
- 9. The leading form in every species will designate the third person singular of the masculine gender of the Preterite Tense; and, in Kal, this will always be a primitive noun of the form 729, 729, or 729.
- 10. The leading form proper for the present tense of this species, is always a noun of one or other of the primitive Segolates; as, 779, 779, or 779 (See Art. 148, &c.), which, when combined with one or other of the pronouns, will present both the vowels, and signification, proper for this species of the conjugation.
- cation, proper for this species of the conjugation.

 183. The next species, taken in the usual order, is termed Niphhál, גָּבְעָל, because, as it should seem, that word presents the conjugation itself of the word formerly taken as a paradigm for the verbs in general, which was בַּעָל. This forms the objective voice of the foregoing.

^{*} A similar variety, in the vowels proper for the medial radical letter of the root, exists in the Arabic, where, as in the Hebrew, the first generally denotes transitive verbs; as,

he assisted; the second, verbs of sense: as,

he heard; היל he was glad; the third, implies habit; as,

he was generous, &c. So, א בַּקָּרוֹ he visited; שׁבֵּיבוֹ he was glad; he was glad; he was powerful.

- 2. This species, according to our system, is an augmented word, combined of two others, affording both the form and sense peculiar to this conjugation (See Artt. 157. 18, 19, &c. 162. Ff. I. II.); לְבָּקָד, therefore, will be the measure generally taken for the leading form of the Preterite Tense of this species; as, אול הַבְּקָד he was, or became, visited.
- 3. The Present Tense of this species is formed on the measure קְבָּקְן (for הְנְבָּקְן Artt. 157. 18. 162, &c.); which, with the abbreviated form of the pronoun of the third person sing. masc. will be קַבְּקְן he is or becomes visited, and, by Art. 76. אוֹנְבָּקְן.
- 4. The third species has been termed Pihél DD. This is always of the active voice, and has, for the most part, a transitive signification (See Art. 154. 7, 8, &c.). The measure proper for the leading person of the Preterite is TPD, which is one of the augmented and intensitive forms given under Art. 154. 4, &c.
- 5. The form proper for the leading person of the Present Tense is of the cognate form, Ib. Form I. 772, which, with the abridged form of the pronoun, will become 772.
- 6. The objective species peculiar to this class of verbs is termed Puhál אָשָׁב. Its leading word will be of the measure אָבָּשׁ, and will always be a noun of the form given in Art. 154. Form V. This may be termed the fourth Species.
- 7. The fifth species is termed Hiphhil הַפְּעִיל, the leading form of which is cognate with those of the augmented nouns given Art. 160: that proper for the Preterite being of the measure הַבְּקִיך,—for the Present, הַבְּקִיך, for the force of which see Art. 157. 2—9.
- 8. The sixth, and the *objective* of *Hiphhil*, is termed *Hophhal* הַּבְּעֵל This takes, for the leading word of

both tenses, a noun of the augmented form קַבְּקָד. See Artt. 157. 10. 160. F. VII. having an objective signification.

- 9. The seventh and last species is termed Hithpāhél, הַּתְּפֵּעֵל, which, as before, is the form taken in the old paradigm בְּתַל in this species. Our form is הַתְּפַּעַל The leading forms proper for both tenses, will always be of this measure, affording the sense common to nouns of this form, See Artt. 157. 13—19. 164. Ff. I. II.
 - 184. These are the forms of the several Species of the Conjugation of Hebrew verbs, as usually given in the Grammars. But to these a few others may be added: which, as they occur less frequently, have been considered anomalous. They are, however, according to our view of the subject, just as regular in their formation as those already mentioned: and, although they occur less frequently, which might easily be accounted for, they are quite as consistent with the analogy of the language, as any verbs can possibly be.
 - 2. These additional species are thought to be analogous to those of $P\bar{\imath}h\acute{e}l$, $P\bar{u}h\acute{a}l$, and $Hithp\bar{a}h\acute{e}l$, because some reduplication of the letters or syllables of the root is generally found to take place in them, as it does in those forms; and, consequently, affording a similar shade of signification, although the same letters are not always doubled, nor the same vowels always found to accompany them.

 - 4. Instead of אָבָּיִי, and אַבְּיִי, therefore, which are the measures proper for the species Pīḥēl and Pūḥāl, we shall always have these forms from roots of this description; and here the same form is taken for the leading word of each tense respectively; אָרְמָם , קוֹמֶם, סוֹמָם, objective.
 - 5. The first of these forms is also taken for the Hithpāḥel species, i. e. instead of הַּחְפַּוֹבֶן, we shall have הַּחְפּוֹבֶן, for the measure; as, בּחְפּוֹבֵן, and so of others.

- 185. There is, moreover, a considerable number of forms peculiar to certain verbs, as laid down by Schultens and Schreder, and, before them, by David Kimkhi and other Grammarians: of these some notice should be taken. These writers, then, have supposed these forms of the conjugation to have sometime existed; and, hence, they have proceeded to derive nouns of similar forms from them, even when the verb itself has not occurred; all of which seems to me to be proceeding in an inverted order. According to our system, the addition of one or other of the pronouns to any noun, simple or augmented, will always have the effect of investing it with the powers of a verb; and hence it probably is, that we occasionally find this done both in the Hebrew and all its dialects. This will sufficiently account for these rare augmented forms, as also for those instances in which nouns, which were originally the mere names of substances, are found acting as verbs in these tongues.
- 2. But, to come to these remaining and rarer forms, which are sometimes found as verbs: אַרְבָּקְרַ, is the passive form of אָרָבְּקְרַ, just as אַרְבָּקְרַ, is of הַבְּּקְרַ, . Of this form, we have אַבְּעָרָת (for אַבְּעָרָת Art. 83. 1.) rendered impure, or polluted; prim. noun, אַבְּעָרָ, So מוּבְּעָרָת made fat, for הַבּּעָרָת Is. xxxiv. 6, where, however, the Dāgésh proper for the bis omitted.
- 3. Another form given by Schreder is The from which we have only a noun supposed to be derived from this species of the verb, viz. The perfidious, Jer. iii. 7, 10. This, therefore, does not occur as a species of the Conjugation: it is also doubtful, whether it is to be referred to the form given by this excellent Grammarian. Surely, as the (+) is immutable, The would be the more suitable measure.
- 4. Another form is אַבְּקְרָדְּ. Of this is אַבְּקְרָדְּ., and סכנעודוות Judg. xx. 15. 17. But here we have no necessity for introducing a new form; the omission of Dāgésh in the אָר, which might have been merely accidental, would make it necessary that the preceding vowel should be a perfect one (Art. 32.). This form, therefore, may be considered as belonging to Hithpāḥél, which has already been considered (Art. 183. 9.).
- 5. The next form given by Schreder is אַרְּחָבְּק, or אָרְיִבְּקָר, which may be referred to the first of these forms (No. 2.), with the additional consideration, that the Dāgésh proper for it has been

omitted, and supplied as in the last. The examples occur, Num. i. 47, 1 Kings xx. 27, &c.

- 6. His two next forms, viz. The and having a reciprocal sense, are not supported by the passages adduced. We may dismiss them, therefore, without further enquiry.
- 7. The next form, הַּתְּפּוֹקְד, should have been הַּתְפּוֹקְד, his example being הַּתְּפּוֹקִל, which is manifestly derived from the root See Art. 184. 5.
- 8. In the form אָבֶּהָ, as exemplified in בְּהַלָּ, and הַבְּהָ, we have, I believe, nothing more than a form equivalent to אָבָּ, in which the Dāgésh being omitted, we have a perfect vowel supplied to compensate for the defect thus occasioned. See Art. 109.
- 9. The next form given is 7245, which Schræder exemplifies by Is. xliv. 20: but this does not occur as a verb.
- 10. Of his next form בּיִּהְשֵּׁלְ, no example occurs; שְׁמָאֵל as given by him is fictitious. The noun is שִׁמָל left hand, &c.: which, when augmented, becomes הַּשִּׁמְל, signifying to proceed in that direction. Whether Schræder's derivation of it from שָׁמָל , be correct or not, may be matter for dispute.
- 11. We next have קַבְּקָּ, and the example given בְּעָבָן green, which is said to be put for רְעָבַן, or בְּיָבָן. But all this is extremely doubtful. The noun appears to be merely one of those augmented by the addition of ן (see Art. 168.); קַּנְמֵבּן for מּנְמֵבְּן see Schræder has put it, we think comes more properly under another form, which, however, is nothing more than a noun.
- 12. His next form אַרְבָּי, has, for the same reason, no connection with אַרְבָּי. And the other example given is manifestly a noun, אַרְבָּי, and is referable to the compound form (Art. 169. 7.).
- 13. The form הַּתְּפְּוֹנֶדְ should rather have been הַתְּפּוֹנֶדְ, in order to admit of the form הַתְּלְּמֵבּ But, as we have already considered this form (Art. 184. 5.), we need not dwell any longer on it here.
- 14. The form קַּלְבַּיְּבְיּ, is that of a reduplicated noun (Art. 169.), which is often conjugated as a verb: as, יְבִירְיִר travelling about as a merchant, &c. of which examples will be given hereafter.
- 15. With respect to the other forms, viz. מָבֶּקֶר, תִּבֶּקֶר, תִּבֶּקֶר, the first does not occur, and the rest have been given under the

different forms of the noun, and accounted for; we need not, therefore, add any thing further on them now.*

186. The forms, therefore, proper for the leading words of all the species of the conjugation now in use will be as follows:

SPECIES.	FORMS.	SPECIES.		GENERAL FORCE.
1 {	active. 기구후 id. 기구후 neuter.	2	objective or reciprocal.	Simple, or translated, sense of the root.
3 {	קד active, causal, &c. קבור id.	4 {	objective. פַּקְּדּ פֿוֹרָד id.	Transitive, when the root in Kal is not so. Sometimes intensitive, frequentative, or even causal. Names of sub- stances often take this form when converted into verbs.
5 {	active, בּקִיד causal, &c.	6	objective. or קבְּקִד	Causative. Transitive. Also the form assumed by many substantives when used as verbs.

^{*} In the cognate dialects of the Hebrew, viz. the Arabic, Ethiopic, Syriac, and Chaldaïc, we have a considerable number of forms seldom found in the common Grammars. In Erpenius's Arabic Grammar, we have only thirteen forms of the triliteral, and four of the quadriliteral, verb. In M. de Sacy's Grammar, we have two more noticed in the triliteral verbs, four under the quadriliterals, and a few others, which are said to belong to the triliterals, but which have been augmented by a letter or two. Scheidius gives upwards of forty forms in his "Primæ Lineæ Institutionum Arabicarum." Lugd. Batav. 1779. And Mr. Lumsden, in his elaborate Volume on Arabic Grammar, has given a list of still greater extent, amounting to fifty (Gram., p. 162, &c.), from which it appears, that it has often been a matter of difficulty with the native Grammarians to determine, whether many of these should be referred to triliteral or quadriliteral roots. Some of them do not occur more than once; and this should suggest, that the language itself acknowledges no such conjugations generally; but, on the contrary, that nouns of these forms have occasionally been made to occupy the situation of verbs.

as to its general forcé.

Spe	CIES.	Forms.	SPECIES.			GENERAL FORCE.
7	ַבְּר }	active, reciproc or &c. id.		objectiv or <i>id.</i> y rare.	e. }	Reciprocal. Passive. Reflective occasionally.
1	9	ner פַּלְתֵּד	iter.			Intensitive.
Rare.	10	or <u>숙</u> 된 [חפקדקד neute	r.		Frequentative, Intensitive, or the like.
B.	11	ner הִבְּקִּלְר	ter. –			Frequentative.
	12	neu מִבְּקֵדּ†	ter.		{	Habit, or custom, it occurs however but seldom; and, therefore, little can be said

- 2. But, for particulars, as to their several significations, see Artt. 183. 184. &c., with the references.
- 3. It must be borne in mind, that any change occurring in the forms of the nouns, with respect either to the vowels or consonants, will also occur when they are used as verbs: i. e. whenever any guttural letter occurs in the root, certain changes may take place on that account in the vowels: even the consonants אהורי, as well as the

[†] In Arabic we also have verbs augmented by مرحبك الله ومسهل and "May God make" as in the phrases مرحبك الله ومسهلك "May God make" matters broad and casy for you." Lumsden's Arab. Gram., pp. 147, 154, in which there can be no doubt these words are nouns.

letters 2 and 5, may disappear in certain cases: of these we shall give examples hereafter, when we come to detail the forms of the conjugation at length; we shall then point out the rules on which these changes, &c. are founded.

- 187. It should be premised, that there are in the Hebrew, as in other languages, three persons, each of the singular and plural number: that, in the verbs, there is no dual number; and, that the second and third persons, both singular and plural, have forms peculiar to both genders. The first person is, under one form, common to both genders; as in the pronouns (Art. 145. 2.).
- 2. These distinctions, however, do not arise out of the root itself, but out of the pronouns which are either prefixed or postfixed to it in an abbreviated form.
- 188. Paradigm of the Preterite Tense of a Verb, conjugated with the Pronouns in the First Species, or Kal.

First Form, TP visiting.*

SINGULAR.

Pers.

Masc.

Fem.

The primitive concrete noun.

^{*} This, according to D. Kimkhi, is the form taken by certain nouns: as, noing wise; not say, that the noun ends in Kāmėts, whereas the verb ends in Páthakh. But, he goes on to say, that the noun will end in Kāmėts, when at the end of a verse or accompanied by certain accents: as, not say as he had said, Gen. xxi. 1.; not sat, Ps. i. 1. There are also instances pointed out by him, in which Kāmėts is the terminating vowel, just as it is in the noun, when neither the position of the word, nor the accent, requires any change in the vowels: as, 1 Sam. vii. 17, nor the accent, requires any change in the vowels: as, 1 Sam. vii. 17, with the accent, requires any change in the word, no the accent, requires any change in the vowels: as, 1 Sam. vii. 17, with the accent, requires any change in the word, no the accent, requires any change in the words as number of similar examples. Mikhlol. fol. 7, verso. et fol. 7, in which we have Kāmėts with the accent Zākėf.

SINGULAR.

	Masc.	Fem.	
2	יּפַקר אַתָּה for בְּקִרְתָּ	קר אַתְּ for בְּקַרָתְּ	٥
1	unknown.	as before.	

PLURAL.

3	יס הויף for הַוְהָ or בְּקִר (Art. 139. 7.) The as before.
2	פָקד צַּתֶּם – פְּקַדְתֶּם	פַּקַד אַתָּן for בְּקַדְתֶּו
1	<u>פַקר נְחְנִוּ – פַּקרנוּ</u>	as before.

2. In the other forms, viz. \ \ \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{A} \ \mathbb{P} \mathbb{P} \ \mathbb{P} \math

Second Form, אָםְק willing.†

SINGULAR.			Plural.		
Pers.	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.	
3	הַבָּץ	ַדְפָּצָה	טַפָּאָנ	טַלּגוּ	
2	טַפֿגּעַ	שַׁלַפַּאָתְ	בוּבּגִינוֹם	וֹהַבּּגְּמֵוֹ	
1	טֿלּאַעּר	בוללעני	בוללנו	בוללנו	

^{*} The pronouns of the second and first persons sing. and pl. have been abbreviated by Art. 73. The third sing. masc. and fem. is merely the noun. In the third pl. the is dropt also by Art. 73. The is of the first pers. pl. is rejected by Art. 76; and the guttural is omitted, perhaps for the sake of euphony.

⁺ Of this form are the following: פְּבֶּחְ fermenting, בְּלָן old, שֹבִין dry, אַבְשְׁ hating, רַבְשִׁ rejoicing, אַבֶּע filling, רַבְּאָ defective, בַּאָא guilty, רְבִּלְ eeasing, אַבְן hypocritical, בְבֶל defective, בַאָר cutting wood, אַבְע impure, withering, שֹׁבֶּע corroding, בָל becoming dry, רַבָּע forgetting, שַׁבָּע desolating, בַּל humbling; to which many more may be added.

Third Form, בָלֹל able.*

SINGULAR.		PL	URAL.	
3	پڌל	יָבְלָה	וֶבְלְוּ	נֶבְלְוּ
2	ָיָלְלְתָּ	יָבְׂלְתְּ	וָבְלְתֶּם	וָכָלְתָּו
1	וָלְלְתִּי	יָבְלְתִּי	וָבְלְנוּ	נַבְּלְבוּ

- 3. It may be observed, in general, that the conjugation of the preterite tense of every species, will be carried on just as in the instances here given; that is, by attaching the same abbreviations of the personal pronouns to the leading word of the species, whatever be its form or character; whether it include one or more of the guttural letters, or whether it be defective by the loss of one or more of the letters ', or ': due regard being had to the rules laid down respecting these letters, as well as to those concerning the changes of the vowels. The conjugation, therefore, is but one, however varied the ground form or leading word may occasionally appear.
 - 4. As the changes which take place in the vowels in the conjugation depend, in a great measure, on the situation of the accent, it may be proper here to advert to that subject.
 - 5. It has been remarked, that in neither of the preceding leading words are the vowels immutable by usage. The nature of the syllabication, therefore, which very much depends on the situation of the accent, will regulate the vowels (Artt. 96. 96, &c.).
 - 6. It will be seen by a reference to the paradigm, that the asyllabic adjuncts $\overline{\Pi}_{ir}$, $\overline{\eta}_{ir}$, as well as the syllabic ones $\overline{\Pi}_{ir}$ and

^{*} These, according to D. Kimkhi, (Mikhlol, fol. ה), are of the same form with אָבוֹל great, אָבוֹל small, דְרוֹל distant, הָפוֹל near. The words so found as verbs are, אָבוֹל fearing, אָבוֹל little, שָׁרָל snaring as a hunter, בָּלְב אָבוֹל bereaved, אָבוֹל lighting, for בָּלְב stealing; some of which occur as nouns.

The preceding vowels, therefore, of these words, will be shortened as far as circumstances will allow (Art. 98, &c.). In the first case, the vowel immediately preceding is rejected, in order to make way for the exertion of the accent; and, as this restricts the word to its primitive quantity, there is no necessity that any further change should take place: we have, therefore, and an interpretable adjuncts and the second case, we have the syllabic adjuncts and the first vowel is not immutable, it is rejected: the whole word still retaining its primitive quantity, i. e. consisting of two syllables, as in the former case, and no more.

- 7. In all the other cases, the accent retains its original situation: and, as the noun seems here to be in apposition, not in construction, with the pronoun, the vowels remain unaltered throughout.
- 8. Hence in the second form, exemplified by YPT willing, the ("), when made imperfect, becomes (-) instead of ("), by what has been termed an oblique correspondence (Art. 96. 2.), as in PPPT, IPPT, which is retained likewise in every other case of increment, in which the accent remains on that syllable. In the remaining cases, when the verb receives the asyllabic augment, T_{ir} , and T_{ir} , it is rejected.
- 9. The first syllable is rejected in 고등학교, 기구학교, for the reasons already given. The substitute of Sheva (=) is added, on account of the guttural letter, see Art. 105. 4.
- account of the guttural letter, see Art. 105. 4.

 10. In the third form, אָלְל, the vowels follow the paradigm of the first, and for the same reasons: except in the third person singular and plural, where the i entirely disappears.
 - 11. When, however, verbs receive the illative particle 1* as

this particle will be considered in the Syntax.

^{*} This has generally been termed Vau conversivum. But, as I see no necessity whatever for supposing that it has any such conversive power as this name implies, I have rejected it: as it has an illative power, however, and even in Arabic has a manifest influence on the vowels, and sometimes on the consonants of the verbs, I have thought it more consistent to give it the title of illative. See Schnabel's Commentary on the Agrumia, p. 26, where this property is ascribed to both 9 and . See p. 50, note. The use and force of

a prefix, the accent is removed to the first syllable of the verb, which will always be perfect, and the following one imperfect: as, מוֹלְיבָין and I will visit; מוֹלְיבִין and thou wilt be able. The last syllable will be subject to the same law, when the accent is removed on account of any affixed pronoun: as, יְבָּיִלְינִין I have prevailed (against) him, Ps. xiii. 5.

12. The (··) Tsēré of the second form, and of the third and seventh species, viz. אָרָהָבָּי, and הַּרְּבָּלָּהָ, will undergo the same changes during the process of conjugation, as it does in אָרָבָּי, in

the paradigm.

13. The following examples present some anomalies, with which the Learner ought to be made acquainted.

On the Third Person Singular Masculine.

14. In one instance the verb קקר drops its first radical letter: as, קה he took, Ezek. xvii. 5; so, for דְּבָּי, by a similar omission, we have דְ he declined, Judg. xix. 11. But see p. 34, note.

15. Whenever the third person singular of either gender is accompanied by a pause accent, the final vowel will be made perfect, and, in some instances changed: as, אוֹל הוּ he has not stood, Ps. i. 1; אוֹל he dwelt, Deut. xxxiii. 12 (Art. 123. 5.). The same often happens in the feminine, as also in the plural number, whenever the accent is drawn back: as, אוֹל it adheres to, Job xxix. 10; אוֹל they were powerful, 2 Sam. i. 23 (Art. 120.): all apparently belonging to the roots אוֹל אוֹל הַ הְּבָּלְ הִי בְּיִלְ הִי בְּילִ הִי בְּילִ הִי בְּיִלְ הִי בְּיִלְ הִי בְּילִ הִי בְּילִ הְּי בְּילִ הִי בְּילְ הִי בְּילִ הְיִי בְּילִ הְיִי בְּילִ הְיִי בְּילִ בְּילִ הְיִי בְּילִ בְילִ בְּילִ בְּיל בְּילִ בְּיל בְּיל בְּילִי בְּיל בְ

On the Third Person Singular Feminine.

16. Our measure is אַרְאָרָ in every case. In some few instances, however, we have the Chaldaïc instead of the Hebrew feminine termination: as, אַרָרָאָר, instead of אַרָּאָר, for אַרְאָר, she called; אַרָּאָר, for אַרְאָר, she called; אַרָּאָר, for אַרְאָר, she made; which seems to shew, that this termination is not a part of the pronoun,

but the distinctive form of the feminine gender, as stated above (Art. 188.). The same holds good in the Arabic dialect, where the feminine termination is also 7, which cannot be derived from the feminine pronoun. See also Art. 137. 2.

17. When the accent is removed from the ultimate to the penultimate syllable, the original vowels of the root will return: as, אַרְאָרָאָן she gave, Judg. v. 25; אווי she was glad, Est. viii. 15. So, אווי it hath failed, Is. xli. 17, with an euphonic Dāgésh in the אווי (Art. 120.).

18. In Ezek. xxxi. 5, we have אָרָהָה for הַּבְּהָה, the Chaldaïc

for the Hebrew termination.

On the Third Person Plural, Common Gender.

- 19. We have a final 7 sometimes added to this part of the verb, which is either intended to obviate a hiatus, or, as in the augmented nouns, to give some emphasis to the sentence: as, אַרָלָיּלְיּ they knew, Deut. viii. 3; אַרְיּיִ they knew, Deut. viii. 3; אָרָיִי they poured out, Isa. xxvi. 16. See Art. 175. 17.
- 20. In some cases this plural form appears with the vowel (<) and ח: as, מַלְּכְּלֵּהְ they poured out, where the יוֹרְיִ, or marginal reading is יוֹרְיָלָהְ, which is most likely the true one. According to the Masora, as cited by Buxtorf, there are fourteen such instances as these in the Biblical text, (Thes. Gram., p. 102.†) In a few cases the ח is not found: as, יוֹרְאָלְּ they said. Art. 72.
- 21. When the accent is, on any account, drawn back, the original vowels return: as, יְבֶּיְרָה they have brought forth, Gen. xxxi. 43; they ceased, Judg. v. 7; לוֹלְי they became debased, Isaiah lxiii. 19, lxiv. 2; they were able, Exod. viii. 14. In a few instances, a paragogic א is added: as, יְבִּיְרָרָּא Jos. x. 24 (Artt. 119. 175. 3.).

On the Second Person Masculine Singular.

22. Instead of the termination \mathcal{P} , we sometimes have \mathcal{P} , which is the more usual termination of the pronoun (Art. 145. 2.):

^{*} The force of this letter will be considered in the Syntax.

⁺ Ed. 1630.

as, הַבְּיִבְיּ thou hast dealt perfidiously, Mal. ii. 14; הַבְּיִבְיּ thou

art grown old, Josh. xiii. 1, &c.

23. Whenever the root ends in ח, and also receives this pronominal affix, both these letters will coalesce by Dāgésh: as, אוֹנְתְּלֵּבְּי thou hast cut, Deut. xx. 20, for אָרְבָּיְבָּי When ז is the last letter of the root, and this or any similar suffix is added, the ז is dropped (Art. 76.), and for this a compensation is made by inserting Dāgésh forte in the first letter of the affix; as, חַבְּיִבְּי, for לּבְּיִבְּיִלְּבִּי you gave, &c.

On the Second Person Singular Feminine.

- 24. When the last radical letter happens to be רֹי, (-) stands in the place of the first Shevá (Art. 45.): as, רְּיִבְיּלְּי, for אָרְיִבְיּלִי thou didst receive, 1 Kings xiv. 3; רְּעַבְיִי thou didst hear, Jer. iv. 19; רְּעַבְיִּדְ thou didst know, Jer. l. 24. In roots ending in 1, that letter will be dropped as before: רְּנָבְיּלִ thou gavest, Ezek. xvi. 33.
- 25. In many instances, particularly in the Books of Jeremiah and Ezekiel, this affix assumes the form ֹחָ, for חָּ, which is also a termination of the original pronoun (See Art. 145. 2. p. 161, note): as, יוֹלְּיִלְּיִלְיִי thou wilt call, for חֲלֵּילְיִלְּיִלְּיִלְיִי Jer. iii. 4; יוֹלָילְילִילְילִי thou hast heard, Ib. iv. 19; to which many others may be added.

On the Second Person Plural Masculine.

26. We have occasionally a Khīrik with the second radical letter, instead of (-): as, בְּחַבְּשׁ, for בְּחַבְּשׁ, ye shall possess, Deut. iv. 1. In others (י): as, בְּחַבְּשׁ, ye asked, 1 Sam. xii. 13; and, as before, בְּחַבָּשׁ, for ye gave, Jer. xxxvii. 18 (Art. 76.).

On the Second Person Plural Feminine.

27. Instead of the termination אָּהָ, we sometimes have הַּלְבָּה,

^{*} In some editions only:

which is also a termination peculiar to the pronoun of this person (Art. 145. 2.); הַשְּלֵבֶה ye cast forth, Amos iv. 3. This, however, is an example belonging to the *Hiphhil* species.

On the First Person Singular, common gender.

- 30. Upon the whole, then, these are rather apparent than real anomalies. It is consistent with the analogy of this language to make certain changes in the vowels, upon the occurrence of any of the guttural letters. The concurrence of any two identical letters is also regularly expressed, by placing a $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$ in one of them, and omitting the other, provided no vowel intervene (Art. 47.). $N\acute{a}n$ > likewise is regularly rejected, whenever it should receive a final $Sh\acute{e}v\acute{a}$ (Art. 76.). Other varieties in the vowels may be accounted for, by supposing different nouns derived from the same primitive, to have been originally taken as the leading word.
 - 31. N.B. The remarks here made on the preterite tense of the

^{*} But perhaps these vowels do not belong to the form אָרָהָ, but אָרָהָ, or perhaps, אָרָהָ, וֹרָהָ, is certainly the root in Arabic to which מַבְּיִלָּי, must be referred; and יִרְיִי a son, may be the root of the second, and אַבְּיִלָּי, Arab. שׁלֹי a beggar, of the third. And hence, perhaps, it is that the same root is occasionally found with different vowels. In Arabic, also, we have خُفُ hiding one's self; خُفُ concealing something; نُفُ he raised; and خُبُ he was elevated, ennobled; he had a high voice, &c. De Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. i. p. 119.

first species Kal, will apply, in a great measure, to those of all the rest.

On the Present Tense of the First Species KAL.

189. It has been remarked (Art. 182. 10.) that the leading word of this tense in Kal is always one or other of the Segolate nouns of the forms 772, 772, or 772. There are, however, a few examples in which 7772 is the form taken, as we shall see presently. These nouns are generally abstract as to sense (Art. 152. 10.): if, then, a personal pronoun, or any abridged form of it, be affixed, we shall have a meaning something like the following: He (is) a visiting; Thou (art) a coming, &c., where the words visiting and coming are not used as participles but as abstract nouns, which must necessarily intimate a present tense. How this, as well as the preterite, tense, is used in composition, will be shewn when we come to the Syntax.

Paradigm of the Present Tense.

Form I. בקד

SINGULAR.

Pe	s. Masc.	Etymon.	Fem.	Etymon.
3	יבקד	unknown. He visits	תפקד	unknown. She visits.
	תפקד	אָתָ פַּקְד Thou visitest.	תבקדי	דרי פקד Thou, fem.
1	אָבְקִד	ז אַנְי פַּקְד I visit.	אָבְקְד	as before. I, fem.

PLUBAL.

	_			-	
rs. Masc.	Etymon.		Fem.	Etymon.	
ופקדו for	הוּ יס הוְהָ	They	visit. תבקדנה	י פַּקֹר הַנָּה for	They visit.
תפקדו –	Id.	את בקד You	תַבְּלְדָנָה visit.	אַתְנָה בִּקד	You visit.
נפְקוֹד		We v נַחְנְרָ בְּקְד	נְבְּקְד .isit.	as before.	We visit.

2. The form 'The may, perhaps, stand for 'The, with the of 'The transposed, as we say, what man soever, for whatsoever man, &c., in English.

3. Of לְּלֵּלְּלֵּלְי the same may be said which has been said of others (Art. 139. 7. note). As to the הוא prefixed to the feminine, what has just been said of תְּלָּלְּלֵלְי must suffice. The אוֹם suffixed is probably a fragment of the pronoun הוא , as Jahn has observed (Gram., p. 177. Ed. 1809.). The derivations of the other forms are sufficiently obvious. See Comment on the Kafia, p. דיין

4. Paradigm of the Second Form 77.3.* SINGULAR.

r cis.	masc.	I Citi.
3	וֹשָׁכַב He lies down.	בושׁכַב She les down.
2	דשׁבֶב Thou liest down.	דושבבי Thou liest down
1	בּב I lie down.	ו אישכב I lie down.
	PLURAL	
3	ישכבן They lie down.	השׁבְבְנָה They lie down.
2	You lie down.	You lie down.
1	נשבב We lie down.	עבב We lie down.

5. Paradigm of the Third Form קקד.

This form never occurs except in verbs which are subject to some defect, and then but seldom.

Example.

SINGULAR.

Pers.	Masc.	Fem.
3	ונתן for ונתן He gives (Art. 7	6.) She gives.
2	Thou givest.	התני Thou givest.
1	אָנְתָּוֹ – אֶתַּוֹ	ן אָתן I give.

^{*} So יְרַבְּן he rides; יְרַבְּן he spreads; he lies down; he increases, &c. When the last letter is guttural, the final vowel is, for the most part, (-): as, שַׁיִי he hears; וֹבְּבִי he sends; הַבָּוֹ he rises, is exalted. This also will be the case when the middle letter is guttural, just as it is with the Segolate nouns (Art. 148. 7.).

PLUBAL.

	2 110 11 12 11	
Pers.	Masc.	Fem.
3	יתנף They give.	
2	את Ye give.	wanting.
1	We give.	.) :

- 6. It has been remarked (Art. 189.), that the form of פּקרּרּ is sometimes taken as the leading word of the present tense. The following are examples: viz. יוֹלָילְּילִי they judge, Exod. xviii. 26; הַּעִּילְרִּרּ it (fem.) preserves, or keeps, Prov. xiv. 3; יוֹל וּנִילִּרְיּ pass thou over (fem.), Ruth ii. 8: אַרְּלִּילִי (for אַרְּלִּילִילָּי Art. 72.) I am mortally pained, Ps. lxix. 21.
- 7. Of these forms, the first אָרְיּ is the most generally used in Kal; and, for the most part, it has a transitive signification. אַכָּר is found in defective verbs only; as, אַכֶּר or אַכֶּר אָכֶּר or אַכֶּר or אַכֶּר, אָכֶר or אַכֶּר, אָכֶר or אָכָּר.
- 8. In all cases in which the terminating vowel is perfect and regularly accompanied by an accent, upon the accent's being withdrawn (which always takes place when the verb is joined with any other word by Makkaph, &c.), such final vowel will be changed for its correspondent imperfect one (Art. 119. 3. &c.): as, אַרְיִרְלָּהְיּ I will keep for him, Ps. lxxxix. 29; בְּיִיבְיּהָ I will sustain him, Is. xlii. 1, for אַרְיִּבְּיִּ and אַרְּבִּילִּהְיּ respectively.*
- 9. The same verb is sometimes found to occur in more than one of these forms; as, אַבְּישִׁי he rests, Gen. ii. 2; and אַבְּישִׁי it rests, Neh. vi. 3; אַבְּיִלְּאֵלְ אֵלְ וֹ אַ אַרְ בֹּאַר אַר וֹ וֹ גֹּצִיי אַר אַר וֹ אַנְיִי אַר אַר אַר אַר אַנּיין.

as, ينصرن he will surely assist : but of this more in the Syntax.

It is a curious fact that the tense corresponding to this in the Arabic verbs, is subject to a variation of ending, similar to that of the nouns, i.e. its terminations will answer to those of the nominative or objective cases. This tense they term alike, because it is said to be like the noun. In a few instances, indeed, it has the of the accusative; as, we will surely draw, &c. In others we have an additional ., 7, as in the nouns of excess (Art. 168):

he will approve, Ps. xxxvii. 23; 기약: he will tear, Ps. vii. 3; and 키고양: Gen. xlix. 27. To which many others may be added. (See the Mikhlol of D. Kimkhi, fol. 그, verso.) This may be accounted for by supposing, that the Segolate noun corresponding to both these forms was once in use.

- 10. When however any paragogic letter is added, this distinctive vowel, be what it may, disappears (as it is the case with some of the Segolate nouns): as, אַשְׁבְּקָהָ , not אַשְׁבְּקָהָ I will keep, Ps. xxxix. 2; אַשְׁבָּקָהָ , not אַשְׁבָּקָה I will lie down, Ps. iv. 9; אַשְּׁבָּקָה I will kill, not אַבּרְהָּה Gen. xxvii. 41. In the last case, the substitute of Shevá is resolved by Art. 106. 2.
- 11. In a few instances the letter is still retained, which was perhaps formerly a mater lectionis: i. e. was used instead of the vowel is Khōlém: as, אַמָּקְרָלְהָּ I rest, Isa. xviii. 4; אַנְּאָרָלְהָּ I rest, Isa. xviii. 4; אַנְּאָרָלְהָּ I rest, Isa. xviii. 4; אַנּאָרָלְהָּ I rest, Isa. xviii. 4; אַנּאָרָלְהָּ I seerally gives the more usual form. This is, however, is mostly omitted, and Kāméts Khātéf then found to supply the place of the rejected Khōlém (see Art. 152. 3.): as, אַנְּאָבֶּאָרָ I step, Isa. xxvii. 4; אַנְאָרָלְּרָּ I hear, Dan. viii. 13; אַנְאָרָלְרָּ thou bribest, Ezek. xvi. 33. In some copies, however, we have (:) Shĕvá only in most of these cases.
- 12. With the third person plural masculine we sometimes have an additional paragogic 7, supplied; as, אַרְלָּאָל they will hear, Exod. iv. 9. Sometimes the preceding vowel is perfect: as, אַרְלָּאָל they will ask, Josh. iv. 6; אָרָלְיִר they will reap, Ruth ii. 9. (See Art. 175. 17.) Of this more will be said in the Syntax.
- 13. When the first radical letter happens to be a guttural, the substitute of Shevá will be resolved into its cognate imperfect vowel: as, אַרְבָּיּלְ they will extend (for אָרִבְּיִלְּיִּ, by analogy אַרְבָּיִלְּיִּ, see Art. 106. 2.).
- 14. In the feminine we have הַּשְׁבֶּּבְּה, for הַּשְׁבַּבְּבְּה, by Art. 175. 20, &c., Ezek. xvii. 23. The final ה is sometimes omitted by apocope, by Art. 73. 2., of which more will be said hereafter.
- 15. The abbreviated pronouns are regularly prefixed to the present tense, in every species, with Shevá (:): as, אַפָּילָּוֹר ; but here, as two Shevás cannot concur at the commencement of a word, the first is changed to (·), (-), or (·) as circumstances may require, (Art. 106., &c.): as, אַפָּילָר , אָרָבְּיָרָ, &c. Where, however, no such necessity exists, Shevá remains, as it does with the particles אָרָ, אַרָּ, בַּיִּלְּרָּרָ, אַרָּיִבְּיָּרָ, אַרָּ, בַּיִּלְּרָרָ, אַרָּ, בַּיִּבְּיָּרָר, אַרָּ, בַּיִּבְּיָּרָר, אַרָּ, בַּיִּבְּיָרָר, &c.

16. The principles, therefore, by which the vowels both of the verbs and nouns are regulated, are precisely the same. This is also true of the letters, as well as of the forms of words: for these, as we have already seen, are such as the nature of the several cases seems to require.

Of the Infinitives and Imperatives.

- 190. It is difficult to say which of these two ought to take the precedence, since both present the same form: but, as that which has been termed the imperative is sometimes found conjugated with one or other of the pronouns, the Infinitive has usually been placed first, as exhibiting the more simple form of the verb.
 - 2. It is now generally allowed that the Infinitive (as it has been termed) is nothing more than a Verbal noun, having either an active, neuter, or passive, signification: as, אַרְהָּיָּ, and in construction, אַרְיִּבְּיִ a visiting, visitatio, or the like, the acceptation of which may be either active or passive, (see p. 89. note); and, אַרְיִּבְּיִ lying down, which is neuter.
 - 3. Any of these words according to Schræder, when pronounced with some emphasis, will become imperative in signification: as, אַרְיִי or אַרְיִי inspicere, i. e. "inspice, vel inspicite." So, continues he, "Ab initio nullum discrimen habuit numeri et generis: e. g. אַרְיִי audire! non tantum pro audi, sed et pro audite, Deut. i. 16; infestare! pro infestate, Num. xxv. 17, &c.
- 4. We may now come to the forms, and other particulars, connected with this species of words.
- 5. The forms of the Infinitives are various, and may be either primitive or augmented, according to the sense intended by the writer. We shall, at present, notice only the primitive forms, reserving the others till we come to treat on the augmented species of the conjugation.

Forms of the Infinitive or Verbal Noun, belonging to the First Species of the Conjugation KAL.

- 6. Tipe, constr. The is the most frequent; The, בקר, בקר, and קב are more rare. These forms are peculiar to the masculine gender. The following are feminine; פָקרָה, פָקרָה, פַקרָה, פַקרָה, פַקרָה, פַקרָה, פקרה, פקרה, פקרה, פקרה, פקרה, פקרה, פקרה, פקרה, פקרה, פקרה excepting the first three, masc. and fem., are of the forms of the Segolate nouns (Artt. 148. 149, &c.); and, as these nouns are generally abstracts (Art. 152. 10.), they are well suited for the functions which they here perform.
- 7. These, when in conjunction with any of the particles בכלם, will afford a sense similar to that of the Gerunds in Latin: as, בְּפְלֶד in visiting; לְפָלֶד for visiting; בְּבָּקְד according to the visiting; קבָּקְד from, or by, the visiting. In which cases, the form proper for construction is necessarily taken, on account of the following context.
- 8. In like manner with the pronouns, אין my visiting; 7772 thy visiting, &c. in which 772 is preferred. We have, however, אַכַּלְכֵּם your eating, שַּבְּלְכֵּם your saying, &c., of the form 7, as well as the other forms.
 9. When the terminating vowel (i of 7, happens to lose the

^{*} Of this form in the nouns is בָּדְוֹל constr. בָּדְוֹל, בְּדְוֹל, which imply habit, custom, &c., and occasionally have an abstract signification.

⁺ The nouns equivalent to these in Arabic are subject to the same laws; and they are occasionally found in the plural number, which never occurs in Hebrew. In the last instance, (No. 8.) Grammarians have thought, that a transposition of the o has taken place, supposing to have been the original form. But, as פְּקְרָ is regularly the feminine form of קָּקָד, I'do not see why anot be derived from the same form, particularly as these forms are in use.

- accent, it becomes imperfect as in the nouns: as, מְלָדְּיקֶלֶּה a king's reigning, Gen. xxxvi. 31. In one instance we have an additional 'inserted: as, קַבְּיְלִישׁׁ הַדָּךְ for investigating the matter, Ezra x. 16.
- 11. Again, the other examples which he gives, viz. בְּשָׁבְּיְרוֹּ shew, beyond all doubt, that the הם above mentioned is of the feminine gender, but not paragogic. Other examples are, הְבְיִבְּיִל slaying, Hos. v. 2; הַבְּאָבִי asking, Isa. vii, 11; הַבְּיִרוֹ fearing, Deut. iv. 10. These, however, Buxtorf confesses after all, are feminine forms of the Infinitive, which the Jewish Grammarians term Verbal Nouns.
- 12. It will be difficult, perhaps, and certainly unnecessary, to lay down rules for the use of the other forms. The Student may note them down as they occur. We shall merely remark, that cases will happen here, as in the Arabic, in which it will be extremely difficult to say, whether a noun is to be referred to this class, or to that of the participles: and further, that in some the very same word will occasionally be found in situations suitable to both.

On the Imperative belonging to the First Species.

191. This is usually of the form קר סר פקר visit, for the second person singular masculine; פַקר, for the same feminine; הַבְּקר, masc. and בְּקרָנְה or בְּקרָנְה, fem. for the same person plural. In which will be perceived some

^{*} Thes. Gram. lib. i. c. xiii.

of the fragments of the pronouns as already mentioned (Art. 188.).

- 2. It has already been remarked, that the form Tippis sometimes used for both numbers and genders (Art. 190, 3.).

 - 4. בְּשִׁי lie down, of the form אָבָּי, will, upon receiving the feminine termination, lose (-), and then change the first (:) into Khīrík: as, בְּבָּי, Upon the same principle, the o of אַבְּבָּי, &c., as above (Art. 189. 10.). In בּבִּיי, אַפּרָּף, Ps. cxli. 3, we have either an euphonic or an intensitive Dāgésh.
 - 5. Of the form בְּלֶּילֶר, לּלְיוֹרָל destroy ye, Jer. ii. 12; לְּלִילְּל draw ye, Ezek. xxxii. 20; לְּלִילְר day ye waste, Jer. xlix. 28, are instances. So בְּלֵילְי reign thou, fem., Judg. ix. 10; בְּלֵילִי cry thou, Jer. xxii. 20; (the medial r has been added on account of the accent's being drawn back, Art 120. 7.); so בְּלֵילִי make thou dry, Is. xliv. 27.
 - 6. When a guttural is the first letter of the root, (*) is taken instead of $Kh\bar{\imath}rik$ (*), whenever any asyllabic augment is affixed to the word: as, Fin make thou bare, fem., Isa. xlvii. 2; Ink take thou, fem., Ruth iii. 15.
 - 7. אָפָקְין divine thou, 1 Sam. xxviii. 8, takes a substitute of Shevá with the second radical letter, upon the principle of assimilation, noticed Art. 108, for אָפָרְיִי. This is sometimes found to take place in the present tense also.

^{*} See Ps. cxli. 3, where this word is used as a noun; unless, indeed, we construe the former word אַיָרָהָ with it: thus, אַיִּרְהָה שִׁיִּבְיה place, keep, i. e. keep constantly. But much reliance cannot be placed upon the accents.

8. The feminine plural will occasionally suffer apocope; as, אֶבְיִיבְיּ Gen. iv. 23, for אָבִייִי hear ye, which will also happen occasionally in the present tense, as will be seen hereafter.

On the Participles.

- 192. We now come to that species of words which have been termed Participles, and have accordingly been classed with the verbs as such, contrary perhaps to every principle of Hebrew Grammar. The fact is, these are nothing more than attributives of one form or other, into the etymology of which nothing having the least possible connection with tense has ever entered. Nor is the force usually ascribed to these words, exclusively applicable to nouns of their forms : תָּבֶם wise, דְחָוֹק distant, בָּדֶל distant, growing, being just as much participles as 7719, masc., מוקדת and בוקדת fem. visiting, or פוקדת masc., בקודה, fem. visited, can possibly be; to which a very large number of other forms may be added. The truth appears to be, that all these nouns, together with those conjugated as verbs (which, indeed, is occasionally the case with some of these), are to be regulated in tense by other considerations, as will be shewn hereafter.
 - 2. It is curious enough to remark, that Simonis has, in his Arcanum Formarum, assigned a whole section to words of the form usually ascribed to the first participle, in which they occur as agents, without the least reference whatever to tense. Yet, in one of his notes he says,* "Exinde vero non sequitur, omnia Participia mera esse Nomina, quod contendit Pagninus in Institut. Hebr., p. 229. If by this he means, that these words may occasionally be construed as participles, perhaps no one will object; but, if he contends, that they are not mere attributives, I believe he will contend for a position which will not admit of proof.

LECTURE XI.

193. Having dwelt thus much on the first species of the Hebrew Conjugation, which is indeed the model, and contains the principles upon which all the rest are formed, we may now proceed to give the others, with such notes as shall seem necessary.

Paradigm of the Second Species, or Objective Form of the First, usually termed Niphhal. בְּבָעָל.

PRETERITE TENSE.

SINGULAR NUMBER.

Pers.	Masc.		Fem.	
3	נ <u>פק</u> ר	He became visited.	נּפַּלַבֶּת	She became visited.
2	נפַקּדתָ	Thou becamest visited.	נפַקרת	Thou becamest visited.
1	נפַקּדְתִּי	I became visited.	נפְקַדְתִּי	I became visited.

PLURAL NUMBER.

3	נפקדו	They became visited.	נפַקְדוּ	They became visited.
2	נפַקדָהֶם	Ye became visited.	נפֿלבמו	Ye became visited.
1	נפַקּדנוּ	We became visited.	נפָקדנוּ	We became visited.

PRESENT TENSE.

SINGULAR NUMBER.

3	He becomes visited.	She becomes visited.
2	Thou becomest visited.	Thou becomest visited.
1	TPAN I become visited.	I become visited.

PLURAL NUMBER.

3	יפַקדו	They become visited.	תִּפְּקְדְנָה	They become visited.
2	תַבְּקרוּ	Ye become visited.		Ye become visited.
1	נפָקד	We become visited.	נּבָּקר	We become visited.

IMPERATIVE.

SINGULAR.

Masc.

Fem

2 Be thou visited.

Be thou visited.

PLURAL.

2 הפקדו Be ye visited.

Be ye visited.

Forms of the Infinitive, or Abstract Verbal Noun. רְבָּקָד, וְבְּקָד, and הַבְּקָד, being visited, &c.

PARTICIPLES (usually), rather Verbal Concrete Nouns.

SINGULAR.

נפקד ים נפקוד ים ינפקדת and נפקדת Visited, &c.

PLURAL.

נפלבים

נפַקרות 16.

- 2. We have here given the Infinitives, Participles, &c. as usually found in the Paradigm, as we shall in all the other Species; not because we believe this arrangement to be the best, but because we would depart as little as may be from the usual methods; and, because we may in this way familiarize the mind of the Learner with the several forms of these nouns.
- 3. The sense afforded by this species is mostly objective; sometimes, however, it is subjective: as, בְּשִׁבְּי he fought; אַבְּשִׁי he smore; אַבְשִּׁי he reclined; בּשִּׁבִי he contended; where co-operation seems rather to be implied: at others it is reflective: as, אַבְשִׁבִי asking for self; and at others, its force may be expressed in English, by using, may, can, must, ought, or the like. See Gen. vi. 21; xvi. 10; xx. 9. All of which may be very well comprehended in the compound form of this word, when regulated by the circumstances mentioned in the context. See Art. 157. 18. 20.

On the Preterite Tense.

4. When the primitive word happens to begin with a guttural letter, the vowel accompanying שמש be either (י) or (-), but not (י): as, אַבְּיִרְיִבְּ accounted, Num. xviii. 27; אממי said, Dan. viii. 26; איים מינים overturned, Esth. ix. 1; שמי sealed, Ib. viii. 8; איים מינים overturned, Esth. ix. 1; איים ביים overturned, Esth. ix. 1; איים ביים overturned, בַּיְרְיִר מִינִים overturned, בּיִרְיִּר מִינִים overturned, בּיִרְיִּר מִינִים overturned, Esth. ix. 1; איים overturned, Ib. viii. 8; איים overturned, I Chron. v. 20; where we have the form בְּיִרְיִּר מִינִים overturned, בּיִרְיִנְיִים overturned, Esth. ix. 1; בּיִרְיִנְיִים overturned, Ib. viii. 8; איים overturned, Ib. viii. 9; איים overturned, Ib. viii. 26; איים overturned, Ib. viii

5. In אַמְשְׁאַבּ left, Ezek. ix. 8, the additional word forming this species (see Art. 157. 18.) seems here to be given more at length than usual. Buxtorf thinks that we have here a form compounded of both tenses, than which nothing can be more unlikely.* For אַר ווֹ הַ וֹחְ וֹחַ בְּיִי שִׁ we sometimes have the Chaldee אָר, as in Kal (Art.

188. 16.); as, מְשְׁכְּחָת forgotten, Is. xxiii. 15.

6. לְבְּלֵּהְ they were polluted, Lam. iv. 14, on the form לְבְּלֵּהְ, probably from בּוֹלְהֵּ an avenger. Of the same form is אַבְּרָה they shall be possessed of, Num. xxxii. 30. In the word בַּלְּבָּרְה בָּיִלְּה they shall be perhaps an abbreviated form for אָבָּרְה וֹנְה ti is become glorious, the preceding word, וְבִירְּהְ being regularly of the feminine gender. This, therefore, need not be considered as anomalous, in any other point of view.

On the Present Tense, Imperative, &c.

8. The N of the first person singular is sometimes regularly prefixed with (·); as, 빨릿 I am enquired of, Ezek. xiv. 3; 깔릿 N I swear, Gen. xxi. 24. Also with the feminine form; as, 피얼 N I escape, Ib. xix. 20; 기급 I am honoured, Exod. xiv. 17; and

^{*} Thes. Gram., lib. i. c. xiv. ad. particip. Niphhál.

Hag. i. 8, where the $\overline{}$ seems to have been lost, as in other instances (Art. 72, &c.), in most of which, however, it is restored in the marginal reading.

9. For אַפָּאָר, we have אַפְּאָרָ thou wilt* be broken, Ezek. xxxii. 28. So אַבְּאָרָ he was infirm, 2 Sam. xii. 15; אַפָּאַ he was refreshed, Exod. xxxi. 17; אַבָּאַרְ he was weaned, Gen. xxi. 8; אַבְּאַרֵּ it was said, Josh. ii. 2. So also, אַבְּרָבְּרָּ they shall be commemorated, Isa. lxv. 17; אַבְּלִרִּ they shall be eaten up, Jer. xxiv. 2, to which many more may be added.

10. To the plural 'The' a paragogic is often added as in Kal:

as, וֹבֵּרְתְוּרְן they shall be cut off, Ps. xxxvii. 9, &c.

11. We have in the Imperative, 기가 assemble yourselves, Joel iv. 11, for 가가, which seems to be grounded on the form 가가, if it is not the preterite used as an imperative.

12. Whenever here, as in other instances, the first letter of the root is such as to be incapable of receiving Dāgésh forte, the preceding vowel is necessarily made perfect, as in Dan &c. above

given (No. 9.).

- 13. In the Infinitive we have הַּבְּּרְוֹל eating, Levit. vii. 18; אַבְּרְוֹנ giving, Jer. xxxii. 4. And with a for the first letter, בּנְּרְוֹנ fighting together, Judg. xi. 25; בְּנְלְּרִוֹנ requesting, I Sam. xx. 28; אַבְּיל requesting, I Sam. xx. 28; אַבְּיל easiring, Gen. xxxi. 30; בְּנְלְּרֹּל sending, Esth. iii. 13; אָבְּרְּלוֹר for אָבְּילְּרֹי or אָבְּילְיל or אָבְילְילוֹר nor אָבְּילְיל or אָבְילְיל or אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְיל or אָבְילְיל or אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר or אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָל or אָבְילְילוֹר or אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹי אָבְילְיל אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹי אָבְילוֹר אָבְילוֹי אָבְילוּי אָבְילְילוֹר אָבְילוֹי אָבְילְילוֹי אָבְילוֹי אָבְילוֹי אָבְילוֹי אָבְילְייִיי אָבְילְייִי
- 14. The Dāgésh forte, found in the first radical letter in these verbs, is merely compensative for the characteristic which has been rejected according to rule (Art. 76.).
- 15. The noun given here as a participle, has, in a few instances,
 (") instead of (דֹוָי as, שַּׁרָבָּוֹ, (for שַּׁבָּוֹן, form בַּבְּלָּהְרַ Art. 87. 1.)

 taken in a net, Ps. ix. 17. Alting † is of opinion, that the plurals

 persons prophesying, 1 Sam. xix. 20, Jer. xiv. 15, Ezek.

^{*} Reasons for translating this present tense by a future will be given in the Syntax.

[†] Sect. vii. §. 119. de verbo perfecto.

xiii. 16; מְלְּבְּיִלְּיִלְּ hidden, Josh. x. 17; מְלְּבְּיִלְּיִּלְ polluted, Ezek. xx. 30, 31; מְלְבִּילְּיִלְ found, Esth. i. 5, iv. 16, 1 Sam. xiii. 15, and some others, are formed on the measure אוֹנְילִילְּיִלְּילִי But this is by no means necessary. The (י) of the singular is, it is true, mostly found with the second radical: as, מְלֵבְּילִי, but then, this vowel is not immutable, and may become (:) for the mere sake of euphony, which is perhaps the case in all these instances.

194. Paradigm of the Conjugation of a Verb of the Third Species, Pihhel.

PRETERITE TENSE.

	Singu	LAR.	Pru	RAL.
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
3	פַקד	פַּקּדָה	פַּקּדוּ	פַקּדוּ
2	פַקרָתָּ	פַקּדָתָ	פּפּלבמים	פּקּדָתוּו
1	פֹלְבְינִי	פַקּדְתִּי	פַקּרנוּ	פַקּרנוּ
		PRESENT :	TENSE.	
	Singu	LAR.	PLU	RAL.
3	יָפַקּד	הְבַּקְּד	וַפַּקּדוּ	הְפַקּדְנָה
2	<u>תְבַּק</u> ור	הָבַּקְּקרי	תָבַּק ְד ָוּ	תבקדנה
1	אַכַּקּד	אַפַקּד	נפקד	נפקד
		IMPERAT	TIVE.	
	Singu	LAR.	PLU	RAL.
2	פַּקַד	פֿלבו	פַקְרוּ	פַּקּדְנָה
	IN	FINITIVE, or	VERBAL NOUN.	
	Masc. Form.		Fem. Forms.	
	פַקד	וֶרֶת ,פַּקָרֶה	ות יפֿלובת יפֿל	פָּקַד
	PARTIC	IPLES, or Conci	RETE VERBAL N	Nouns.
	Sing			URAL.
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.

Objective Voice of the same, which is termed the Fourth Species, or PUHAL.

PRETERITE TENSE.

	SINGULAR.		PLU	JRAL.
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
3	₽ ₽	פַּקּקָדָה	פַקדו	פַּקְּדִוּ
2	<u>פֿלור</u> ע	فظني	פֿעַרֶתֶם	פֿעּבׁעּוּו
1	<u>פֿלּ</u> וֹרָנּגי	فظليند	<u>פַק</u> ּדנוּ	פַקּדנוּ

PRESENT TENSE.

	THE SELLT TELLED.			
	Sinc	GULAR.	PLUE	AL.
3	וָ <u>כְקּ</u> ד	<u>ਜ਼ੇਰੇ</u> ਹੋਂ	יִבּקדוּ	הִ <u>כְּקוֹדְנָ</u> ה
2	<u>הְכַּקר</u>	עַפָּמָדְי	הָבָּקְרְוַּ	ּתַּפַקּוֹרְנָת
1	אָכַקּקר	אַ <u>כְק</u> ּד	ּ נְפַקּוֹד	נְ <u>כֻּק</u> ִּד
		. IMPERA	ATIVE.	
	Sing	ULAR.	Pr	URAL.
2	<u>इत्</u> र	, פַּקּדִי	פַּקְרָוּ	<u>פַקּ</u> וֹרנָה

INFINITIVE, or VERBAL NOUN.

PARTICIPLES, or CONCRETE VERBAL NOUNS.

SINGULAR.

מַפַקּדות מַכַּקּקָדָה מַבָּקדַים מפקד מבקדת or

PLURAL.

On the Persons &c. of the Third Species Pihel.

2. Whenever the last radical letter happens to be one of the gutturals, or 7, (-) Páthakh will be the terminating vowel; will, therefore, be the measure in such cases: as, >= b he broke, Ps. cvii. 16; אַלָּ he swallowed, Is. xxv. 8; אָלָשׁ he sent, Exod. ix. 7, &c. &c. Also when followed by Makkaph; as, לְמַרִּדְּעַתְּיִּ he taught knowledge, Eccl. xii. 9.

3. In a few instances (*) is the terminating vowel: as, 기류기 he said; 이외가 he washed; 기유가 he expiated.

- 4. When any letter incapable of receiving $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$ is the medial letter of the root, a compensation is made by changing the preceding imperfect vowel to its corresponding perfect one: as, is he refused, for is . So is he blessed; and, in the participle is blessing; is he detesting, &c.; is he explained; is he delayed (Art. 109.). In a few instances (·) Khīrīk remains without any apparent compensation: as, is he destroyed, I Kings xxii. 47; is he vexed, Ps. x. 3; is he inflamed, Mal. iii. 19; is he consoled, Is. xlix. 13; is they delayed, Judg. v. 28, where so occurs with $S\bar{e}g\acute{o}l$. So, in the Participles; is fearing, Prov. xxviii. 14; is leading, Is. li. 18, &c. In all which cases $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$ is said to be implied (Art. 109.).
- 5. When the accent is drawn back in the feminine (מַלְּקְבָּר) the characteristic vowel (יי) returns: as, אוֹלָבְיּל it licked, I Kings xviii. 38; אוֹלָבְיּל she gleaned, Ruth ii. 18, &c. (Art. 119. 11.).
- 6. In some instances Dāgésh forte is omitted when the letter is capable of receiving it: as, שֵׁלְחָוּ she sent, Ezek. xvii. 7; שִׁלְחָוּ shey sent, Ps. lxxiv. 7. So, חַלְלִּף passim, for יַבְּלְרִּ praise ye. See Art. 113.
- 7. We have in the participle מַלְלְלֶּבֶל our teacher, Job xxxv. 11, for מְלֵלְלֶבֶל, by Art. 73. And, Jer. xv. 10, מְלֵלְלֶבֶל cursing me, but in the margin, רְלֵלְלֶבְל, which is probably the true reading, giving the participle or concrete verbal noun, written like a verb, with the fragment of the first personal pronoun. See p. 186, note.
- 8. In the participle of the feminine gender, (-) occasionally remains: as, מְשַׁבֶּקְׁ causing abortion, Exod. xxiii. 26; we also have מְשָׁבֶּקְ inebriating, Jer. li. 7; and without Dāgésh, מְשָּׁבֶּק (for מִבְּאָבֶּי) committing adultery, Prov. xxx. 20; and by contraction, מְשָּׁבְיּה (for מִיבֶּאָבֶיּה (for מִיבֶּאָבָּה (for מִיבֶּאָבָּה (for מִיבֶּאָבָּה (for מִיבֶּאָבָּה (for מִיבֶּאָבָּה (for מִיבֶּאָבָה (for מִיבֶּאָבָה (for מִיבְּאָבָה (for מִיבְּאָבָה (for מִיבְּאָבָה (for מִיבְּאָבָה (for מִיבְּאָב (for מִיבְּאָב (for מִיבְּאָב (for מִיבְּאַב (for מִיבְאַב (for מִיבְּאַב (for מִיבּאַב (for מִיבְּאַב (for מִיבּאַב (for מִיבְּאַב (for מִיבְּאָב (for מִבְּאַב (for מִיבְּאַב (for מִבְּאַב (for מִיבְּאַב (for מִיבְּאַב (for מִיבְּאַב (for מִבְּאַב (for מִבְּאַב (for מִבְּאַב (for מִיבְּאַב (for מִיבְּאַב (for מִבְּאַב (for מִבְּאַב (for מִבְּאַב (for מַבְּאַב (for מַבְאַב (for מַבְּאַב (for מַבְאַב (for מַבְּאַב (for מַבְאַב (for מַבְּאַב (for מַבְּאַב (for מַבְּאַב (for מַבְּאַב (for

9. For the particular force of this species, see Art. 154. 7-9.

On the Objective Voice, or Fourth Species, PUHAL.

10. We sometimes have (+) Khātúph in this species instead of (\(\cdot\)), c. g. To it was cut, Ezek. xvi. 4; where it should be

observed, that Treceives $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$, contrary to the usual practice: 기가 한 it is destroyed, Nah. iii. 7. So also the participial noun 고급하고 made red, Ib. ii. 4; and, in the plural number, 고급하고,* Exod. xxv. 5.

- 11. In many instances, the Dāgésh is implied (Art. 109.); as, אָרָרָ washed, Prov. xxx. 12; אַרָּרָלָ object of mercy, fem., Hos. i. 6. The Kāméts found here in the penultima is on account of the pause-accent (Art. 120. 4.). So, אַרָּרָלָּ consoled, Is. liv. 11.
- 12. When Dāgésh is neither written nor implied, the preceding vowel is necessarily made perfect; as, אָרָה slain, Is. xxvii. 7; אַרָה torn, Gen. xliv. 28; אַרָה declared, revealed, Num. xv. 34; מֹנֵעָרָה agitated, (as with a whirlwind,) Is. liv. 11. In all which cases the correspondence of the vowels is oblique (Art. 96. 2.).
- 13. In some instances the correspondence is direct; as, born, Judg. xiii. 8; גֹּיִי taken in a net, Eccles. ix. 12: מֹלְבָּיִר out of joint, Prov. xxv. 19. In the first two examples Dāgésh is perhaps euphonic; in the last, Kāméts is put for Sēgól on account of the pause (Art. 120. 4.). In Jer. xxii. 23, we have this participial noun, with several others, so combined with the feminine pronoun of the second person singular, as to have given considerable uneasiness to the Grammarians: the passage is,—

ישׁבְתִּי בַּלְבָנוֹן מְקַנֵּיְתִּי בְּאֲרָזִים מַה־נַחַיְתִּי בְּבֹא־לָהְ חֲבַלִים

Thou (who) dwellest in the Libanus—art nestled in the cedars—how shalt thou be consoled when pains come upon thee?

Here יִּלְבָּלְתְּ' is put for יִּלְבָּלְתְּ', יֹמֶב ' for יִּמֶבְּלָּתְ', and for בְּלַבְּלָתְ', for בְּלַבְּלָתְ', and lawhich cases, we have nothing more than the feminine form of the pronoun in conjunction with a participial, or verbal concrete, noun. In the example בְּלַבְּלָתְ', Rabbi Jona was of opinion, according to Buxtorf, that some of the vowels only had been retrenched; by which he probably meant, that the pronoun was added, some abbreviation being first made (See p. 176, note.)

14. In a few instances we have a substitute of Shevá, where

^{*} In some editions, and as cited by Buxtorf, this word is written and and by the principles of Hebrew syllabication. They are thus also cited by Kimkhi, in the Mikhlol, from which Buxtorf probably took his examples.

analogy requires the Shevá, which seems to have been introduced merely for the sake of euphony: as, קקוי taken, Gen. ii. 23; thou shalt be sought, Ezek. xxvi. 21 (Art. 106. 5.).

15. For the particular force of this Species, see Art. 154.7—15.

16.

195. Paradigm of the Conjugation of the Fifth and Sixth Species, i. e. in both the Subjective and Objective Voices, Hiphhil and Hophhal.

PRETERITE TENSE.

SINGULAR.		Plural.		
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
3	הפַקיד	הפַּקידָה	חַבְּקִידוּ	הַבְּקִידוּ
2	הַבְּקַדְתָּ	הפַקּרָת	הַבְּקַדְתֶּם	הפַקּדֶתֶּן
1	הפקרתי	הבְקַרָתִי	הָבְּקַדְנוּ	הפקדנו

PRESENT TENSE.

	SINGULAR.		Plural.		
3	וַבְּקֵיד	תַּבְּקִיד	וַפָּקִידוּ	תַּפְקַדְנָה	
2	תַבְקיד	תַבְּקִידִּי	תַבְּקִידוּ	תַּבְּקִדְנָה	
	אַפַקיד	אַבְקִיד	נַפָּקִיד	נַפְקִיד	

IMPERATIVE.

	SINGL	LAK.	I LUMAI.	
2	הפקד	הפקידי	הפקידו	הפקדנה

INFINITIVE, or ABSTRACT VERBAL NOUN.

Masc. Forms.	•	Fe	m. Forms.	
הַבְּקְר ייּ הַבְּקְיר		יַהפְקָדֶה	יבֿפָּלֶבֶת.	הַפָּקרוּת

PARTICIPIAL, or Concrete Verbal Nouns.

Singular.		PLURAL.		
מַפָּקיד מַפָּקיד	י בּפָקידָרה מפַקדת מפַקדת	מַפְּקִידִים Masc.	מַפְּקִירְוֹת	
ت بخارا ،	ספטבע o. הַּלָּאָדְיִי	ت اخار ا . □	€را ۱۱۱	

Objective Voice, HOPHHAL.

PRETERITE TENSE.

SINGULAR.		PLURAL.		
	Masc.	Fem.	Masc.	Fem.
3	הָבַקּד	רָפַקּדָּה	רָפְק ּ דְרַיּ	הָפָקְדוּ
	בַלּלַבַּתָּ	<u>הָפְקַרְתְּ</u>	הָבְּקַדְּמֶּם	בּפָּקדָתֶּוּן
1	בֿפַלּוֹבָנּת	<u>הַפְּקְּדְתִּי</u>	הָפְּקִדְנוּ	דַבְּקְדָנוּ

PRESENT TENSE.

SINGULAR.			PLURAL.	
3	יָבְקַר	תַּבְקַד	יַפְקְדוּ	הָפַקִרנָה
2	הָבְקַד	תָבְּקוּדִי	תַבּקרוּ	הָפַקְדנָה
1	אָפְקַד	אָפְקִר	נָפָקָד	נְפְקַּד

IMPERATIVE.

	SINGULAR.		PLU	Plural.	
2	רָפָקר	הָפָּקָדִי	רָבְּקְרָוּ	הָ <u>פַק</u> ּדְנָה	

INFINITIVE.

הָפָקר יי הָפָּקר, יּרְפְּקר

PARTICIPIAL, or CONCRETE VERBAL NOUNS.

SINGULAR.

מָפְקָדָה מָפְקָדִה מָפְקַד מַבְּקָדָת

PLUBAL.

On the Active Voice.

2. The characteristic הוא of this species occurs also with (י'), (''), or (-), but this happens only when a guttural letter follows: as, ווֹלְבְיֵהְ he believed, Gen. xlv. 26; הַּבְּרָהְ he caused to stand, Lev. xiv. 11; הַּבְּרַהְ thou hast professed, Deut. xxvi. 17; הַּבְּרָהְ thou hast caused to pass over, Josh. vii. 7. We also have בּגִיבָּרָהְ Exod.

xiii. 12, and הַּעְּבֶרָתִּי Zech. iii. 4, &c. And with (:) under the guttural in הַחָּתִּים it is obstructed, Lev. xv. 3.

3. In one instance we have (·) with the middle radical letter: as, השאל העידור I have requested him, 1 Sam. i. 28. See the notes

on Kal (Art. 188. 28.).

- 4. On the word אָרָלְּרָלִּי shall I leave? Judg. ix. 9, 11, 13, much has been written, but perhaps not very conclusively. Let us endeavour to analyze it. It will be seen by turning to the lexicons, that יַּבְּיֹלָי is the form of the Imperative in Kal: which, according to our system, will give יַּבְּיִלְ, for the primitive Segolate noun. Here, then, we have nothing more than a Segolate noun, conjugated with the pronoun, which in the first person will regularly be with the pronoun, which in the first person will regularly be with the pronoun, which in the first person will regularly be with the pronoun, which in the Segolates, Art. 152. 3.), and retaining the correspondent substitute of Shěvá, in order to preserve the form, we shall have יַּבְּיִלְּבָּיִ, which, with the interrogative יַ prefixed (Art. 179.), we shall have יַּבְּיִלְּבָּיִ, am I a leaving? i. e. shall I leave? According to this analysis, therefore, this word belongs not to the fifth, but to the first species, conjugated with the abstract, instead of the concrete, noun, which is unusual.
- 5. In another instance, viz. I have polluted, Is. lxiii. 3, we have the augmented verbal noun commencing with instead of $\overline{}$: i. e. the form usually taken in the Chaldee and Syriac (Art. 157. 2., 158.
- 6. Again, we have in Amos iv. 3, הַּשְּׁלֵכְתְּהָ, which is an unusual but more regular way of writing the second person plural feminine of the preterite tense: i. e. בְּשִׁלְכִּתְּן (Art. 188. 27.), ye have cast. In Isaiah, chap. xix. 6, we have they shall abhor, with two letters of augmentation, א and הן; but here, אַוֹּלְיִתְּן is perhaps the ground-form.
- 7. In the present tense we also have the form מְלְבִּיִּן ; and in both, the characteristic ' is frequently omitted. With the paragogic ה, however, ' is always added: as, אַבְּיִבְּיִּן I will cast, Neh. xiii. 8. With (-); as, וְבִּיִּבְיִ he may believe, Job xv. 31. And by a further contraction, אוֹנְיִבְיִ he will give confidence, 2 Kings xviii. 30, for הַבְּיִין or בַּיִבּין or בַּיִבּין.
- 8. In some instances every trace of the characteristic ' is lost; as, אַרְבִּירְיִ they arrived at, 1 Sam. xxxi. 2; they bend, tread, or direct, as a bow, Jer. ix. 2. Where the primitive form seems to have been taken instead of the usual one of the measure בַּקְיִיךְּיִבָּי.

- 9. אָרְאָ I will collect, 1 Sam. xv. 6, is put for אַרְאָי (for אַּרְקִיף (for אַרְקִיף, 87.1.), root אָרָ סר אָר סר. So, אַרְדּה I will ruin, Jer. xlvi. 8 (for הָבֹי Ib. for בּרְדָה, root רבד אַר, Arabic בָּבֹי, cognate with אַרָּרָ.
- 10. א is sometimes rejected together with the characteristic אָרָי to facilitate the pronunciation perhaps: as, אָרָי I will hear, Job xxxii. 11 (for אָרָי אַרָּאָ Art. 72.). So, אַרְייִבּי I will destroy them, Jer. viii. 13, for אַרְיִי אַרְייִ he shall pitch a tent, for אַרְיִי וּשִׁר וּשִׁי he tarries, 2 Sam. xx. 5, is perhaps for the passive form אָרָיִי, for אָרִייִי (Art. 73.); and, as the quiescence of א after Kāméts Khātúf is rather unusual in Hebrew, the may have been taken to avoid this. The final (י) is probably euphonic, and taken on account of the guttural, as in other cases.

11. Some are of opinion, that \(\frac{1}{2}\) 1 Sam. xv. 5, is put for \(\frac{1}{2}\) by omitting the \(\frac{1}{2}\), as above, by Art. 73.

12. In the Imperative we may have a paragogic ה, which will then, as before (No. 7.), take the characteristic : as, הַבְּילִים prosper thou, Neh. i. 11.

- 13. Whenever the second or third radical letter is either a guttural or א, the terminating vowel will be (-): as, בְּבְּבָּת cause to come down, Joel iv. 11; יְבִיקוֹ remove far away, Job xiii. 21; יְבִוּשְׁר margin מוֹשְׁר make straight, Ps. v. 9.
- 14. In the Infinitive or abstract verbal noun, the characteristic ה has (-) for the most part: as, הַבְּקִית cutting off, Jer. xliv. 8; בּקִיר commemorating, 2 Sam. xviii. 18. When any one of the particles contained in the word בּקֹר serfixed, this form is always adopted; when this is not the case, we may have הַשְּקִי setting up, rising early, Jer. vii. 13; בּלִקִי setting up, Neh. vii. 3; בּלְנִים destroying, Amos ix. 8.
- 15. When either the second or third letter is a guttural, or אָם יוֹפָלְים resisting, we then have (-) for the final vowel, as before: as, יוֹפָלְים resisting, 1 Sam. xv. 23; יין your bringing to recollection, Ezek. xxi. 29.
- 16. In many instances, we have the first vowel of the Infinitive the same with that of the Preterite tense: as, אַרְבָּי giving rest; הַרְבָּי shaking, Jer. l. 34: הַּבְּיל liberating; הַבְּיל saving, Is. xxxi. 5.
 - 17. לְנַאַרֶיב 1 Sam. ii. 33, is probably put for לְנַאַרֶיב (Art. 73.),

derived from the root שَادُورِيكَ he punished. Whence שَادُورِيكَ correction, punishment. See Eichhorn's edition of the Lexicon of Simonis, sub voce בוא .

On the Objective Voice, HOPHHAL.

19. Kibbúts occasionally accompanies ה, instead of (+) Khātúph; as, בַשְׁבָּוֹ thrown down, Ezek. xxxii. 32; אַבָּעוֹ cast down, Dan.

viii. 11.

20. When the first radical letter happens to be a guttural, (τ :) occupies the place of Shěvá: as, $\Box \neg \Box \Box \Box = she$ is laid waste, Ezek. xxvi. 2, where the Kāméts Khātúph becomes a perfect vowel, and remains under the characteristic \Box , by analogy (see Art. 55.).

21. The participial nouns frequently occur with () instead of () Khātúph: as, בּבְּייִבְּייִ בְּׁי laid down, 2 Kings iv. 32. So in the feminine, בּבּיל cast down, 1 Kings xiii. 25. In one instance, the characteristic הוֹ is retained, as is often the case in the Chaldee: as, בְּיִלְיִיבְייִ (for מִּבְיִיבְייִ made angular, Ezek. xlvi. 22. But, when the first radical is a guttural, it will take (יוּ), as before: e.g. בְּיִבְייִהְ set up, 1 Kings xxii. 35: בְּיִבְיִיהְ contained, held, 2 Chron. ix. 18; בְּיִבְיִיהְ wasted, Ezek. xxix. 12. So בְּיִבְיִהְ (who is) forbidden, Exod. xxii. 19. Here also Kāméts Khātúph is equivalent to j.

• Heb. الم يُسم فَاعِلُهُ , Arab. جَدِرِ إِنْ شِحْهُ دِبَوِد شِمَ وَبِرِدا اللهِ اللهِ عَلَيْهُ .

The reason of this seems to be, that, as the nominative is included in the verb, and, as in these passive conjugations, this nominative is not the agent, but the patient on which the influence of the verb is exerted, if any other word were added as a nominative, (which would then be in apposition with the pronoun so included,) it would not represent the agent, but the patient; and further, it is unusual in the Shemitic dialects to use a periphrasis; as, Judah was killed by Simeon; for then it would be shorter to say, Simeon killed Judah; the Grammarians, therefore, have laid down this apparent omission as a rule, although some instances are to be found to the contrary.

PLURAL.

PLURAL.

SINGULAR.

SINGULAR.

22. The characteristic ה of this and the last species is generally rejected, when preceded by any preformative taking an initial Sheva: as, בְּקָבֶּוֹר for בְּקָרֵר for בְּקָרָר for בְּקָרָר for בְּקָרָר, &c. See Art. 73.

23. For the peculiar force of this species, both subjective and objective, see Art. 157. 2-10.

196. Paradigm of the Conjugation of the Seventh Species termed Hithpahel.

PRETERITE TENSE.

	Masc.	rem.	Masc.	rem.		
3	התפקד	התפקבה	הַתְּפַּקּרוּ	התפקדו		
2	התפקדת	<u>הֹתְפַּקְּרְתְּ</u>	برقوادها بنرقوادهم			
1 '	התפקדתי	<u>התפקדתי</u>	ההפקדנו	התפקדנו		
		PRESENT	TENSE.			
	SINGUL	AR.	Pı	Plural.		
3	ותפקד	נילפֿער	וֹעַפַּאַרָנּ	עּתָבַּקּקּדְנָת		
2	תתפקד	עּוֹלִפַּפְּׂ ֓ ֡֡֡֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓	תַבַּקְרנָה תִתְבַּקְרוּ			
1	אֶתפַקּד	אָתְכַּקּד	ַתֹבַּקֶּר נִתְבַּקִּד			
		IMPER	ATIVE.			
SINGULAR.			Pı	PLURAL.		
2	הַתְפַּמֶּד	בּתְפַּקּקר	הַתְּפַּקְ ּדְ וּ	הִתְפַּקּדְנָה		
	,,,,,	V1	1,1 - 1	4 :// :		
	INFINITIVE.					
הָת <u>פ</u> ּקָד .						
No : •						
	PARTICIPIAL NOUNS.					

On the Species termed HITHPAHEL.

- 2. The last vowel in both tenses, as well as in the Imperative, is frequently (-): as רְבַּלְתְּחָה he seemed strong, 2 Chron. xiii. 7: רְבַּלְחַהְ he shall be shaved,* Lev. xiii. 33, and, with the Chaldaïc prefix בְּחַרִּהְ he joined himself, 2 Chron. xx. 35. So in the present tense, בְּחַבְּיִלְ I will console myself, Ps. cxix. 52 (the primitive word being בְּחַבָּי, not בַּחַבְּי, thou arrogatest praise to thyself, Prov. xxv. 6; בְּחַרִּה thou shewest thyself kind, 2 Sam. xxii. 26; בַּחַרִּה thou shewest thyself perfect, Ib. et Psalm xviii. 26; בַּחַרָּה thou shewest thyself perverse, 2 Sam. xxii. 27. See Ps. xxxvii. 4, and 1 Kings xx. 22, for the Imperative. Here the primitive word seems to have had (-) for its last vowel.
- 3. In Apple he shall be expiated, Deut. xxi. 8, we have a contracted form for Apple, a compound of both the Hithpāhêl, and Niphhál, forms. To which I see no ground for objection; because I hold that the augment of the Niphhál species may be prefixed to a noun commencing with I, with just as much propriety as it can to any other. The Rabbinical writers often use this form.
- 4. Any root having a medial letter incapable of receiving $D\bar{a}g\acute{e}sh$, will make the usual compensation (See Art. 109.) The verb here also, as in other instances, may have the paragogic Π (See Art. 175. 4.).
- 5. In a few instances, (·) attends the medial radical letter; as, יבול ווא I will shew myself holy; ווּרְבַּוּלְיּהִי I will shew myself great, Ezek. xxxviii. 23. (See Lev. xi. 44.) We have also Khōlém: as, הַרְבַּיִּשְׁי they shall be moved; זְּהַלְּלָּלּּ shall be made mad, Jer. xxv. 16. The primitive nouns here are perhaps שֵׁבֵּל , בָּבֵל , בַּבֵל , מָבֵל , which will account sufficiently for the vowels.
- 6. In the participial noun we have אָבְּיִלְּיִבָּ, irritated, Isa. liî. 5, for אָבִירִיבָּ, where the primitive noun is of the form אַבְּיִרָּ,
- 7. The feminine form has sometimes a segolate termination; as, אַבְאָבְאָרָה strengthening herself, Ruth i. 18; אַרְאַלְּהָר contained, Exod. ix. 24.

^{*} The future signification of this tense will be accounted for in the Syntax.

- 8. In Exod. ii. 4: 그렇고대 and she stood, we have a very singular anomaly, and one which has given great trouble to the Grammarians, among whom Albert Schultens seems to me to have approached nearest to the truth. His solution of the difficulty is:—" It is not only," says he, "in the sibilants that a transposition might take place (Art. 83. 2. 3.), but also in any other letter, as in the Arabic
- species וְפֹשׁׁשׁל. If then we take בְּיֵיךְ for the root, and write בְּיִירָהָּ for בְּיִרְנִיבְּיב and then drop the '(Art. 72.) we shall have בְּיִבְּיהַ, which will be sufficiently analogical. Alting refers the form to a Syriasm. Heb. Gram., § 163.
- 9. Of the objective form of this species only a few instances occur; as, The they were set in order, arranged, Num. i. 47, ii. 33, xxvi. 62, 1 Kings xx. 27. In our authorized version, this word is translated by numbered, for which there seems to be no good authority. For some account of (τ) instead of (-) under the first radical letter, see Art. 185. 4. 5; 157. 10, note.

Other examples are: אָבְשְׁבֶּהְ polluted (for אָבְּשְׁבָּה, where מוּ and הַבְשְּׁבָּה, Art. 83.), Deut. xxiv. 4; and אַבְשְּׁבָה made fat, Is. xxxiv. 6, for מוֹל Art. 83. 1.

10. For the properties of this species, see Art. 157. 12—16; and for the transpositions which sometimes take place in the letters, Art. 83.

On the remaining and less usual Species of the Conjugation of Hebrew Verbs.

- 197. Of these, the forms קוֹם, קוֹם, and הַוֹבָּד, and הַוְבָּוֹבָ, and הַוְבָּד, and classed under the third, fourth, and seventh species (Art. 186.), will be considered when we come to treat on those roots which have י or י for their middle radical; or, have the second and third the same; because those verbs alone are subject to these forms.
- 2. It must have appeared from what has already been said, that, although the roots or words to be conjugated may be multiform, the conjugation is but one: that is to say, the different persons, &c., are formed in all cases by one general and regular process. The

persons, for example, are formed either by prefixing or postfixing the defective forms of one or other of the pronouns (Artt. 188. 189.): the Imperative and Infinitive by taking the root and suffixing the pronouns or not; and the participles by prefixing D, which may then be inflected like other nouns. The following examples taken from the Mikhlol of D. Kimkhi will sufficiently illustrate this subject, as applied to pluriliteral roots.

הַרְבְּל he put on a garment so called.

PRETERITE TENSE.

3 pers. בְּרְבֵּלְתִּי; ז ִבּרְבַּלְתִּי 3, &c. as before.

PRESENT TENSE.

3 pers. אָכַרְבֵּל; יְכַרְבֵּל ; וְכַרְבֵּל, &c.

ACTIVE PARTICIPIAL NOUN.

Masc. מְכַרְבֶּלֶת or מְכַרְבָּלֶת, sing.; masc. מְכַרְבָּלֶת, fem. מְכַרְבְּלִים, pl.

PASSIVE PARTICIPIAL NOUN.

לְבָּלְהָּל, &c., 1 Chron. xv. 27.

- 3. In the same manner may be conjugated אָרָקר he cut off; שׁ הַּרְקר he was full of moisture; וֹרְקר he spread; אַרְקר he undermined; he inflamed, &c., which are nothing more than reduplicated nouns. See Art. 169.
- 4. From the noun מְלְחָבֶּה a breast-plate, we have אָרְחָבְּה thou puttest on a breast-plate, Jer. xii. 5. And as a participle, בְּלְחָבָּה, Ib. xxii. 15.
- 5. Of primitive words dropping the medial ז or ', and reduplicating the first and last radical letters (Art. 169. 5.), the following are given as the leading forms of verbs: בַּרָבֵּר sustaining; קַרָבָּר (rather קַרָבָּר, as

above), undermining. Many of these, however, are placed by other Grammarians under roots having the second and third radicals the same. In one instance we have an objective form, אַלְפָּלְיּלְּיּ they were sustained, 1 Kings xx. 27.

6. The following are supposed by Kimkhi to have been formed by reduplicating the first and second letter of the root (Art. 169. 3.): as, אַבְּשִׁיבִּי causing to increase; אַבְּשִׁי soothing, delighting; יוֹלָיבִי לָּיִי causing to increase; אַבְּשִׁי soothing, delighting; indiculing. Whence יְבִּיבְיִבְי Gen. xxvii. 12; אַבְּיבִי thou art exceedingly beautiful, Ps. xlv. 3; אַבְּאַבֵּי bowing down, overwhelming:— these, by reduplicating the last two, and dropping the first, radical: אַבְּיבִיבִּי turning about, tortuous; יְבִיבִיבְי becoming very hot; יְבִיבְיבִי perambulatory (see Art. 169. 6.); and the following, by also prefixing the particle אַבִּיבִי delaying.

7. All these, as far as they are found conjugated, follow the general analogy already laid down: e. g. יְּבְּבְּיִבְּיּלְ (will) arm Is. xix. 2; אַבְּבְּיִבְּיִלְ he will arm, Ib. ix. 10. From הַּבְּבְּיִבְּיִלְ (will) arm Is. xix. 2; אַבְּבְּיִבְּיִלְ he will arm, Ib. ix. 10. From הְּבְּבְּיִבְּיִלְ הִי he delayed; הְּבְּבְּיִבְּיִלְ they delayed, Judg. xix. 8; הְּבְּבְּיִבְּיִלְ we delayed, Gen. xliii. 10. Infinitive, בווווו לובי he will, may, Exod. xii. 39. Participle, הַבְּבִּרְבָּרִן הַוּ he will, may, &c. delay, Hab. ii. 3.

In the last instance, the final π is radical, and therefore it has the *Mappik* and remains unchangeable, of which more will be said hereafter.

8. It should be observed, that these verbs are found in a very few of the persons at most, and some only as participles or verbal nouns: in no case, perhaps, is any verb found conjugated throughout all the species.

On these pluriliteral verbs, see Jauhari under ,—, Martelotto's Gram. Arab., pp. 185. 162-3. Bochart. Hierozoicon, tom. II., col. 689. Orig. Edit. Hariri, by Mr. de Sacy, p 10 in voce See also Jauhari and the Kamoos under this and similar words.

LECTURE XII.

ON THE DEFECTIVE VERBS.

- 198. Having given tables of the verbs whether simple or augmented, and explained some occasional anomalies (as they have been called), we now proceed to consider those verbs which present certain defects in their several forms. We shall be brief, however, because a table of the conjugation of every kind of verb will be given at the end of these remarks. Our principal business now will be to shew, how these defective verbs are reconciled in form with those already considered.
- 2. It has already been shewn, that primitive words having a in certain situations (Art. 76.), or either of the letters in (Art. 72.) will occasionally drop it; and, that one of the two last radical letters of any word, when both are the same, (Art. 77.) may also be rejected. We now remark, that when words thus circumstanced are conjugated with the pronouns, they will be affected both in their vowels and consonants by these considerations. We do not think it necessary here to divide these verbs into Defectives and Quiescents, as has usually been done; because that would, perhaps, be multiplying distinctions without sufficient reasons for doing so.
- 3. All the anomalies or defects, then, that can possibly occur, must arise from one or more of these letters occurring as the first, second, or third, radical letter of the root; or, when the second and third radicals are the same.
- 4. Let us begin with those commencing with 2. The rule is (Art. 76.), to this effect: Whenever 2 is affected

with a final Shevá (:), it must be dropped: i. e. whenever the D in The of our paradigm has a final Shevá, then, putting any verb commencing with I in the same situation, the I will be rejected, and the defect supplied by Dāgésh forte.

5. All verbs of this kind, therefore, will be conjugated regularly in the Preterite tense of Kal; as, בָּנִשְׁי, he approached; אָנָשִׁי, אָנִשְׁי, &c., as also in the Pīhél, Pūḥál, and Hithpāhél, throughout.

In the Present tense, the leading word is בְּנַשׁ; here, then, we shall have יְנְנֵשׁ, but by Art. 76. עָנָשׁ, תְּנָשׁי, אָנָשׁ, אָנָשׁ, אָנָשׁ, אָנָשׁ, אָנָשׁ, אָנָשׁ, אָנִשׁ, אָנִשׁי, אָנִשׁי, אָנִשׁי, אָנִשׁי, אָנִשׁי, אָנִשׁי,

- 6. In the same manner in the preterite of Niphhál, שָּׁבְּ, for שַּׁבְּ, for בַּנְבָּ, and so on by the same rule through the Hiphhál and Hophhál species.
- 7. The Infinitive or abstract verbal noun is here, for the most part, of the form אָבֶּיבֶּי ; as, אַבֶּיבָּי, and, dropping the ב (Art. 76.), אַבָּיבָּי ; but, if a guttural be the last radical letter, (") will become () as it is the case with segolate nouns (Art. 108.).
- 8. The Imperative is בָּשׁ for נָבָשׁ, which is regularly conjugated: as, בַּשְׁרָה, בְּשִׁר בָּשְׁר. It will be unnecessary to notice this verb farther.
- 9. As the verb הבין he gave, placed, &c., has a little peculiarity about it, in having also for its final letter, it will be necessary briefly to notice its conjugation.
 - 10. Here, then, the final ז will also be rejected by our rule (Art. 76.), whenever that has a final Shēvá: as, אָרַבְּ, for אָבְּי, אָנָי, &c. And, as בְּיִבְיּ is the leading word for the present tense, אָרָי, וְיִבְּי, אָנִי, אָרָי, &c.; but, by our rule, אָרָי, אָרָיי, אָרָיי, אָרָיי, אָרָיי, אָרָיי, אָרָיי, אָרָי, אָרָי, אָרָיי, אָרָייי, אָרָייי, אָרָי, אָרָיי, אָרָייי, אָרָי, אָרָיי, אָרָיי, אָרָייי, אָרָייי, אָרָייי, אָרָיי, אָרָייי, אָרָיייי, אָרָייי, אָרָייי, אָרָייי, אָרָיייי, אָרָיייי, אָרָיייי, אָרָיייי, אָרָיייי, אָרָיייי, אָרָיייי, אָרָיייי, אָרָיייי, אָרָייייי, אָרָייייי, אָרָייייי, אָרָיייייי, אָרָ

11. In the Infinitive, for בְּלֶבֶּע or בְּלֶבֶּע, according to our last example, we have אָבָּע or בְּלֵבֶע or בְּלֵבֶע or בְּלֵבֶע or בְּלֵבֶע or בְּלֵבֶע or בּלְבָּע or בּלְבַּע or בּלְבַּע or בּלְבָּע or בּלְבַּע or בּלְבָּע or בּלְבַּע or בּלְבַּע or בּלְבַּע or בּלְבַּע or בּלְבַּע or בּלְבַע הבּלְבְּע or בּלְבַע or בּלְבַע or בּלְבַע or בּלְבַע or בּלְבַע הבלים or בּלְבַע or בּלְבַע הבלים הבלים or בּלְבַע הבלים or בּלְבַע הבלים or בּלְבַע הבלים or בּלְבַּע הבלים or בּלְבַּע הבלים or בּלְבַע הבלים or בּלְבַּע הבלים or בּלְבַע הבלים or בּלְבַע הבלים or בּלְבַע הבלים or בּלְבַע הבלים בלים הבלים הבלים הבלים הבלים הבלים הבלים הבלים בלים הבלים הבלים

had been the primitive form; and hence, with the affixed pronouns,

את החי my giving; אח his giving, &c.

12. In these, as in other verbs, we may have (-), (-), or (1), for the last vowel of either of the tenses: hence with thou shalt exact, Deut. xv. 3; בול it shall wither, Ps. i. 3; the primitives being, perhaps, בְּלֵל, and יְבְּוֹל respectively.

- 13. When the second radical letter is either a guttural or 7, and therefore incapable of receiving Dagésh, the compensation, when is dropped, is either expressed or implied, (Art. 109.); as, first, חָרֵי, for חְבִי (for חְבִיי) he will descend; second, בְּחַבוּ, for בְּיִבּי of Din he will receive consolation.
- 14. In most cases when the middle radical is a guttural, the וֹנְחֶל ; he groans ; בֹחֶל he rages ; ינְחֶל he rages ינְחֶל he will possess; בְּעַם he is mild. There are also a few instances in which the I is retained, when the second radical is not guttural; as, יבטור he will keep, Jer. iii. 5; אבולשו ye will exact, Is. lviii. 3, &c.
- 15. The Is also occasionally preserved in the Infinitive; as, נבלל withering; בְּנֹעֵ touching: and in the Imperative; as, שִּׂנְבֹּי leave; בְּלֵיך keep; לְמִי dig; בְּלִית plant, &c.
- 16. As one verb commencing with , namely he took, is subject, in some degree, to the same rule, it may be proper to notice it in this place.

This verb, then, is found in the species Kal, Niphhál, and Pūhál only. In Kal, the is dropped whenever it is accompanied by a final (:), as also in the Imperative, and occasionally in the Infinitive. In the preterite tense, therefore, it will retain all its letters regularly. In the present, we shall have ולכות, for הייל הוא for ויל לוויים, וויל הוא הוא וויים ווי and so on. In the Imperative we have מח and יקר, לְקָח and ארת, &c. In the Infinitive, we have two forms, אותן (once 2 Kings xii. 9.), and לְלְחֵׁם, Deut. xxxi. 26.
17. In Niphhal the 'is retained; as בְּלְחֲה and בֹּלְחָה it is

taken, 1 Sam. iv. 11, 17, 22. Infinitive, Dieng taken, Ibid.

19, 21.

- 18. In Pūḥal we have ווֹלְקְׁם in the preterite, and ווֹלָיִ, for יְלָּהָוֹי, for יְלָּהָוֹי, in the present. But in the third person singular feminine of the preterite, 기기가 (for 기기가) Gen. ii. 23, which has probably been effected by attraction.
- 19. The participles are regular as far as they are found: as, one who takes, &c.

On the Verbs commencing with & or ?.

- 199. The next class of roots we shall notice as subject to certain defects, are those having an & or , for their first radical letter.
- 2. Now, as these letters standing as the first of any word will lose their power as consonants only when preceded by certain vowels (Art. 37.), the consequence is, the conjugation will be regular, as in 79, in the preterite of Kal, the Infinitive and Participles; as also in the whole of $P\bar{\imath}h\acute{e}l$, $P\bar{\imath}h\acute{a}l$, and $Hithp\bar{a}h\acute{e}l$; but, in this last, they seldom occur. We need not therefore give any table of these forms. Let us now proceed to consider those parts in which any defect or contraction arises, in consequence of either of these letters losing their powers as consonants; and, first, of those which have \(\mathbb{S} \) for the first radical letter.
- 3. The leading word for the preterite tense of Kal may be of either of the forms אוֹר פֿאָל הוּ הוּשָּׁ אָר מוּ אַ אָר פֿאָל הוּ אוֹר אָבּאָ הוּ אוֹר אָבּאָל הוּ אוֹר אָבּאָל הוּ אוֹר אָבּאָל הוּ הוּשִּׁ אַר אַבּאָל הוּ הוּשִּׁ אַר אַבּאָל הוּ הוּשִּׁ אַר הוּשִּׁ אַר הוּשִּׁ אַר הוּשִּׁ אַ הוּ בּאַר הוּ הוּשִּׁ אַ הוּ בּאַר הוּשִּׁ אַר הוּשִּׁ אַר הוּשִּׁ הוּ הוּשִּי הוּשִּׁ הוּ הוּשִּי הוּשִּׁ הוּ הוּשִּי הוּשִּׁ הוּ הוּשִּׁ הוּ הוּשִּי הוּ הוּשִּי הוּ הוּשִּי הוּ הוּשִּי הוּ הוּשִּי הוּ הוּשִּי הוּשִּי הוּשִּי הוּשִּי הוּשְּי הוּשִּי הוּשִי הוּשִּי הוּשִּי הוּיי הוּיי הוּשִּי הוּשִּי הוּיי הוּשִּי הוּיי הוּיי הוּ הוּיי הוּשִּי הוּיי הוּייי הוּיי הוּייי הוּיי הוּיי הוּיי הוּייי הוּיי הוּיי הוּיי הוּיי הוּיי הוּייי הוּיי הוּיי הוּיי הוּייי הוּיי הוּייי הוּיי הוּייי הוּיי הוּייי הוּייי הוּייי הוּייי הוּייייי הוּייי הוּייי הוּייי הוּייי הוּיייי הוּייי הוּייי הוּייי הוּי binding; אָרָ thou bindest; אָרָה thou art angry; he comes. A few have two forms; as, אָרָה and אקר and אמר; אחוז.

In one case, we have בּקוֹד: as, אַנְוֹשָׁר; so אָנְוֹשָׁר, contr. for אַאָנוֹשָׁה I am languid, Ps. lxix. 21.

4. Taking つか, then, or any other of these leading words, and prefixing the abbreviated pronouns with (:), (Art. 189. 15.), we shall have אָמְרִי, תְּאֹמֶר, תְּאֹמֶר, אָמְרָי, הַאָּמֶר, וּאָמֶר, אָמְרָי, אַמְרָי, אַמְרָי, אַמְרָי, אַמְרָי, אַמְרָי, אַמְרָי, אַמְרָי, אַמְרָי, תְאָמֶרָי, תְאָמֶרָי, תְאָמֶרָי, תְאָמֶרָי, תְאָמֶרָי, תְאָמֶרָי, מוּמֶר, אַמָר, יִאָמֶר, יִאָמֶר, and, with the accent drawn back, אַמֶּר, אָמֶר, &c.: also with (-), תאמר, יאמר, &c.; or, with א omitted, יאמר, אמר, &c.;

ነ፫ኞ he held, has ነ፫ኞ and ነ፫ኞ፫, ነ፫ኞ፫, and ነ፫ኞ፫, &c.; many other verbs also, of this kind, have two forms in the present tense.

- 5. In the Imperative אָּמְרְי, אֶּמְרְי, &c. the Infinitive is אָמְרְים, &c the Infinitive אָמְרְים, &c as in אָמְרִים. So in the Passive Participle, אָמְרּר, &c. as in Niphhál the initial א is treated like any other
- 6. In Niphhál the initial א is treated like any other guttural; as, בְּאֶבֶרָה, נֵאֶבֶרָה, לֵבֶאֶבֶר, &c.; and, in the present tense, בַּאָבֶרָה, לֵבְּאָבֶר, &c.; and, in the present tense, בּאָבֶרָה, לֵבְּאָבָר, &c.; has in this species, נַאָּבְרָה, (for נְבָּאָבָר, Art. 87. 5,), plural נֹאָבְוֹי they are taken, Josh. xxii. 9; the leading word taken here being similar to that in the present tense of Kal. The participle is regular, בַּאָבֶר, &c. It will be observed, that the syllabication differs here in a slight degree from that in which a non-guttural letter is the first of the root; as, בַּאַבֶּר אַבְּרָה בַּאַבְּר וֹאָבְּרְר בַּאַבְּר וֹאַר אַבּר וֹאַבְּר בּאַבְּר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאַר וֹאַר וֹאָר וֹאָל וֹאָר וֹאָל וֹאָר וֹאָל וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָל וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָל וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָל וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹיִי וֹיִי וֹאָל וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָל וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָל וֹאָר וֹאָר וֹאָל וֹאָר וֹאָל וֹאָר
- 7. In Hiphhil and Hophhal, the same rule prevails; as, בְּאֲמֶית, הָאֲמֶית, הַאֲמֶית, הַאֲמֶית, הַאֲמֶית, הַאֲמֶית, הַאֲמֶית, לַאֲמֶין, אָמֶין, יַאֲמֶין, אָמֶין, יַאֲמֶין, אַמֶין, אַמֶּין, אַמֶּין, אַמֶּין, אַמֶּין, אַמֶן, אַמֶּין, אַמָּין, אַמָּין, אַמָּין, אַמָּין, אַמָּין, אַמָּין, אַמַין, אַמָּין, אַמַין, אַמָּין, אַמַין, אַמַּין, אַמַין, אַמַין, אַמַן, אַמַן, אַמַין, אַמַן, אַמַּן, אַמַן, אַמַן, אַמַּמְיַן, אַמַּיּמָן, אַמַּמְיִּיְיָּיְיִיּ
- 8. So in the Participial noun, מְאֲמִינֶה, fem. מְאֲמִינֶה, pl. מְאֲמִינֶה, &c.

- 10. In Hophhál, তালু things or persons held, contained, or the like, 2 Chron. ix. 18, is agreeable with the analogy.
- 11. Enough perhaps has been said to shew what the process of conjugating these verbs is: we may now proceed, therefore, to those which have * for their first radical.
- 200. One remarkable circumstance attends these verbs, which is this: There is a difficulty in ascertaining, whether i or has the greater claim to be considered the first letter of these roots. The same is the case in the Arabic: and, from the frequent substitution of one for the other in the Hebrew, the same difficulty must have long existed in this language.* We shall, therefore, in considering the conjugations, take it for granted, that the primitive forms were written with either i or i, and, that the one or the other of these letters has been occasionally taken, as the most convenient for enunciation, when preceded by some vowel depriving it of its power as a consonant.

On the Kal, Pihel, Puhal, and Hithpahel Species of Verbs commencing with?

2. In Pīḥél, Pūḥál, and Hithpūḥél, as before, we have no defect, which is also the case in the preterite of Kal. In the Infinitive, Imperative, and Present tense of Kal, and in the Niphḥál, Hiphhíl, and Hophhál species, therefore, our only defects will occur; and these we now proceed to consider.

^{*} Thus we have בְּלֵר for דֹבְי descending, 1 Sam. xxx. 24; יְלֵר progeny, Gen. xi. 30; יְלָר id., 2 Sam. vi. 23; וְלָר heavy, Prov. xvii. 27; וְלָר laden, Ib. xxi. 8. In all which cases, and indeed in almost all others in the Arabic, is taken for the first radical letter.

- 3. In the present tense of Kal, then, as in former cases, the last vowel of the root may be either (-) (-) or (i), which may be accounted for in every case, by supposing a different form of the primitive word to have been originally taken. So far all is as before.*
- 4. In the next place, when the preformatives are brought in contact with ', two modes of adjustment will take place among the vowels and consonants; the one by contraction and occasional omission also: the other by a sort of accommodation and omission: e. g. 1st. שֹבִי becoming dry; present בּיִבְי,; contracted by Art. 87. 5. שִבְי, and by omission, בּיבִי he will possess; וּיִבְּי he will awake; בּיִבִּי he will place; בּיִבִי he will form.
- 5. The second method is, by contracting the (·) and (:) into (·), and omitting the radical : as, אַבָּי, for אַבְּי he will dwell; אַבְּי I will go; אַבְּי I shall know; she shall descend. This sort of contraction generally takes place, when the last vowel of the leading word is (·), probably for the purpose of promoting euphony, as in the segolate nouns. See Art. 108. In the following examples, (i) is the last vowel of the primitive form: אַבְּאִנוֹת we agree, Gen. xxxiv. 15; אוֹת they agree, 2 Kings xii. 9; אוֹבְּי he blushes: but these two may be derived from אוֹבְ in and שׁוֹב as the roots.
 - 6. Of this kind, the following are, according to Alting, the only verbs which occur; viz. אַלָּדְ he agreed; אַדְּדְ he knew; אַדְ he begat; אַדְי he went; אַבָּי he went out; אַדְי it was dislocated;

^{*} I must dissent from Schræder in supposing many of these roots to be conjugated like those commencing with $\mathfrak I$ (Reg. 72.), because, the analogy of the language requires a different process when certain vowels precede $\mathfrak I$, from what it does when they precede $\mathfrak I$, or $\mathfrak I$; and because the signification of these roots, though cognate, requires no such sacrifice of principle.

יבד he descended; יבן it seemed evil; שבין he dwelt. The following have both forms; Di he became hot; The formed; he burned; וְבִי it was precious; בְשִׁי he desolated, and שׁבִי he ריקר he shall be precious, Ps. lxxii. 14.

7. The former of these modes may be considered, therefore, as conformable with analogy; the latter, as accommodated to

euphony.

8. In all those verbs which conform with the analogy (and all are so except the few above noticed) the Imperatives and Infinitives follow the general paradigm: יוֹל spitting, abs. יוֹל founding, אָלי founding, אָלי spitting, abs.

fear thou, &c., in construction.

9. But, when an elision takes place, Art. 76, the first radical letter is dropped, the final vowel remaining: as, 77 Infinitive and Imperative, fem. מָדֶר and הַדֶּר. This verb has also and יְרָד for the Infinitive. So Imp. יְרָד and יְרָד give, root דָעָר; דְיָן know thou, and with the paragogic ד, דְעָה. Infin. קעת for בעת and דעה. What verbs are to be used in the several forms, can be determined only by usage.

10. Several forms of the Infinitive are occasionally derived from the same root: i. e. according to our system, sometimes the abstract noun of one form is taken, and sometimes that of another; as, לוֹד bringing forth, Job xv. 35, form לֶּבֶּת; פַּקְוֹד Gen. xvi. 16; לֶּבֶּת; (for בְּבָּת), form בְּבָּת) 1 Sam. iv. 19. So

Gen. xlvi. 3; '[] (form]) my descending, Ps. xxx. 4.

11. To this variety of forms may be referred the following examples; אָם־שׁוֹב הִשְׁבוּ Jer. xliii. 10, if ye will still abide, where שוֹש is probably put for שוֹשׁי, the being dropped, by Art. 76. that thou shalt surely prevail; or, prevailing shalt prevail, Job xlii. 2, where לל stands for לְלֵל (root לְלָל) by the same rule.

^{*} Kimkhi tells us, that his brother derived this word from ילל, thus: for לֶּלֶת, striking out the latter ל, חל, and varying the vowel ב. In the Mikhlol, we generally have the leading word for the Present tense given thus: the root יְבֶב-יָבֶב; root הַבּריָהַב; whence we are to infer, that the persons of the Present tense will be בְּוֹבֶר, בְּחָב, &c., root בְּטָב ; whence Pres. שנישב, and so on.

- 12. This verb לְלֵל forms the Present tense in Kal, as if the root had been הָּוְבָל ; as, אוּבְל אוּבְל &c., for הָּוֹבְל See Art. 87. 2.
- 13. In the other species, viz., Pīhél, Pūhál, and Hithpāhél, the analogy of the paradigm אין יוֹרָלָּי, is regularly followed; excepting only, that in the verbs אַרְיָי, he knew, אוֹרָי, he threw, and, אוֹרָי, he contended, the ' of the root is changed to ז: as, אַרְיִי, he made known; he confessed; אוֹרָי, he disputed. In Pīhél, however, we have אוֹרָי, (for אַרָּיִי, Art. 73.) he shall make grieve, Lam. iii. 33; אַרְיִי, they shall cast out, Ib. iii. 53. In Pūhál we have מְּרִינְיִי, Jer. v. 8.
- 14. We now proceed to the others; viz. Niphhál, Hiphhil, and Hophhál, in which the first letter of the root will lose its power as a consonant.
- 15. It has already been remarked, that these verbs are sometimes conjugated as if \ had been the first radical letter; this is the case in the three species before us. In Niphhál, for example, we shall have in the Preterite בַּשִּׁיֹם (for בַּשִּׁיִם Art. 87. 1.), from the root בַּשִּׁיִם Present tense בַּשִּׁיֹם (for בִּשִּׁיִם) where \ retains its power as a consonant. In a few instances \ remains in the Present: as, בּיִבְּיִם he shall be pierced with a dart, Exod. xix. 13; בּיִבְּיִים he waits Gen. viii. 12; בּיִבְּיִם for בִּיבְּיִם made hot, Is. lvii. 5. The Imperatives and Infinitives are regularly formed; as, בּיִבְּיִבָּים Art. 87. 2.). we have, however, בּיִבְּיִבְּים made mournful, fem., Lam. i. 4, and בּיִבְּים hasc., Zeph. iii. 18 (for בּיִבְּיבֹּים &c. Art. 87. 2.).
- 16. In Hiphhil we have הושיב Art. 87. 1.), and, in the Present tense, יושיב די יושיב, and so on throughout.

קיף, from the root אוֹף, cognate with אוֹף: in יְמִילִיל for יֵמִינִיל, Job xxiv. 21; יֵמִילִיל for יֵמִינִיל Is. xvi. 7; and אֵילָיל for אֵילִיל for אֵילִיל for אֵילִיל for אֵילִיל hor. xlviii. 31, none of the contractions common to other words have taken place.

18. In Hophál the dof the root takes dithroughout: as, בְּיִּשְׁב, see Art. 87. 2.) he was made to dwell. So in the Present tense, בְיִּשְׁב, יְּבֶשְׁב, אָב &c.; or, in both cases with (ヽ) for d: as,

⊃ਾਂਹ, ⊃ਾਂ &c. Art. 72.

On the Conjugation of those Verbs which have \ or \ for their Medial Radical Letter.

- 201. When these verbs terminate either in a quiescent ה, or in ה, א, or ה; as also, when conjugated in those species which have Dāgésh in the middle radical, they follow the general paradigm; as, הַוֹּיִן it was watered; ווֹיִן it became; הְיִוֹיִן he breathed; איִר הוֹיִן he breathed; איִר הוֹיִן he became white. So also יְיִין vomit ye, Jer. xxv. 27, from אָיִר הְיִין he acted as an enemy, איִר he was weary, which are perhaps only nouns.—With Dāgésh in the middle radical; as, אַיִּר he made crooked; הַיְּעִיִּן and הַּיִּעִיִּן he was made crooked. So in verbs with a medial הוֹיִן he criminated; הוֹיִן he procured by hunting. In all other cases, the medial radical הוֹין or loses its power as a consonant, and gives rise to several apparently defective forms.
- 2. Whenever a single medial י or is preceded, either by a vowel or a final Shevá, and is, at the same time, followed by any vowel, it will be dropped, with the preceding vowel or Shevá, and its own vowel will be transposed to the first radical letter (Artt. 73. 75.); as, סף for סְבָּר he stood; אַר for סְבָּר he was good; אַר for סְבָּר or זְבְּאָר for דִּאָרָר for הַאָּר , הַבְּרִם for הַבְּרִם, the manner, when is the middle radical, וְבָּ for בּי he

- understood; אָבְין for בְּיִן, or בְּיִן to understand; Hiphhil, בְּטְיב for הַבְּין for הַבְּין; Hophhal, הַבְּין or הָבָן, for הָבָן, &c.*
- 3. For the forms \partial and \
- 4. In the Pīḥél, Pūḥál, and Hithpāhél, Species, when the middle radical is not doubled, which is mostly the case, the leading forms will be, אַרְהַתְּפוֹדֶן, and בּוֹדֶן, and בּוֹדֶן, and בּוֹדֶן, respectively (Art. 197.): as, בּוֹדֶן he raised; בּוֹדְן and בּוֹדְן he was raised. In these cases, the process of the conjugation is regular throughout. Nouns of agency, &c. will be בּוֹלְבֶּל, בּוֹלְבֶּל, and בְּוֹלְבֶּל, respectively; the feminines and plurals of which are regularly formed, when in use.

 - 6. In the first and second persons of both genders and numbers, in the Preterite of Niphhál, the leading word seems to be a segolate of the form בָּיוֹ, i. e. בַּיֹן for בָּיוֹ, , וֹיִנִּיִ for בִּיֹן Art. 87.2.4; in which cases, the vowel (זֹ) is introduced between the root and the

^{*} In בַּק, הַבְּי, the vowels become perfect on account of the accent. In בַּק, הַבְּיִר, הַבְּין, and הַבְּין, the first vowel is made perfect, in order to complete the syllable; which becomes necessary on account of the first radical being taken to commence the next syllable. The (") is taken in Hiphfil, being the vowel obliquely corresponding to (·) of the regular paradigm. See Art. 96. 2.

[†] That is, dropping the middle radical letter out of our common measure 772, as is the case in these verbs, then assuming the proper vowel, and doubling the last radical letter, we have 772, &c.

- 7. In every other case Niphhál takes the leading word קֹוֹם; as, for בְּקְוֹם, as in בַּקְהוֹר, בַּחְהְוֹם &c. (Art. 193. 4.)
- 8. A similar anomaly takes place in the corresponding persons of the Hiphhil species, which may perhaps be accounted for in the same way; as, הַהִּלְיִלְהֹי, הַהַּלְילֵה, &c., but here, we also have the regular form; as, איני הַרָּלְהָרָל.
- 9. It must be remembered, that whenever the first radical letter is, by any accident, made to commence a syllable, the preformative must, by our laws of syllabication, either take Shěvá or a perfect vowel. When the accent is far removed it will be Shěvá; but, when not, the vowel will be perfect: as, Present tense, Kal, בְּלְּבֶּי, חִוּלְי, חְלֵּבְּי, חִוּ חִבְּיִי, אַרָּ הַּרְיִּלְי, pl. בְּלִּבְיִלְי, fem. בְּלְּבִילִי, pl. בְּלִּבְיִלִים &c. In Hophhál, however, no such change takes place: as, בּרִּבְּבִילָּה, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ pers. pl. הַּרְבְּבָּהָ, הַּרְבָּהָהָ, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ pers. pl. הַּרְבְּבָּהָן, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ pers. pl. בּרִבְּבָּהָהָן, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ pers. pl. בּרִבְּבָּהָהָן, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ pers. pl. בּרִבְּבָּהָהָן, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ pers. pl. בּרִבְּבָּהָן, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ pers. pl. בּרִבְּבָּהָן, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ pers. pl. בּרִבְּבָּהָן, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ pers. pl. בּרִבְּבָּהָן, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ pers. pl. בּרִבְּבָּהָלָּהָן.
- 10. Verbs having a medial (') will sometimes drop it, and supply its place by ("), as it happens in other verbs in the Hiphhil species; as, אַלְּיִלְּיִ for יְּבְיִלְּיִ thou shalt lodge; and, with the accent drawn back, בְּיִלְיִי 2 Sam. xvii. 16. But, when either the first or last radical happens to be a guttural, we shall have (-): as, אַלְיִי he was wearied, 1 Sam. xiv. 28; אַלִייִ he rested, Exod. x. 14, for בְּיִבְיִי or בְּיִבְי. So with אַרְיִי he put away, Gen. viii. 13. The same will occasionally take place with a pause accent: as, אַלְיִּגָּי Judg. xix. 20.
- 11. Hence it will appear, that verbs having a medial, may, in the present tense of Kal, take the same form which they, as well as those having a medial, will in Hiphhil; and that they can be distinguished only by the context.

On the the Third Class of Defective Verbs.

- 202. These verbs are formed upon roots whose third radical letter is subject to elision; and this may take place, either when one of the letters אהרי occupies that situation (Art. 198. 2.), or, when the second and third radical letters happen to be the same (Art. 77.): as also in certain cases, when שו or ה is found in that place (Artt. 76. and 188. 23.).
- 2. But, before we proceed to consider these defects, it will be necessary to offer a few observations on the causes which have led to them: and first, we shall consider those which have one or other of the letters אדורי for their third radical.
- 3. It has already been remarked (Art. 200.), that considerable difficulty has been felt both in the Hebrew and Arabic, in ascertaining which is the proper root in many instances wherein these letters are concerned. It is also a fact, that primitive words having either of these letters for the first, second, or third radical, and forming words similar in some respects in sound, will generally have either the same, or very nearly the same, signification: e. g. אַבְי, ס רְצָבְ he set up, to which perhaps may be added, as cognate, אֹבְ he arranged, or assembled, an army, performed divine service, &c. which some make cognate with אַבְּלֵּה, בּוֹל, בְּלֵלְ comprehending, completing, &c.,* to which many others may be added.

[•] So יבָשׁ and יְבְשׁ ; דְוּע , יְבְדּ, הְנְּדּ , יְבֶּדּ , יְבִּדּ , בְּוּדּ , יְבְּדּ , בְּרִּבּ , בְּרִבּ , בְּרִּב , בְרִּב , קְרִּב , קְרִּב , קְרִּב , קְרִּב , קְרִּב , קְרִּב , קְרִּץ , יִקְרְץ , בְּרָב , קְרִּב , קְרִּב , קְרִּב , אַרְיִב , קְרִּב , אַרְיִב , קְרִּב , אַרְיִב , בְּרִּב , בְּרִב , בְּרָב , בְּרִב , בְּרִב , בְּרִב , בְּרִב , בְּרָב , בְּרִב , בְרִב , בְּרִב , בְּרָב , בְּרָב , בְּרִב , בְּרָב , בְּרָב , בְּרִב , בְּרָב , בְרָב , בְּרָב , בְּבְּרָב , בְּרָב , בְּרְב , בְּרָב , בְּבְּב , בְּבְּב , בְּבְב , בְּבְּב , בְּבְּבְב , בְּבְב , בְּבְּבְּב , בְּבְּב , בְּבְּב

It may be proper here to notice remarks sometimes made on verbs of this kind, which, I am induced to believe, are entitled to little credit. "Singularis Lingua genius," says A. Schultens, Inst. Ling. Heb., p. 399, "et granditas

- 4. If this be the case, then, we need not be surprised in occasionally finding the vowels, and even the signification of one cognate root applied to another; as, חַבְּי, for אַבְיּ heal thou (root בְּילְּאָתִי becoming lax), Ps. lx. 4; אַרְפָּה אָלְיּ for אַבְּילְּאָתִי I will heal, Jer. iii. 22. So, with respect to the vowels only; as, יבְּלְאָתִי for אַבְּילְאָתִי for אַבְילְאָתִי for אַבְילְאָתִי for אַבְילְאָתִי for אַבְילְאָתִי for אַבְילִאָּתִי we have healed, Jer. li. 9; הַבְּילְאָתִי prophesying, Zech. xiii. 4; אַבְיּה sinning, Eccl. viii. 12, where the vowels belong to roots having הַ or ' for the last radical.
- 5. The following assume the vowels, and in some instances the forms, of a cognate root; נְשִׁי taken away, Ps. xxxii. 1, where the form is derived from נְשִׁי, while the root is אָבָי, So, תַּבְּינְה, they (fem.) will heal, Job v. 18; יְבָּי, they (masc.) will heal, Jer. viii. 11, and Job v. 18; יְבִי they (masc.) will heal, Jer. viii. 11, and נִבְּלִיתִי she, or it, was healed, Ib. li. 9; נְבְּלִיתִי I wondered, Ps. cxxxix. 14; אַבְּלִית thou hast thirsted, Ruth ii. 9 (for אָבֶי, as if the root had been אָבְלִית they bear (root אָבָי, but which is אָבָי they bear (root אָבָי, they are full, Ib. xxviii. 16; אַבּי we

stili, sæpe ansam præbuere, ad geminæ Radicis formas in unam conflandas." The first example he gives is שׁ אַבְּוֹח Is. xxx. 5, which he calls "specimen insigne. Hiphh. Radicum שֵׁבְ aruit, et שֵׁאַבְּ fætuit, signantissimi præ se ferens: ex שֵּ אִבְּ fætere fecit, et שֵׁיבְ acescere fecit, coalitum." Remarks of this kind are often met with in the Thesaurus Grammaticus of Buxtorf, in the Mikhlol of Kimkhi, the Rabbinical Commentaries, &c. I must confess, however, I can see little in all this, but the occasional adoption of one or other of the cognate roots, as indeed it occasionally happens in the Arabic, without any intention whatever on the part of the writer, to combine more ideas than one in such verb. In the above instance, then, I believe שֵּ אַבְ has been the root taken, to be used nevertheless in the sense of שֵׁאַב. I must object to the vowel (אַר) Khōlėm: because, I think, it may have been introduced for the mere purpose of supporting this hypothesis. In Eichhorn's edition of Simonis's Lexicon, sub voce שֵׁאַב, א is said to be otiose.

are vile, Job xviii. 3, to which many more may be added; but, as they are always noticed in the best Lexicons, they need not be detailed here.

- 6. In the first place, then, every root terminating with a radical א, that is, with א having Mappik inscribed, will be regularly conjugated like אָבָהְיּ ; as, א he was high; בְּבְהִיּלְּ thou wast high, בְּבְהִילִּ they were high, &c. This letter, therefore, is not subject to any elision, either here or elsewhere.
- 7. It is when \overline{n} is a substitute for 1, or 7, only, that any departure from the general paradigm can take place in any case.

- 10. It must be remembered, however, that in every other species (·) is generally the vowel which precedes ' in the Preterite. Even in Kal (·) would be more agreeable with the analogy than (·), because אָלְיִילָּבְּ &c. should be, according to the general paradigm, אָלִייִבְּ , which by Art. 87. 3. would become ਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੇ : but, as (·) preceding the ' may also become (·) Art. 87. 4. this may have been adopted in this species.
- 11. The Present tense of every species here ends in $\overrightarrow{\neg}_{\overrightarrow{\neg}}$,* as do also the Participles. The Imperative has $\overrightarrow{\neg}_{\overrightarrow{\neg}}$, but this is the form of construction assumed by all nouns ending in $\overrightarrow{\neg}_{\overrightarrow{\neg}}$ (Art. 143. 5.), and such will the Imperative generally be.

12. The Infinitives follow the general paradigm, and end in הֹ in the masculine; as, אָרָ and אָרָ seeing.†

The feminine form always ends in אָרָ as, וּלְוֹת or וּלְוֹת (for לַּוֹת אַרָּ Art. 87. 1.).

13. The agent or active participle will end in הַ ; as, הֹבֶּי ; fem. בְּלְהָה (for בִּלְהָה Art. 73.). The patient or passive participle ends in ; as, בְּלְהִי, form בְּלְהִי, regularly, where the final is quiescent, fem. בְּלִוּיְה pl. בְּלִוּיִה and בְּלִוּיִה : here appears as the proper radical letter.‡

^{*} Except the 2 pers. sing. fem. and pl. masc. and fem., as also the 3 masc. and fem. pl., where הוא is dropped, or changed to בָּלָבְּי, as, 3 m. הְּבָּלְה, f. הִּבְּלְהְי, f. הִּבְּלְהְי, f. הִּבְּלְהְי, הוּ הִּבְּלְהְי, הוּ הִּבְּלְהְי, Art. 73.); 1 com. בְּבָלְהָה. Pl. 3 m. בְּבְלִיבָה (for הִּבְּלְיְבָה (for הִּבְלְיְבָה as before), f. בִּבְלֶּהְה (for בִּבְלֶּהְה בּרְלָהְר זֹם). In the same manner are the Present tenses of all the species conjugated.

[†] In קְּבְּוֹ acquiring, 2 Sam. xxiv. 24, and דְּבְּוֹ וְיִבְּוֹ conceiving and meditating, Is. lix. 13, Schræder thinks we have a final i for the last radical letter: but this is far from being certain; הְבְּבוֹח, הִבְּבוֹח and הֹבְּוֹ will terminate regularly according to our paradigm; and, omitting the final הוֹבְי by Art. 72, we shall have the forms, הְבִּוֹ הִרְוֹ, הְבוֹי The other example, viz. י. 9, adduced by him, is probably a plural noun in construction.

[†] In Is. iii. 16, we have a various reading, viz. מורוות and מורוות, as also

On those Verbs which have an & for the Third Radical Letter.

203. The only departure from the general paradigm in verbs of this kind is, in the & becoming quiescent in the preceding vowel; as, Pret. Kal. NYD for NYD, he found, f. מְצָאָת, 2 m. מְצָאָת, f. מָצָאָת, 1 com. מָצָאָת; pl. מָצָאָרָ, com. 2 m. מָצָאָרָן, f. מָצָאָרָן, 1 com. מָצָאָרָ.

2. The Present tense is, אַנְאָא, and so on. In every other species, the persons of both tenses, except the third singular and plural of the Preterite of both genders, those of Pūhál, and of the Present of Hiphhil, the vowel preceding & is (.). As Niphhal, 3 m. נְמְצָאָה, f. נְמְצָאָה, 2 m. נְמְצָאָה, f. נְמְצָאָה, 1 com. נְמַצְאַתִי ; plur. com. נְמָצָאָן &c. Pres. tense, נְמָצָאָתִי &c. ; Hiph. הָמְצֵיא, f. הָמְצִיאָה, 2 masc. הָמְצֵיא &c. Pres. 3 m. יִּכְצִיא, f. תְּמְצִיא &c. In Pīhél and Hithpāhél all is regular. In Pūhál we have, Pret. 3 pers. masc. NYD, f. קּצְאָר, f. אָצָאָר, f. אָנְאָר, f. אָנְאָר, f. Pres. 3 m. אָיָטְיָ, f. אָמָצָאָ f. אָמָצָאָן &c. and so on throughout.

We have, however, how it shall be changed, Eccl. viii. 1, where, according to Kimkhi, the vowels belong to the root אַנָּיי. Mikhlol, fol. קעד.

3. In the Infinitives and Participles a contraction mostly takes place; as, שָׁאָת or שָׁאָת, for שֶׂאֶת or נְשָׂאָ or אָאָת i pearing; root מוֹצָאָת; root מְנָאָא finding. We also have שִׁנְאֵת So also שִׁנָאָת for שִׂנָאָת for שִׂנָאָת hating, form קָרָאוֹת root פָּקְדָת or קרָאוֹת calling; מלאת ro מלאת filling (Art. 87. 5.).

in 1 Sam. xxv. 18; מַשׁוּיִוֹת and עֲשׁוּיִוֹת, no reliance, therefore, can be placed on Schreder's remark, that these are words retaining their primitive radical 1. So likewise שָׁשׁלָּ Job xli. 24, may be a verb, and אוֹבָ Ib. xv. 22, for אָלָהָ by Art. 72. By the same rule we have בקי clear, innocent, for בקידו &c.

- - 5. For the rules and examples relating to Apocope when happening to these or any other verbs, see Artt. 74. 119. 3—8.

LECTURE XIII.

ON THOSE VERBS WHICH HAVE THEIR SECOND AND THIRD RADICAL LETTERS THE SAME.

- 204. It has been laid down as a rule, that whenever the last two letters of any primitive word happen to be the same, one of them may be dropped for the sake of euphony (Art. 77.) There are cases, however, in which this will not take place: these we shall notice first, and then proceed to shew in what instances and in what way our rule applies.
- 2. First, then, in the reduplicated species, i. e. in Pīḥēl, Pūḥál, and Hithpāḥēl, the middle radical letter will either receive Dāgésh forte, as in אָרָבָּי, or, the reduplication will take place on the measure אָרָבָי, or אָרָבִיּ, or אָרָבִיּ, or, lastly, on one or other of the reduplicated forms (Art. 197.). In these cases, therefore, no defect will take place.
 - 3. In the next place, the following examples follow the general paradigm; as, בְּמָלִית he intended, Deut. xix. 19; אַרְבְיּלְיּלִי I intended,

- Zech. viii. 14; בְּלְלְּהְּ they finished, Ezek. xxvii. 11; לְּהְלִּהְ they surrounded, Eccles. xii. 5, &c.; שְׁשִׁישִׁ it decayed, Ps. vi. 8: being gracious, Isa. xxx. 19.
- 4. The Participial nouns of Kal; as, אָרָהָל surrounding, Gen. ii. 13, pl. בְּבָים 2 Chron. iv. 3; אָרָהָר cursed, Gen. xxvii. 29, pl. אַרוּרָים, Josh. ix. 23, &c.
- 5. In some instances the Infinitive also takes the form לְּלֵּבֶּׁי as, בַּבְּילֵי for surrounding, Numb. xxi. 4; בְּלֵבְּיל for warming, Isa. xlvii. 14, form בְּלֵבְיּל, with (-) changed to (ד) on account of the pause, (Art. 123. 5.).
- 6. Again, in no case, in which either the first or third radical letter of any root is subject to elision or contraction, will our rule take place; as, in the first case, וְבְּוֹרְוֹן for יִבְּוֹלְ they flee, Ps. lxviii. 13; הַבְּוֹלְנִין he exclaimed, root הַבְּוֹלְנִין; יִלְלֹ broke to pieces, Jer. xlix. 37, for הַבְּתְּתְּנִין. So, הַבְּיִלְתְּנִין for הַבְּיִלְתְּנִין. But, when this is not the case, and the last radical retains its power as a consonant, one of the two is dropped: as, הַבְּיִלְ he lived, Gen. v. 5.
- 7. Whenever, therefore, one or other of these exceptions does not take place, one of the two last radical letters of these verbs will regularly be rejected in both the tenses—in the Imperative, and occasionally in the Infinitive of Kal,—the whole of the species Niphhál, Hiphhíl, and Hophhál.
- 8. The most convenient method, perhaps, of stating this defect will be by saying, that the second radical with the preceding vowel, or Shevá, is rejected; as, בְּסָבּ, for בְּסָבּ, &c. which will generally hold.
- 9. In both tenses, then, of the species Kal, Niphhál, Hiphhíl and Hophhál, as also in their Infinitives, Imperatives, and Participles, excepting those already mentioned, will the second radical letter of all such roots be rejected; but, whenever any asyllabic augment

takes place, this rejected letter will be restored by the operation of Dāgésh forte (Art. 77.)

- 10. If, in the next place, we except the first and second persons of both numbers and genders, throughout all the Preterites of these species, the abbreviated pronouns which are asyllabic will be the same in these as in other verbs. But, in all the first and second persons of these preterites, the vowel i is introduced, as in Art. 201. 6. between the root and the pronouns; e. g. taking בְּסָ for the root, 3 m. בְּסָ f. הַבְּסָ, 2 m. הָבְּכָּוֹל, נְסַבּוֹנֵן, נְסַבּוֹנֵן, נְסַבּוֹנֵן, נְסַבּוֹנֵן, נַסְבּוֹנֵן, נַסְבּוֹנֵן, מַבּוֹנֵן, נַסְבּוֹנֵן, מַבּוֹנֵן, מַבּוֹנֵן, נַסְבּוֹנֵן, מַבּוֹנֵן, מַבּוֹנִוֹן, מַבּוֹנִן, מַבּוֹנִוֹן, מַבּוֹנִינִוֹן, מַבּוֹנִוֹן, מַבּוֹנִוֹן, מַבּוֹנִוֹן, מַבּוֹנִוֹן, מַבּוֹנִוֹן, מַבּוֹנִוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹנוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹנוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹנִין, מַבְּנִוֹנִוֹן, מַבְּנִינִוּ, מַבְּנִוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹנִוּ, מַבְּנִוֹן, מַבְּנִיוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹנִיוֹן, מַבְּנִינְוֹיִי, מַבְּנִוֹנִוּ, מַבְּנִוֹנִוּ, מַבְּנִוּנְיִנְיוֹנִיוֹי, מַבְּנִיוֹנִוּי, מַבְּנִיוֹנוֹן, מַבְּנִוֹנִין, מַבְּנִינִוּ מִייִי, מִבְּנִוֹן מַבְּנִוֹין מַבְּנִינִוּ מִייִיי, מִיּיִייְיִי, מִייִּיְיִי, מִייִּי, מִיּי, מַבְּנִוּנִי, מַבְּיִיתְיּי, מִייְיִי, מִיּיְיִי, מַבְּיִיתְי, מִייְיי, מִייְיִיי, מִייְיִייּי, מִייִּייְי, מִייְייִי, מִייְייִייּי, מִייְיִייּי, מִייְייִי, מִייְייִייְי, מִייִּייִי, מִייְייִיי, מִייְייִיי, מִיייי, מִייְייִייּי, מִייִייי, מִייְייִייּי, מִייְייִייְייי, מִייִּייי, מִייִּייי, מִייִייי, מִייְייִייי, מִייְייִייְיייי, מִייִּייי, מִייִּיייי, מִייייי, מִייייייי, מִיייי, מִייִּייי, מִיייייי, מ
- 11. It should be observed, that as the first letter of the root in the Present necessarily commences a syllable, the preformative will either take Shevá (:), or a perfect vowel. It will take Shevá when the accent is on the third letter, or farther from the beginning of the word; in all other cases the vowel will be perfect: as Pres. Kal, 3 pers. m. בְּסָבְּינָה fem. בְּסָבְינָה fem. בְּסָבְינָה fem. בְּסָבְינָה fem. בְּסָבָי, fem. בְּסָבִי, fem. בְּסָבִיי, fem. בְּסָבְיי, fem. בְּסָבְיי, fem. בְּסָבְיּי, fem. בְּסָבְיי, fem. בְּסָבְיי, fem. בְּסָבְיי, fem. בְּסְבְּי, fem. בְּיּי, fem. בְּסְבְי, fem. בְּיּי, fem. בְּיִבְיּי, fem. בְּיּי, fem. בְּיִי, fem. בְּיִי, fem. בְּיִי, fem. בְּיִי, fem. בְּיִי, fem. בְּיי, fem. ב
- 12. It should be remembered, that the 'usually found between the second and third radical, and which is considered as characteristic of the *Hiphhil* species, never appears in these verbs.

1 com. הוֹסְבּוֹתִי, f. הוֹסְבּוֹתִי, f. מוֹסְבּ, תּוֹסְבּוֹתִי, f. מוֹסְבּ, תּוֹסְבּוֹתִי, f. מוֹסְבּ, f. תוֹסְבּ, f. תוֹסְבּ, in the last, and every similar case in this species, the first vowel is necessarily immutable: it must, therefore, remain perfect. So in the Imperative and Participle, הוֹסְבָּ, f. הוֹסְבָּ, לּ. מְוֹסְבָּ, לּ. מִוֹסְבָּ, לּ. מִוֹסְבָּ, לֹ. מִוֹסְבָּ, לֹ.

15. In the species Pīḥél, Pūḥál, and Hithpāḥél, the forms assumed for conjugation must be determined by usage, i. e. whether they are to be אָבָּרָ, אָבָּוּבָּ, וֹבִּי, סִיּבְּבָּי, יִרְּעְבַּוֹבְּ, יִרְּעְבַּוֹבְּ, or any other of the reduplicated forms (Art. 197.); and when this is done, the process will be analogous to that of the general paradigm.

16. Of the verbs terminating in 2 or \mathbb{N} , enough has been said, Artt. 198. 10. 188. 23. 26. 28.

- On the doubly Defective Verbs: i. e. Verbs, in which more than one of the Radical Letters may be subject to quiescence or elision, by the operation of the preceding Rules.
- 205. Roots may be supposed to exist composed of such letters as may all be subject to elision or quiescence, when occurring in certain situations: but, that they all should be subject, at the same time, to such rule, the nature of the case makes impossible: because, quiescence can take place, only when some homogeneous vowel precedes; and this pre-supposes that such vowel is enounced by a consonant. And, in the case of one of the two last radical letters of a verb being dropped, it is also supposed, that some preceding vowel is enounced, which cannot be done without the influence of a preceding consonant.
- 2. Hence it will follow, that such part of any root as is unaffected by the influence of a preceding vowel will remain unaltered: and also, that no two contiguous letters can at the same time be subject to defect by the process of conjugation, if we except the operation of apocope, and those cases in which any of the letters are dropped, as being unnecessary to the pronunciation. But, when the first and last are subject to quiescence or elision, the middle radical letter, be that what it may, will generally retain its character as a consonant, and may receive a Dāgésh forte; or if not capable of being doubled, may be compensated in the preceding vowel being made perfect.
- 3. In this case, therefore, as in all the preceding ones, the general paradigm holds good: but, as the concurrence of certain sounds is incompatible with the pronunciation of the Hebrews, some changes will take place, in order to avoid this difficulty. Example, however, is

always easier to be understood than precept; we shall now give, therefore, a few examples of all the cases that occur; which, after Schreder, we shall divide into three classes.

First Class.

- 4. This class will have the first and third radical letters subject to elision, or quiescence. And first, both are subject to elision, as in אָבָן he gave, placed; he played on the psaltery; אָבָן he descended, which will drop either the ב or א, or both, by rules already detailed (Artt. 198. 10. 188. 23. 28. &c.): e. g. in Niphhál, Pret. 2 pers. אָבָוּתְנִּתְּ , וֹמְתַּלִּ , for נְתַּתַּרָ, ; and so of the rest.
- 5. The first is subject to quiescence, and the third to elision, as in >> he was faithful; >> he slept; >> he, or >> he was handsome. Here the | will be rejected, as before, whenever it is affected by a final Shevá (:) by analogy; the final ¬, by Art. 188. 23; and the initial ¬ or N, will be quiescent, according to the rules detailed in Artt. 199. 200.

Hiphhil, וּהְשָּהָ, 3 sing. fem. with the pronoun 1, for הְּנְשְׁהַהְוּ she subdued him, Prov. vii. 21. Pres. שָׁהַ for הְשָּהָ, by apocope, incline, Ps. cxli. 4, and Imper. שְׁהַ for הַשְּהַ incline thou, Ps. xvii. 6.

7. Again, verbs having both the first and third radical letter subject to quiescence; as, אָחֵי (for אַרָאָי), contraction of אַרָאָי Art. 87. 5., from the root אַרָאָי) he will come, Deut. xxxiii. 21; אֹפֶר (for אַפֶּה Ib. from the root אַבֶּה he will bake: and 1 Sam. xxviii. 24, הֹבָּה she bakes it, where the first and last radicals are dropped; אַצָּא he will go out, for אַצָּא, root אָצָּא. Imper. אַצָּא. Infin. אַצָּא, אָנָא , and אַצָּא. So אָנָא he feared; Pres. Kal. לִרְוֹא for לִרְוֹא. Niphhál, Pret. or Part. גוֹרְאָ ; ווחוו. אוֹיְן וֹס הוֹיְן. Niphhat, Pret. or Part. גוֹרְאָ ; ווֹרְא he cast, Pres. Kal, יְרֶה ; נוֹרְא we shoot them, Num. xxi. 30. In Hiphhil, יוֹרָה , and, by apocope, יוֹרָה he shot, 2 Kings xiii. 17: הוֹנְה he grieved; Pret. Hiphhil, הוֹנְה ; Pres. pl. יְלָה and Job xix. 2: הוֹנְיוֹן, with a paragogic Nún (Art. 120. 8.) Hophhal, הוֹנְה , whence הוֹנָה pain. Particula of Ninhal, הוֹנָה בּרֹה אַרְה בּרֹה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה אַרִּירִה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה אַרִירִה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה אַרִּרְה בּרֹה אַרִּרְה בּרֹה אַרִירְה בּרֹה אַרְה בּרֹה בּרֹה בּרֹה אַרְה בּרֹה בּרְה בּרֹה בּרֹה בּרֹה בּרֹה בּרֹה בּרְה בּרְה בּרֹה בּרְה בּרְה בּרְה בּרְה בּרֹה בּרְה בּ ciple of Niphhál, בונות persons (fem.) pained, Lam. i. 4, &c.

Second Class.

8. The second class of doubly defective roots includes those which have a medial i or i subject to quiescence, and the third radical letter subject to quiescence, and the third radical letter subject to elision; as, אבן and the third radical letter subject to elision; as, אבן and the third radical letter subject to quiescence, and the third radical letter subject to elision; as, אבן and the understood; as, אבן אבן thou has the third radical letter subject to quiescence, and the third radical letter subject to quiescence, and the third radical letter subject to elision; as, אבן the understood; as, אבן אבן אבן thou has the third radical letter subject to elision; as, אבן and the third radical letter subject to elision; as, אבן and the understood; as, אבן thou has the placed; as, אבן the understood; as, אבן thou has the placed; as, אבן thou has the placed; as, אבן the understood; as, which is the understood; as, the u derstood, Ps. cxxxix. 2.

Third Class.

9. The third class comprehends those verbs which have

n or n for the middle radical, and n or n for the third; each of which may be subject to quiescence, and in certain cases to rejection.

10. Of the first sort אוֹם entering in; לוא being crude, and אוֹף, or איִר vomiting, are examples which may thus be conjugated.

PRET.

KAL.

3 p. m. sing. אֶּבֶּ, f. בְּאָבָ; 2 m. בְּאָבָ, f. אַבְּ, 1 com. בְּאָרִי בְּאָרִי Pl. 3 com. בְּאָרִי, &c.

PRES.

3 p. m. sing. יְּלְאֹ, f. יְלְאֹ; 2 m. תְּלְאֹ, f. תְּלְאֹ; 1 com. אָבְאֹ; Pl. 3 m. יְלְאוֹ, &c.

IMP.

3 p. m. sing. בְּאִינְה , f. בְּאִר ; 2 m. בְּאוֹ. Pl. f. בְּאֵינָה , or בָּאִנָה.

INFIN.

אוֹם, or אֹם, בְּיא, הָנְאָם.

PART.

Masc. sing. בְּאִים, f. בָּאָים. Pl. בָּאִים, f. בָּאִים.

11. In those cases in which Khōlém would accompany the middle radical, may be inserted or not: as, 씨그, 생고, &c., or 사고, &c., or 사고, &c. And, in others, where Kāméts attends the radical N, N is occasionally omitted (Art. 72.): as, 기구 for 기가고 we came in, 1 Sam. xxv. 8.

In Hiphhil we have, Pret. הֶבְאֹת, סִרְבְאֹל, or הֲבִיאְוֹתְ, &c. Pres. הָבִיאְ or יָבִיא or הָבְיא, and so on. Infinitive, הַבְּיא, and with א dropped, הָבִיא. Part. מָבִיא הָבָא or

מְבִּי Pret. Hophhál, הוּבְאָה, הוּבְאָה, הוּבָאת, הוּבָאת, הוּבָאת, הוּבָאת, הוּבָאת. Pres. אַמובָא מוּבָא &c. Part. מובָא &c.

12. Examples, in which both a medial 's or', and final ה, are at the same time quiescent, seldom occur, if we except those forms of the Present which are said to be subject to apocope: as, 'הָּיִי,' for 'הִיִּי,' he shall, or may be; so אַרְיִי,' for הַיִּי,' by apocope (Art. 74. 2.) and having a paragogic אין for הַיִּי,' Eccles. xi. 3. In all other cases the middle radical letter retains its character as a consonant, the third only being subject to quiescence: as,

PRET.

PRES.

3 p. m. sing. יְהְעֶּה', once יְהְיֶּר, f. הְיָהָי, apoc. הְהָיֶה, apoc. הְּהָיֶר, אַ מָהָי, apoc. הְּהָיֶר, &c.

IMPER.

2 p. m. sing. חַוֹּם, id. fem. בְּוֹנִי

PART.

Masc. sing. הוֶה Ens.

13. Verbs of this kind are seldom found conjugated beyond the first or second species; and perhaps never throughout those.

It must be borne in mind, that, in any of these verbs, the paragogic N or may occur in their usual places—that any of the אהרי letters may be dropped or not, when the preceding vowels will preserve the pronunciation of the word—that the second persons singular of the Preterite may have ה added: as, הול thou gavest—and that Dāgésh forte may occasionally be omitted, and a compensation made, by the preceding vowel becoming perfect. But, as the Dictionaries and Concordances will always shew in what cases these take place, it will be unnecessary to note them down here.

On the Inseparable Pronouns when attached to Verbs.

206. When the inseparable pronouns are attached to

nouns, the meaning of the compound will, as we have seen (Art. 145. 1.), be propriety, possession, or the like; because in no other way can nouns and personal pronouns be construed together; but, when the same pronouns are combined with verbs, which are already compounded with pronouns by the process of conjugation, then, if the verbs are transitive, these further affixed pronouns must be considered as complementary of the signification of such verbs, or what we usually term an objective case: but, if such verbs be intransitive, then must they be translated by introducing some preposition or other word explanatory of the connexion thus formed; as, אוֹל הַלְּיִל וּשְׁלְיִלְיִי וּשׁ for me, or with me, Job xxxi. 18; אוֹל וּשְּׁלְיִי וּשׁ he grew up for me, or with me, Job xxxi. 18; אוֹל וּשְׁלְיִי וּשׁׁלְּיִי וּשׁׁלְּיִי וּשׁׁלְּיִי וּשׁׁלְּיִי וּשׁׁלְּיִי וּשׁׁלְּיִי וּשׁׁלְּיִי וּשׁׁלְּיִי וּשׁׁלְיִי וּשׁׁלְיִי וּשׁׁלְיִי וּשׁׁלְיִי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלְיִי וּשְׁלְיִי וּשְׁלְיִי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלְיִי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלְיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִיי וְשְׁלִיי וְשִׁי וְשִּי וּשְׁלִיי וּשְׁלִי וְשִי

we come to the Syntax.

207. The next question will be, How will the addition of these pronouns affect the vowels and consonants of the verbs? Generally, having the vowels of the affixed pronouns given, those which precede and are mutable will be regulated by the general laws prevailing in the nouns; that is to say, any mutable vowel, situated at some distance from the accent, will be rejected, while any perfect vowel, deprived of the accent and followed immediately by a consonant, will be changed for its correspondent imperfect one. But, as a table will make all plain, we shall now give one with the regular triliteral verb: those which are subject to any defect will receive the same affixes, due attention being paid to their particular characters.

2. Table of the Abbreviated Pronouns as attached to Verbs.

SINGULAR.

Pers.	Forms proper for the Preterite Tense.	Do. for the Present.
1 com.	<u>デー</u> :	<u></u> - ژر
2 masc.	न, in pausa न् or न्	i or コン。
2 fem.	₹ <u>,,</u> ₹ <u>,,</u> = ₹ <u>,,</u>	
3 masc.	יי יי דור ····· j— —	וֹ הוּ
3 fem.	n	$\overline{Q}_{\overline{w}}$

PLURAL.

3. Affixes of the Present, when preceded by an Epenthetic Nún, more properly the Nún of confirmation and inference. See Art. 175. 17. &c., and the Syntax.

	Singular.	PLURAL.
1 com.	הַנְנִי הַנְנִי הַנְנִי for הָנָי - בָּנִי	הָנָר הֶנָר
2 masc.	<u>ַרֶּבְרַה בֶּנְהַ – בֶּבָּה בֶּּרָ</u>	14
3 masc.	לי הי for כוֹ הייבור יבוֹ יבוּ	
3 fem.	בְּנָת – בֶּנָת	c .

208. Examples of the Third Person Singular Preterite of the Verb, with its various affixed Pronouns.

י אַפְקְדָנְי אוּ visited me; בְּקְדָנְי — us; בְּקְדָנְי — thee, m., בְּקְדָנְי — thee, f.; בְּקְדָנְי — you, m., בְּקַדְנָ — you, f.; אין, or contr. בְּקְדָנוֹ הוֹ בִּקְדָנוֹ — him, בְּקָדָנוֹ

— her; 미국무후, poeticè i의국무후 — them, m., 기구후 — them, f.

Third Person Singular Feminine.

Second Person Masculine.

Second Person Feminine.

לְּקְרְתְּנִי thou (fem.) visitedst me, com.; פַּקְרְתִּנִים or בְּקַרְתִּנִים — him, הָּקַרְתִּיִם her; בְּקַרְתִּים them, m., וְבִּקְרָתְיוֹם them, f.

First Person Singular Com.

קַּקְרְתִּיךְ I visited thee, m., בְּקַרְתִּיךְ — thee, f.; אָסָרְתִּיךְ — you, m., בְּקַרְתִּיכֶם — you, f.; אָסָרְתִּירָ פְּקַרְתִּיכָם — him, בְּקַרְתִּירָ — her; בְּקַרְתִּירַ — them, m., בְּקַרְתִּין — them, f.

Third Person Plural Com.

לַּקְרוֹנִי בּקְרוֹנִי they visited me, com.; פְּקְרוֹנִי — us, com.; בּקְרוֹנִי — thee, m., קְּקְרוֹנְ thee, f.; בְּקְרוֹכֶּן — you, m., בְּקְרוֹכֶן — you, f.; בּקְרוֹכִן — him, הַבְּקְרוֹכִן — them, m., or, poetice בּקְרוֹכִן them, f.

Second Person Plural Com.

ענני אפַקרְתְּונוּ ; ענוּ ניסיים אַפַּקרְתְּונוּ ; ענוּ ישָּקרְתְּונוּ ; ענוּ ישָּקרְתְּונוּ — us, com.; בְּקַרְתְּוֹנוּ — him, הָשְּקְרְתִּוֹם — her; בּקַרְתְּוֹנוּ — them, m., וּשִּקרְתְּוֹן — them, f.

First Person Plural Com.

אַרְנְוּךְ we (com.) visited thee, m.; אָרְנְוּךְ — thee, f.; אָרְנְוּרְהוּ — you, m., אָרְנִוּכְן — you, f.; אָרְנִוּכְן — him, הָאַרְנְוּרְ — her; בּקַרְנְוּךְ — them, m., בּקַרְנְוּן — them, f.

Examples of the Present Tense, with the affixed Pronouns.

Third Person Singular Masc.

יִפְּקְרֵנִי — thee, m., יַפְּקְרֵנִי — thee, f.; יְפְּקְרֵנִי — you, m., יַפְּקְרָנִי — you, f.; יִפְקְרָכִּי — her; יִפְּקְרָכִּי — them, m., or, poetice יִפְּקְרָכִּוּ — her; יִפְקְרָכִוּ — them, m., or, poetice יִפְּקְרָכִוּ — them, f.: with the Epenthetic or Paragogic ן, יִפְּקְרָנִי — them, f.: with the Epenthetic or Paragogic ן, יִפְקְרָנִי he visits him, יִבְּקְרָנִי — her, דְּפְקְרֵנִי — thee; וֹבְּקְרָנְנִי they visit; יִבְּיְרָנְנִי they find me, Prov. i. 28; יְבְּרְרְנִינִי they serve thee, f.; יְבְּרָרְנִי they take him, and so on

Remarks.

209. It will be seen by the Table, that every possible combination of the verb with the pronoun thus affixed is not made: I visited myself, thou visitedst thyself, and the like, would rather fall under the province of one of the reciprocal species: as, בְּלֵקְדָּוֹלִי in Niphḥāl or Hithpāḥēl, and would not, therefore, be made in Kal by affixing the pronouns; but, which of these species is to be adopted, custom alone can determine. We have, nevertheless, a very few examples in which this combination is made in

- Kal: as, אֵבִי עֵּשִׂיתְנִי I made myself, or, for myself, Ezek. xxix. 3, in which the repetition of the pronoun seems to add some emphasis to the passage.
- 2. With respect to the vowels of the root, it will immediately be perceived, that they are subject to the same laws which prevail in the nouns, when receiving similar additions. In the asyllabic affixes, for example, as the last consonant of the root must be taken in order to enounce the syllable, the preceding vowel must either be perfect, or rejected. But neither of the vowels of the root in Kal is immutable; and, as the first is the farthest removed from the accent, it is rejected as in the nouns; and we have יְבֶּבֶּרָיּ, just as we have 777 (Art. 153. 2.). In like manner, when the affix is syllabic, we have the first vowel rejected, and the second made imperfect, as often as the accent is with the affix: as, חברבים, just as we have para in the nouns. This necessarily holds good in the Present tense, the Imperative, Infinitive and Participles; as also in all the other species Niphhál, Pīhél, &c., as well as in the defective verbs, nouns, and participles, due respect being had to the etymology of the words.
- 3. In a few instances the affix of the first person has (ד) instead of (-): as, אָלְדְיֵלְי he hath heard me, Ps. cxviii. 5; thou hast despised me, 2 Sam. xii. 10. But in the last case this seems to have been brought about by the influence of the accent (Art. 120. 7.).
- 4. For the feminine affix $\overline{\eta}_{rr}$ we sometimes have $\overline{\eta}_{rr}$; as, $\overline{\eta}_{rr}^{\infty}$? he hath called thee (fem.), Is. liv. 6. So $\overline{\eta}_{rr}^{\infty}$? thy being created, Ezek. xxviii. 15, which are generally thought to be Chaldaïsms. In the last case, however, the pause-accent will be sufficient to account for the anomaly (Art. 123. 4.).
- 5. When, however, the accent is on any occasion drawn back, the vowel accompanying the affix will necessarily be imperfect; as, אַרָּאָרָאָל she hath loved thee (fem.); אוֹלָאָרָאָל she hath stolen them, &c. Art. 119. 3. cor.
- 6. It should also be observed, that whenever the root ends in either ז סר בי by the process of conjugation, &c. the affixes are simply added; as, אָלְוְרָוּלִי, without any previous vowel or Shevá; and, that when the affixes are found both in the syllabic, and asyllabic, forms, the syllabic must be taken in these cases: as, אַלְּוְרָוּלִי, not יִּבְּיִרְרִּלְּיִרְ they visited her; אַלְרְרָּרוֹר they visited him. The reason is obvious: one vowel following another will be unut-

terable; and, in order to avoid this, a consonant must be introduced.

- 7. In 무기가 the terminating vowel gives place to the vowel of the affix; as, 가다가 thou visitedst me.
- 8. The form אָרַרְּבָּי is found in a few instances with the pronominal affixes; as, יבּי וֹלְבִי is found fem.) hast adjured us, Josh. ii. 17, 20: but, most frequently, the form יבּיבוֹרְבָּי is taken (see Art. 188. 25.): as, יבּי וֹלְבִי ; and, without ', יבּיבוֹרְבִי thou (fem.) hast visited me. When this is the case, the context alone must determine whether the verb is of the first or second person. In a few cases also, (··) takes the place of (·); as, יבּי וֹלְבִי וֹלְבִי וֹלְבִי וֹלִבְי thou (fem.) hast caused us to descend, Josh. ii. 18.
- 9. The second persons masculine and feminine plural take the forms 가기가, instead of 마기가 before the affixed pronouns; as, 가기가 ye (com.) visited me. In this case, the affixed pronoun seems to be of the Chaldaïc form, 가장 you, instead of the Hebrew one 마까요, or 가장.

On the Vowels of the Present Tense, the Imperative, Infinitive, and Participles.

210. As the terminating vowel of the Present tense is mutable in the regular triliteral verb in Kal, it will be rejected upon receiving any asyllabic affix; as, אָלְיִוּלְיִי, he visits me; but, when the affix is syllabic, it will be changed for its correspondent imperfect vowel; as, אָלְיִי, he visits thee, &c. due regard being had to the vowel as determined by usage: i.e. whether it be (אֹן) (-), (-), or (-), and to the following consonant, whether it be guttural or not.

In a few instances, the vowels proper for the affixes of the preterite are found with those of the present; as, 'יַבְיבָּי he will fill me, Job ix. 18; 'יַבְיבָּי he will acknowledge us, Is. lxiii. 16; יַבְיבָי we will strike or pierce them, Num. xxi. 30, &c. And, vice versa, those proper for the present with the preterite; as, 'יַבְּיבָּי he hath corrected me, Is. viii. 11.

- 2. These observations will apply to the affixing of the pronouns to the present tenses of verbs of every species and sort.
- 3. In the Imperatives, however, which receive no preformatives, the vowels will be regulated as they are in the nouns (which in reality the Imperatives all are); and, as the form proper for construction

will take the affixed pronouns, the ultimate or penultimate vowels alone can be subject to change, as in the nouns; e.g. שָׁלָחָנִי send me, from שִׁבְּעִי send: hear ye me, from הַּעָּע hear. So hear ye me, from דַּעָחרּ

- 4. Those terminating in (יֹ) have been thought to be subject to a transposition; as, שֵׁמְבֵּרְנִי keep thou me, from שִׁמְבִּרָי. I believe, however, that שְׁמִבְּרָנִי is the primitive word taken in these cases, whence we shall regularly have &c.
- 6. It may be observed that with the affixes ¬¬, ¬¬¬, and ¬¬¬, both forms are found; as, אַבְלָכֵּם thy eating, Gen. ii. 17; אַבְלָכֵם your eating, Gen. iii. 5; אַבְלָכֵם thy hearing, 2 Sam. v. 24; שִׁבְלָכֵם your hearing, Josh. vi. 5. But it seldom happens that the same word is found in both forms: which seems to shew, that in one case, one primitive form has been preferred, and in another, another.
- 7. Infinitives of other forms are subject to the general laws which prevail in nouns of the same forms.
- 8. Nothing further need be said on the manner in which the participles receive the pronominal affixes. They are nothing but nouns, and are, therefore, subject to the same variations with them.

On the mode of affixing the Pronouns to the different parts of the Defective Verbs.

211. It will be quite unnecessary to detain the Student long on this subject. He will only have to bear in mind, what has been laid down in our Canons on the mutable and quiescent characters of the letters אָהָלָּיִ —on), as

occasionally rejected—on roots having the second and third radical letter the same, and hence occasionally losing one, which will however return whenever circumstances will allow it, under the form of Dāgésh forte—and on the vowels, as regulated by the occurrence of gutturals, the addition of syllabic, or asyllabic augments, and the like; all of which has been abundantly exemplified in the preceding pages. We shall now, therefore, take our leave of this subject.—The following are tables, intended to show the full conjugations of the different kinds of verbs, in illustration of the rules detailed and exemplified in our present and preceding Lecture.

These Tables have been taken from the "Lehrgebäude der Hebraischen Sprache" of Dr. Gesenius, with some slight alterations.

Paradigm of Verbs in Kal.

PRETER.	Regular Verb.	Verb.	Verb. 2 gutt.	Verb. 3 gutt.	Verb. 5 init.	Verb.
Sing. 3 m.	לומל	עָמַד	זַעַק.	הָמָבַע	בָנִישׁ	סַב
3 f.	לַלְמֵלְ וֹר	ַבְּרָּרָ <u>ר</u>	יבי זְעַרָה	ישָׁבְעָה שָׁבְעָה	בָּבָישָׁ בָּבָישָׁה	-
2 m.	रीवेंद्रं	עָבַרָת <u>ָּ</u>	זַעַקְּקָּהָ ָ	ָשָׁבַקּעָּהָ הַיּבּיּה	בָבָישָׁיה <u>ָ</u>	
2 f.	रेलेंदेख	עָמַדְהָּ	זְעַקְתָּג זָעַקְתָּג	הָשָׁבַעָּהָ הַבּדּיִרָּה	ַבָּנִשָּׁת <u>ִ</u>	מַבְּוֹת
1 com.	לַמַּלְתִּיי קַמַּלְתִּיי	גַּבַוְרָת ִי	זָּמֵלְהָנ ּ נְ	מָלְלְּנִינִי	בָב ָ מְׁתִּי	מַבְּוֹתִי
Plur. 3 com.	ָקִמְלָ וּ	עָקרָוּ קַמְרָוּ	ַּזְעַרְוּי זְעַרְוּי	שָׁבִּעְרּ שָׁבִּעְרָּ	בָּנִישָׁרּ בַּנִלִּשְׁרּ	
2 m.	למּלְשׁם	ַצַבַרְקָּ <u>ק</u>	זְעַקְהָּ וְ ם	מָמַעְהָּהָם	ָּנְגַשְׁת <u>ֶּ</u> ם	סַבּוּטָם
2 f.	לַמַלְ תֵּוֹ	צַמַרָתֶּן	וַעַקּהָּוֹ	שַׁמַעְּהֶּן	ָּרָבָשְׁתָּן רָבַשְׁתָּן	סַבּוֹתֶן
1 com.	ַק ַ מַלְנּוּ	עַבַּיְרנוּ	זַעַרָּוֹגּוּי. זַעַרְּוֹבוּ	שַׁמַעְנה		ַסַבְּוֹנוּ סַבְּוֹנוּ
	: 15.4	• :1-4	7:1-4		,	
Inf. abs.	קָמְוֹל	עָמְוֹד	זַעְוֹק	שָׁמְוֹעֵ	בָנְוֹשׁ	קַבְוֹב
Inf. const.	קִמְיל	עַמִּד	זעה	מָיִמְישָׁ	ڐؙۺڗ	
IMPERATIVE.						
Sing. m.	קִמְיֹל	עָקיד	זעַק	שָׁבַ ְע	בָשׁ	קֹב
f.	רִמְלִי	עְבְירָי	זַעַקי	ש מקיי	בשי	קֹבִ י
Plur. m.	ذاض كرد	עמְדָרּ	זעקה	שׁמְעָרּ	ក្	קׄבּוּ
f.	קְמִילְנָה	ַנְמְדְנָת	זַעַקבָר	ַ שָׁמַעְנָה	בַּשְׁבָה	קבֶּינָה
PRESENT.						
Sing. 3 m.	יִקְּמְל	<u>וְעַ</u> ּבְּיֹד	וִזְעַק	וְשָׁמַע	יִבַּיִשׁ	יָלְב
3 f.	نتذأطرر	הַּנְצַמְּד	תוְעַק	נימלג	ننقش	تٍرةٍٰڎ
2 m.	فذذامر	עַּעָקד	הִזְעַּק	ಬಹೆದೆದ	שׁבַּוֹש	⊅ं≎रं
2 f.	נילמׁלְי	ליהללי	הִזְעֲקִי	נימְׁמְלֵּינְ	نتذهار	הָלְבִּי
1 com.	אָקִמְל	אָעֶקיד	אָזְעַק	אָשָׁמַע	אָבַיִּשׁ	Àģ⊄
Plur. 3 m.	וֹלִמְּלְרּ	וַעַמְדוּ	יַזְעֲקָר.	רשָׁמְעָרּ	רַבְּשָׁר	יָּלְבּוּ •
3 f.	וּהַקְּלְנָה	הַּעֲמְדְנָד	וּתּזְעַקְבָּה	עֹמָׁמְלְנְּה	ننقإفك	הָּס <u>ְבֶּ</u> ינָת
2 m.	נילאלי	פֿוּעַמָּדָרּ	הִזְּעֲקרּ	הִשְׁמִקּי	नष्ट्रंकृत	הָלְבּוּ
2 f.	ז הַלְּמְלְנָה	הַּנְצַקּיִדְנָּד	ותּוְעַקְנָה	הַ מְּׁבֵּעְבָּר	הַנִּישְׁנָת	הָסָבֶּינָה
1 com.	נּלִמְל	ַבְעְּבְּיֹד	כּזְעַק	ڊ ښ ظھ	בָבָּיְש	ַנְּלְב
Pres. apoc.				_	. —	· —
PART. act.	המל	עֹמָד	रंप्ट्रत	מִמְלֵעַ	כֹבִישְׁ	מַבְב
pass.	בֿוֹמִוּל	עָמְוּד	र्षात्	ಶ್ವಶ್ಚಾ	בָּנְרָיִשׁ	קַלוּב

Paradigm of Verbs in KAL.

	Verb.		erb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
	a init.	5	init.	" init.	"med.	% med.	🖔 final.	final.
	אָכַל		לְמֵּב	למֿב	בָּן 🗆	ַ זְבְ	ָ בְּצָץ	בָּלָה
	7		=	н.	קַמָּה		מְצְאָה י	בָּלְתָּח
	regular."		regular.	regular.	<u>ק</u> קה		מָצֶאתָ	בָּלִיתָ
	ar.		ar.	ar.	קַלְילִיתְּ		מָצָאת.	בָּלִית
				•	קַמְתִּי	like	בְּצְאַתִי	בַּלִיתִי
					קַקיר	1 1	לגאני	בַּלָר
					קַמְקָם	ন্ট	ದಸಿಸಭಿದ	בְּלִיתָם
					מַמְקּוּ		מַצָּאתָן	בּליתו
					קַקנוּ		מָצָאנוּ	בַּלִיכה
	אָכְוֹל	ב'	יָשְׂי	נְמְוֹב	קוֹם	בְּוֹן	בְּצְוֹא	בָּלְה
	אָלָל	ת	پېچ	יִמְב	קום	<u>יה</u> ין	ದ್ದೇಜ	ּגְלְוֹת
	אֶּלְל	تثات	וָרָשׁ		קום	בין	מַנְגָא	ּ בְּלֵּח
	אָכְלִי	מָבְי	יִרְשׁוִי	none	' קוּבִיי	בּׁינִי	מִצְאָי	בְּלִי
	אַכְּלְרּ.	אָבְרּ	יִרְשׁוּ	ne.	קורבור	בינו	خلالا	בְּלְה
	אַלְלָנָה	מֵּכְנָה	יָרַשְׁנְה		קֹמְנָה	-	מָצֶאנָה	בְּלֶינְה
	יאכל	ב מוב	וירַש	ווקֹב יוּמַב	בָקוּם בְקוּם	יָבְין	וֹמְצְא	וִנְלֶּה
	תאכל	⊐ໝູ່ພ	הוקש	וּנימַב	ֹתַקְוּם	הַּבְין	הַמְצְא	עללוע
	תאכל	בומֶב	הִירָשׁ	הימֻב	ָ תַּקוּם	הַבִּין	ಚನೆಸೆಸ	ַּתִּלְלֶּהַ
		שׁמָשָׁבִּי	הירשי	עימִבִי	הַקוּמִי	הָבִיְינִי	עלגאלי	וּתּלְלִי
,	אכֶל	ゴぼな	אירש	אִימַֽב	שָׁקָרם י	אָבְין	אָמָיצָא	אָנְלֶּהְ
	יאכְלְה	יִשְׁבְרּ	יירָשׁר	יייםְקר	נקרמר	לַבְיבוּ	ימצאו	וִבְּלְוּ
_	ה האכּוְלְנְוּ	ן שִּמְּלְבְנִוּ	ז הִנירַשְׁבָּו	ז תִּימַּבְנָד	הָקוּבֶּיבָד	7	ז הִמִּמְצֵאנְד	נינֹלֶינֹו
	עאַלְלָּוּ	ינימָבְרּ	יניר מור	הִימְבְרּ	הַקרמר	הָבִינוּ	נילוגאני	הּגְלְוּ
,	ה האכולנו	י שּׁימֶׁלְבָּנִי	ז הִּירִשְׁנְוּ	ז הִימַּבְנָד	הָקוּמֶיְנְדָּ	7	ז הִּמְצֶאנְד	הַּגְּלֶיבָּו
	נאכל	במב	בירָש	נימֹב	בַּקְרִם	בָּבְין	נמֹגֹא	נגָלֶה
			~		, לָלָם	יָבוּן בּ		יָבֶּל
	אֹכֵל	>	רשָׁו	ಸಭ್∹	קם	₹ڒ	מצא	ַּגַּלֶּה
	אָכְוּל	3:	רָשׁוּ	ಶಾಶ್ತು	קום		בְיצְרא	בְּלְהי

Paradigm of Verbs in NIPHHAL.

	Regular	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
PRETER.	Verb.	1 gutt.	2 gutt.	3 gutt.	5 init.	41
Sing. 3 m.	נלשל	בעבוד	כזמה	נְמָּמַע	ڊ <u>ڌ</u> 'ِھ	בֿטָב
3 f.	בְּקְמְּלֶ,ה	נֶעֶּמְרָּה	כזעקה	נשמעה	دِدِيْطِت	נָקַבָּה
2 m.	נקמַלּתָּ	נגמרת	כּוַּצַקָּהָ	נשמעה	בֿפֿאָטֿ	נְסַבְּוֹת
2 f.	נקמַלֹת	נעמדת	כזעקת	נְמֶּבְעַתְּ	ָלְנָשְׁתְּ	נְסַבְּוֹת
1 com.	נ קמלתי	נעמדתי	כִזְעַקְתִּי	נְשָׁבַּעְתִּי	נבשתי	נְסַבְּוֹתִי
Plur. 3 com.	בַּקְמַלְרּ	נעמדו	בַזְעַקר	בְשִׁבְע ָר	כבשר	בָקבּוּ
2 m.		נֶנֶמַדְמֶם		נִשְׁמֵעְהָּ	ננֶּשְׁתָּ	נְסַבּוֹתֶם
2 f.	נק טלהו ן	געמדתו	כזעקקו	נשמעקן	כַבַּשָׁתֶּן	נְסַבּוֹתֶן
1 com.		כעמדנה	כּוָעַקְר ַ רּ	בשמעבר ביש	כבשבר	נסבונו
	••••				•	
Infinitive.	ग्रह्मध्र	הגמר	הַּנְקה	הִשְּׁמַע	הַבְּבִישְׁ	הַפַב
IMPERATIVE.						
Sing. m.	נופֿמֿק	בומָכוֹר	הַּגָּקה	एं क्वंद्र	بنؤذم	בּוּמַב
f.	דוקמלי	ַהַעֶּבְי	הַן <u>ּיְצ</u> ַקי	הִשָּׁמְלִּעִי	הַבְּנִימִי	נופלבי
Plur. m.	ينظفرد	נוּמְמְרָנּ	הַ דְּיִצְ קָרִּ	רו מוֹלוּלוּ	דָקְנְישָׁרּ	הַמַבּוּ
f.	הַהָּמֶלְנָה	העָמִּדְנָה	הַּנְּעַהְנָה	עַ מָּׁמַמְנְרָה	הַנְגִישְׁנָה	הַפַּבֶּינָת
PRESENT.			,			,
Sing. 3 m.	<u>ز</u> فرظر	נעָמִד	ווַּעָק	نشَطَم	יַבְּגִישׁ יִ	יַפַב יַ
3 f.	स्ट्रिं द	ניגללו	הַנָּעָק	धं क्रैवंत	فاقتأه	⊐ਰੂਯ
2 m.	मंदी लें द्	ਦਾਕੋਈ	सम्द्रात	प्रंकृव्य	עַבְנִישׁ	⊐ਨੂੰਸ਼
2 f.	נילטאלי	נוּגְנְמְדִי	תַּנְעָקי	धं क्रियंद्र्य	הַבָּנְשִׁי	נוֹפֿבּ,
1 com.	भृद्धार्	אַעְמָד	אָרָ וֹגָקה	אָשְּׂ מַע	אָבָּגִשׁ	אַפַב
Plur. 3 m.	יַקְמָלְרּ	יִנְמְדָר יִנְ	יהִצְּקה	ישָׁמִער י	יבַּרִשׁר	יפַבוּ
3 f.		שַּעָּמִרְנָה	הַּנָּעָקְבָּה	הִשָּׁמַעְנָה	הַנְּנֶשְׁבָה	תִּפַבֶּינָה
2 m.	הַלְּקְרָּ	הַנְּקְרָר	הנוצקה		הַנְּבְנְשׁרּ	הִפַּבר
2 f.		הַּעְּבֵּוְדְנָה	תַּנְּעָקְבָּה	ע מָּמַמְעָנָת	הַנְּנֶשְׁנָה	הַפַּבִינָה
1 com			יַּבְּעֵקָּק	रंक्वेदीय र	כבַּגִשׁ	दंब्द
Pres. apoc.						· —
Danmarar	Lann	'dana ar s				
Participle.	ָ גֹנִלְמָּל	בֶּעֶמֶד	ָּנִינְלָּק <u>ּ</u>	נִשְּׁמֶע	בָּבָּ'שׁ	<u> ئ</u> ۈرد

Paradigm of Verbs in NIPHHAL.

		•		•	
Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
nit.	s init.	¶ med.	% med.	a final.	ñ final.
בֿלאָכ ַל	כוישַב	בָקוֹם	בָּבְוֹן	נִלְּצָא	נּגָלָה
=	כושבוה	בָקוֹבָיח	-	נמִּצְאָה	נּנְלְרָאָה
like f	כּוֹשֵׁלְהָ	נקומות	like	נֹמֹגוֹאנֹי	ָנְגָלֵ י תָ
first	נושקה	בְקוּמְוֹרוּ	ביקונים גיקונים	נמגאת	ָנְנְלֵ יִר וּ
lett. gutt.	נומכותי	בְקרּמְוֹתִי	417	נמצאתי	ַבְּבְּלֵירָנ י
gut	כושבו	בָקוּמוּ		נמגאני	בְּבְל ָה
F	נוֶמֶבְתֶּ	נקומותם		נמצאתם	בּנְלֵית <u>ֶ</u> ם
	נושביקן	בְקרמוֹתֶןן		נמֹצאנוֹנ	בְּבֵליתֶן
דיאָכֵל	כושקבנו	בָקרִבְינר		נמצאנר	בָּבְבֵּיִי ב וּ
הַאָּבֵל	בענְמֶׁב	הַקְּוֹם	הַבְּוֹן	המָגֶא	ָהַבְּל <u>ְ</u> ת
	ڬڔٛؠٞڶڗ	הַקּוֹם	הִבְּוֹן	הַפְּצֵא	הַגָּלִה
	ד ְנָיִמְׁבְי	הקומי		ניפֿגאי	הַבָּלִי
	דָנָישָׁבְרּ	הקומר	like	ينظمُور	لأقراد
	ָהָנָימֶבְנָ ה	הַלְּמָנָה	i D	נימָּצֶאנָה	הָבָּלֶינָ ח
יַאָּכֵל	تذبتات	יקוֹם:	יִבְּוֹן	रद्रेद्रभ	יַּבְּלֶּת
ν.τ**	בֿינימֽב	הקום		ਦਾਕੋੜੇ ਕ	תַּנֶלֶת
	בוֹהָאָב ביייבי	הקום הקום	like	ניפֿמא	שׁׁלְּלֵת הַבְּּאֵ
as	הַּנְיִּמְבְי ייד אַר	הקובי הקובי	the	ਪਵੀਨੇਖ਼ ਪਾਵੇਜ਼	ַהַּבְּלְי
ינימר	څۈشا⊏ ئېښى	אָקוֹם אָקוֹם	last	אָפָּגֵא האוזייז	אָנְּלֶּה
:3		ָנְקְוֹם. יַקְוֹמֵר	•		ز ة کرد ۱۳۶۸ -
	יָנְיִשְׁרָרּ			נפוצאור	
	הַנְּמֶּוְבְנָה	הַּקְּמְנָה		נימֹּג'אַנְּע	תּבָּלֶינָת
	הְנְיִשְׁבְר	הַקוֹמְה		لأفأغاد	ה. בלה הובָּלְה
	עינימללנע	הַּלְּמְנָה		שׁמְּאֵנְה	ּעֹבָּלְינָת
	ವ ದ್ಭೆ‡	נקום		ذفةالا	נַבָּלֶח
	_	. - ·	_		ָּגַ פֿ ְלָ
נָאָכָל	כוֹשָׂב	בְּקְוֹם s 2	ּ בְּבְּוֹן,	נמָצֶא	ָבִּלְ רֶ ה
		SZ			

Paradigm of Verbs in PIHEL.

	Regular	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
PRETER.	Verb.	1 gutt.	2 gutt.	3 gutt.	5 init.	ٿ ٦٠
Sing. 3 m.	רופול	עָמֶד	मुनुक	ग्रंब्र	רָבְשׁ	סובב
2 f.	קמְלָה		בּרָכָה	מִמְעָה		סובְקָה
2 m.	तब्दिक्	reg	פַרַכְּתָּ	ਪੈਨੇਡਿਲ	reg	סובקה
2 f.	सर्वेद्रेष	regular.	בּלַלִּתְּ	המפועה	regular.	סובקת
1 com.	לפֿלָנּני,	7	בַּרְכָּתִּי	שָׁמַעְתִּיי	•	סובקהי
Plur. 3 com.	ذافراد		בִּרְקוּ	شظم		סוּבְבְה
2 m.	קפַּלְתֶּם		בּרַכְּמֶּם	מָשְׁמַעְהָם		סובַבְהָקם
2 f.	מִפַּלְתֵּוּ		בּרַכְּמֶּן	מִׁמַעִּהְרָּוֹ		סובַבְהָּגֶן
1 com.	קפַן לְנוּ		בּבַרְבּנּ	שָׁמַלְנִברּ		סובקבנו
Infinitive.	קמְל	ਕੁੰਬਟ	出い意	क्रंधिक	ದ್ದಿತ್ತ	סובב
IMPERATIVE.						
Sing. m.	यंबंद	עַמָּד	当り着	<u>ज</u> ़िका	בַּגָּלְשׁ	סובב
· f.	קַיִּילְי	=	בּוֹלִי	क्रांचंत्रं	&c.	סוֹּבְבִי
Plur. m.	ट्राक्टर्	reg.	בְּרַלְוּ	क्रिवंदिष		סובְבְוּ
f.	קַּמְּלְנָּה		בּברַכְנָה	הַמַּמְנְנְה	ű	סובקנה
PRESENT.						
Sing. 3 m.	ं दिखेद	וֹעַמִּד	וָבָּלְדּ	נְמַּמַע	יָבַבָּן <i>ָּ</i> שׁ	יסובב
S f.	धंरविद्		ניבלני	שׁהָמֵּמַע	&c.	הָסוֹבֶב
2 m.	<u> फंट</u> ीसे द्		שַׁבְבָּרָהָ	धं क्रवं द		הָסוֹבֶב
2 f.	שַׁכַּמְיֹי	regular.	טַבָּרָכִי	ڬڔۺڟڒ؞		הָסוֹכְבְי
1 com.	<u>אַק</u> מַל	- ular	がかれた	אַשָּׁמַּמַע		אַסוֹבֶב
Plur. 3 m.	וָלַמְּלְרָּ	•	וָבָרָרָהּ	יִשַׁמְער		יַסוֹבְבְהּ
3 f.	הַ <u>ל</u> ּמַלְנָה		הַּבְרַכְנָה	ליהׁפֿגלנע		הָסוֹבַכְנָה
2 m.	הָקַמְּלְוּ		הַבְּרָכִוּ	ניממלנ		הַסוֹבְכְוּ
2 f.	הָתַ <i>מֵ</i> לְנָה		הָבָרַכְנָה	הִשַּׁמִּעְנָה	,	הָסוֹבַלְנָה
1 com.	दंयेखेत्		נְבְּתָה	בָּמַפַע		נְסוֹבֵר
Pres. apoc.	~~					<u>, —</u>
Participle.	ट्ट्यूर	קעמד	ַ מְבָרָה	בוֹהַשְׁתַּ	מְנַגִּישׁ	מְסוֹבֶב

Paradigm of Verbs in PIHEL.

Verb.	Ve		Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
"a init.	" or "	init.	Ϋ́ med.	" med.	🖔 final.	inal.
אִבֶּלָי	וִשָּׁכ	וֹמָב	קוֹמֶם	בוֹגֵן י	な説が	בְּלָּיָת
			קוֹמְלָוֶת		מְצְּאָה	ּלַּלְּתָּוּה
regular.	regular.	regular	קוֹמַמְהָּ	like	ਹੇਸ਼ਸ਼ਸ	וּלְּלִיתָ
lar.	lar.	lar.	المنظمة	the	מִצֶּאת	בָּלֵית
			רוִּבִּילִיתִּי	the last	מִצְאַתִי	גּּלֵיְתִי
			קוֹמְמְר		מֹצְאָרּ	בּלָה
			קוֹמַמְהָּם		ದಬಿಸಪರ	נּלָיתֶם
			קוֹמַמְהָּוֹן		מֹצֵאתֶןן	וּבִּיתָו י
			קוֹמַמְנִרּ		ರಜ್ಞಿಗಳ	בְּלֵיְנר
אַבּלָ	ر نقاح	<u></u>	קומם	בּוֹבֵן	ಶಜಿಶ	בַּלְּוֹת
L	_ 112.					
אַפּ'ל	ر بقر تر تر	ַלַמָּב	קוֹמֶם	בוגן	מַצֵּא	ַּבַּלֵּה
9	&c.	&c.	קוממי		הגא	בַּלִּי
&c.	œc.	œc.	קומְמְרּ	&c.	تأخذاد	בַּלְה
			קוֹמַמְנְה		ದ್ದೆಚಿಳ್ಳ	ַּבַּלֶּוְנָה
יִאַכֵּל	יישוב	וֹנמֹב	יקומם	וְבוֹגֵן	וֹמַאֵּא	וָגַלֶּת
			הָקוֹמֶם		הַמַּצֵא	הָנַלֶּ <u>י</u> ה
&c.	&c.	&c.	תקומם	&c.	הַמַּאֵא	הַנַלָּת
			הָקוֹמְמִי		הַמַצִּאָי	הָנַלְי
			אַקוֹמֶם		ಚಿದ್ದಜ್ಞ	אַנַלָּת
		*	יָקוֹמְמְהּ		וָמַצְּאָרּ	יבלה
		. ,	הָקוֹמֵמְנְה		הַמַּאֶאנָה	שַׁנַלֶּיְנְנָת
			הקקימה		הַמַצְאָרּ	הִנבַלְּה
			הָקוֹמַמְנָת		הַמַּצֶּאנָה	הָנַלֶּינָת
		,	נְקוֹמֶם		נָמַצֵּא	נְנַלֶּח
_	_	_			_	וְבַל
בּאַבּלְ	<i>ڐڔۺ</i> ٚػ	בֶּנִמְּב	מקומם	מְבוֹגְן	ದ್ದೆಪ್ಟ	מַנַלָּה

Paradigm of Verbs in PUHAL.

	Regular	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb	
PRETER.	Verb.	1 gutt.	2 gutt.	3 gutt.	5 init.	41.
Sing. 3 m.	धेर्वद	עפור	न्य	, nbh	כבש	סוֹבָב
3 f.	ָלִמְּל ֶ ח		בּרָכָה	कंबंदी ए		סוֹבְבָה
2 m.	त्युर्क	reg	בּלַכְתָּ	ជាតិធីត្	gar	סוִלַבִּהָּ
2 f.	<u>र</u> ेखेंद्रेष	regular	בּרֵכְתָּ	क्रेचिंदिष	regular.	סובקה
1 com.	קפולתי	•	בֹרֶכְהֵי	שמעתי	•	סובקתי
Plur. 3 com.	کافراد		בֹרכוּ	שמער		סובקה
2 m.	קפלמם		ברלמם	מפעקם		סוֹבַבְהָמֶם
2 f.	קפלחו		ברלפון	שַׁמַּעְהָן ר		סובבקקן
1 com.	קַפַּן כּרּ		בֹרַכְנוּ	,वेबितंदर		סובקנו
INFINITIVE.	धब्द	दंख्य	وتأك	क्रेबंद	בֿצֿמ	סובב
IMPERATIVE. Sing. m. f. Plur. m. f.	None.					
PRESENT.						
Sing. 3 m.	रेथेलेद्	יִלְפַּוֹד	יברה	نشقاه	יכבש	יסובב
3 f.	में द्रेबंद	1-4:	תברה		- 1-4;	תסובב
2 m.	הָּלְפֵּיּל	&c.	הַב <u>ּר</u> ָה	त्युष्ट स्थाय	&c.	הִסוֹבֶב
2 f.	הָקִמְּלִי		הָבֹרְבֶי	השמעי		הָסוֹבִבֶּי הַסוֹבִבֶּי
1 com.	אַקפַ <i>י</i> ל		אַבֿרָד	אַשָּׁמַמַע		אַסוֹבַב
Plur. 3 m.	יָרֶ וֹ מִילְוּ		יברבר	ישמער		יִסוֹבְקוּ
3 f.	הָּלֻוּמֵלְנָ ָ ת		הברַבְנָה			הִסוֹבַכְנָה
2 m.	הַלִּמְל ְ וּ		תברקו	השפער		תסוכבו
2 f.	תקפלנה		תברכנה			תִסוֹבַבְנָה
1 com.			נְב <u>ֹר</u> ֶךְ	בְ ש ָׁמַע		נְסוֹבָב
Pres. apoc.			_			
PARTICIPLE.	מָקּמָל	לוֹעֻפָּוֹד	מקרה	בְשָׁפְע	ۻؙػڎؙؚؖۺ	מִסוֹבֶב

Paradigm of Verbs in Puhal.

Verb.	Ve ۲ or ۲	erb. init.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
Fu regular.	்த் ப regular.	n regular.	לוִמַלְנּה לוִמַלְנָה לוֹמַלְּהָ לוֹמַלְהִ לוֹמַלְהָ לוֹמַלְהָ לוֹמַלְהָ לוֹמַלְהָ לוֹמַלְהָ	וֹגָר like the last.	なななられるないというないというないとうないとうなっているないないというないないないないないないないないないないないないないないないないな	דַּלְינה דַּלִילוּ דַּלְי דַלְי דַלְיני דַלְיני דַלְניי
אָבַל	ರಥೆ∤	⊐ंबंट	קוֹמַם	בּוֹכַן	d k k	ּגְּלְת

				,		
יִאֻכַּל	וְיָשַׁוֹב	زرقاٰ⊏	יָקוֹמַם	יִבוֹבֵן	וֹמָאָא	יגלה
	- '*		הָקוֹמַם		ਪੰਕੰੜ੍ਹੇਸ	הָג <u>ל</u> ֶּה
&c.	&c.	&c.	הַקוֹמֵם	like	ਪੰਕੇੜੈਸ	יילל <u>י</u> ע
			הַקוֹמְמָי		המגאו	הַּגְלִי
			אַקוֹמֵם	the last	אַקאָא	אַגָּלֶּח
			יָקוֹמְמְנִי	•	ימצאו	יבלה
			הְקוֹמֵמְנָה		הַּמָאֶאנָת	הָגָלֶינָה
			הקומקו		הַמְצִיאָרּ	הַגְלָּה
			הָקוֹמֵקנָה		הַּמָצֶאנָה	הַּגָלֶינָה
			בְּקוֹמֵ ם		נָקינְא	בָּגָלֶּה
	_	_				-
מאַכּל	מִישָׁב		מקומם		ਕ੍ਰਫ਼ਸ਼	מָגָלָה

Paradigm of Verbs in HIPHHIL.

	Regular	Verb	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
PRETER.	Verb.	1 gutt.	2 gutt	. 3 gutt.	5 init.	~ 7-
Sing. 3 m.	הקִמְיל	הָגֶּמְיד	הוִקיק	המקיע	הָגִישׁ	. בפֶב
. 3 f.		הָגֶּמֶידָה		השמיעה	הַגִּישָׁת	הַסֵבָּה
2 m.		הָגָּמַרָּתָּ	reg	המקעה	ئۆشڭ	
2 f.		הָאֶפַרָתּ	regular	הַשְּׁמַעְהָּ	ಬಣ್ಣೆಗಳ	הַסִבְּוֹת
1 com.	הַקפַלִּתִּי	הֶעֶּפַרָתִי	7	השמעתי	ָהָבָּ <i>י</i> שִׁיתִּי	ַהַסִבְּוֹתִי הַסִבְּוֹתִי
Plur. 3 com.		הַנֶּמְידוּ		השמיעו	הגישו	הַמְבּוּ
2 m.	טַלְמַלְמָם	הגפרמם		הַמְּׁמַעְּתֵּ	הַנְּשְׁמֶּם	הַסְבּוֹתֶם
2 f.	ההפלתו	קגפרקו		השמעתו	הַנְּשָׁתָּוֹ	הַסִבּוֹתֶן
1 com.	הַהְמַלְנ ּר ּ	האמרנו		השמענו	רוב שבר	הַסִבְּוֹנוּ
	. (•••	• • •	• ••
Infinitive.	הַקְּמְיל	דְנֻמְיד	הַוֹּעְיה	הַשָּׁמְיעַ	חַגִּישׁ	דַּמָב
IMPERATIVE.						
Sing. m.	הַקְּמֵל	הַנְצָמָד	הַזָּעָק	הַשְּׁמַע	הַגָּשׁ	בָּמֶב
f.	בוֹלּאֹילִי	ַדְעֲמֶידִי		הַשְּׁמִיעִי	הַגְּישׁי	בׄמֹבֹּי
Plur. m.	הַהָּמְילוּ	חַנְבִּירוּ	&c.	חַשְׁמִיעָרּ	<u>דוגישר</u>	בָּמִבּוּ
f.	הַקְמֵּלְנָה	קצַמְרָנָת		הַשָּׁמַעְנָה	הַגָּשְׁנָת	הַסָבֶּינָה
PRESENT.						
Sing. 3 m.	וֹלִמֹיק	וַצְּמָיד	נוְעִיה	וַשְׁמְיעַ	בגוש -	יָמָב ·
3 f.	הַקְמְיל	הַּנְצַקיד		עַּמְּמְיעַ	הַגְּישׁ	שַׁמַב
2 m.	עַלִּמְיל	עּנְנִמִיד	&c.	עּמִׁמְקיעַ	תַּגִּישׁ	→ ⊃¤□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□
2 f.	הַלְמִילִי הַלְמִילִי	הַּנְצָמִידִי	•	הַשִּׁמִיעִי	תַּבִּישִׁי	שַׁכֹבִּי
1 com.	אַקְמִיל	אַנְקיד		אַשְׁמִיע	אַבּיִשׁ	אָמָב
Plur. 3 m.	יַקְמָיל <i>וּ</i>	ַיְנְצַמְידה		וַשְׁמְיער	רַבְּישׁר	נמבר
3 f.	תַּקְמֵּלְנָה		,	עַּמָּׁמַעְנָה	תַּגָּשְׁנָה	הָסְבֶּינָה
2 m.	הַקְמִילוּ	הַּנְצַמִידוּ		הַשָּׁמִיער	חַ בְּישׁר	הַמַבּוּ
2 f.	תַּקְמֵּלְנָה			הַשְׁמַעְנָה	הַנְשָׁנָה	הַּסְבֶּינָה
1 com.	בַקְמִיל	נַעַמִיד		בשמיע	כביש	לָמֶב
Pres. apoc.	וַלְמִּלְ	וֹעַמֵּד	נומה	נְשִׁמָע	וַבְשׁ	
75						
PARTICIPLE	قذاظء د	לוגליג	בוְעִיק	בְּמָׁמָלֵיתַ	מַגּוְישׁ	בַ מַמֶב

Paradigm of Verbs in HIPHHIL.

Verb.	Verb.		Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
g init.	s or s	init.	"med.	5 med.	ninal.	final.
הַאֶּכִיל	הוֹשִׁיב	בימיב	הַקִּים	הַקין	הִמְנִיא	הִגְלָּת
	הוֹשִׁיבָה	הימִיבָה	הַקִּימָה		הִמְצִיאָה	הִגְלָתָה
like	ភ់>់ភ្លាំរុ⊔	בימֿלע	הַקִּימְוֹתָ	like	הַמְצֵאהָ	בּנְלֵיתָ
글	הושַׁבְתָּ	הימַּלְתְּ	הַקימְוֹת	the	הַמְצֵאָת	דַּגְלֵית
זעמיד	הושבהי	הימַבתי	הַקימִיתִי	last	הַמְצֵאְתִיי	ָהַנְלֵי י וֹתִי
-	חושיבו	הימיבו	הַקִּימוּ	•	הַמְצִיאוּ	הַנְלָּרּ
	עוִמַּלִמֶּל	בימֿלמֿם	הַקימוֹתֶם		עממאטם	בינליטם
	הושַׁבְתֶּן	בימֿלמֿו	הַקימוֹתֶן		הַמְצֵאהָן	הַנְלֵיתָוּן
	דו מַבְנוּ	בימַּלְנוּ	הַקימְוֹנוּ		בומֹצֵיאנוּ	ָּתְנְלֵיְנ <i>וּ</i>
בוּאַכּיק	חושיב	הימיב	הָקִים	- הָבִין	בֿימָגֵיא	הנְלְרוּ
1						
בַאָבֶל	הושב	בימֹכ		בֿכּו	עַלְּגֵא	הַנְלֵּח
	הוֹשִׁיבִי	בימיבי	הָקִימִי		עַמְצִיאִי	תַּנְלָי
&c.	חוֹשֶׁיבוּ	ביאיבנ	הַקְימוּ		עַמְצִיאנּ	תַּגְלְּרּ
	הוֹמֶּלְנְה	הימֻלְנָה	ָהָקְמְנָה		הַמְּצֶּאְנָה	<u>הַנְּלֶינְה</u>
1						. 1
וַאֲכִיל		יישיב יישיב	יָקוּים	יָבִין	יַ בְּבְי וּא	ַנַבְּלֶּ <u>ה</u>
8	תושיב	שימיב	הָקִים	_	עלמא	ַתּנְּלֶּיְת
&c.	תושיב	ניימיב	הָקִים	10	עַמְמְאיא	ַתַּנְלֶּח
	תומיבי	שׁימ <i>ובי</i>	הָקִימִי	the	עַמְּאָיאָי	תַּנְלָי
	אושיב	אָימִיב	אָקים אָקים	last.	אַמָּגִיא	אַנְלֶּה
	יושיבו	נימיבר המיבר	יהןיבור יהןיבור		וֹמִגְיאה בַמְּצִייאה	ַיַּבְלְּהָ
	תוֹמֶלְנְה	ניימֹלֹנָת	הָקְמְנָה		עלמאלע	ַתַּנְלֶ <u>וֹילָת</u>
	תושיבו	ניומיבו	הַקומה		ַ יַּלּמֹמְאנּ	עַגְלָוּ
	תוֹשֵׁכְנָה	ניימֹלֹנְנַע	הַגְּלְמִנָה		עַמְעֶּאֶנְה	עַּנְלֶּינְנָת
	כוֹשִׁיב	בימִיב ָּ	בָקִים		בַּמְצִיא	ַבַּנְּלֶּח
ַר <u>וּאַ</u> כוּל	יוֹשָׁב	גימב	<u>וְהֶם</u>		ַנַמָּצֵאָא <u>י</u>	רָנֶבֶּל הָנֶבֶל
L	_ milin	- that he		***	16000000	mhass
בֿוֹאַבִיר	מושיב	מימיב	מַקים	הליו	מַמְּצְיא	מַּנְלֶּה

Paradigm of Verbs in HOPHHAL.

	2 00,00	arg m oj	, cros en	. Hornin	an.	
	Regular	Verb.	Verb	Verb.	Verb	
PRETER.	Verb.	1 gutt.	2 gutt.	3 gutt.	5 init.	%7.
Sing. 3 m.	בַּהָפַל	קצָמַר	קַנַעַה	הַשְּׁמַע	क्षेत्रत्	הוּמַב
3 f.	הָקְמִּלָּה	הָעָּמְרָח	קוַעַקָּח		הָנָּשָׁה	הוּסַבָּה
2 m.	न् र्थ्रहेष		ָדָזָעַקָּתָ <i>ּ</i>	_	הַנְשָׁהַ	
2 f.	הַקמַלה	העמרת	הוַעַקָּהָ	הָשִׁבְעַתָּתְ	הַנְשָׁת	
1 com	הָקִמַלִּתִּי יּ	ָהָעָבַרָת ּי		הַשְּׁמֵלְתִּיי		הוסבותי
Plur. 3 com	הָקְמְלֹהּ יּ	ָדָעָמָ ד ָר	הַוֹעֵקה	הַשִּׁמִער	דובשו	דויסבי
2 m.	_	קַנְמַרָּמָם			הַנְשָׁמָם	תוסבותם
2 f.		הַנְּפִרָּהֶוּ		הָשָׁמֵעהָן		חוסבותן
1 com	הַקְמֵּלְנוּ יּוּ	הַנְּכַיִרנוּ		דָשָׁמַעְנוּ	קבשבר	
Infinitive.	הַקְּפַּוֹל	הַנְּמַד	הָוַעַק	הָשִׁמַע	ਸੁਵ੍ਹੇਖ	הופוב
IMPERATIVE						
Sing. m.						
f.	none.					
Plur. m.	1	1				
f.)				•	
PRESENT.						
Sing. 3 m.	र्धेत्रद	יִעמַד	רָזְעַה	לָשְׁבַןע	ريراط	יוּסַגב
3 f.	הַּלְמַל		הַזִּעַק	שׁמָּמִׁמַע	ਅੰਤੋਂਪੰ	תוּמַב
2 m.	הַּלְמַל	קּנְנַמַד	הַזְּעַק	טָמָׁמַע	क्षेत्रज्ञ	הוּקב
2 f.	הַלְּמְלֹי		הָזִּצַקי	טָמִּלִמּי	كافظا	תוּקַבִּי
1 com	(E1:4)	אָנְמַד	אָזִעַק	אָשִׁמַע	भृद्ध्यं	אוּקַב
Plur. 3 m.	נָק ִ מְלָּה		יוִצַקוּ	נְשִׁׁבְעְרָּ	ردِنهد	יוּקַבּוּ
3 f.	הָקָּמָלְנָה	הַּנְעַמִּדְנָה	הָּוְעַקְבָּה	טָשָׁמַעְנָרה	فَاقِظُونِ	תוּסַבֶּינָה
2 m.	נילהלע	הַנְּלְיִקְרָרּ	הַזְצַקר	טָשָׁמְעָרּ	न्द्रभूत	תוקבו
2 f.		הַּנְצַמַרְנָה	הַוְעַקְבָּה	הָשָׁמַעְנָה	עֿפֿאָבֿע	ענספיבה
1 com	נְלָמֵל יי	לְנְלָכַוֹד	בָזָעַק	בָשָׁבֵּע	کَوْش	נוּסָב
Pres. apoc.		_				,
Dinmount	L		W 200 a. 5 a.	20.20.00.20	2000.00	77.000
PARTICIPLE.	בּוֹמָל	संस्तृत	दाधूत	בֿמָמָבוֹת	کرڈیم	מוּמָב

Paradigm of Verbs in HOPHHAL.

Verb.	Verb. % or % init.		Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
ווke דעמר	עוּמָלכני עוּמָלכּני עוּמָלכּני עוּמָלכּני עוּמָלכּני עוּמָלכּני עוּמָלכּני עוּמָלכני	∏ jo_ ∩ like the last.	עיללני עיללני עיללי עיללי עיללי עיללי עיללי עיללי עיללי עיללע	ike the last.	ווימלאמי המלאמי המלאמי המלאמי המלאמי המלאמי המלאמ המלאמ המלאמ המלאמ המלאמ	בּלְלֵיכֵּנִי בּלְלִיכֵּוּ בּלְלִינִי בּלְלִינִי בּלְלִינִי בּלְלִינִי בּלְלִינִי בּלְלִינִי בּלְלִינִי
הָאָכַל	בוּנְיַמָּב	דומַב	דו <u>יק</u> ם	הוּבָן	הָמָצֶא	הָגְּלְת

יַאָּכַל	רוּשַׁב	יוּמַב	יוּקַם	ירבֻן	וֹמִצְּא	נָגָלֶת
	⊐ಜ್ಞೆ≀ಸ		תוקם		ਮੁਖ਼ਤਮ	תָּנְלֶ ו ה
&c.	בשלח	&c.	תוקם	&c.	הַמְצֵא	פַּגָלֶת
	חושקי		תוקקי		הַמְצְּאָי	הַגְלִי
-	אושב		אוקם		אַמְצָא	אַנֶלֶח
	יוישבו		יורקקור		ימצאוי	ינלו
Str.	תושבנה		תוקמנה		הַמִּצֶאנָה	הַנְלֶינָה
	הרשָבר		הגרקמה		עלמגאני	הַנְלָה
	הוּמַּקבְנָה		תוקקבר		הַמָּצֶאנָה	הַּנְלֶינְה
	_ ಸ್ಥಾಗಿಸ ್		כ <u>ויק</u> ם		ָּלָמְצֶא	בָּנְלֶּה
_				_		
מַאָּכֶל	מוּשָׁב	מוּמָב	מוקם	מוּבָּן	ದೆದೆಕೆಟ	מְנְלֶּה
	• •				•. • •	

Paradigm of Verbs in HITHPAHEL.

		•		•		
	Regular	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
PRETER.	Verb.	1 gutt.	2 gutt.	3 gutt.	5 init.	· "i
Sing. 3 m.	הַתְּקַמֶּל	הָתִעַּמֵּד	טליפֿלנ	התגלח	הַתְנַגְּמֶׁ	הָתְגוֹלֵלְ
3 f.	התקשלה	1	ָהִלָּבְּרָ <i>בָּ</i> ת	نئزقونا		התנוללה
2 m.	בינינוּמִלְּנִּ		בּינִילָּבְּׁנִלְּינָּ הַיִּינִייָּ	עלינּק ּ לעשׁ		<u>ה</u> תרוקללים
2 f.	ביניניקליני	reg	<u>ײִלבּלל</u> יׂי	טַענּקֿטַעֿ היייביי		הָתִגּוֹלֵלְת <u>ִּ</u>
1 com.		regular.	<u>ײַלּכֿללשׁי</u>	ַ הַּעָּׁלֵּלְהַתָּּי	_	התגו <u>ל</u> לתי
Plur. 3 com.	<u>ה</u> תְלֵּנְ		ָהָתְבְּּרְכְנִיּ	ַ הַתְּלֵּלְחָרּ	-	הַתִּבּוֹלִלְהּ
	<u></u> הייילפֿקלמֿם		בּנַלִּמֶּׁם הייישיי בּי	ניעוּגַלְעוֹשׁם		הָתִגוֹלֵלְתֵּם
2 f.	ִּטִילִילַּמֹּלְמֵּׁוֹ מִייִינִיפּּ		בּעֹלבּׁנַכְּשׁׁג	ביטינקטטור ביטיניים		הָתְגוֹלֵלְהָּן וֹ
1 com.			בַּילַבּלַלַנּנּ הַיּהַבְּּלֵלְנִנּ	טַטָּלּלְשׁׁנּי היינילי		הָתִגּוֹלֵלְנִרּ הַתְּגּוֹלֵלְנִרּ
•	12 'v El71'n'ı		-145-175-11-1	. 1913 ¹ 1 5 5 5 1 1 1 1 1		/ (
Infinitive.	ההָקַמֶּל	התעמד	म्प्र <u>क</u> ्ष्यम	חתגלח	הִתְּנַנֵּמְׁ	התבולל
IMPERATIVE.			7 I***	1: .	1	
Sing. m.	ביללמק	הָתִעָּמֵד	<u>הַתְּבְּרָה</u>	<u>הַתְּלְּלֵח</u>	התנגש	התנולל
f.	<u>הַתְּלֵי</u>		הִתְּבֶּוֹרְכִי	הַתְּבֵּלְּתִי		התנוללי
Plur. m.	דיריכֿשלוי		<u>הִתְּבְּרְכְוּ</u>	התנקחו		התבוללו
f.	בי הַלַּמְלְנָה		הִתְּבְּרֵלְנָת	<u>הַתְּבַּלְּחִנָּה</u>		הִתְּבּוֹלֵלְנְה
PRESENT.	4:1: .		T: PT: '	T:1:		1.1
Sing. 3 m.	יִתְקַמֵּל	וֹתְעַפֶּוֹד	יִתְּבָּּתְדּ	וֹתְנַלַּח	יִרְנַבְּנְשׁ <u>יִ</u>	יתֻגוֹלֵל
3 f.	<u>הֹעִל</u> ּמֵּל		ਪਾਂ ਦੇਵੀ	שַּׁתְּנַלֵּ <u></u> ח	&c.	הִתְּבוֹלֵל
2 m.	שִׁתְ <u>ק</u> ּמְּל	&c.	שָּׁרָת <u>ּ</u> בָּרָת	ניעלקע		תתנולל
2 f.	שֹׁהַלַּמְ		תֹתְבֶּרְכִי	ניניוּלְּנִינִי	regular.	תתבוללי
1 com.	אָתְקַּמִּל		אָתִבְּּבֶרָ	אָתְנַלַּח	ar.	אָתְגוֹלֶל
Plur. 3 m.	יִרְיַבְמְּלְרּ יִרְיַבְמְּלְרּ		יִתְבֶּרְכְוּ	וֹעִׁפַּלְּחִׁנּ		יִתְבּוֹלְלְהּ
3 f.	עֹתִלַּמֵּלְנָּה		הָּתְּבְּרֶכְנָה הַתְּבְּרֵכְנָה	מִתְּבַּלְּחָנָת		תִתְּבּוֹלֵלְנָח
2 m.	התָקַמְּלְ וּ		עִר <u>ִילִ פֿ</u> ּרָכִנּ	עֹתְנַלְּחָוּ		תַּתְבּוֹלְלְה
2 f.	תַּתְקַּמְּלְנָה		עליפֿלכנע	<u>שִׁלְנַלְּחְנָה</u>		תִּתְּנוֹלֵלְנָה
1 com.			יִילָּבֶּרָ נ ּ	נתנלח		נתגולל
	11-					•
Pres. apoc.	-		- Contracting		_	
- 900						
PARTICIPLE.	מנוענומק	מֹנוֹעֹבּ	ַ מִּרְּבָּבֶרּ	מִעַנַלַּת	מענינים	בְּתְגוֹלֵל -

Paradigm of Verbs in HITHPAHEL.

	Verb. 5 init.	Verb.	Verb. ¶ med.	Verb.	Verb.	Verb.
התאכל	ڬڶڒؠڟؗڗ	התימב	הָתְקוֹמֵם		, ಜಿಪ್ಪರ್ಬ್	<u> </u>
. la zašini	In military		יייייייי אָר הָרְנִקוֹמְמָ <i>ָ</i>	٠٠٠: حصر بيم ١	ָהָתְמַצְּאָה הַתְּמַצְּאָה	ָּהִינִּלְּלֵינִ <i>ׁ</i>
regi	regular.	regular	בּילעוּמִלּתּ היייייי	like	בַּינִימַמֵּאָלי הַיּבּבּיייי	בּינילִּפְלִּילֵי היינילָּינְינִי
regular.	ılar.	ılar.	ָהָתְּלוּמֵלְתָּ	e the	ಗ್ರಹ್ಣ ಸ್ಥ ಕ್ಷಾಪ್ತಿ ಸ್ಥಾಪ್ತು	<u>ה</u> עלינקלינו
			הַתְּלְנְפַלְמָתִּי	e last	בּעַמַמֵּאָתי	ַבִּינֻינֵּלְינִינִּי בינייּנְינִינְינִינִי
			התקומקו	st.	ַהָּלִימַצְּאָנּ הייים בּייי	ڬڶ۩ۊٙڮؙڔ ؞؞؞ۊ؆
			הָתְקוֹמַמְמָתֶם התָקוֹמַמְמָתֶם		עעמֿמּאטֿם	הָתִּנְּלֵּיתֶם הַתִּנְּלֵיתֶם
			הַתְּקוֹמֵמְתָּוּן הַתְּקוֹמִמְתָּוּן		ַהַעָּמָבְּאַטָּוֹנ הַייּמִבְּיּיִהְיּ	ַהְעַּבְּלִיתָּוּ הַעַּבְּלִיתָּוּ
			הָתְּקוֹמֵקְנְנּ		בינים מארני הייים מיייי	ַהַעַּפְּלֵיננּ הַייִּינְפַּלְיננּיּ
					(m ===; ··	Ne zaštije,
ָהָרָאַבּּל ְ	בערׁ זְּמֶּבְ	בּנְינִמְּב	הַתְּקוֹמֵם	הׄליבּוֶלֵּלְ	<u> </u>	טַּלַּפְּׁלָּת
התאַכֵּל	בעוֹלוֹמֶּ	בעוֹמֶב	הָתְקוֹמֶם	הַתִּבּוֹנֵן	הַתַּמַמָּא	<u>הַתְּנַלְּת</u>
			הָתְקוֹמְמֶי		יַלמֹמַאָּי	התנקי
&c.	&c.	&c.	התקוממו	&c.	ַהָּלִימַצְּאָנּ הַלְימַבְּאָנּ	<u>ה</u> תרבלו
			התקומקנה	•	הַתְּמַּאֵנָה	הִתְּבֵּלֶינְת
			1.6			
יִתאַבֶּל	לעוֹמֶילֵב	וֹנְרַנִּמָּׁב	יתקומם	יִתְבּוֹנֵן.	וֹלִמַּמֵא	יִתְנַּלֶּה
&c.	&c.	&c.	הִתְקוֹמֶם	like	ಚಿಸಿದರೆಸಿ	ָהָרְגַלֶּ <i>ה</i>
7	7	76	הַתְקוֹמֶם	te the	עעלמאא	فرنة قراب
regular	regular	regular	הִתְקוֹמְמִי	e last	עַּעַתְּמַצְּאָר	שׁעֹנּלֶּי
F	Ħ.	F	אָתְקוֹמֶם	st.	- ಜಿಪ್ಪಿಬಿಜಿ	אָתְנַּלֶּת
			יִתְקוֹמְמְרּ		וֹעַמַצְּאָנּ	וֹתְבַּלְּוּ
			הִתְקוֹמֵמְמְנְה		תַּתְּמַמֶּאנָה	תִּתְנֵּלֶינְה
		•	הִתְקוֹמְמְנּ		ענעמַצִּאָרּ	הַּוּתְ <u>נ</u> ּלְּוּ
			הִתְקוֹמֵמְנְה		עלעמאלע	תִּתְּבֶּלֶינְה
			נָ הְ קוֹמֶם		נְרָנִמַּצְא	נֹטְנַּלֶּיה
_	-				_	יִתְנַּל
מֹלִאַבֹּלְ	מִתְיַנְשֵּׁכ	מעונמב	מִתְקוֹמֵב	מִתְבּוֹנֵן	ಚಸಿದೆಬೆಸ	ڟ۬ڬٷٙڎؙۭڵڐ

LECTURE XIV.

ON THE SYNTAX.

- 212. In endeavouring to investigate the principles of the Hebrew Syntax, we shall first consider the nature and construction of propositions; secondly, those of their several parts; and, lastly, shew how these have been combined, according to the Authors of the accents.
- 2. In the first place, then, every proposition will be either general or particular. A general proposition is that which contains a complete sentence expressive of some general truth: as, "Men die." A particular, or singular, proposition (as it is sometimes called), expresses only that which relates to particular individuals: as, "The men die." Hence,
- 3. When any general proposition is enounced in Hebrew, the word or words used for the purpose of enouncing the subject will, generally, be indefinite; i. e. will not have the definite article, or be otherwise limited;* while that which is intended to be the most impressive, will stand first in the order; e. g. זוֹרֶע עוִלָה

^{*} In such expressions as הּוֹרֵת יְהוֹּח הַלְתוֹ the law of the Lord is perfect, &c. Ps. xix. 8, &c. although the truth is general, the proposition is not so; because it relates to one particular law, not to any general one.

The subject and predicate are, according to the Grammarians of Arabia, two words so placed, that they may be independent of each other in a grammatical or formal point of view, their relation being purely logical. The author of the Hidayat oon Nahve (p. re) says,

صَجَرَدَّانَ مَنِ العَوَامَلُ اللَّفَظَيَّةَ احدهما مَسَنَدٌ اليَّهُ ويَسَمَّيُ المَبَدَّدَأُ والثَّانَي مَسَنَّدُ بَهُ ويسمَّى الْخَبَرِ نَحَو زَيْدٌ قَائُمٌ والعامل فيها مَعَنُويُّ

יִקְצְּוּר־אָנָן (any one)* sowing iniquity, reaps vanity, Prov. xxii. 8; מֵיִם עֲמָקִים עֵצְה בְּלֶב־אִישׁ (as) deep waters (is) counsel in the heart of man, Ib. xx. 5.

- 5. Propositions will also be either Incomplex, or Complex; Simple, or Compound.
- 6. Incomplex propositions are those which contain no qualifying, or otherwise modifying, words in connection with either the subject or predicate. Complex propositions are those which have such words. Of the first, אַנָּה בָּנָה בָּנִה בָּנה בָּנִה בָּנה בְּנִה בָּנִה בָּנִה בָּנִה בָּנִה בָּנִה בְּנִה בָּנִה בְּנִיה בָּנִה בָּנִה בְּנִה בְּנִיה בְּינִיה בְּיִיה בְּנִיה בְּנִיה בְּנִיה בְּינִיה בְּיוּ בְּיּיה בְּינִיה בְּינִיה בִּייה בִייה בִּייה בִּיה בִּיה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּיּיה בִּיה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּיה בִּייה בִּיה בִּייה בְּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בִּייה בְּייה בִ

This conjunction of words is termed by them الكلام, and is thus described by Ibn ul Hajib: عا تضمن كلمتين بالاسنان ولا يتأتي ذلك الا في فعل واسم المتنان والمتنان (المتنان) is, according to El Hazeri, placed first, on account of the importance which it holds in the estimation of the speaker or writer." See Commentary on the Agrumia by Schnabell, Amsterdam, 1755, pp. ١٠٠١

* The capitals are intended to point out the words upon which the rule

immediately bears.

[&]quot;The subject and predicate are two u ords construed independently of any GRAMMATICAL government. The first is that of which something is predicated, and is called אוליבול or, the beginning: the second is that by which this predication is made, and is termed ליבין the enouncer: as, Zaid (is) Standing. The government of them is regulated by the sense (or, is logical), that is, by the beginning," or subject matter of the discourse.

ample. Of the second, בְּחָבְמָה וִבְּנֶה בְיִת in, or by, wisdom is a house built, Ib.

- 7. Simple propositions are those which have no supplementary or subordinate parts. Compound propositions are those which have such parts. Example of the first, בְּבֶּהְ בְּבֶּהְ וְבָּבֶּהְ in wisdom is a house built: of the second, בְּיִנְעִץ הַבֶּּבֶּהְ וְשִׂרְיוֹ וְבְלְּ־הַקְּהָל so the king, and his princes, and the whole congregation, took counsel, 2 Chron. xxx. 2. In this case the subject only is compound: others occur in which the predicate is also compound.
 - 8. We now proceed to consider the relation of the subject with the predicate, which is indeed necessary to point out the construction of simple and incomplex propositions. We shall next shew how the complex and compound parts of propositions are constructed, i. e. on what principles their several parts are connected together.

On the Predicate of Propositions.

- 213. The predicate of any proposition may consist of nouns attributive or substantive (if predicables), or of verbs or pronouns, as in other languages.
- 2. Every proposition, whether general or particular, will, unless something particular require the contrary, have its predicate indefinite. (Verbs, of course, when in the predicate, do not come under this rule, because it cannot be said of them, that they are either definite or indefinite): e. g. אַנוּקָה וֹנְגָּה a whore (is) a deep ditch, Prov. xxiii. 27.
 - 3. Here, אֹכָּה being indefinite, the 'proposition will be general: and, as the rule requires the predicate also to be indefinite, this becomes a case in which some ambiguity might arise, as to which of the substantives is the subject of the proposition. The sense requires here that the last word אֹנְּבָּה be the subject, although אַנְּבָּה a ditch precedes: the sentence may be thus rendered, (as) a deep ditch (is) a whore.

- 4. Examples of particular propositions: יְרָאָרֶץ הָיְתָה and (as to) the earth (it) existed, Gen. i. 2; יְרֹנְה *דְלָּהְים Jehovah (is) king, Ps. x. 16. With a verb : בְּרָא הוות אווים אווים
- 5. When, however, any thing very specific is intended to be enounced by the predicate, it will be made definite: as, יְהְיָה הוּא הָאֵלֹהִים יְהוָה הוּא הַאֵּלֹהִים יִהוָה הוּא הַאַלֹהִים Jehovah he is THE God, Jehovah he is THE God, 1 Kings xviii. 39.
 - 6. Here, as both the subject and predicate are made definite, unless a pronoun (as אוֹם in this instance) were introduced in the place of the logical copula, or, unless the construction should otherwise contribute to explain the intention of the writer, an ambiguity would arise, as to which of the words so defined is to be considered as the subject: e. g. אַלִּיִרוֹמְּא [I (am) he who speaketh, Is. lii. 6. Or taking אוֹם as the logical copula, I am the speaker. But by inverting the proposition we shall have, (as to) the speaker, it is I. In these cases, however, the sense afforded is generally the same whichever way we read the proposition. In others, where it is not, we have no other resource than the order of the construction, or the general sense of the context, to guide us.
 - 7. From this and the case above noticed (Art. 213. 3.), some difficulties will occasionally arise in construing the Hebrew text, particularly in the books of Job, the Psalms, the Proverbs, and the Prophets, where the style is often sententious, and the order inverted.

It may be remarked, that as the Hebrews have no abstract verb which can stand for our logical copula is, and, as any pronoun may stand in the predicate of a sentence, due regard must be had to the subject of the context, in order to ascertain which of the pronouns, when more than one is used, is in the predicate: e. g. In Is. xli. 4, we have אַנְירֹשׁה I he, where a very slight consideration of the context will shew us, that אַנִי הֹנְה is to be considered as in apposition with the subject of the sentence

^{*} Exod. xxxiv. 6, &c. יְהְיָה אֵל בַחוֹם וְחַבּוּן Jehovah Jehovah (is) a God merciful and gracious, &c. Both the construction and accents require that this passage be thus taken. Our translators, however, have taken it differently.

predicate. See also Deut. xxxii. 39, Neh. ix. 7, Jer. xiv. 22, Isa. xli. 4, xliii. 25, &c.

Of the Concordance of the Subject with the Predicate.

- 215. Whatever is predicated of any thing must not be incongruous with it, in sense at least: what the grammatical agreement may be, we shall see presently.

 2. As the Grammatical forms of words were perhaps
- 2. As the Grammatical forms of words were perhaps not much attended to in very early times, a difference in termination for the purpose of distinguishing between the feminine and the masculine gender would, probably, be among the first wanted, particularly in cases wherein there was no other means of intimating of what sex the person spoken of was. Number would soon be in the same predicament; and hence, such terminations generally agreed upon and allowed to intimate these particulars, or other words used at first as attributes, and afterwards abridged and adopted as terminations, would be made to supply these defects. The latter of which I am inclined to believe was the case.
- 3. If then it were ever necessary to make any such distinctions as these, it must have been so in the subjects and predicates of sentences: because, as the one must be known to refer to the other; and, as this could not be pointed out, in many cases, without some mark to apprize us of such relation, these terminations would naturally be employed in such cases.
- 4. In process of time, however, recourse would be had to the several figures of Rhetoric, such as *Personification*, *Epanorthosis*, *Metonymy*, or the like; each of which would exert a very considerable influence on the construction of sentences; and this is often found to be the case. When, therefore, we are to consider the relation of a subject with its predicate in the Hebrew, it

will sometimes be necessary to have recourse to one or other of these figures.

5. Generally then, the Predicate is found to agree with its subject in gender and number, considered either in a logical or a formal point of view.

6. In the example לְּהְיִתְה מְּלְּלָה And (there) shall be a high way, Isa. xi. 16, the concordance is purely formal. The same may be said of אַלְהִים לְּדִישִׁים They caused me to wander, i. e. God, Gen. xx. 13. See also Gen. xxxv. 7. 2 Sam. vii. 23. Jer. x. 10. In all which cases the agreement appears to have been made with reference to the forms only, and not to the significations, of words.†

^{*} Hence the names of nations, tribes, or families, may be taken as collective and singular nouns, and the names of regions, cities, &c. as singular feminine nouns, considered as containers, &c. See Exod. xiv. 30, Jer. xlviii. 11, 1 Kings xx. 20, 2 Sam. xvi. 3, Ps. cxiv. 2, Job i. 15. When, however, a verb is used as the predicate, as will be presently seen, the apparent nominative is not to be considered as the real nominative to it: but, as this must be in apposition with the real nominative, the rule respecting the concordance will hold good.

[†] I am well aware that these and similar passages have been appealed to in support of the doctrine of the Holy Trinity; but, until it shall be made probable, that the Sacred Writers did usually involve doctrines of this kind in the mere forms of words, I must be allowed to object to such methods of supporting an article of faith, which stands in need of no such support. For similar usages in the Greek and Latin, see Viger de idiotismis. Edit. 1813. pp. 32. 46, &c. Clarke's Homer. Iliad E., line 778, note.

- 7. The following is an instance of Personification: קּסֶר־וְאֱטֶת נְפְּנְשׁוּ צֶּדֶק וְשָׁלוֹם נְשִׁקוֹ grace and truth have met (each other), righteousness and peace have saluted (each other), Ps. lxxxiv. 11; i. e. they have met and saluted as men generally do, when on good terms with one another.
- 8. The following ones involve a Metonymy:* הַבְּרֹ שִּׁנִי שִׁישׁ the sons of mean men (are) vanity, the sons of eminent men (are) a lie, i. e. they are in effect as deceptive as vanity, or a lie; קיר בְּנִי מִץ its walls (are) wood, i. e. made of wood, Ezek. xli. 22; יַבְּיִּרְיִם the vessels of the young men are holiness, i. e. are made holy, 1 Sam. xxi. 6; הַבְּיִרְאַרָה הַרְבָּה דִּרְבָּה דִּרְבָּה The work (is) an increasing, Neh. iv. 13, i. e. is in a state of progress. So we say in English, "Knowledge is power," i. e. it is the cause of power; "Money is a defence," is the means of obtaining a defence; "a man goes a hunting," and the like.
- 9. When one Predicate answers to several subjects differing from each other in gender or number or both, it may be put in the plural number, as referring to them all; or it may be made to agree, both in gender and number, with the nearest, or, with that which may be considered the most worthy: † e. g. מַנְיָּבְרָּ וְשִׂרָהְ וְשִׂרָה וְשִׂרָה וְשִׂרָה וְשִׂרְה וְשִׂרְה וְשִׂרְה וְשִׁרְה וְשִׁרְה וֹשִׁר וְשִׁר וֹשְׁר וֹנִשְׁבוֹ So he arose, (i. e.) Abimelek and Phicol the captain of his host, and they returned, Gen. xxi. 32.

^{*} See Glass. Philolog. Sacr., p. 838-97. Storr. Observ., p. 13, &c.

⁺ Storr, pp. 11. 17, &c. refers the use of abstracts for concretes to a Synecdoche, see p. 20; and vice versa, p. 21.

[†] The plural is considered as more worthy than the singular, and the masculine than the feminine gender. But, in the last case, the mother will be considered more worthy an the children.

- 10. When, however, the predicate precedes, it generally agrees with the nearest noun; when it follows, it will mostly take the plural form, as in the last example. See also Gen. viii. 16. 18, xxxi. 14, xxxiii. 7, Exod. xvii. 10, xxi. 4, xxix. 15, Num. xii. 1, xxvii. 21, Deut. xxviii. 32, Judg. xiii. 20, Ruth i. 3, Est. ix. 31, 1 Chron. xxv. 1, Job xix. 15, Prov. xxvii. 9, Is. xvi. 10, li. 3, Jer. vii. 20, Ezek. i. 11, xxxv. 10.
- 11. When the subject is a Collective noun, the Predicate may be in the plural number, agreeing with its subject logically: e. g. בַּאַרָּתְ נָאֵנְתְּוֹל נָאָל the whole of her people (ARE) SIGHING, Lam. i. 11; עֲשֵׁרָת צָּמְדֵי־כֶּרֶם ten acres of vineyard (THEY) YIELD one bath, Is. v. 10.
- 12. When the subject of any Proposition is found in the definite state of construction with any word (see Art. 143.), the predicate is mostly made to agree in gender and number with the last of them, provided the signification of the predicate can apply to both (by the figure Zeugma): e. g. אַלִיקִים אַלִיקִים אַלִיקִים אַלִיקִים אַלִיקִים לּעַקִים לּעַלִים לּעַלִים לַּעַלִים לּעַלִים לּעַלים לּעַלים לּעַלִים לּעַלים לּעַלים לּעַלים לּעַלים לּעַלִים לּעַלִים לּעַלִים לּעַלִים לּעַלִּים לּעַלִים לּעַלִּים לּעַלִים לּעלים לַּעלים לַּעלים לּעלים לּעלים לַּעלים לּעלים לַּעלים לַּעלים לְּעלים לְּעלים לְּעלים לְּעלים לְּעלים לְעלים לְעלים לְעלים לְּעלים לְּעלים לְעלים לְּעלים לְעלים לְּעלים לְּעלים לְעלים לְּעלים לְעלים לְּעלים לְעלים לְּעלים לְּעלים לְעלים לְעלים לּעלים לְעלים לְּעלים לְעלים לְּעלים לְּעלים לְּעלים לְעלים

See also Lev. xiii. 9, 1 Kings i. 41, xvii. 16, Job xv. 20, xxxii. 7, xxxviii. 21, Jer. iv. 29, x. 22, &c.

13. In some cases, however, it is made to agree with

^{*} M. de Sacy says on the Arabic construction which is parallel to this: "On peut regarder la concordance, en ce cas, comme une concordance logique, parce qu'on y a plus égard au rapport logique qui unit les idées, qu'au rapport grammatical qui se trouve entre les mots." Vol. ii. Art. 332. e. g. من المحسنين Surely the mercy of God (he is) NEAR to those who do good. And Storr, (Nomen) rectum numerari debet principale. Observ., p. 362.

the subject : as, בְּלֹ־מְלָאׁכְה לֹאׁ וַעְשֶׂה the whole of the work (it) shall not be done, Exod. xii. 16.

See also Gen. v. 23. 31, Is. lxiv. 10, Nah. iii. 7, &c. And particularly when the predicate stands first in the order: as, אַפְּקְיִם the envy of Ephraim (it) shall depart, Isa. xi. 13.

Of the Concordance of the Nominative with its Verb.

216. The Concordance of a real nominative with its verb, is that of a subject with its predicate. By a real nominative we mean, the pronoun which is always inherent in the verb when in the state of conjugation (See Art. 188.). What, therefore, is usually taken for the nominative is, in fact, either a noun or a pronoun put in apposition with the nominative; and, consequently, as before, agreeing either logically or formally with it, or with the predicate. Considered, therefore, in this point of view, all the cases of apparent discrepancy which can happen under this head, may be resolved into one or other of the preceding ones: e. g. אַלְהָיִם he (i. e.) God, created, Gen. i. 1.

Here the word בּלְלֹיתִי is manifestly a plural form; but, as the Being designated by this word is every where affirmed to be one, the agreement between the pronoun inherent in the verb אַבְּי and this word is logical, not formal. The same may be said of the says (i. e.) God; and of many other similar constructions.

- 2. Examples of apparent discrepancy in gender and number: 1st, הַמְלְּחָבְה ... הַמָּלְ וְנְבְּי ... וֹנְמִלְּחְבְּה it (masc.) became strong (i. e.) the war, (fem.) 2 Kings iii. 26; 2d, הַבְּיא שִׁבְּחְבְּה he hath brought (i. e.) thy handmaid, 1 Sam. xxv. 27; 3d, יְהְיָה בְּתוּלְה בְּתוּלְה וֹנְיִי he may be (i. e.) the young woman, a virgin, Deut. xxii. 23; 4th, בְּלִבְּיִר בְּתוּלְה שׁׁׁׁׁ what they (masc.) will be (i. e.) his dreams, Gen. xxvii. 20.
 - 3. With the apparent nominative preceding: 5th,

בּעָת... וּבְּעָם knowledge ... it, or he, is pleasant, Prov. ii. 10; 6th, עֵרֶיהָ עָלָה (as to) her cities, it, or he, has ascended, Jer. xlviii. 15; 7th, וְרְעִוֹת יְתֹּמִים יְרָבָּא (as to) the arms of the orphans, it is broken, Job xxii. 9.

4. The first four of these examples seem to involve an Epanorthosis, a figure frequently used in the Arabic, by which something enounced in a general manner, is afterwards restricted by the addition Of this the Arabs count four sorts, 1, بدل الكل of other words. i. e. the change of the whole for the whole, or, when any thing is enounced by one or more words, another enunciation is added to the same effect but in a different point of view; as, جآءَني عمر بدل البعض من , Omar came to me (I mean) thy brother ; 2, أخوك مَا الْكُلِّ the change of a part for the whole; as, الْكُلِّ الْعُومُ بِعضْهُم the people came to me (I mean) some of them; 3, بدل الاشتمال the change of the contained for the container, or, vice versa; as, بدل الغلط,4 * Zaid was stripped (I mean) his garment; * 4 سلب زيد ثوبة the change of error; as, مَرْتُ بِكُلْبِ فَرِسَ I passed by a dog (I mean) a horse. See M. de Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. ii. pp. 225. 394: the شرح الكافية by Moolla Jami, pp. ١١٥٠-١١٥٥. Glass. edit. Dathe, on the Epanorthosis, pp. 1350-2.

In the first example, then, it is generally enounced that some

^{*} We have a remarkable instance of this construction in Gen. xxxvii. 23.

33. אַרִינְם אָשֶׁרְ עָּלִין Joseph, his coat, the coat of many colours mhich was on him. See Ps. lxxi. 18. 22; lxxvi. 6. The whole of the men of might, (I mean) their hands (powers) have not prevailed: Jer. ix. 2; Job xxxviii. 12; 1 Cor. i. 15. τὸν Στεφανᾶ οῖκον, Rev. i. 14.—The Hendiadys of Europeans.

person or thing has acquired power; it is then added that this is the war. The same may be said of the four following ones. In the sixth example, either a personification is resorted to, or some such word as \frac{1}{2} affair, matter, thing, or the like, is to be supplied by the ellipsis: as, knowledge (it is a thing or person), that gives pleasure. In the seventh and eighth, the plurals seem to be taken collectively: as, her cities (the whole), has gone up.* The arms of the orphans (the whole), is broken.

- 6. So also when a personal pronoun occupies the place of this verb: e. g. קקות הֶעְמִים הֶבֶּל הוֹא (as to) the statutes of the people, it is vanity, Jer. x. 3, i. e. taken collectively.
- 7. When, however, inanimate or irrational beings are represented as agents, the feminine form of the verb is often taken: † e. g. אָבֶר־אָּבֶר חַ עַבּר־אָּבֶר it bears away (i. e.) her effusions, the dust of the earth, Job xiv. 19; אַבְּרִי חַבְּכִים תִּשְׁמוֹרֵם the lips of the wise, it preserves them, Prov. xiv. 3. See also 1 Sam. iv. 15, 2 Sam. x. 9, Job xii. 7, xx. 11, Ps. cxix. 98, Is. lix. 12, Jer. xlviii. 41, li. 56, Mic. iv. 11.
- 8. When the apparent nominative is in the definite state of construction (see Art. 215. 12.) with another noun, the verb will occasionally agree with the latter:

^{*} So in the Greek, πόλιν ἄρδην ἐξολοθρεῦσαι.—aliquando (ἄρδην) significat sublime, Viger., Ed. 1813, p. 294.

[†] This is a constant law in the Arabic, and generally in all the dialects of this family of languages, viz. that it is only when the agent is a rational being that the concordance is formal.

- e.g. פָּלְבְ מַוְעַבְה יְלַד שֶּבֶּר the concubine of Caleb (i. e.) Maachah (he) begat Sheber, 1 Chron. ii. 48.
- 9. When courage, virility, fortitude, efficiency, or the like, is intended to be predicated of females, verbs expressive of acts, &c. done by them, seem to be put in the masculine gender in order to express that; e. g. בַּאַשֶּׁר עֲשִׂירֶם וְעַפְּּדִי as ye (women) have done (courageously) with the dead and with me, Ruth i. 8; and ye (women) shall know (effectively) that I am the Lord Jehovah, Ezek. xxiii. 49; וְתַּוֹרֶלְ וְתִּוֹרֶלְ וַ מִּחֹרָלִ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרְ וֹתִוֹרְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרְלִי וְתִוֹרְלִיתְוֹתְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרְלְתִּלְיִתְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרְלְיִתְוֹרְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְוֹרְלְתִוֹרְ וֹתְוֹרְלְתִוֹרְ וֹתְוֹרֶלְ וֹתְלֵּלְ וֹתְרֵלְיִלְתְּלֵוֹת וֹתְלֵּלְוֹרְ (manfully), Jer. iii. 5.†
- 10. It has been laid down as a general rule, that the word intended to be considered as the most important in a sentence will stand first (Art. 212. 3.). When, therefore, this happens to be the apparent nominative to a verb, it is usually termed the Nominative absolute.
 - 11. The office of this nominative is to enounce the subject matter of discourse (Art. 212. 3. note); which, as it is done in a manner independent of any of the following context in a formal point of view, has been termed absolute. Any nouns, pronouns, &c. following, and referring to this nominative, must, however, agree with it either logically, or formally: e. g. אַטְהָּלְּה בּיִלְּהְּלְּבִּיִּלְּבִיּלְּבִּיּלְבִּיּלְבִּיּלְבִּילִּבְּיִלְבִּילִּבְּיִלְבִּילִּבְּיִלְבִּילִבְּיִלְבִּילִבְּיִלְבִּילִבְּיִבְּילִבְּיִלְבִּילִבְּיִלְבִּילִבְּילִבְּיִבְּיִבְּיִלְבִּילִבְּבְּרַבּיבּים נִמְצִאָּרַבְּבַּם and (as to) ten men, they were

^{*} But here we may have an ellipsis.

[†] It is a curious fact that the Grammarians of Arabia consider females as unintelligent agents, not absolutely, but relatively; and hence they account for these agents having, in grammar, the same government with collective inanimate nouns. See the Shurho Moolla Jámi, p. p. 19

found among them, Jer. xli. 8; וְּמְבִּיבֹשֶׁת בֶּּן־אֲדֹנֶיךְ וֹאֹכֵל but (as to) Mephibosheth, the son of thy master, HE SHALL EAT continually, 2 Sam. ix. 10; וּבְילָנְשׁוֹ וֹשְׁמָה and (as to) his concubine, and her name was Reumah, she also brought forth, Gen. xxii. 24.

- behold my covenant is with thee, Gen. xvii. 4, &c.

 13. The relative pronoun אָשֶׁי is often subject to this kind of construction, though always in a subordinate point of view, and when the subject matter of the proposition in which it is found, is not the real subject of the discourse, but nevertheless relates to it: e. g. אַשְּׁר הַּבְּבּנוּ (as to) which, the wind disperses it, Ps. i. 4; אַשֶּׁר הַבְּבּנוּ (as to) which, the wind disperses it, Ps. i. 4; אַשֶּׁר הַבְּבּנוּ (as to) which, I commanded thee for not eating of it, &c., Gen. iii. 11; אַשָּׁר הְּבָּר הַבְּבּוֹר אַנִּי (with respect to) whom, the word of the Lord came to him, &c., Jer. i. 2.
- 14. This view of such constructions will account for the apparent pleonasm of the pronoun in Hebrew, which has in some instances found its way into our own version. See also Exod. vi. 4, Lev. xviii. 5, Num. xiii. 27, Deut. xxviii. 49, 2 Kings xiii. 14, Jer. xiv. 1,

Ezek. xx. 11, xxxvii. 25, Joel iv. 2, Ps. xcv. 5,—with ψ Eccles. ii. 21.

- 15. From the unconnected situation of words thus placed, it will be necessary to render them in our language, and some others, by prefixing some such expression as, with reference to, with respect to, as to, or the like, otherwise our translations will appear bald and obscure. This will account for most of the additional words found within brackets in this work.—

 There are instances, however, in the Hebrew, in which these supplementary words are expressed in the text:*
 e. g. אָלֶרְדּוֹשֶׁים אַשֶּׁרְבְּאָנֶין As to the saints who are in the land, Ps. xvi. 3; אַלֶּרְדְּוֹשֶׁים בּרְעָּהוֹ הָשֶׁרְ בַּאָּנֶין As to the afflicted man, from his friend (there ought to be) kindness, Job vi. 14; אַתְרַבְּוֹחַ בַּרְאַהְיֹ בְּיִלְּבָּרִ דִּיִּרְנִיּרִם בַּרְאַהְיִּרִים בַּרְאַהְיִּרְנִים בַּרְאַהְיִּרְנִים בַּרְאַהְיִּרְנִים בַּרְאָּרִים בַּרְאַהְיִּרְנִים בַּרְאַהְיִּרְנִים בַּרְאַהְיִּרְנִים בּרָאָהִי and, as to his generation, &c., Is. liii. 8. (Art. 171. 11.)
- 17. In some instances, also, we find both the leading and subordinate subject of a discourse placed together, without any such mark of distinction: e. g. שָּבְעִים מִּקְצָּצִים הָיוּ מְלַקְּמִים (as to)

^{*} So in the Arabic, Gram. Arabe, vol. ii. Art. 158. Also with L. J. &c., passim.

seventy kings, the thumbs of their hands and (the great toes) of their feet being cut off, they were gathering, &c., Judg. i. 7, which however will, according to the Arabic idiom, admit of another solution and translation; viz. (as to) seventy kings deprived of their thumbs and great toes, they were gathering, &c. The accents give the former.

18. The recurrence of , in all such constructions as this, is said to have the effect of pointing out the comparison or correspondence intended to be instituted between the preceding and following member, i. e. the relation between the prodosis and apodosis: e. g. אוֹלָקוּת וּלָבְּוּת יִּמְעָט הַבַּוּת יִּמְעָט הַבַּיִת יִּנְלְקּת הַבּוֹת וּלִּבְּית וּלְבִּת וּלֵבְית וּלְבִּת וּלִבְּית וּלְבִּת וּלִבְּית וּלְבִּת וּלִבְּית וּלִבְּת וּלִבְּת וּלִבְּת וּלִבְּת וּלִבְּת וּלִבְּת וּלִבְּת וּלִבְּת וּלִבְּת וּלְבִּת וּלִבְּת וּלְבִּת וּלִבְּת וּלִבְּת וּלִבְּת וּלִבְּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְּבְּת וּלְּת וּלְּבְּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבִּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבְּת וּל וּלְבּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְיוּל וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבְּת וּלְיּת וּלְבְּת וּלְּבְּת וּלְּבְת וּלְם וּלְבְּת וּלְּבְּת וּלְבּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבְּת וּלְבּת וּלְבּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְבּת וּלְּת וּלְם וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְּבּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְבּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְיבּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְּת וּלְבּת וּלְיבּת וּלְבּת וּלְּת וּב

LECTURE XV.

ON THE GENERAL DEPENDENCE OF WORDS ONE UPON ANOTHER.

- 217. Having considered the principles of concordance found to prevail in this language, we now come to consider the dependence of words upon one another generally, and to investigate the principles by which this is regulated.
- 2. It will readily be admitted, that when words are added, either for the purpose of defining or modifying the signification of others, or for completing the ideas partially perhaps conveyed by them, the relation which such words will bear to one another must be regulated by some easy and intelligible principles. We now proceed to shew what these are.

- 3. The principles found to prevail in the Hebrew language may be reduced to two: viz. apposition, and what has been termed the definite state of construction, (Art. 143.)
- 5. Apposition may also be either immediate or mediate: immediate, when the words so connected have no intervening word or particle: as, בְּיִהְ בִּית הַלְּהָיִם; mediate, when some such word, &c. intervenes: as, יְשֵׁי בֵּית הַלַּהְםְיּ
- 6. Under this head we shall consider the construction of attributives with substantives, or when used as adverbs: nouns substantive used as specificatives, i. e. when

^{*} Sophoel. (Edipus Rex. l. 27—8, ὁ πυρφόρος θεὸς....λοιμὸς ἔχθιστος, &c.

in apposition with others signifying number, weight, measure, &c.: also the Pronouns demonstrative, interrogative, and personal, when added for the purpose of defining, specifying, or otherwise restricting, the significations of other words.

- 7. The other principle just mentioned, by which two or more words are so connected as to present one definite idea, is that which regulates the juxta-position of two or more substantives, or attributives used as substantives,* which are not names for the same thing, but which, having a certain relation to one another, will by their combination afford the idea of proprietorship, materiality, peculiarity, or the like, which exists between them. This we term the definite state of construction, generally; but, when the first of two or more such words in construction is an attributive, used as a substantive, we shall, for the mere sake of distinction, term this the distinctive state of construction.
- 8. This construction may be either *immediate* or *mediate*, as before, and for the same reasons.

^{*} It is usual with writers on the Arabic Grammar to say, when speaking of (what we term) adjectives or attributives, that they are put to stand for the essence or being of the person or thing described, including also the properties expressed by such words. So the Moolla Jámi, on the Gram. of Ibn ul Hájib,

p. ۴1, وهو كون الاسم دالاً على ذات مبهمة ماخوذة مع المر فانه بعض صفاتها سوا كانت هذه الدلالة بحسب الوضع مثل احمر فانه موضع لذات ما اخذت مع بعض صفاتها التي هي الحمرة. See also the same sentiment repeated under the مفعول, and Mr. de Sacy's Gram. Arabe, vol i. p. 228. The same is found to prevail in the Persian, Sanscrit, and Bengáli. On the last, see Mr. Haughton's Gram., p. 35. Art. 120. See also Lumsden's Pers. Gram., vol. i. p. 147, &c. And the جوهر التركيب

9. The complements of all verbs, (i. e. their objective cases, as considered in the Latin and other Grammars), whether transitive, intransitive, or neuter; as well as the construction of prepositions, adverbs, or interjections, we shall consider as regulated by one or other of these principles.

Remarks.

- 2. It is obvious from the first of these examples, that both and it and it are to be considered in apposition with which is there implied, but is expressed in the second. In the last example also the real predicate is expressed (), with which the following word is in immediate apposition. In like manner, in the

Arabic, الله الالا كريم is put for الله الالا كريم God (is) a bountiful God. Hence, the absence of the article universally after the negative particles אל, אל, לא, לי, &c. no, not, &c. because the word thus negatived must necessarily be a predicate, and not a subject as in the European languages.* Hence

^{*} We say in English, No one came; not so in the Hebrew, &c. Here we must say, Any one came not, which is much more proper. So المن مالك Ibn Málik, in the Alfia, عَمَلُ انَ اَجَعَلُ لَلا فِي نَكُرُهُ مُفْرِكُةٌ جَاءَتُكَ أَو Tts government is such, that wust accompany an indefinite word, whether it be single or repeated.

there is not a God besides him, &c. In all such cases as the above, then, we have a Nominative absolute (Art. 216. 10.), or a Subject attended by its predicate, e. g. as to Jehovah, (he is) a merciful and gracious God: and so of the rest: in which the subsequent words may also be considered as specific (Art. 217. 4.).

3. In all cases, in which both the subject and predicate are made definite, we have nothing more or less than nouns in *immediate* apposition, where the following ones may be considered as specificatives as before: and hence the rules relating to the concordance of the subject with the predicate will also hold good here.

4. The construction of apparent nominatives with their verbs, has been shewn to be nothing more than nouns, &c., in immediate apposition with the real nominatives, and which must always agree with them either in a logical or formal point of view.

Of Words in Immediate Apposition with one another.

219. When two or more substantives, or attributives used as substantives, are in Apposition, one or more of them may be considered as occupying the place of adjectives in other languages.* Examples of *immediate*

^{*} Nouns put in apposition, may, as already observed, be considered in two points of view: the one may be termed Identity; as in the phrase Edition of view: the one may be termed Identity; as in the phrase Edition.

If I Jehovah Elohim; the other, Specification, as in the instances above. This last is termed by the Arabian Grammarians تحديد أو نكري الله الله وزن او مساحة او غير ذلك مما فيه تذكر بعد عدد او كيل او وزن او مساحة او غير ذلك مما فيه الابهام وترفع ذلك الابهام نحو عندي عشرون رجلاً وقفيزان براً ومنوان سمناً وجريبان قطناً وعلي التمرة مثلها زبداً وقد يكون من غير مقدار نحو خاتم حديداً وسوار ذَهباً — وقد يقع بعد الجملة لرفع الابهام عن الحديداً وسوار ذَهباً — وقد يقع بعد الجملة لرفع الابهام عن The (noun of) specification is always indefinite, and is pluced after a noun of Number, Measure,

apposition: מֵלְשִׁים מְעֵט men, a few, i. e. a few men, Neh. ii. 12; אַמְרִים אֱמֶת words, truth, i. e. true words, Prov. xxii. 21: שֶׁה בַּרְיָה a sheep, fatness, i. e. fat sheep, Ezek. xxxiv. 20.

2. Examples in which the latter designates the materials, metal, &c. out of which the first is made: בַּבְּרֵיִם two talents (of) silver, 2 Kings v. 23; דְּבָּקֵר **

WEIGHT, DISTANCE, &c., in which the signification is vague, in order to

in the signification of of explains something that is vague, is placed for the sake of specification in juxta-position with the word so restricted: as, a span (of) earth; a measure (termed ευίς) of wheat; two pounds of honey, or of date fruit.

as recorded by El Azheri (Schnabell's Com. on the Agrúmia, p. ۱۹۰۸) and condemned by him, this construction is to be considered as a species of the Izáfat, or definite state of construction; which is no doubt erroneous.

* We have a construction perfectly parallel to this, which M. de Sacy has put down in his Gram. Arabe, (vol. ii. p. 110.), as anomalous; it is given in these examples: viz. بالتحراريب الخراريب الخراري

דְּבְּחֹשֶׁת the oxen, the brass, i. e. brazen, 2 Kings xvi. 17; טורים אָבֶן orders (rows) stone, i. e. of stone, Exod. xxviii. 17.

3. So also, when the latter word signifies the person, thing, &c. numbered, measured, or weighed, and the preceding one the name of the measure, &c.; e. g. מְּנָתִים יָמִים two years, Days, i. e. the space of two years, Gen. xli. 1; שְׁנְתִים weeks, Days, i. e. the space of certain weeks, Dan. x. 3; מַנְּהְיִם מִּנְתִים an ephah (of) Barley, Ruth ii. 17; מַנְּהִים a seah (of) FINE FLOUR, 2 Kings vii. 1.

See also Gen. xv. 1, Num. ix. 19, 20, xxviii. 23, 1 Kings xxii. 17, Prov. xxii. 21, Is. x. 19, xxx. 20, 33, &c.

4. Apposition may also take place *mediately*, i. e. when one or more words intervene between the nouns to be thus construed.

Under this rule may be placed all those examples, in which

p. 16, and without the article , p. 17. There is no reason, however, for supposing, as M. de Sacy does, that this construction is modern, for it also occurs in the Koran; nor, that these are to be considered as nouns in the definite state of construction. The fact is, they are nouns in apposition,

and involve the rhetorical figure which the Arabs term , and we, Epanorthosis. This construction may also be explained, by supposing the preposition of to be omitted, as done by El Azheri, in his Commentary on the Agrúmia, published by Schnabell, p. Ar. But I prefer the former method. See also Josh.iii. 14, 2 Kings ix. 4, 1 Chron. xv. 27, 2 Chron. xv. 8, Ps. lix. 6, Jer. xxxviii. 6, where there seems to be an excess of the definite article; but this may be accounted for without having recourse to the ellipsis, as Schræderus has done. Synt. R. III. See also Freytag's Hamasa, vol. i. p. 3.

الخبز الشعير ,So in the tale of Sindbad, by Langlés, السروج الخشب p. ts. and without the article مشم على p. ts. There is no reason

Schræderus has said, "Emphatica est construendi ratio," &c. (Synt. R. 18.) which, however, are nothing more than words put in mediate apposition with others, i. e. having an intervening particle expressed, which seems to be added rather for the purpose of modifying the signification of the preceding word, than for adding emphasis to the context: e. g. אַרָּ בְּרֶע הַרְּעָ דְּרָע הַרְּעָ הַרְעָ הַרְעָּע הַרְעָּיִ הְעָּע הַרְעָּיִ הְעָּבְּעְ הַרְעָּיִי בְּעָּעִי בְּיִי הְעִי בְּרְעָ הַרְעָּיִ הְעָּבְיּע הְעָּבְיּע הְעָּבְּיִי הְעָּבְיּע הְעָּבְּיִי הְעָּבְּיִי הְיִי הְיִי הְעִי הְיִבְּיִי הְיּבְּיִי הְעִי הְיִבְּיִי הְיִי הְעִבְּיִי הְיִי הְיִי הְיִי הְיִי הְיִי הְיִי הְיּתְ הְיִי הְיּי הְיּי הְיִי הְיִי הְיּי הְיּי הְיּי הְיּי הְיּי הְיּי הְיּי הְיִי הְיּי הְיּי הְיּי הְיִי הְיּי הְי

See also Ps. lxxxix. 14, cxviii. 7, Prov. xvi. 6, Is. xl. 10, Hos. xiii. 9.

5. Words are sometimes put in the mediate state of apposition by means of a conjunction. This is generally termed Hendiadys. Examples: אַר וְאַת a city and mother, i. e. a mother city, or metropolis, 2 Sam. xx. 19; ובערו ובערו וובערו וובערו וובערו וווי הרעים in Ramah and in his own city, i. e. in his city Ramah, 1 Sam. xxviii. 3; בּכְלִי הָרֹעִים ... ובּיַלְקוּט ... ובּיַלְקוּט ... ובּיַלְקוּט ... וווי the shepherds' vessel, and in the bag, Ib. xvii. 40.

See also Is. xxxvii. 18, 2 Chron. xvi. 14, Ps. lxvi. 20, lxxiv. 16, Dan. iii. 5, viii. 10, &c. And in the New Test., Mark xvi. 7, Acts xiv. 13, xxiii. 6, Eph. ii. 3, &c.

^{*} M. de Sacy remarks on the expression אוט פֿארט באנגל Lockman was a wise (man), Gram. Arab., vol. ii. p. 74. . . . " enfin באנגם sage, est un terme circonstantiel ou modificatif, un sur attribut . . . exprime sous une forme adverbiale, e qui équivaut à באנגל, ou à وَهُو حَكِيمٌ ." And in a note, " C'est ainsi que l'on peut dire إِيدٌ كَاذِبًا ou وَالْكَاذِينِي ou وَالْكَاذِينِي ou وَالْكِذِينِي ou مَا زِيدٌ كَاذِبًا ou وَالْكُوبِينِي a la lettre, non Zeidus mendacem, ou in mendaci, ou ex mendacibus." So Sophoc. Œdip. Rex. 1. 286, οὐκ ἐν ἀρνοῖς. Schol. τὸ ὄνομα ἀντὶ ἐπιρρήματος. οὐκ αργῶς.

On the Nouns of Time and Place.

220. Under this head may be placed certain words, signifying the time or place in which any thing is done, the construction of which in the Arabic is absolute:* these words are, therefore, construed by them as if in the objective case, and as standing in no need of any intervening particle: e. g. מַּבְּרֵלְיִם יָשְׁרַיִּם יְשִׁרַ יִשְׁרַ יִשְׁרַ יִשְׁרַ יִשְׁרַ יִשְׁרַ יִשְׁרַ בְּעַרִּוּ בְּאַרֶּהְ מִּי מִּבְּרַ מִּבְּרַתְּרְ בְּאַרָּתְר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרָּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרֶּר בְּאַרָּר בְּאַרָּר בְּאַרָּר בְּאַרָּר בְּאַרָּר בְּאַרָּר בְאַרְיִב בְּאַרָּר בְּאַרָּר בְּאַרָּר בּאַר בּאָב בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאָב בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאָר בּאָב בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאָר בּאָר בּאָר בּאָר בּאָר בּאָר בּאָר בּאָר בּאָר בּאָב בּאָר בּאָב בּאָב בּאָר בּאָב בּאָּב בּאָּב בּאַר בּאַר בּאָב בּאָּב בּאָּב בּאַר בּאַר בּאָּב בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאָב בּאָב בּאָב בּאָב בּאָב בּאָב בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר בּאַר

As the construction of the numerals will occasionally require the application of the other principle, which we have termed that of "definite construction," its consideration will be postponed till we come to treat on that subject specifically.

The preposition, however, is sometimes used: e.g. בְּתַחְתִּית דְּהָר they stood beneath the mount, Exod. xix. 18.

- 2. In all these cases, as the qualifying word seems to have no *formal* connection with the word qualified, its position may be termed *absolute*: and, in this point of view, it may be said to have the same relation to the word qualified that an adverb has when used for the purpose of qualifying a verb.
 - 3. We sometimes find a pronoun joined with the first

^{*} These are termed فاروف المكان والزمان containers of time and place:
e. g. قام المسجد fast ye (for) days numbered; مام المسجد before the mosque, Gram. Arab. M. de Sacy, vol. ii. p. 59, 60, &c.

of such nouns: as, אוֹל בְּדוֹ בְּד וּ HIS GARMENT (of) linen, Lev. vi. 3; אוֹ מַחֲסִי־עוֹ אַ אַ מַחְסִי־עוֹ (of) strength, Ps. lxxi. 7.

See also 1 Sam. xxii. 13, Is. xxxi. 7, Lam. iv. 17, Ezek. xvi. 27, &c.*

- 4. Attributives in Hebrew being considered as including some substantive in their signification (see Art. 217. 7.), need not, when put in apposition with other substantives, be made to agree with them formally, i. e. in the same gender and number: e. g. עוֹלְה בָּלִיל an offering, a complete one, i. e. a complete offering, 1 Sam. vii. 9; שְׁבֶּה מְּבֶּהְה מְבֶּהְ לְּבֶּה וֹלְנִם לְצִים לִצִים לִצְיִם לְצִים לְצִים לְצִים לְצִים לְצִים לִצִים לִצְיִם לְצִים לְצִים לִצִים לִצִים לִצִים לִצִים לִצְיִם לְצִים לִצִים לְצִים לְצִיים לִצְיִם לְצִיים לִצְיִם לְצִיים לִצְיִם לְצִיים לִצְיִם לְצִיים לִצְיִם לְצִיים לִצְיִם לְצִיים לִצִיים לִצְיִם לְצִיים לְצִיים לִצִיים לִצְיִם לְצִיים לִצְיִם לְצִיים לִצְיִם לְצִיים לִצִיים לִצִיים לִצִיים לִצִּיים לִצְיִים לְצִיים לִצִיים לִצִיים לִצִּיים לִצְיִים לְצִיים לְצִיים לִצִיים לִצִּיִּים לִצְיִים לְצִיים לְצִיים לְצִיים לְצִיים לְצִיים לִצִּיים לִצִּיים לִצִּיִים לְצִיים לִצִּיים לִצִּיים לִצִּיים לִצִּיים לִצִּיים לִּצִיים לִצִּיים לִּצִיים לִּלְבִּיִּים לִּצִיים לִּצִיים לִצִּיִים לִּצִיים לִּצִיים לִּצִיים לִּצִיים לִּבְּיִּים לִּבְּיִים לִּבְּיִּים לִּבְּיִים לִּבְּיִים לִּבְיִים לִּבְּיִים לִּבְּיִּים לִּבְּיִים לִּבְּיִּים לִּבְּיִים לִים לִּיִים לִּיִּים לִּבְּיִים לִּיִּים לִּיִּים לִּיִּים לִּבְּיִּים לִּיִּים לִּיִים לִּיִים לִּיִּים לִּיִּים לִּיִּים לִּיִּים לִּיִּים לִּיִּים לְּיִים לְּיִּים לְּיִים לִּיִּים לִּיִּיִּים לְּיִּים לִּיִּים לִּים לִּים לִּים לִּים לִּים לִּים לִּייִים לִּים לִּיִים לְּיִים לְּיִים לִּיִּים לְּיִים לְּיִים לִּים לְּיִים לְּיִים לְּיִים לְּיִים לְּיִים לְּיִים לִּייִּים לִּייִים לִּייִים לִּייִים לִּייִים לִּייִים לִּייִּיִים לִּייִים לִּיים
- 5. When, however, attributives are put in apposition with substantives, they must agree with them in a logical point of view at least; i. e. if the substantive is either definite or indefinite in signification, the attributive must also be made definite or indefinite. As to number and gender, the agreement is that of a predicate with its subject (see Art. 215, &c.). And, with respect to the order, it will be the same as before (Art. 212. 3.): e. g. מוֹלְרָיִם מִּיְרָיִם מַּיִּרִים בָּיִרִים בָּיִרִים בָּיִרִים בַּיִּרִים בָּיִרִים בַּיִרִים בַּיִרִים בַּיִרִים בַּיִרִים בַּיִרִים בָּיִרִים בְּיִרִים בָּיִרִים בְּיִרִים בְּיִּים בְּיִּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים בְּיִּים
- 6. Pronouns have the property of making the words to which they are attached definite; and hence, in the last example, the article is necessarily affixed to the

^{*} Examples of this description have been improperly laid down by Schrederus as being in the definite state of construction. Synt. R. 10.

attribute נוֹלְדִים. Hence also, it is only when the antecedent is definite, that the relative pronoun אָשֶׁר, or any equivalent term being also definite, ought in any case to be introduced: e.g. אַשֶּׁר נְתַהָּה עִמְּדִי דִּבְּעָה אַשֶּׁר דִּבְּעָה אַשְּׁר בְּעָבּוּ הַאָּשֶׁר בְּעָבּוּ הַאָּשֶׁר בְּעָבּוּ הַאָּשֶׁר בְּעָבּוּ הַאַּשְׁר בְּעָבּוּ הַבּוּנִי עִּמְד שִׁר בְּעָבּוּ הַבּוּבְּן שִׁר בְּעָבּוֹר מָאוֹש בַּנְעָבּוֹר מָאוֹש בַּנְעָבּוֹר מָאוֹש בַּנְעָבּוֹר מָאוֹש בַּנְעָבּוֹר מָאוֹש בַּנְעָבּוֹר מָהוֹבְּן עִּמְרֹר עָבִּוֹר הַבּוֹבְּן בִּבְּעִבּוֹר מָהוֹבְּן בִּבְּעִבּוֹר מָהוֹבְּן עִּבְּוֹר מָהוֹבְּן עִּבְּוֹר מָהוֹבְּן מִּבְּיִם בּעָבִּוֹר מָהוֹבְּן עִּבְּוֹר מָהוֹבְּן עִּבְּוֹר מָהוֹבְיִי בַּעְּבִּיוֹר מָהוֹבְּן מִינִים בּעִבּיוֹר מָבּוּבְיִי בַּעָבְּיִ בְּעָבְּיִם בּעִּבְּיִם בּעְבִּיוֹר בּיִבְּעִבּיוֹר מָהוֹבְי עִּבְּיִבְּי בַּבְּיִבְּיִם בּעִבּיוֹר מָהוֹבְי עִּבְּיִים בּעְבִּיִם בּעְבִּיוֹר בְּבִּיִים בּעְבִּיוֹר בּיִבְּיִים בּעְבִיוּ בְּבְּיִבְּיִם בּעִבּיוֹר מָבּיִים בּעִבּיוֹר מָבּיִים בּעִבּיוֹר מָבּייִים בּעִבּיוֹר מָבְּיִבְּיִים בּעִבּיוֹר מָבּייִים בּעִבּיוֹר מִייִּים בּעִבּיוֹר מָבְיּבְיִים בּעִבּיוֹר מִייִּים בּעִבּיוֹר מִייִים בּיִים בּיִּים בּבְּיִים בּבְּיִים בּבּיִים בּבּיים בּעִבּיוֹר מִייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּיים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִּים בּייִּבְיים בּייִּבְיים בּייִּבּיים בּייִּים בּייִבּיים בּייִּים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִּים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִּים בּייִבּיים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִּבְּיִים בּיים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִבּיים בּייִים בּייִּבְיים בּייִּבְייִים בּייִים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּייִים בּייִּבְיים בּייִבּים בּייִים בּייִים בּיים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִּים בּייִּבְיים בּייִּבְּיִים בּייִּבְייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִּים בּייִּבְייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייים בּייים בּייים בּייים בּייים בּייִּים בּייים בּייִים בּייים בּייי

- 7. In some instances, however, the attributive appears to be made definite, when the preceding substantive is not so: as, אַבְּיִלֵּים בּיִּבְּיִים בּיִבְּיִלִים בּיִבְּיִלִּים בּיִבְּיִּלִים בּיִבְּיִּלִים בּיִבְּיִּלִים בּיִבְּיִּלִים בּיִבְּיִלִּים בּיִבְּיִלִּים בּיִבְּיִלִּים בּיִּבְּיִּלִים בּיִבְּיִּלִים בּיִּבְּיִּים בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְיִּים בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּיִם בּיִּבְּיִם בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּיִם בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּיִּבְּים בּיִּבְּיִּבְּיִבְּים בּיִּבְּיִבְּים בּיִּבְּיִבְּים בּיִּבְּיִבְּים בּיִּבְּיִבְּים בּיִּבְּים בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּיִּם בּיִּבְּים בּיּבְּיםם בּיּבּים בּיּבְּים בּיּבּים בּיּבּים בּיּבּים בּיים בּיּבּים בּיּבּים בּיּים בּיּבּים בּיּבּים בּיּים בּיּים בּיבּים בּיּים בּיּים בּיּים בּיּים בּיּים בּיּים בּיּים בּיּים בּיים בּיּים בּיים בּיּים בּיּים בּייִּים בּיּים בּיּים בּיים בּיּים בּיים בּיּים בּיּים בּיּים בּיּים בּיים בּיבּים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיבּים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּיים בּייבּים בּיב
- 9. Proper names are to a certain degree definite in their own right; they do not, therefore, receive the article. Nor will two nouns in the state of definite construction admit of the article being prefixed to the first; as, חַלְּבֶר הַפְּלֶּךְה or חַלְּבֶר הַפְּלֶּךְה in all these cases, the last word (whether it be a proper name and therefore definite in itself, or, an appellative with an article) is added for the purpose of defining the first; which will not then admit of being made still more so, by the further addition of the article.
- 10. There are, however, many examples in which this doctrine about the force of the article seems to be contravened; and in order to be able to account for these,

it becomes necessary here to consider the principles by which the use of the article is regulated.

On the Use of the Article:

221. The article is used for two purposes generally (Art. 180. 14.); first, to point out any person or thing already mentioned or well known; as, אָרֶץ the earth, Gen. i. 2, which had just been mentioned; or, שַׁבְּשֶׁלָּי the sun. Secondly, to restrict the bearing of any generic, abstract, or other noun, to the peculiar property, quality, &c. expressed by such word; e.g. Gen. xviii. 25, הַלְלָה לְדָּ מִעֲשֹׁת כַּדָּבָר הַזֶּה לְהָמִית.צַדִּיק עִם־רָשֶׁע וְהָיָה בּצְרִיק בֶּרְשָׁע far be it from thee to do after this manner, to put to death righteous and evil; so, the righteous shall become as the wicked: or, and thus the man characterized as RIGHTEOUS shall be (considered hereafter) as the man designated as wicked. So Ib. xiii. 7, והכנעני יוֹהַפְּרִיִּי אָז ישׁב בָּאָרֶץ and the Canaanite and the Perizzite dwelt then in the land. So also with respect to abstract nouns: 1 Kings vii. 14, וַיְּמֵלָא אָת־הַהַכְמָה וָאֶת־הַתְּבוּנָה וְאֶת־הַדַּעַת לַעֲשׁוֹת כָּל־מְלָאכָה בַּנָּחשֶׁת and, he is filled with such wisdom, and intelligence, and knowledge, (as are necessary) for making all work in brass,* &c. See also Gen. xxiii. 17, אָנָץ; Deut. xxxii. 4, קאיש; 2 Sam. xii. 7, אָליָט, &c.

^{*} The following account of the force of the Arabic definite article is taken from a treatise on the Arabic Syntax, printed at Madras in 1820, p. ٢٢٠٠٠ ما عرف باللم وهي علي اربعة اقسام الاول ما يشير به الي المهية من حيث هي هي بلا اعتبار فرد، او افراد نحو الرجل خير من الامراة ومن ثم اجيز الدينار الحمر والدرهم البيض ويسم بلام الجنس . . . والثاني ما

2. The reason of the rule seems to be this. These generic, or abstract, nouns may be considered as proper names for the ideas for which they severally stand; and, therefore, as definite within themselves to a certain degree. But, as they may stand for any individual of the species to which they belong, and, likewise, for every individual, they may represent whole classes. In this case, the addition of the definite article can affect their signification in no other way, than by giving a sort of importance, not to such class or individual, but to the property expressed by its name. And, again, any proper name receiving the definite article, may be considered as an attribute expressive of the properties, &c. expressed by such name.

يشير به الى المهية الموجودة في ضمن فرد معهود بين المتكلم والمخاطب في الخارج نحو ليس الذكر كالأنثي ويسمي بالعهد المخارجي والثالث ما يشير به الى المهية الموجودة في الذهن في ضمن فرد ما نحو اني خاف ان يأكله الذُّنِّب ويسمى بالعهد الذهني وهذا في المعنى كالنكرة واما في اللفظ فيجري علية احكام المعارف . . . والرابع ما يشير الى المهية الموجودة في ضمن جميع الافراد نحو أن الانسان لفي خسر بدليّل صحة That which is made . الاستثناء وهو الا الذين امنوا ويسمى بلام الاستغراق. definite by the article, is of four sorts. The first is, any word intimating character as it exists, without regard to particular or particulars; as, THE MAN is better than the woman. And hence by a metonymy, The RED Dinar, the WHITE Dirhem, (i. e. of gold or silver, respectively). This is termed the article of kind. The second is that by which the character existing externally and habitually in an individual, is pointed out between the first and second person (in discourse); as, The MALE is not like THE FEMALE. This is termed, The external convention ... The third is that which points out the character of something inherent in any individual mentally: as, I am afraid THE WOLF will devour him. This is termed, The mental convention. In this the word is not actually defined, although it has received the form of words defined. The fourth is that which points out the property found to exist in all the individuals of any species; as, "THE MAN" (i. e. man generally) "is certainly" (implicated) " in sorrow:"-in order to point out the force of the exception (following, viz.) "except those who believe." This is termed the article of immersion. The Persians express these powers by adding the letter _s to nouns. See Sir William Jones's Persian Grammar, edit. ix. pp. 26, 27, &c.

- 4. This sometimes takes place also, when a proper name, compounded of two words, is put in the definite state of construction; as, אַבְּיבוּ הְּצִּיבִי הְצִּיבִי הְצִּיבִי הְצִּיבִי הְצִּיבִי הַ הַּצִּיבִי הַ הַּצְּיבִי הַ הַּצְּיבִי הַ הַּצְּיבִי הַ הַּיְצְיִי הַ בַּיִּבְירִ הַּיִּצְיִי הַ בַּיִּבְירִ הַּיִּצְיִי הַ בַּיִּבְירִ הַּיְצְיִי חַ בַּיִּבְירִ הַּיְצְיִי חַ בַּיִּבְיִ הְיַבְיִר הַּיְצְיִי חַ בַּיִּבְיִר הַיְּצְיִים בּיִּבְיִי מַרְיבִּי הַ בְּיִבְיִר הַּיְצְיִיִי בְּיִבְיִר הַיְּצְיִיִי בְּיִבְיִר הַיְּצְיִיִי בְּיִבְיִר הַיְּצְיִיִים בּיִּצְיִים בּיִּבְיִר הַיְּצְיִים בּיִּבְיִי בְּיִבְיִּר הַיְּצְיִיִּים בּיִּצְיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בּיִּבְּיִים בּיִּבְיִים בְּיִבְיִּם בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּבְּיִבְּיִ הְיִבְּיִם בְּיבְּיִים בְּבְּיִבְּיִ הְיִבְיִּת בְּיִבְיִּים בְּבְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּבְּבְּיִים בְּבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְיבִּים בּיִּבְּיִים בְּיבְּיבִים בּיִבְּיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְּיבְּיִים בְּיבְּיבְים בְּיבְּיבִים בְּיבְּיבְים בְּיבְּיבְים בְּיבִּים בְּיבְּיבְים בְּיבְּיבְים בְּיבּים בְּיבְּיבְיים בְּיבּים בּיוּ בּבּיבְיים בּיבּים בּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיִים בּיבּים בּיבְּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּיים בּיבּיים בּיבּים בּיבּיים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּיים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּיים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּיים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּיים בּיבּים בּיבּיים בּיבּיים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּיים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּיים בּיבּיים בּיבּים בּבּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּיבּים בּיבּיבּיים בּיבּיים בּיבּים בּיבּים בּיבּיבּים בּ
- 5. Some appellatives which are much in use, seem to take the article by way of distinction: † as, אַרָּה the well, Exod. ii. 15; the servant, Gen. xviii. 7, &c. just as we use the corresponding

^{*} In the äthic is lost by Essoyuti, we have the following remark to this effect: فان قيل فالفرات ايضاً معرفة فلم دخلته الالف الوصف كالعباس واللام فالجواب ان ذلك جايز في كل معرفة اصله الوصف كالعباس واللام فالجواب ان ذلك جايز في كل معرفة اصله الوصف كالعباس والحارث والفرات هو الماء العذب قال تعالى واسقيناكم ماء فرآتا be said, Why is El Farat with the article, when its addition is not allowable? The answer is, that this is allowable in all proper names the origin of which is AN ATTRIBUTIVE; as, العباس El Abbas; الحارث El Harith. And as to El Farat, its signification is, the Sweet water as it is said in the Koran, "And we will surely water you with sweet water." (Sur. 77.). See Weiske on the Greek Pleonasms, p. 23, edit. 1813; Freytag's Hamasa, p. 17 line 16, &c.

[†] This the Arabs term الغلبة prevalency, i. e. a sort of antonomasia. So in the Greek, ὁ ποιητὴς: ὁ ἄν, καὶ ὁ ἦν, καὶ ὁ ἔρχόμενος. See also Freytag's Hamasa, p. 1—

words in English without intending to make the word definite. For a similar reason perhaps others omit it: as, אַרָ שְׁי great king, for the great king, Ps. xxi. 2, xlv. 16, xlviii. 3, xlix. 7, lxii. 1, Esth. i. 9. So שֵׁשֶׁשׁ for שֵׁשֶׁשׁ Ps. lxxii. 17, Jer. xxxi. 35, &c. So ץ־שָאָרָ Ps. ii. 2, Job iii. 14, xxxv. 11, &c.; and בּילִהֹים frequently for בּילִהֹים, &c. see No. 3.

Adverbs and other qualifying Terms.

- 222. Words generally construed as adverbs are nothing more than nouns, attributives, or particles, put in *immediate*, or *mediate*, apposition with those which they are intended to qualify.* The order is regulated as before (Art. 212. 3.). Examples of such words in *immediate* apposition; בוֹנְלְשׁׁתִּל הַשְּׁבֶּם וְהַשָּׁבְּם וְהַשְּׁבָּם וְהַשָּׁבְּם וְהַשָּׁבְּם וְהַשָּׁבְּם וְהַשָּׁבְּם נִינִישׁ הַפְּלְשׁׁתִּל הַשְּׁבֶּם וְהַשָּׁבְּם וְהַשָּׁבְּם נִינִישְׁבָּם נִינִייִים בּשְׁבָּם נִינִישְׁבָּם נִינִישְׁבָּם נִינִישְׁבָּם נִינִייִים נִינִישְׁבָּם נִינִייִּים נִינִישְׁבָּם נִינִייִים נִינִישְׁבָּם נִינִייִּים נִינִישְׁבָּם נִינִייִּים נִינִייִּים נִינִישְׁבָּם נִינִייִּים נִינִייִּים נִינִייִים נִינִייִּים נִינִייִים נִינִיים נִינִיים נִינִייִּים נִינִייִּים נִינִייִים נִינִייִים נִינִייִים נִינִייִים נִינִייִים נִינִיים נִינִייִים נִינִייִים נִינִייִים נִינִייִים נִינִייִים נִייִּים נִינִיים נִינִיים נִייִּים נִינִיים נִינִיים נִינִיים נִייִּים נִינִיים נִינִיים נִיים נִייִּים נִינִיים נִינִיים נִייִּים נִינִיים נִייִים נִייִּים נִייִּים נִייִים נִייִּים נִייִּים נִינִיים נִייִּים נִייִים נִיים נִייִים נִייִּים נִייִים נִייִּים נִייִּים נִייִים נְּיִים נְיִיים נְּיִים נְייִּים נְּיִים נְּיִים נְּיִים נְּיִים נְיִּים נִייִּים נְייִּים נְּיִים נְייִים נְיִים נְייִים נְיִיים נְיִים נִייִּים נְייִים נְייִים נְייִים נְייִים נְיִיים נְייִים נְיּים נְייִים נְּיִים נְיִים נְייִים נְיִייִּים נְייִים נְייִּים נְייִים נְייִים נְייִים נְייִים נְייִים נְייִים נְייִים נְייִּים נְייִים נְייִּים נְייִים נְייִים נְייִּים נְייִים נְייִים נְייִיים נְייִּים נְייִים נְייִים נְייִּים נְייִים נִייִּים נְייִים נְייִי
- 2. Examples of such words in *mediate* apposition with others, i. e. with some particle or other word or

^{*} The construction is here, as before, absolute when immediate. This is termed by the Arabian Grammarians i. e. the state; and, as it consists of one or more words added for the purpose of qualifying either the subject or object of a verb, it must necessarily be an attributive. Mr. de Sacy seems to have confounded the , with the indicate which is always a substantive. The principle, however, is the same in both.

[†] Ibn Malik says on the use of the Infinitive thus added, [الكُخْبُ تُوكِيدُ اللهِ اللهُ عَدْلُ. كُسُرتُ سَيْرَيْنِ سَيْرَ ذَي رَشَدُ (It is selected for the purpose of giving emphasis, or to specify, or to intimate number: as, I went two journeys, or, on a journey of observation." So in the Greek; ος ἄν καταφεύγων καταφύγη ἐς τούτους. Herodot. iv. 23. See Weiske's Pleonas. Græc., Ed. 1813, pp. 9. 15. 27. Bos. Ellipses Græc., p. 3., Edit. 1813.

words intervening: יְהֵוְ־אֹבֶל לְמַבְבִּיר he giveth food to abundance, i.e. abundantly, Job xxxvi. 31; יְבְרְחוֹ בְּהַתְבֵא they fled in becoming hidden, i.e. secretly, Dan. x. 7, &c.

- 3. In all these cases specification is obviously the purpose for which such words have been introduced. With verbs these will necessarily be construed as adverbs, with nouns as adjectives, attributes, or the like. The addition of the particle can have no other influence than that of uniting the force of the several terms used, for the purpose of giving perspicuity to the whole.
- 4. Hence, when it is necessary to express the commencement, repetition, hurry, progress, the power of effecting, the intensity, certainty, &c. of, any action, passion, &c. this may be done either by putting two verbs in the tense and person suitable to the passage, with or without the copulative conjunction; or, by one verb only in such tense and person, and the other, either in the Infinitive, or in the Participial, form: * e. g. מֹל בְּיֵל בְּיֵל begin, possess, i. e. begin to possess, Deut. ii. 31;

and desert. These words are conjoined for the purpose of strengthening the passage, just as the poet Tarafat has said, When I come near him, he wanders and separates from me." So also Weiske Isagoge, §. 16, and Winer's Grammar of the New Testament, p. 91, Edit. Andover, 1825.

5. The repetition of nouns or particles is often recurred to, for the purpose of denoting distribution, diversity, comparison, or the like: e. g. שַׁנִים שְׁנִים מּשׁׁנִים שׁנִים שׁנִים שׁנִים שׁנִים שׁנִים שׁנִים מּשׁׁנִים מּשׁׁנִים שְׁנִים מְּשׁׁנִים מְּשׁׁנִים מְּשׁׁנִים מְּשׁׁנִים מְּשׁׁנִים מְּשׁׁנִים מְשְׁנִים מְשְׁנִים מְשְׁנִים מְשְׁנִים מְשְׁנִים מְּשׁׁנִים מּשׁׁנִים מּשׁׁנִים מּשׁׁנִים מּשׁׁנִים מּשׁׁנִים מּשְׁנִים מְּשְׁנִים מְּשְּיִּים מְּשְׁנִים מְּשְׁנִים מְּשְׁנִים מְּשְׁנִים מְּשְׁנִים מְּשְׁנִים מְשְּיִּים מְּשְׁנִים מְּשְּׁנִים מְּשְׁנִים מְּשְׁנִים מְּשְּׁנִים מְּים מְּשְׁנִּים מְּשְּׁנִים מְּיִּים מְּשְׁנִים מְּיִּים מְּיְּים מְּיִּים מְּיִּים מְּיְּים מְּיִּים מְּיְּבְּים מְּיִּים מְּיְּים מְּיִּים מְּיְּים מְּיְּים מְּיִּים מְּיְּים מְּים מְּיְּים מְּיְּים מְּיְּים מְּיִּים מְּיְּים מְּיְּים מְּיְּים מְּיְּבְּים מְ

- 6. When, however, any demonstrative pronoun is used; or, a singular personal pronoun referring to an antecedent in the plural number, distribution is generally intended: e. g. אַל־וָּה אָל־וָּה שִּלְּהֹי this to this, i. e. one to another, Exod. xiv. 20; אַלְּהְרָּה וְנְדֵר מוּה נְּבָר מִנָּה וְנְדֵר מוּה this, i. e. one each side, Num. xxii. 24; מוּ מוּ מוּ מוּ בִּירַבּצְא לְּמְלְּהְטָה עַל־אֹיְבֶּךְ וּנְתָנוֹ יְהֹוָה שׁׁה when thou goest to the war against thy enemies, and Jehovah shall give him, i. e. wholly, or every one of them, Deut. xxi. 10; וַתִּצְּבְּנוֹ וַהַּצְּבְּנִי הַבְּנְשִׁים וַהַּצְּבְּנוֹ הַרְצָּבְּנוֹ וַהְצְבְּנִוֹ וַהְצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וַהַּצְבְּנִים וֹהַצְבְּנִים וֹהָצִבְּנִים וֹהַצְבְּנִים וֹהַא so the woman takes вотн оf them, Josh. ii. 4.

On the emphatic repetition of Words or Phrases.

223. If, upon the addition of one word or phrase, another will be made more definite, specific, &c., then upon the repetition of either, a still greater definiteness, perspicuity, energy, fervency, or the like, will be given to the context: לְּיִנֶּים בְּרָעִים בְּרָעִים דִּרָעִים בּיִרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּעִם בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּרְעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִּם בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִּם בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִּים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִּם בּיִּעִּם בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִּם בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִיבְּיִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִּים בּיִּעִּים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִּים בּיִּעִּים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִים בּיִּעִּים בּיּעִים בּיִּיבְּיבְּיִּים בּיּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּיבְּיִּים בּיּיִים בּיּיִּים בּיּיִּים בּיִּיבְּים בּיּיִּים בּיִּים בּיּיִים בּיִּים בּיּיִּים בּיּיִים בּיּיִּים בּיּיִּים בּיִּים בּיִּים בּיּיִים בּיּיִּים בּיּיִּים בּיִּיּים בּיּיִים בּיּיִים בּיִּים בּיּיִים בּיּיִים בּייִּים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִּים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִּים בּייִים בּייִּים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִייִים בּייִּים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִּים בּייִים בּייִים בּייִּים בּייִיים בּייִּייִים בּייִּיים בּייִּים בּייִיים בּייִיים בּייִּייִים בּיייִים בּייִיים בּייִיים בּייִיים בּייִּיים בּייִיים בּיייִּיים בּיייִיים בּייייִיים בּייייייִים בּייייִיייים בּיייייים בּיייייים

^{*} See Weiske on the Greek Pleonasms, Isagoge, § 15, &c., Ed. 1813.

[†] For similar examples in the Arabic, see Mr. de Sacy's Gram., vol. ii.

דיבוּ (בְּבּר, from your evil ways, Ezek. xxxiii. 11; רִבּרּ contend with your mother, contend ye, Hos. ii. 4; הַיְכֵל יְהֹוֶה הֵיכֵל יְהֹוֶה הֵיכֵל יְהֹוֶה הֵיכֵל יְהֹוֶה הֵיכֵל יְהֹוֶה הַיכֵל יְהֹוֶה הַיכֵל יְהֹוֶה הַיכֵל יְהֹוֶה הַיכֵל יְהֹוֶה הַיכֵל יִהוֹה הַיכֵל יִהוֹיְה הִיכֵל יִהוֹיְה הַיכֵל יִהוֹיִה הִיכֵל יִהוֹיְה הִייִּל יִבְּיה הַיִּבְּל יִהוֹיִה הִייִּל יִבְּיה הַיִּבְּל יִהוֹיִם הַיִּבְּיּ הַיִּבְּיּ הַיִּיְם הַּיּיְ הַיִּבְּיּ הַיְּבְּיּה הַיְּל יִהְוֹה הִייְּל יִהְוֹיִי הְיִל יִהְיִּה הְיִים הַיִּיְיְל יִהְוֹים הַיְּיִּיְל יִהְיִים הַיְּיִים הַיְּבְּיּים הַיְּיְל יִהְיּה הַיּיְל יִבְּיּים הַיּיְיִים הַיּיְל יִיהְיִים הַיּים הַיּיְיִים הַיִּים הַיְיִים הַיּיְים הַיְּיִים הַיּיְיִים הַיְיְיִים הְיִים הַיְיִים הַיּיְיִים הַיְיִים הַיּיְיִים הַיּיְיִים הַיְיְיִים הְיִים הַיְיִים הְיִים הַיְיִים הְיִים הַיְיִים הְיִים הְיִים הְיּיִים הְיִים הְיּים הְיִים הְיִים הְיּים הְיִים הְיִים הְיִיבְּיּים הְיִים הְיִיבְּים הְיִים הְיִיבְּים הְיִיבְּים הְיּיבְּים הְיבְּיב הְיּיבְּים הְיּיב הְיבְּיב הְיבְּיב הְיבְּיב הְיבְיב הְיבְּיב הְיבְּיב הְיּיל יְיבְּיב הְיבְּיב הְיב הְיבְּיב ה

2. So with the pronouns: מְשִׁנְבִּי וְּמְבַּלְטִי־לִי my high tower, and my deliverer for me, i. e. my sure deliverer, Ps. cxliv. 2; מְלִי מִּוֹחְ מִּי וְתֵּן מוֹתִי צְּנִי תַחְתְּיךְ who will put my death, me, in the place of thee! i. e. O that some one had slain me, even me, instead of thee! 2 Sam. xix. 1; וְבִּיבֶם אַתֶּם וְפָּלוֹ and your carcases (even) you shall fall, Num. xiv. 32. See Artt. 216. 4. 219. 5.

So בַּשְׁעֵךְ רְּמַשְׁעֵרְה, masc. and fem. i. e. every species of support, Is. iii. 1. See the Concessus of Hariri by Schultens, p. 36, and the Moallakah Antaræ by Menil (Lugd. Bat. 1816.), v. 71, הيظمة وشيظم, with the Scholia of Zúzení, at p. 113.

3. Hence, also, words put in the plural number, (which

3. Hence, also, words put in the plural number, (which according to our system, consists of the juxta-position of two words) may be considered as emphatical: e. g. מלהים God; אַלהִים Baal; בּעָלִים Ashtaroth, Venus; הַבְּמוֹת the Behemoth; הַבְּמוֹת real wisdom, &c. by way of eminence, and which may hence be termed the plural of excellence.

Art. 671—2—676; Moolla Jami's Commentary on the Kafia, p. 170. And with the pronouns, Mr. de Sacy's Gram., vol. ii. pp. 359, 360.

On Nouns put in the Definite State of Construction.

- 224. Of this construction, or regimen as it has been sometimes called, there are two kinds (see Art. 217. 7.). The first we have termed the *definite*, the second the *distinctive*, state of construction; merely to denote the different kinds of words thus used.
- 2. Of the definite state of construction we may reckon two kinds: viz. the Immediate, and the Mediate. The Immediate is that which presents two or more substantive nouns (not being names for the same thing), so connected that the idea conveyed by them becomes sufficiently defined for the purposes of the speaker or writer. The Mediate is that, in which this is done by the intervention of one or more of the particles.*
 - 3. Examples of nouns in the definite state of con-

* The truth is, however, the particles themselves are really nouns, as already intimated; and, when they intervene, the construction is either that of apposition, or, of definite construction, or both. We have adopted the term

the same thing. See p. 291, note.

mediate, both here and in Art. 217. 5., in order to conform to the usual mode of considering these skeletons of words. Hereafter, perhaps, we may get rid of this distinction.—According to the doctrine of the Arabian Grammarians, every example of immediate construction which can occur, may be resolved by an equivalent mediate one: e. g. غلم زيد the slave of Zaid, is, according to them, equivalent to غلم زيد. So غلم أويد , to غفض ألم نوب أليوم of (or out of) silver; and من فضة في اليوم of to day, to a stroke or blow of to-day, to a stroke or blow happening to-day. See the Shurho Moolla Jami, p. ٢٠٢, &c. This process, according to my notions, is making the construction less simple than it stood originally; in other words, it is making a plain matter difficult, by placing a greater number of words in construction than in the original example. It shews, however, that both signify

struction termed Immediate: שַׁר־צְּבָאוֹ captain (of) his host, 1 Sam. xxvi. 5; שַּׁר־צְּבָאוֹ of the wives (of) the sons (of) the prophets, 2 Kings iv. 1; בְּהַרּפְרַת the river (of) Euphrates, Gen. xv. 18; קְּרָיַת חָצוֹת the town (of) Khuzoth, Num. xxii. 39; the virgin (of) the daughter (of) my people, Jer. xiv. 17.

- 6. This construction, however, will admit of more than one solution. For, first, if we consider the particles, &c. as nouns,

^{*} A similar practice exists in the Persian with respect to the vowels which mark the state of construction: e. g. وقت رفتن the time of going, &c. So وقت رفتن the will of (him who is) without a when, i. e. is eternal.

But in these cases both وقت مناه على المناه المنا

- which I believe we ought to do, we shall have nothing more than an immediate state of construction, with the preceding word in the proper form for such a state (Art. 171.). And, 2dly, if we suppose the relative pronoun TWS who, which, &c. to have been omitted by the ellipsis, which has been preferred by Schræderus, the construction will also be explained.
- 7. In some cases, however, the order is inverted, and has hence been termed Hypallage; e. g. פַּפֶּר סִיבִּים argentum scoriarum, for scoriæ argenti, Prov. xxvi. 23; מְלֵבֵּן דְּעָבֵּים oblatio lignorum, for ligna oblationum. See also Lev. v. 15, vii. 21, xxii. 4, Deut. xii. 3, Josh. ii. 6, 1 Kings xvii. 14, Ezek. xxi. 30, Est. ix. 19.
- 8. As this combination takes place only for the purpose of presenting some definite idea to the mind, should it be necessary further to define or qualify them by the addition of any pronoun, &c. such pronoun, &c. will generally be added to the last: e. g. אַרָּישׁי בּסְלֵּי בַּסְבֶּי בַּסְבֶּי בַּסְבָּי בַּסְבָּי בַּסְבָּי בַּסְבָּי בַּסְבָּי בַּסְבָּי the mountain (of) my holiness, i. e. my holy mountain, Ps. ii. 6; אַרָּי בַּסְבָּי נַסְבָּבְי נַסְבָּי נַסְבָּבְי נַסְבָּי נַסְבָּי נַסְבָּי נַסְבָּי נַסְבָּי נַסְבָּי נַסְבָּבְי נַסְבָּי נַבְּי נַסְבָּי נַבְּי נַבְּי נַבְּי נַבְיּי נַסְבָּי נַבְּבְּי נַבְי נַבְּי נַבְּי נַבְּי נַבְי נַבְּי נַבְּי נַבְי נַבְּי נַבְי נַבְּי נַבְי בַּי נַבְי נְבְי נַבְי נַבְ

See also Exod. xxviii. 38, Neh. ix. 14, Ps. iv. 2, lix. 18, cx. 2, cxix. 123, Is. ii. 20, xxx. 22, xxxi. 7, Dan. ix. 24. For similar constructions in the New Testament, see Rom. vii. 24, Col. i. 13, &c.

9. In the preceding examples, the qualified and qualifying noun have been considered as in juxta-position with one another; still this is neither necessary, nor is it always found, for in some instances we have the intervention of particles, such as the definite article, &c., in others, whole words or phrases: e. g. אַרְאָיִ וְשָׁבִיּעִי וְשִׁבְּעִי וְשִּבְּעִי וְשִּבְעִי וְשִׁבְּעִי וְשִׁבְעִי וְשִׁבְּעִי וְשִׁבְּעִי וְשִׁבְּעִי וְבִּעְּרִוּ אַנִי בְּעִרוּן אַנְי בְּעִי וְבִּעְּרִוּ אַנִי בְּעִי וְבִּעְרִוּ אַנִי בְּעִי וְבִּעְרִוּ אַנִי בְּעִי וְבִּעְרִוּ אַנִי בְּעִי וְבִּעְרִי בְּעִי וְבִּעְרִוּ בְּעִי בְּעִי בְּעִי בְּעִי בְּעִי בְּעִי וְבִּעְרִוּ אַנִי בְּעִי בְעִי בְּעִי בְּי בְּעִי בְּעְי בְּעִי בְּבְּבְּעוּי בְּעִי בְּעִי בְּעִי בְּעִי בְּעִי בְּעּבְּי בְּעִי ב

See also Gen. vii. 6, Jos. xx. 3, Isa. v. 24, xx. 1, Prov. xxv. 8, &c. Storr thinks that these examples are not to be considered as in the state of construction, but to be accounted for in some other way (Observ., p. 282.). I believe it is of little importance whether we consider them in this point of view, or as in apposition

with the preceding noun, or otherwise qualifying it, the end arrived at is precisely the same in every point of view: the only question here being, whether one or more words do not parenthetically intervene between such qualified and qualifying terms. That such is the case, perhaps, there can be little doubt; and, as the usage of the language, in this particular, is all we wish to ascertain, we need not be very anxious as to the terms used, particularly where no change of vowels or consonants can be appealed to as decisive on the subject. The parallelism of the following passage requires that the construction be considered as definite: אַרָּבָּי בְּיַבָּי בַּיִּבָּי בַּיִּבָּי בַּיִּבָּי בַּיִבְּי בַּיִּבְּי בַּיִּבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְּי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְי בַּיִבְּי בַּיִבְי בַּיבְי בַּיבְי בַּיבִי בַּיבְי בַּיבְי בַּיבְי בַּיבְי בַּיבִי בּיבִי בּיבִי בּיבִי בּיבִי בּיבְי בּיבּי ב

- 10. Great care should be taken in translating passages found in this state of construction, i. e. in considering whether the last of such words is to be taken in an active or passive sense: e. g. בוֹאָב וֹל the reproach of Moab, Zeph. ii. 8, is not to be understood as the reproach afflicting Moab, but that which they inflict on others. So בְּבְבִי וֹלְ Ps. lxxxix. 51, the reproach of thy servants, is that which they suffer, not that which they inflict. In like manner, ... בְּבִי יִרְבַּעֵל het violence (exercised by the) inhabitants, Exek. xii. 19; but בְּבָּבִי בְּבַבְּי וֹל the violence (suffered by the) children of Jerubbaal, Judg. ix. 24. בְּבִי וֹלְבַעַּל the cry of the poor, Prov. xxi. 13: but בְּבַי וֹל the cry (excited by the oppression) of Sodom, Gen. xviii. 20. The same holds good with reference to the pronouns. See Gen. xvi. 15, l. 4, Jer. li. 35, 2 Sam. xvi. 12, Is. xxi. 2, Ps. xcviii. 8, lvi. 13, &c. See also Art. 146. 8. note.
- 11. The following are examples of what has been termed the "mediate state of construction," i. e. when the connection of nouns thus situated is, in one way or other, defined or modified by the intervention of one or

^{*} So in the Arabic يوماً يهور يوماً يهور just as the book was written by the hand, one day, of a Jew. See Gram. Arab. M. de Sacy, vol. ii. p. 125—6.

more of the particles:* קוֹיר מִיְעֵר a boar out of the forest, i. e. of the forest, or, a forest boar, Ps. lxxx. 14; pipele of, or from a distance, i. e. distant, Isa. xlix. 1.

12. This rule, however, with its exemplifications, coincides with the corresponding one given under the article of mediate apposition (Art. 219. 4.), i. e. the preceding word generally suffers no abbreviation either in the quantity of its vowels, or in the number of its consonants. The words so connected may, by taking the particle as a noun, all be considered as in immediate apposition. In the following cases, the first so connected is put in the definite state of construction, e. g. אַרְבֶּיֶרְי בַּבֶּיֶרְי בַּבֶּיֶרְי בַּבֶּיֶרְי בַּבֶּיֶרְי בַּבֶּיֶרְי בַּבֶּיְרְי בַּבֶּיֶרְי בַּבֶּיְרְי בַּבֶּיְרְ בַּבְּיִרְי בַּבְּיִרְ בַּבֶּיְר וּ those arising early in the morning...lingering after the twilight, Isa. v. 11; dwellers in the land of the shadow of death, Ib. ix. 1.

See also 2 Sam. i. 21, Ps. ii. 12, lxxxiv. 7, Isa. xiv. 19, xxviii. 9, Jer. xxiii. 23, Ezek. xiii. 2, xxi. 17, &c. So also with the conjunction ז; as, אַרָרָם מִירָּבְּיִל מִירָּבְיּל is no deliverer from their hands, Lam. v. 8.

13. It must appear from these passages, that the particle here found so influencing the preceding word, must either have been considered as a noun, or the following context must be supposed to exercise the influence, which the second of the nouns in construction does. See Art. 217. 5.

^{*} If we consider these particles as nouns, which we may, all these cases may be resolved into those of *immediate* construction or apposition, as before.

—New Test., John iii. 31, vi. 31, Rom. iii. 26, iv. 16, Gal. iii. 7, 8, &c.

⁺ It has already been remarked (Art. 224. 2.), that the Arabian Grammarians consider every construction of this kind, as equivalent in signification to those in which the particle is not introduced. In most cases, however, the particles tend to define the relation more specifically.

On the distinctive State of Construction.*

225. It has been remarked (Art. 217. 7.), that, in this case, the preceding word to be qualified must always be an attributive. The effect here produced is, that of rendering distinct or specific, the person or thing designated by this attribute; coinciding in this respect with what has been termed definite construction: the qualified word being always an attributive involving the signification of a substantive (Ib.), e. g. בְּדוֹל הַעֵּצְה great (of) counsel, i. e. a great being, &c. (of) counsel, or counselling being, Jer. xxxii. 19; לְּבִּילְּיִלְיִילִּילְ those perfect (of) way, i. e. men of perfect way, Ps. cxix. 1; מְּשִׁרְ לִּבְּילִ the anointed of the Lord, 2 Sam. i. 14.

^{*} This kind of construction is termed by the Arabian Grammarians i.e. vèrbal construction, in order to distinguish between it i.e. vèrbal construction, in order to distinguish between it and that which we have termed "the definite," which they style "

the logical or significant, construction. It is curious enough to observe, that they exemplify this sort of construction in three different ways; which may serve to shew, that in fact they all amount to the same thing in meaning: e.g.

handsome (of) face, in immediate apposition and handsome (of) face, in the state of definite construction; and

id. when the latter or defining word is put absolutely, and construct as a noun of specification,

i.e. See M. de Sacy's Arab. Gram.,

vol. ii. p. 159, &c. So Rev. xii. 1. γυνη πεξιβεβλημένη τὸν ηλιον
Arab. (مارة عليه الشمس).

See also Gen. xxxv. 29, Exod. xxxv. 22, Ps. xxiv. 4, Isa. i. 21, &c.

2. Under this general head may be placed certain idiomatical expressions which will frequently meet the Learner in his progress. These are, phrases having אַיאָ man, each, אַבּילָ son, אַבַּעַר possessor, יַבְּילַ hearner, &c. for the first member, followed by certain others in the definite state of construction: e. g. אַרָּשִׁ בְּילֵישׁ hou art a man of death, i. e. deserving death, 1 Kings ii. 26; thou art a man of death, i. e. deserving death, 1 Kings ii. 26; the son of seven years (was) Joash when his reign (began), i. e. he was seven years old, 2 Kings xii. 1; אוֹם בְּלֵילָכוֹ הֹנֹי מִ בִּלְילֵינִוּ הֹנִי בְּלֵינִי מִ son of death (is) he, i. e. he shall die, 1 Sam. xx. 31; מׁבְּיִי בְּיִי בְיִי בְּיִי בִּיִי בְּיִי בְיִי בְּיִי בְיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי

So בּלְתְּשֵׁלְ מְדִּי Men of Sodom, Gen. xix. 4, for Sodomites. See Gen. xxiii. 3, xxiv. 13, Numb. xxi. 28, Josh. xxiv. 11, Judg. ix. 2, x. 1, Jer. ii. 16, Ezek. xvi. 26, Ps. cxlix. 2, Cant. 1. 5, &c. To this class may be referred בַּבְּיִרְתָּשׁׁלְּבִּיׁר בָּאָרָה מֹשׁׁלְּבָּׁי sons of God, pious persons, and בַּבְּיִר בְּעָשׁׁלְּבִּׁי daughters of men, low, impious women, Gen. vi. 2, &c.

On the Construction of the Numerals.

- 226. The numerals in Hebrew being all substantive nouns, are put either in apposition, or in the definite state of construction, with the word signifying the thing numbered: e. g. In apposition: אַלֶּף וֹמֶאָה הַבֶּּטֶּף one thousand and one hundred (pieces of) silver, אַלְם שִׁלְּיָח or אַלְיִם שִׁלְשָׁה or עָרִים שִׁלְּיָח בְּנִים שִׁלְשָׁה vams two, בְּנִים שִׁלְשָׁה vities two, בְּנִים שִׁלְשָׁה בְּנִים שִׁלְשָׁה בְּנִים שִׁלְשָׁה בְּנִים אַלְשָׁה בְּנִים אַלְשָׁה בְּנִים אַלְשָׁה בְּנִים three, or three sons, שִׁנִי בְנִים three, or, a triad, of men; שִׁלִי two sons; שִׁנִי בְנִים two daughters.
 - 2. The dual and decimal numerals, however, signify-

ing numbers above ten, such as אָשִירִים twenty; מָאתִים two hundred, &c. prefer the state of apposition.

On the Concordance of the Numerals with the Thing, &c. numbered.

- 3. The numerals from three to ten inclusively, are mostly in the gender different from that of the thing numbered, for the sake perhaps of variety: in this case the word representing the thing, &c. numbered is put in the plural number, e. g. שְּבְעֵה כְּבְשִׁית or שִּבְעַה לָּבְשִׁית seven male lambs; שִׁבְעַ בְּבְשִׁית seven female lambs. The numeral is here taken as a collective noun, and stands either in apposition or in the state of definite construction with the thing numbered.
- 4. In like manner when the numeral signifies any number exceeding ten, it may likewise disagree in gender with the thing, &c. to be numbered; while the thing, &c. numbered, will be put in the singular number: e. g. ישָבע אָשְׂבָה seventy and seven men; יְּבְעָם וְשִׁבְּעָה אָיִנִּים וֹמְאַר שָׁבָּה seventeen years; חָמֵשׁ שָׁנִים וֹמְאַר שָׁנָה five years and a hundred year, Gen. v. 6.
 - 5. There is nothing peculiar in the construction of such numerals as אַבְּבְּע an hundred and אַבָּבְּע a thousand, other numerals being made to agree with them or not, according to the preceding rules, while they will be put either in apposition or construction, in the singular or plural number, according to those rules: e. g. אַבְּע־בֵּערֹבֵע מוּלְבָּע־בַערֹבִע וְצִּרְבַע־בַערֹבֵע הַּוֹלְנִים נְצִּרְבַע־בַערֹבֵע הַ וְצִּרְבַע־בַערֹבִע הַ וּלֵּבְעּע־בַערֹם וּלֵּבְעּע־בַערֹם וּלֵּבְעּע־בַערֹם וּלִּבְעּע־בַערֹם וּלִּבְעּע־בַערֹם וּלִּבְעּע־בַערֹם וּלִּבְעּע־בַערֹם וּלֵבְעּע־בַערֹם וּלִבְּערִם וּלְנִים וּלְבָּערִם וּלִבְּערִם וּלִבְּערִם וּלִבְּערִם וּלְנִים וּלְבָּערִם וּלִבְּערִם וּלִבְּערִם וּלִבְּערִם וּלִבְּערִם וּלִבְּערִם וּלִבְערִם וּלִבְּערִם וּלִבְּערִם וּלְבָּערִם וּלְבַערִם וּלְבָּערִם וּלְבָּערִם וּלְבִּערִם וּלְבְּערִם וּלְבַערִם וּלְבַערִם וּלְבַּערִם וּלְבַערִם וּלְבַערִם וּלְבִּערִם וּלְבַערִם וּלְבַערִם וּלְבַערִם וּלְבַערִם וּלְבִּערִם וּלְבִּערִם וּלְבִּערִם וּלְבַערִם וּלְבִּערִם וּלְבִּערִם וּלְבִּערִם וּלְבִּערִם וּלְבִים וּלְבִּערִם וּלְבִּער וּלְבִּער וּלְבִּער וּלִבְּער וּלְבִּער וּלְבּער וּלְבִּער וּלְבִּער וּלְבִּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבִּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבִּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְּבּער וּלְּבְּער וּלְּבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְּבְּער וּלְבְּער וּלְבְּער וּבּי בּבּער וּבּער וּבּער וּבּער וּבְּער וּבּער וּבְּער וּבְּער וּבּער וּבּער וּבּער וּבּער וּבְּער וּבּער וּבּער וּבּער וּבּער וּבּער וּבּער וּבּיער וּבּיבּער וּבּער וּבּיבּער וּבּיבּער וּבּיבּ

Other modes of Construction.

227. In many cases, too, when the numeral exceeds the number one, the thing, &c. numbered is put in the plural, whether the numeral precede or follow it; the

gender is regulated as before: e. g. אַילם שְׁגִים־עָשָׁר rams twelve, Num. vii. 87; שְׁגִים עָשָׂר בִּבְשִׁים . . . שְׁגִים עָשָׂר שָׁר בּוֹשׁה twelve, Ib.; שְׁגִים עָשָׂר שָׁר שָׁל twelve bullocks, Ib.; אַשְּׁרִים וְאַרְבְּעָה בְּרִים twenty and four bullocks, Ib. v. 88.

See also Gen. xvii. 20, xxv. 16, xxvi. 12, xxxii. 15, 23, Josh. iv. 2, xxi. 19, 2 Sam. xix. 18, 1 Kings iv. 13, Jer. xxxviii. 10, Ezra viii. 35, &c. And, vice versā, תְּבָשָׁ שֵׁבֶּחְ five year, 2 Kings xxii. 1. &c.

- 2. The intermediate numbers between ten and twenty, twenty and thirty, &c. are regulated by the principles already investigated; that is, either by apposition or definite construction, immediate or mediate; e. g. שָׁבֶע הַ שֶׁבֶע וֹשִׁבְע seventeen, in immediate apposition; שִׁבֵּע בְשָׁר seven and seventy, mediate apposition: שִׁבֵּע בְשָׁר eighteen, definite construction.
- 3. In many cases when the numeral is in apposition, it is to be taken as an ordinal: e. g. אַרְבַּעִים שְׁבָּוּל the fortieth year, Deut. i. 3; i. e. taking it in the order of computation, the year, forty, or forty (by) year. So Gen. i. 5. אַרְבָּעִים שׁבְּיל day, one, i. e. the first. See also Exod. xii. 6, Num. xiii. 2, Deut. xv. 9, 1 Kings xvi. 10, 2 Kings viii. 16, xii. 2, Ezek. xxix. 17, Dan. i. 1, 21, vii. 1, ix. 1, xi. 1, &c.
- 4. When it is necessary to qualify the thing, &c. numbered by some attributive, this attributive will agree with it either in a formal or logical point of view, at the pleasure of the writer: e.g. in the first case, שׁלֵנִים וַחֲלֵשְׁה צִּישׁ בּישׁ בּ
- 5. The thing numbered, measured, &c. may also be considered as a specifying term (Art. 219. note), and construed as absolute with reference to the preceding noun; as, אַלָּךְ בָּטֶּף thirty, sons; אַלֶּךְ בָּטֶּף a thousand (of) silver, &c. We nevertheless have occasionally the

state of construction: as, שְׁתִּילֶּטֶם two (pieces, &c. of) bread, 1 Sam. x. 4, &c. : מָאָר שָׁנָה (Art. 226. 4.).

6. As it is easy to supply certain names of weight and measure, on account of their frequent occurrence, they are often omitted by the ellipsis: e. g. יַּשְׁלְּיִלְּיִ מְּבֶּרְ אַרִּיִּלְיִּ אָּרִיּשְׁיִם כְּבֶּרְּ so they weigh my price thirty (shekels of) silver, Zech. xi. 12; אַלִּיִר שִׁשִּׁ־שְּׁעִרִים so he measures six (measures of) barley, Ruth iii. 15. 17; יוֹנְעֵּי בְּרְבְּיִלְּיִ שִׁ בְּרָבְּיִ שִׁ מִּיִּרְ לַחְבֵּי מִּי שִׁרְּבְּרְ בַּרְּבְּיִּ שִׁי בְּרָבְּי מִּי בְּרָבְּיִ מִּי בְּרָבְּיִ מִּי בְּרָבְּיִי מִּי בְּרָבְּיִּ שִׁין בְּבְּרְבִּי מִי מִּי מִּבְּרָבְּי מִּי בְּרָבְּיִי מִּי בְּרָבְּיִי בְּרִבְּיִי בְּרִבְּי בִּיִּבְּי בְּרָבְּיִ בְּרִבְּי בְּרִבְּיִי בְּרִבְּיִי בְּרָבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִר בְּרִבְּיִי בְּרִבְּיִי בְּרָבְיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּבְּיִבְּי בְּרִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּרִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּבְּיִבְּי בְּרִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּיִר בְּבְיבְּיִי בְּרְבִּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְיּי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִיבְייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִבְּייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִיי בְּיִיי בְּיִייִי בְּייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִיי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּייִיי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִיי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִייִי בְּיּיִייִי בְּייִיּיִייִי בְּיִייִיי בְּייִייִי בְּיִייִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּייִיי בְּייִיי בְּייִייִייִייִי בְּיּיבְייִי בְּייִייִי בְּייִיייִּיּיים בְּיבְיייִי בְּייִיי בְּייִייי בְּיייִי בְּייִיי בְּייי בְּייִי בְּייִיי בְּיייִיי בְּיייִי בְּייִיים בְּייי בְּיייי בְּיייי בְּייִיים בְּיייִי בְּייִיי בְּייִיי בְּיייִייי בְּיייִּייי בְּיייִּייִי בְּיייִי בְּיייִיים בְּיייִיי בְּייִייים בְּייִייים בְּיייי בְּייִּייִיים בְּייים בְּיייִיי בְּייִיים בְּייים בּ

See also Gen. xx. 16, xlv. 22, Lev. xxiii. 17, 1 Sam. x. 4, Ezek. xlv. 21. And, where some of these ellipses are supplied, Gen. xxiii. 15, Lev. xxvii. 3, 6, Ruth ii. 17, &c. See Bos on the Greek ellipses, p. 4, Ed. 1813.

The ordinals are construed like other attributives.

LECTURE XVI.

ON THE COMPLEMENTS OF WORDS GENERALLY, AND OF VERBS
IN PARTICULAR.

228. It will perhaps readily be granted, that the character of the words necessary to complete any sentence must very much depend on the signification of the preceding ones; or, which is the same thing, on the signification intended to be conveyed in them by the Writer. I may say, for example, I am now riding, equitans ego; or, am a riding. But it may also be necessary to state whether this be on a horse, in a carriage, &c. as

also to, or from, what place I am thus proceeding; or, for what purpose, &c. The same will hold good of all attributives.* A man may be said to be *swift* generally, or swift on foot, swift in the chase,—in the pursuit of an enemy,—to revenge,—to do good or evil, or a thousand other things, which it may be necessary for a Writer or Speaker to state.

- 2. All verbs are necessarily attributive in signification; and, from what we have seen, as to their etymology, there is strong reason to suspect that they are composed of nothing more than nouns put in a state of conjugation or combination with one or other of the pronouns. If, then, attributive nouns will necessarily stand in need of such complementary words in order to complete or modify the sentences in which they are found, the same must necessarily be true of the same words, when found in the form of verbs. Usually, however, this has been stated by saying, that nouns derived from verbs are subject to the same mode of construction with the verbs from which they descend. I prefer the contrary method of stating this question; because I can see why nouns, as such, require this kind of construction; of verbs we know nothing, on the other supposition.
- 3. We have seen that nouns substantive or attributive may be qualified by the addition of other words, either in a state of apposition, of definite construction, immediate or mediate, or absolutely, just as the signification of such word shall require, or as the intention of the Writer may be. The same is the case with verbs whether active, passive, transitive, intransitive, or

^{*} So in Is. xiii. 19, and Amos iv. 11, we have מְחַפֵּלֵם construed as a verb; and in Infinitives and Participial nouns this is done regularly.

neuter: which are distinctions arising purely out of the signification of the primitive word or noun.*

- 4. In treating of the complements or qualifying words of substantives and attributives, we adopted the terms *Immediate* and *Mediate* as best suited to our purpose on that occasion. We shall use the same now, because they are sufficiently easy and intelligible, and because it will be interesting to find the same principles prevailing throughout every part of this Language.
- 5. The influence then of any noun or verb (arising out of its signification, or the intention of the Writer,) may extend to one or more subsequent words with some variation of the sense in each; e.g. I may say as before, "I am riding a horse." Here I would term the influence of the verb riding, Simple, because it affects one object only, which is here "a horse." But, if I say, "I rode a horse towards the city at full speed," then I should term the influence of the verb Various, because the subsequent terms are variously affected by it. I prefer this method of considering the influence of verbs, &c. because the Latin cases of nominative, genitive, accusative, &c. as taken by Schrederus and others, are ill calculated to convey just notions on this subject; and, because these distinctions are perfectly foreign to this language. truth is, the words added here, as in the rules already given, are nothing more than terms added for the purpose of defining, &c. the signification of such verb, and may be resolved by recurring into one or other of those rules.

^{*} Let it be remembered, however, some verbs which are at one time transitive, will at another be intransitive, in these languages; so, he struck with his foot, or kicked. See Ludolf. Gram. Eth., p. 32. ed. 1702. Freytag's llamasa, p. 1.—

- 229. Having determined then, whether the signification of any verb or verbal noun, is to be taken actively or passively, (Art. 146. 8. note.) the influence of its action or passion, considered with reference to the subsequent terms in immediate or mediate connection with it, will always be either Simple or Various, just as the signification of such verb shall require, or, as the intention of the Writer or Speaker may be.—Examples of active verbs and verbal nouns in immediate connection with the following term, and where the influence is, consequently, simple; בּעַשֶּה בְּעָשֶׁה בְּעָשֶׁה בִּעָשֶׁה בִּעָשֶׁה בּעַשָּׁה בּעַשָּה בּעַשָּׁה בּעַשָּה בּעַשָּׁה בּעַשְׁה בּעַשְּׁה בּעַשְׁה בּעַשְׁהַבּע בּעַשְּׁה בּעַשְׁה בּעַבּע בּעַשְׁה בּעַשְׁה בּעַשְׁה בּעַשְׁה בּעַבּע בּע בּעַבּע בּע בּעַבּע בּע בּעַבּע בּע בּעַבּע בּע בּעבּע בּעבּ
- 2. In mediate connection, and where the influence is also simple: בְּלָא אֶלֹהִים אָת הַשָּׁמְיִם God created the Heavens, Gen. i. 1; אֶלהִים אַת־הָאוֹר and he saw ... the light, Ib. 4; אֶלהִים הֹין הָאוֹר וֹבֵין הַחֹשֶׁך and he divided ... between the light and between the darkness, Ib.; אָקר הָלְאוֹר ... וְלַחשֶׁךְ קְרָא לְאוֹר ... וְלַחשֶׁךְ קְרָא לְאוֹר ... and the darkness he called . . . Ib. 5; מַבְּרִיל בֵּין בִּיל בֵּין (a thing) dividing between the waters, Ib. 6.
- 3. Both in *immediate* and *mediate* connection, and where the influence is *various*: עשֶׁה פָּרִי לְמִינוֹ (a tree) producing fruit, according to its kind, Gen. i. 11; מַוְרִיעַ זְרַע לְמִינְהוּ (herb) producing seed after its kind, Ib. 12.

Note. The proper office of the particles is, to point out the precise character of this influence.

The verb הָיָה construed with שׁ will signify either to have, or to become, according to the context: e. g. אַלְנִישִיר הָיָה צֹאוֹן the rich man had flocks, 2 Sam. xii. 2; וְהִיוּ לְּדְם so they shall become blood, i. e. the waters, Exod. iv. 9.

4. Where the connection is mediate, and the influence various: יְהָאָרֶץ אַל־הָאָרֶץ לְהָאוֹרֹת בִּרְקִיעַ הַשָּׁמִיִם לְהָאִיר אַל־הָאָרֶץ

בְּיִהִיבְן and they shall be for lights in the firmament of the heavens, for giving light upon the earth, and it was so, Gen. i. 15; וַּיְמָע הַשְּׁמִים בְּרְקִע הַשְּׁמִים לְּהָאִרץ: וְלְמְשׁל בִּיוֹם וּבַלְיְלָה וּלְהַבְּדִיל בֵּין הָאוֹר וּבִין עֵלְהִים בִּיִּםוֹם מוּבְלִילְה וּלְהַבְּדִיל בֵּין הָאוֹר וּבִין and God placed them in the firmament of the heavens for giving light upon the earth, and for ruling over the day and over the night, and for dividing between the light and between the darkness. And God saw that (it was) good, Ib. 17, 18.

- 5. In causative and other doubly transitive verbs, the influence will necessarily be various: e. g. יְהֹלְכְתִּיהְ and I caused her to walk the desert, Hos. ii. 6; מוֹשִׁיב יְחִירִים בַּיְתָה who causes the solitary ones to possess a house, Ps. lxviii. 7. In these instances the connection is immediate: in the following, it is both immediate and mediate: e. g. וְיָשֶׁב אֶת־הַמֶּלֶךְ דְּבָר בָּר בָּעָר אֶת־הַעָּלֵי he taught knowledge to the people, Eccl. xii. 9; יְשָׁהִיעוֹ דְבְרֵי אֶת־עָמִי וֹ tet them cause my people to hear my words, Jer. xxiii. 22.
- 6. In the following the connection is miscellaneous, and some of the verbs are passive: וְיָאֹמֶר אֱלֹהִים יִקְוּוֹ אָחָד וְתַרָאָה הַיִּבְּשָׁה הַיִּבְּשָׁה הַיִּבְּשָׁה הַיִּבְּשָׁה הַיִּבְּשָׁה הַיִּבְּשָׁה so God says, Let the waters be gathered from beneath the heavens to one place, and let the dry land appear, and it becomes so, Gen. i. 9; יְהַרְאָה אֶת־הַבּהוֹ * then let it be shewn to the priest, Lev. xiii. 49; אַשֶּר בְּהָר בָּהַר שׁלֹה שׁלִי שׁלִּה שִׁר בְּהַבְּי שׁלֹּה שׁלֹי שׁלֹה שׁלֹי שׁלֹי שׁלֹי שׁלֹי שׁלֹי שׁלֹי שׁלֹי שׁלֹי שׁלִי בְּהַר שׁלֹי שׁלֹי שׁלִי בְּהַר שׁלֹי שׁלֹי שׁלִי בְּהַר שׁלֹי שׁלֹי שִׁלְּבְּבְּם שׁלֹי שׁלִי שִׁרְבְּבָּם שׁלֹי לֹי הָעָבְבָּם שׁלֹי לֹי הָעָבְבָּם שׁלֹי שׁלִי שִׁרְי בְּיִבְּים בּיִי שׁלֹי בְּיִבְּבְּם בּיִי שׁלִי בְּיִבְּבְּם בּיִבְּים בּיִבְּים בּיִבְּים בּיִבְּים בּיִבְּים בּיִבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּים בְּבְּים בְּבְּבִים בְּבְּבִּים בְּבְּבִים בְּבְּבִים בְּבְּים בְּבְּבִים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּבְים בְּבְּבְים בְּבְּבְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּבְים בְּבְּבְים בְּבְּבְּבְים בְּבְּבְים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּבְים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְיבְּים בְּבִּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִבְּבְּבְּים בּיִבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בּיִבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בּיִבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּים בּיִבְּים בְּבְּבְּים בְּבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּבְּים בְּבְּים בְּיִבְּים בְּבְּים בְּבְּים בְּבְּים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיבְים בְּיִים בְּיִבְּים בְּיִים בְּיִיבְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים בְּיִים

^{*} The particles mostly used with passive verbs, however, are and D. See Gen. xiv. 19, Is. i. 26, lxii. 4, Ezek. xiv. 3, Neh. vi. 1, 1 Chron. v. 20, &c.

לְעֲבְנֶיף let it be given (i. e.) this land to thy servants, Num. xxxii. 5.

See also Gen. xxvii. 42, 2 Sam. xxi. 6, Jer. xxxv. 14, &c.

Observations.

7. A little consideration will enable any one to see, that the construction must in all cases very much depend on the intention of the Writer. It is true, certain constructions only are allowed, because they have been generally adopted, and these are to be known only from long and constant practice in the language, or, from the use of the Concordance: but, in general, the principle is in the Hebrew, as it is in the English: viz. that the significations of words will always be influenced by those with which they are connected. A few remarks on the examples given will make all plain. In the first we have בַּעשִׂה פוני let us make man. Here, the word man may be said to be in immediate connection with the preceding word, and to qualify or restrict its signification, just as שָבָה year does the word אַרְבָּעִים שָׁנָה forty, in the phrase אַרְבָּעִים שָׁנָה forty year (Art. 227. 3.); or, as the English word year does the word forty, in the same example, absolutely, as it regards the grammatical construction, and, therefore, just as the تمييز i, e. the specific construction does in the Arabic (Art. 219, note). This would be exemplified in that language, by what is termed a juxta-position, بنصنع إِنسَانًا , or إِنْسَانًا , thus ; أَيْسَانًا , or إِنْسَانًا , where

the last or qualifying word is nothing more than a ممين , i. e. speci-

fication, with reference to the signification of the verb. The next

example (Art. 229.) is perfectly parallel to the Arabic حسن وجه

or حسن وجها handsome (of) face. See Art. 225. note.

8. The next case (Art. 229. 2.) affords an instance of mediate connection, that is, where we have the particle To falling between the verb and its complementary or restricting term, for the purpose of shewing, that the following, not the preceding, word is to be construed as complementary to the signification of the verb. Kimkhi

has remarked long ago (see Art. 171. 11. note), that such is the office of this particle. His illustration is the sentence, Judah killed Simeon ; קבר והודה שמעון; where he says, that the particle being omitted, it could not be known which is the subjective, or which the objective, case to the verb. This would indeed be the case in this and all similar passages; and, I believe, this is one of the offices of this particle, and perhaps of all the others so situated. The other particles have, moreover, the force of defining the relation, which the action of the verb has, with reference to the word or words which designate its object, either directly or indirectly: e. g. קבּת construed with איל will signify, he walked towards; with סון מין from; with לְּבָנִי before; with מוֹם אַנְיִינִי יוּס אַנְיִינִי after, and so on. Situated, then, as this and other particles are, in immediate connection with verbs, they ought to be considered as pointing out the objective, or some such case of the noun affected by them: and hence it is, that the particle no has been said to mark the objective case in active, and the nominative (which is really the objective case) in passive, verbs.*

9. This particle, however, is often found without any such preceding verb; and, in these cases, it seems intended strongly to impress upon the mind the force of the word immediately following it, as being of considerable importance. Of this character is the following passage, to which many more might be added: אַרּיבָּעָלְן לֹאַרְּיִלְעָלְוּלִי לֹאַרִי לִּאַרְיִלְעַלְּרִי לְּאַרִי לְּאַרִי לְּאַרִי לְּאַרִי לְּאַרִי לְּאַרִי לְּאַרִי לְאַרִי לְאַרְ לְאַרִי לְּעִרי לְאַרִי לְּאַרִי לְּעִיר לְּאַרִי לְּעִייר לְּעִּייר לְּעִייר לְּעִיר לְּעִייר לְּע

^{*} The Bengáli particle $K\bar{e}$ is used for a similar purpose, as is also the Persian . See Professor Haughton's Bengáli Gram. Art. 90, 91, 92. Sir W. Jones's Pers. Gram., Edit. 9. p. 111.

the city,* Exod. ix. 33. That is, generally, any person or thing strongly recommended to the attention of the reader, may, whether it be in the situation of a nominative or objective case, be pointed out by introducing the particle $\mathbb{N}_{+}^{\mathbb{N}}$. See Hosea ii. 23. 24.

10. In the examples (n. 3.) we have both the *immediate* and *mediate* connection of a verb or verbal noun with its complementary words. And here, it will be seen, that had not the particle been prefixed to the last, some ambiguity might have arisen, as to the precise sense in which it ought to be taken: or, in

^{*} Schrederus has endeavoured to explain this sort of construction, by having recourse to a metonymy, by which he says, intransitive verbs take the signification and construction of transitive ones (Synt. R. 69.). For my part, I can see no necessity for this; nor, for introducing the doctrine about nominative, accusative, or other cases in conformity with the usage of the Latin and Greek grammars, which in fact drove Schrederus to this expedient. Hebrew we have no cases. Why then should we talk about something which has no existence, and then coin rule after rule in order to explain it? But, if we can conceive these particles to possess the same defining character which they do when coming between nouns in construction or apposition (see Art. 219), we shall have no difficulty in perceiving, why they are sometimes omitted, or else used the one for the other: e. g. נְיָבאוּ אָרֶץ כִּנַעַן so they enter the land of Canaan, Gen. xlv. 25, for אֶל אֶרֶץ פְּנַעֵן into the land of Canaan; שָׁבָה יַעִיר return (to) the city, for יָצִיל to the city, 2 Sam. xv. 27; יַצַלא they ascend the heavens, they descend the deeps, Ps. cvii. 26. In all which cases, the latter word in the construction may be considered as merely specific, as in the case of nouns of number, weight, measure, &e. In other instances the particles will vary; as, זְלֵא אַתִּדְמֵלֶךְ 1 Kings i. 51; שְׁלֹמִה he feared the king—he feared from before Solomon, Ib. 50. And Ps. lv. 20, בווים they fear God, without a particle. So, with אניר, יצא העיר, איני he went out (into) the city, 2 Kings xx. 4; יצא אל א המובח he went out to the altar, Lev. xvi. 18; אמובה המוצי Num. xxi. 28; מָפִּי Num. xvi. 35 ; מָלְפְנֵי יְהוָה Ib. xvii. 11. With מָפָּת יְהוָה in Neh. ix. 19. we have apparently the ellipsis of some such verb as I speak, mean, &c., and, in this view, the case will identify itself with the Arabic coni.e. what comprehends a condition ما اضمر علي شريطة التفسير of explanation, as زيدا صربت به (as to) Zaid, I struck him, زيدا صربت زيدا Zaid, I passsed by him. See Jami's Com. on Ibn Ulhájib, p. 174, &c.

other words, as to the precise relation of the latter, to the two former, words. The same has been remarked of words in the state of mediate apposition and construction. The principle in each case is one and the same.

11. With respect to the causative verbs, If we suppose them to

- be actually composed of two words; which, according to our system, is always the case with Hiphhil and Hophhal, we shall readily perceive why two complementary, or restricting, words would be necessary to complete the idea contained in each of them. This is what grammarians usually term a double accusative, just as they do verbs, doubly transitive. It is curious enough to remark, that when such verbs become passive, the object of one of them will stand as its nominative, that of the other as the accusative: for this reason, viz. that one of the verbs only in the compound takes the passive character, the other remains active: e. g. Lev. xiii. 49, as above, Fac eam videri à sacerdote. This is still more evident in the Arabic, where we have terminations marking these cases: e. g. active,
- was caused to cat bread. See M. de Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. ii. p. 101. In the other species, viz. Pīhél, and Pūhál, the accessory idea may be considered as equivalent to an additional word.
- 12. Of the other examples nothing need be said: the particles introduced are only such as the various relations of the words to each other seem to require, in order to present to the mind of the reader the various circumstances which the writer wished to detail; but without these, all must have been error and confusion.
- 13. What has been here said of the verbs is true of all the verbal nouns, whether of the Infinitive or Participial kind; i. e. the complementary or defining word may be put either in the state of apposition mediate or immediate, or in that of definite construction. The former cases have been sufficiently exemplified; the following are a few instances of the latter. Of infinitives, בְּלֵילִי הַוֹּ שִׁ הַשְׁלֵּטִי הַ וֹּשִׁ הַשְּׁלֵּטִי הַ וֹשְׁ בִּעְּיִי בּוֹ וֹ זְּבְּרִי בּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ בְּבִּיִי בּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְיִי זְּבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְשִׁבְּבְּת חֲכָּבְי בִּוֹ זְבְיִינִי זְּבְּבְּת חֲכָּבְי בִּוֹ זְּבְּרִי בְּוֹ זְבְּבְּת חְבָּבְת בְּבְּבְּת הָבְבְּת בְּבְּבְּת בְּבְּתִי בַּלְבְּיִי נְבְּבְיִי בְּבְּתְרִין זְּבְּתְרִין זְּבְּבְּת הְבָּבְת בְּבְבְּת בְבְּבְּת הְבְּבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְּת בְּבְּת בְּבְּת בְּבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְּבְת בְּבְבְּת בְבְבְּתְבְבְּת בְבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְבְבְבְּת בְּבְבְּתְבְבְּת בְּבְבְּתְ בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּתְבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּתְבְּיִי בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְבְבְּת בְבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְּתְיּבְם בְּבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְבְּת בְבְּבְּת בְבְּבְיּת בְּבְיּת בְּבְבְּת בְּבְּת בְּבְּת בְּבְּת בְב

On apparently Elliptical Constructions.

230. Some active,* neuter, and all passive verbs, being such as to include within themselves the idea required for their object, complement, or other restriction, will stand in need of no other for that purpose, when their influence is simple; but, when it is various, the connection will be either immediate or mediate, as before. In the one case, the connection may be termed Implicit, in the others, Explicit. N. B. This has been usually styled by the Grammarians, "Constructio prægnans." Examples: אֹל thou hast not made abundance or gain, Psalm xliv. 13.

This is an instance in which the influence may be said to be simple and implicit; but, when we add שׁבָּיבְיׁים by their price, it is various, and necessarily explicit; and we have the particle בוֹרישׁבְיּבׁ introduced for the purpose of pointing this out. So בֵּלִישׁבְּיֹים it hath not struck root into the earth, Is. xl. 24. See Jer. x. 18, Josh. xxiv. 20, &c. So בּבּבּיבׁ cleanse yourselves, or rather, become ye clean, Gen. xxxv. 2. See also Ib. xxv. 22.

2. Of this character are the following examples, which

^{*} These are for the most part verbs of the Pīḥêl and Hiphhil species, which have been formed from substantive nouns, Art. 154. 8. 157. 5, &c. See Glass. Philol., Ed. Dathe, pp. 185—254, &c. Storr, p. 15, &c. For similar instances in the Arabic, see Mr. de Sacy's Gram., vol. ii. Art. 653—657. See also Bos. Ellipses Græcæ, Ed. 1813, p. 2. Freytag's Hamasa, p. 6, line 22. Ludolf. Gram. Eth., p. 32, 3.

have usually been considered as impersonal: תָּרָה לוֹ нב became hot to himself (or, HEAT became hot to him), Ps. xviii. 8; אַל־יִחַר בְּעֵינֵי אֲדֹנְי let it not become hot (i. e. let not heat exist) in the eyes of my Lord, Gen. xxxi. 35; אַל יֵרֵע בְּעֵינֶיף let not evil be in thy eyes, Ib. xxi. 12 ; וְחֵצֶר לְדָוִד and (pressure) pressed upon David, 1 Sam. xxx. 6 ; לא תַמְטִיר עָלֶיתְ (rain) rains not upon it, Amos iv. 7.

3. So with passive verbs and participles; as, וּאָמִרוּ so they said, it has become desperate, Jer. xviii. 12. i. e. אָרֶר נוֹאַשׁ, or דְּבֶר נוֹאַשׁ THE MATTER has become desperate; וּשְׂעַרְה מְאֹר it became very tempestuous, Ps. l. 3, i. e. the tempest שְׁעָרָה became so; אָשֶׁר עָבָּר 73 which it has been imposed as slavery upon thee, Isa. xiv. 3, i. e. הָעבוֹרָה the slavery mentioned just before; אַשֶּׁר יַלֵּד לוֹ which had been caused to be born to him, Gen. xxxv. 26, i. e. the sons mentioned just before; שִׁידְבֵּר בָּה in which it shall be spoken respecting her, Cant. viii. 8, i. e. הבר a word.

See also Gen. iv. 26, x. 25, xlvi. 22, Is. xxiii. 1, Lam. v. 5, Job xxx. 15. And with participles, Is. xxvi. 3, Ps. lxxxvii. 3.

4. Hence it is, that in many instances the word necessary to complete or qualify the sense of the verb, is frequently omitted by the ellipsis: e. g. אַ יַּלְדָהוֹ לוֹ she had not borne to him, i. e. a son, Gen. xvi. 1; אישבים so he sent, i. e. a messenger, Ib. xli. 14; אנבר he accumulated, i. e. wealth, Ps. xxxix. 7.

See also Ps. lxxvi. 12, 1 Sam. viii. 15, &c. The same often takes place in words of common occurrence: as, יְכְּרֹת he cut, or made,

^{*} This would universally be so supplied in the Persian باران نه بارانیده

^{، ، ، ،} الست برآن .

- i. e. a covenant, בְּרִית 1 Sam. xx. 16; לא יִפְּרְסוּ they broke not, i. e. בְּרִית bread, Jer. xvi. 7; הַפִּילוּ they cast, i. e. בּוֹרֵל the lot, 1 Sam. xiv. 42.
- 5. Under this head may be arranged the apparently frequent ellipses of the antecedent to the relative pronoun אָשָׁאַ, which may be thus accounted for, viz. אַשָּׁאַ ought to be considered not as a mere adjective signifying qui, quæ, quod, &c. but, is qui, ea quæ, id quod,* &c. including a substantive like other attributives. Hence, in such cases there is no real ellipsis: e. g. אַאָּבּוּן עַלְּבְּאַלָּיִי he will take thee up to (a place) which I know not, 1 Kings xviii. 12; אַבְּעַרִים מַאַשֶּׁר רָּשַּבּוּן הַנְּעָרִים מַאַשֶּׁר רָּשַׁאַבוּן הַנְּעָרִים in (The Place in) which thou diest, I will die, Ruth i. 17.
- 6. This relative pronoun (אַשֶּׁר) ought to be considered, moreover, as definite in its signification like other pronouns, and need not therefore be expressed when the antecedent is indefinite; e. g. בּיוֹם דְבֶּר יִהוָה אֶּל־מִשֶּה on a day (on which) Jehovah spoke to Moses, Exod. vi. 28; אַבְּיִר לְּוֹ יִהְוֹה לֹוֹ עָוֹן blessed is any Man, Jehovah imputes not to him iniquity, i. e. to whom, &c. Ps. xxxii. 2, comp. Ps. i. 1, &c.
 - 7. There are, however, instances in which this rule seems to be contravened: e. g. אַבְּי הַבְּּבֶר רָבְּּה עֲבִי וֹשְׁבִּים לֹא בִּי הַבָּבֶר הַבְּּר הַבְּּר מָבְּיִר לַשְּׁרִים לֹא בִּיר הַבְּּר הַבְּּר מָבְּיִר לַשְּׁרִים לֹא בִּירִים לֹא בִּירִים לֹא בִּירִים לֹא בִּירִים לֹא בִּירִים לֹא נִיבְּרוּ לַשְׁרִים לֹא בִּירִים לֹא בִּירִים לֹא נִיבְּרוּ לִשְׁרִים לֹא נִיבְּרוּ לִשְׁרִים לֹא בִּירִים לֹא נִיבְּרוּ לִשְׁרִים לֹא בִּירִים לֹא נִיבְרוּ לִשְׁרִים לֹא they sacrificed to the devils, (who are) not God, &c. Deut. xxxii. 17. But in these cases, the article does not refer so much to the definite cha-

^{*} See Noldius sub voce, p. 98, note d. This is also a remark of Noldius, "Præpositio semper pronominis relativi, ad quod se refert, objectum supponit, atque in quod ipsa influit; sive id exprimendum concipiatur per nomen, sive per pronomen antecedens "D vel מוב," &c. See also his note under בַּאַשָּׁר. Concord. Part. Ed. 1734.

racter of the noun, as to its properties, i. e. I am that sort of man, &c. See Art. 221.

- 9. Hence, when several members of a sentence are connected together, the verb accompanying any one of them, may be supplied to them all: e.g. דָּט־לְבִּי אֶל־-בְּצַע ווֹאָל אָל־בְּצַע ווֹאָל אָל־בְּצַע ווֹאָל אָל־בְּצַע ווֹאָל אַל־בְּצַע ווֹאָל אַל־בְּצַע ווֹאָל אַל־בְּצַע ווֹאָל אַל־בְּצַע ווֹאָל הַשְּׁבְּע ווֹאָל הַשְּׁבְּע ווֹאָל הַשְּׁבְּר ווֹאָל הַיִּשְׁבְּר ווֹאָל הַיִּשְׁבְּר ווֹאָל הַיִּעְם ווֹאָר קוֹל הַשְּׁבְּר ווֹאָל הַיִּעְם ווֹאָר הַלְּבְיִּדְם וְאָת קוֹל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאָל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל ווֹאָל אָל הַעְּבְּר מוּאָל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל ווֹאָל הַעָּם ווֹאָר הַעָּב וּאָל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל ווּאָל הַעָּב וּאָל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל ווּאָל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל ווּאַל אַל ווּאָל הַשְּבּר מוּאַל ווּאָל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל הַשְּׁבְּר הַעְּב וּאָל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל ווּאַל אָל הַשְּׁבְּר הַעְּם ווֹאָר הַשְּׁבְּר מוּאַל ווּאַל אָל הַשְּׁבְּר הַעְּם ווּאָר הַשְּׁבְּי בְּעִם ווּאָר הַשְּׁבְּר הַעְּב וּעְם ווּאָר הַשְּׁבְּיִים אָבר הַבְּלְבוֹל הַעְּעָם ווּאָר הַבְּעָם ווּאָר הַיִּבְּעָם ווּאָר הַיִּבְּעָם ווּאָר הַיִּבְּעָם ווּאָר הַבּלְב בְּעַל ווּאָר הַיִּבְּעם ווּאָר הַיִּים אָב בּיִבּע ווּאַר בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיּבּר בּיִבּע ווּאַר בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיּבּים בּיּבּים בּיִים בּיּבּים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיים בּיִים בּיים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיים בּיִים בּיִים בּיים בּיִים בּיִים בּיִים בּיים בּיים בּיִים בּיים בּיים

See also Deut. iv. 12, xxxii. 13, Job x. 12, Est. iv. 1. And in the New Testament, 1 Cor. iii. 2, 1 Tim. iv. 3, James i. 9, 10. Hence, also, the word hear, &c. has often the signification of understanding, obeying, or the like. Comp. Acts ix. 7, with xxii. 9: and, generally, verbs of sense are used the one for the other.

10. Hence also verbs, nouns, or particles, which are expressed or implied in one part of the context, may be

^{*} By this term is meant, words not only used in their own proper significations, but in others which may naturally be supposed to flow from them.

supplied by the ellipsis in the other: e. g. of verbs: supplied by the ellipsis in the other: e. g. of verbs: observe ye who (whoever of you) the young man (observe ye) Absalom, 2 Sam. xviii. 12; אִישׁ אֶּל־עִירוֹ וְאִישׁ אֶּל־אַרְצוֹ (let) each man (Go) to his city, and each man (Go) to his land, 1 Kings xxii. 36.

So Ps. xxv. 15, xxxiii. 18, xxxiv. 16, Prov. vi. 26, Is. lxvi. 6, Hos. viii. 1, &c. And particularly אוֹם saying, e. g. יְרְבּוֹכְנוּ saying, e. g. יִרְבּוֹכְנוּ hey consider (saying), Is this the man? Is. xiv. 16, ib. 8, &c. which is perhaps owing to the direct and abrupt manner in which citations are generally made by the Hebrews.

- 11. 'This often happens also with the noun which should be the apparent nominative to a verb, and sometimes when it must be supplied from different parts of the context: e. g. אַכָּיל אַרָר אַרָּר יִבּן לְּעָבֵּיל שׁלְּיִל שׁלְּיִל שׁלְּיִל שׁלְּיִל שִּילְיִבְּא שִׁלְּוֹ יִבְּא שִׁלְּוֹ יִבְּא שִׁלְּוֹ וַבְּא שִׁלְּוֹ וַבְּא שִׁלְּוֹ וַבְּא שִׁלְּוֹ וַבְּא שִׁלְּוֹ וַבְּא שִׁלְּוֹ בִּיִּא בְּעָרֶב מִּל לְּבָּנִי וַיִּשְׁתְּ בְּעָרֵב וֹיִא בְּעָרֵב וֹיִא בְּעָרֵב וֹיִא בְּעָרֵב וֹיִי בְּעָבְר וֹיִ וַיִּא בְעָרֶב וְיִבְּא בְּעָרֶב וְיִבְּא בְּעָרֶב וֹיִבְא בְעָרֶב וֹיִ בְּיִבְּא בְעָרָב וֹיִי בְּעָבְר וֹיִי וְיִבְּא בְעָרֶב וְיִבְּא בְעָרָב וֹיִי וְיִבְּא בְעָרֶב וֹי וְיִבְּא בְעָרֶב וֹיִי וְיִבְּא בְעָרֶב וֹיִי וְיִבְּא בְעָרֶב וֹיִי וְיִבְּא בְעָרֶב וֹי וְיִבְּא בְעָרְב וֹי וְיִבְּא בְעָרֶב וֹי וְיִבְּא בְעָרֶב וֹי וֹיִי וְיִי בְּעָרֶב וֹי וְיִבְּא בְעָרֶב וֹי וְיִבְּא בְעָרֶב וֹי וְיִבְּא בְעָרֶב וֹי וְיִי בְּעִרְב וֹי וְיִי בְּעִיךְ וְיִי וְיִי בְּעִים וְיִבְּעָּב וֹי וְיִי בְּעְרָב וֹי וְיִי בְּעְּבְּר וֹיוֹי וְיִי וְיִי בְּעְרָב וֹי וְיִי וְיִי בְּעְּבְּר וֹיִי וְיִי וְיִי בְּעִים וְיִי בְּעָּב וֹי וְיִי בְּעִבְּי וּ בְּעִים וְיִי בְּעִי וְיִי וְיִי בְּעִים וְיִבְיִי וְיִי בְּיִי וְיִי בְּעִים וְיִבְי וְיִי בְּיִי וְיִי בְּיִי וְיִי בְּיִי וְיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְיִי וְיִי בְּיִי בְּיוֹי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּייִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִיי בְּיִייִי בְּיִיי בְּיִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִיי בְּיִייִי בְּיִיי בְּיִי ב
- 12. The most frequent ellipsis, perhaps, is of the negatives, אָל, אָל, אָל, אָל, אָב פּ. פּ. פָּ. לְּאָבְד יִשְׁבַח אָבְיוֹן the poor (man) shall not be forgotten for ever, (NOR) shall the expectation of the humble (ones) for ever perish, Ps. ix. 19.

See Deut. xxxiii. 6, 1 Sam. ii. 3, Ps. xliv. 19, Prov. xxv. 27, xxx. 3, Is. xxxviii. 18, xli. 28, &c. Of אוֹני לפּלוּג. 22, Is. vi. 10; אוֹני אַן אוֹני אוֹני אַן אוֹני אוֹני אַן אוֹני אוֹני אַני אוֹני אַן אוֹני אַני אוֹני אַן אוֹני אַני אוֹני אוֹני אַני אוֹני אוֹ

13. It ought to be remembered, that the orientals of this family generally enounce their propositions vaguely, and then add the restricting or defining terms (Art. 216. 4.). When, therefore, the leading term happens to be a verb, its qualifying term or nominative will immediately follow; as, בְּרָא אֵלֹהִים He created, i. e. God. If, however, it be intended to leave the passage indefinite, as in English, some one said, or in French on dit; no such nominative will be added: and the greatest care ought to be taken in supplying it: e. g. Is. ix. 5, פֿיַקרָא שׁמוּ and he, (i. e. some one, any one, or every one) calls his name, Ps. cx. 7; מַנַּחַל בַּדֶּרֶךְ יִשְׁתָּדּה from the torrent (i. e.) the abundance of spiritual waters which shall then abound) in the way, shall one (any one, every one) drink: 2 Sam. xxiv. 1, וְיֶּטֶת אֶת־דָּוָד so (one, some one) tempts David; which is supplied, 1 Chron. xxi. 1, by pp an adversary. We must not, therefore, take the name of God found in the preceding context, in order to supply this ellipsis, as some have imprudently done, nor charge the text with the inconsistencies which have arisen purely out of our own ignorance. This sort of construction frequently occurs. So also in the objective voice, it hath been called to thee, i.e. thou hast been named, Is. xlviii. 8, equivalent to the Arabic אין יחל . See also v. 11, איך יחל how would it be profaned?

LECTURE XVII.

ON THE MODES AND TENSES OF THE VERBS.

231. As the Hebrew Language recognizes no variation of termination in verbs indicative of mode, no place has been assigned to that distinction in the paradigms: nor will it be necessary to enter on that consideration, until we have considered the doctrine of the tenses: but, when this is done, we shall offer a few remarks on that subject.

On the Tenses.

- 2. We have in our theory of the verb proceeded upon the supposition, that the *Preterite tense* is formed on a Concrete noun as its basis;—the *Present* on an Abstract.
- 3. If then the basis of the Preterite be a Concrete noun, such word considered alone will naturally refer to some past time, for the commencement of the action, passion, state, &c. meant by the root; and which, when put into a state of conjugation, may be considered as intimating some indefinite past tense. If, for example, I say in Latin, Amatus ego, or Amans ego; it will necessarily be understood, that at some time antecedent to the present, I began to be, and consequently am now, either the subject or object of the action intimated by the verb amo; but whether I shall be so hereafter, will depend upon other considerations. We find accordingly in the Hebrew, that our Preterite tense universally refers to past time, unless some of the circumstances hereafter to be mentioned shall require the contrary.

- 4. Again, if the *Present tense* be formed on an Abstract noun, as no intimation whatever can be given by this combination of any person or thing being at any time past or future subject to the influence expressed by such word, the sense afforded will naturally apply to the present time, unless indeed some of the circumstances hereafter to be detailed should suggest the contrary.
- 5. But here an important question will arise, which is this: How are we to determine the period from which we are to reckon, when speaking of past, present, or future tenses? This, I believe, involves the main part of the question before us; which we shall now proceed to consider: and, if we can succeed, we shall perhaps solve the hitherto unsolved problem.
- 6. In the first place then, any writer commencing his narrative will necessarily speak of past, present, or future time, with reference to the period in which his statement is made; and to this period he may adhere, as long as it suits his purpose to do so. This use of the tenses may, therefore, be termed *Absolute*.
- 7. In the next place, a person may speak of past, present, or future events, with reference to some other period or event, already introduced into the context. This may be termed the *Relative* use of the tenses.
- 8. In the Hebrew paradigm, we have only two tenses, viz. a *Past*, and a *Present* tense. To the present tense, the *Participles** and *Infinitives* are very nearly allied. That is, either of these when unrestricted by any other

^{*} Participial nouns may, indeed, have been used as preterites, for the reasons just given respecting concrete nouns: but, in practice, they include within themselves no particular tense, and are, very much like the present, to be construed either in the past, present, or future tense, as the context may require: and may in almost every case be substituted for the present. See Jámi on Ibn Ulhajib, p. **Ter**—•

considerations, are generally to be understood as referring to the present time, either absolute or relative. Hence, too. a Preterite connected with another Preterite, will be equivalent to our pluperfect; a Present following a preterite, to our imperfect; and so on, affording every distinction of time necessary for the purpose of language. Of these, examples will now be given, beginning with those which have been termed absolute.

- 9. Generally, in the commencement of narrations, paragraphs, &c. the use of the tenses will be absolute, as in the English; e. g. בְּרָא שֵּׁית בְּרָא מֵלֹהִים in the begin-ning God created, Gen. i. 1; וְהָאָדָם יְדַע אֶת־תַּוָּה אשתו (as to) the man, he KNEW Eve his wife, Ib. iv. 1, &c., where we have the preterite tense so used. A great number of instances also occurs, in which the present tense is so used, and in which the writer takes the liberty of transporting himself and his reader into a time present to the narration, occasionally introducing some terms designating such time : as, וַיָּהִי בַּעָת הַהִיא So it comes to pass at that time, that HE DEscends, Gen. xxxviii. 1; וַיְבֹא הַבַּיְתָה נַיָבא So it comes to pass as on this day, that he enters the house, Gen. xxxix. 11; קלה וַעֲשֶׂה אִיוֹב בָּל־הַיָּמִים Thus DOES Job at ALL TIMES, Job i. 5:—at other times totally omitting all such terms : as, וַיִּקְרָא אָל־משֶה וַיִּדְבָּר So HE calls (at that time) to Moses, and says, Lev. i. 1. So in the New Testament, John i. 29. 42. 46. &c. On the pleonastic use of the 1 in these cases see Noldius Concord. partic., Ed. 1734, pp. 309, 310. Mikhlol, fol. 72 verso.
- 10. When, however, it is necessary to enounce any thing in the absolute present tense,* either our present

^{*} See also Is. v. 23, vi. 2, vii. 14. In this last instance I understand] he gives, to refer to the declaration or prediction of the Prophet, which is here

tense, or one of the participles may be used : e.g. לְמָה לִי שׁמָר יְהֹנְה what (is) the multitude of your

termed Tin sign, or wonder. See Gen. iv. 15, Exod. iii. 12, iv. 8. 28, 1 Sam. ii. 34, 2 Kings xix. 29, where it also means a prediction, not a visible sign.— This has been termed an Aorist by modern writers on Arabic Grammar, but it is really a present tense, as will appear from the following considerations. In a Commentary on the Káfía dib of Ibn ul Hájib, by Najm Oddeen of Irak, preserved among Mr. Burckhardt's books in the Public رقال بعضهم هو حقيقة في Library at Cambridge, it is said of this tense, الحال مجاز في الاستقبال وهو اقوي النه اذا خلى من القرائن لم يحمل الا على الحال ولا ينصرف الى الاستقبال الا لقرينة وهذا i. e. "Some say that it is in truth a present tense, but allowed to be used as a future, which is the best opinion. For when it is accompanied by no other (words, &c.) it can refer to the present tense only: nor is it turned into a future, except when so accompanied. This is what we mean by true, and allowable." So in the following examples: مَا يُزكِي الْإِنسَانُ بِشَهَادُة اهْل ما يود a man is not sustified by the testimony of his own family; ما يود those who have not believed الذِّين كَفَرُوا أَن يُنَرِّلُ عَلَيْكُم مِن خَيْرٍ مِن ربكم DO NOT LIKE that any good thing SHOULD BE SENT down to you from your Lord. M. de Sacy, Arab. Gram., vol. i. p. 132. Again, they consider the present tense as of two kinds; one they term the real present; اَكَالُ تَحَقَيقًا, by which they mean, the tense which we have termed absolute; or, in other words, that in which a person commits to writing any event or number of events he may have to detail. This is what our Grammarians always understand by The Present tense. The other they term ألحال حكاية, i. e. the present, as to the narration; by which they mean, the time contemporary with any event, and which may therefore be considered as present with it, although past, present, or future, with regard to the real or absolute present tense. The following passages taken from the Commentary on the Kafía by Moolla Jámi will be sufficient to shew in what manner they speak on this subject (p. party). When speaking of the use of sacrifices to me? saith Jehovah, Is. i. 11; לְבוּרְנָא וְנִנְּכְחָה יֹאֹמֵר יְהֹנְה come now, let us reason together, saith Jehovah, Ib. v. 18; הוֹי מַנִּיעֵי בַּוֹת בְּבִית שָׁבֶּה בְשְׂבֶּה יַקְרִיבוּ woe (to those) who join house to house, (who) lay field to field, Is. v. 8. In this last instance the participle holds a place in the parallelism corresponding with the present tense. בָּי יְהוָה אֱלֹהֶיְךְ מִבְרִאָּךְ אֶלֹה אֶבֶץ טוֹבְה אֶבֶץ הֹבְּקְעָה וּבְּהָר: יִבְאָר וֹבְהַר וֹבְהַר וֹבְהַר וֹבְהַר וֹבְהַר וֹבְהַר לֹאַר מִים בַּבִּקְעָה וּבְהָר וֹבְהַר the Lord thy God (now) bringeth thee into a good land, a

اذا كان اي المضارع مستقبلاً بالنظر الى ما he says, أنا كان اي المضارع مستقبلاً بالنظر الى قبلها وان كان بالنظر الى زمان التكلّم ماضياً او حالًا او مستقبلاً i. e. when the مضارع (i. e. our present) is to be tuken as a future, with reference to what may have gone before; or, with reference to the time in which the relation was first made, as a past, present, or future. And again, فان اردت بالفعل الذي دخلة حتى الحال يعنى زمان الحال تحقيقاً اي بطريق التحقيق بان يكون هي زمان التكلّم بعينه او حكايةً اي بطريق الحكاية كما تقول كنت سرت امس حتى ادخل البلد فادخل في هذا الموضع حكاية الحال الماضية فكانك كنت في زمان الدخول هيَّات هذه العبارة وتحكيها في زمان التكلُّم على ما كنت هيَّاتُه i. e. if you intend by the verb preceded by it to express the present tense, i. e. the time of the ABSOLUTE PRESENT; that is to say, by way of verifying it as present with the time of the original narration itself, &c....or, by way of (subsequent) NARRATION, (i. e. relatively) as if you should say, I was (so circumstanced that) I proceeded yesterday, in order that I (may then) enter the city. Here the word النخل I ENTER (is used in) the PRESENT TENSE of a past circumstance, as though you had expressed yourself in this manner (i. e. as present) at the TIME of entering; relating the circumstance in the tense of (the first) enunciation in consequence of having so conceived the matter (in your own mind). Hence, it will be seen, that this present tense will occasionally be relative to the reader, when it was absolute with the original enouncer of any proposition. On this use of the Arabic participles, see Jami's Comm., p. mer.

land of brooks of water, of fountains and depths that spring out of the valleys and hills, Deut. viii. 7.

11. When, however, any future event is enounced prophetically, or any circumstances are mentioned manifestly relating to a future period, either the present tense,* or one of the participles may then also be used; e. g. אָבֶי בְּיִלְהְ שְׁבֶּרְ וֹאֲבֶרֶכְךְ וַאֲבֶרֶכְךְ וַאֲבֶרֶלְה שְׁבֶּרְ מוֹ מוֹ and I make thee a great nation, and I bless thee, and so make thy name great, Gen. xii. 2; אָבֶי בְּלָּרְ לֹא בְּמְסְבְּנָת תֹאֹכֵל־בְּה לֵא בְמִסְבְּנָת תֹאֹכֵל־בְּה מוֹ a land wherein thou mayest (or shalt) eat bread without scarceness, thou shalt (dost, mayest) not lack any thing in it, &c. Deut. viii. 9; וְאֲבִייִם אַתְרֵיכֶם אַתְרֵיכֶם אַתְרֵיכֶם אַתְרֵיכֶם אַתְרֵיכֶם מֹל (as for) me, behold me establishing (about to establish, or will establish) my covenant with you, and with your seed after you, Gen. ix. 9.

The reason of this usage seems to be, that when any thing is predicted, it may now be said either to be doing or done in the mind of him who makes the prediction. This is also the case in the Arabic and Persian, and apparently for the same reason. See Mr. Lumsden's Persian Gram., vol. ii. p. 334—7. In the other case, i. e. when it takes a future signification from circumstances, the mind of the writer and reader are translated into the times referred to, and then the narration is necessarily carried on in the present tense.

12. Hence, in all cases in which any other person is introduced as speaking, or in which any event evidently of past occurrence is mentioned, the tenses will be reckoned from that period; i. e. placing both the writer and reader in the times in which such declaration, prediction, citation, &c. took place. The same will also be the case, when the mind is carried forward in any predic-

^{*} See Viger de idiotismis Græcis, p. 165, Edit. 1813, with the notes. Winer's Gram. of the New Test., Ed. 1825, p. 104.

tion.* Examples; יְהוָה אֱלֹהֵי הַשָּׁמִים אֲשֶׁר לְקְחַנִי מִבֵּית וְאֶּבֶּע־יִי לֵּאמֹר אָבָי וֹמָאֶרֶץ מוֹלַדְתִּי וַאֲשֶׁר דְּבֶּר־יִי וַאֲשֶׁר נִשְּבַע־יִי לֵאמֹר לְּצְמֶר וַמְאָרֶץ מוֹלַדְתִּי וַאֲשֶׁר הוֹא יִשְׁלַח מֵלְאָכוֹ לְבָּנֶיךְ the Lord God of heaven, who took me from my father's house and from the land of my kindred, and who spake unto me, and that sware unto me, saying, Unto thy seed GIVE I this land, he sendeth his angel before thee, Gen. xxiv. 7.

Here we see the first three verbs אַרָּאָר, אַרָּבְיּל, and אַבָּאָרָ, are all in the preterite tense. The first is necessarily so, by the operation of the rule, Art. 231. 9: The two following are so, because connected with the first by the relative pronoun אָרָאָלָּאָר, which is more consistently termed a conjunction (בֹּנִבּׁ וֹנִבּלֹּיִ) by the Arabs. In the next place we have a citation prefaced by אַרָּאָר saying. Then we have the present tense, אַרָּאָר i. e. I now give, which may be taken as a prophetic future (Ib. 10. note.). In the next place, Abraham, having finished the citation, recurs to the period at which he set out, and from this the present אַרָּאָר is to be reckoned.†

^{*} In these cases the use of the tenses is relative: or rather, partly absolute, and partly relative.

† Of this kind are all those expressions in the Arabic, in which the leading verb is found in the preterite tense, and the following ones in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the preterite tense, and the following ones in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the preterite tense, and the following ones in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the Present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the Present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the Present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the Present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the Present, or (as the Grammarians have termed it) the future: e.g.

* By The Sound in the Present, or (a

Corollary. Hence citations will generally be made in the words of the first speaker: i. e. it is not said, that God sware and declared, THAT HE WOULD GIVE the land to Abraham's seed, &c. but, in the original terms of the oath, unto thy seed Do, or WILL, I GIVE it: and also, that preterites and futures more or less remote from the time in which any declaration is made, answering to our imperfects, perfects, pluperfects, simple, compound, or paulo-post, futures, may be formed

Mr. de Sacy remarks, that "le verbe كان employé comme auxiliare influe sur les prétérits خرج, &c. et les convertit tous en preterits anterieur." Arab. Gram., vol. i. p. 131. The reason of this is, that each of these verbs involves a preterite tense in its own right, and the reader is by the combination of both carried back into a time more remote than either alone could express. This the European Grammarians have termed the Pluperfect tense.

As the Persian language has for several centuries been cultivated on the principles of the Arabic grammar, it may not be amiss to cite Mr. Lumsden's remarks on this use of the present tense. "In the conversation of the Persians," says he (Pers. Gram., vol. ii. p. 336.), "though seldom perhaps in written composition, the present is often found to supersede the past tense of the verb, in the statement of those propositions which, though past in point of fact (i. e. as to the absolute time in which the statement is made) are recalled by the memory as if they

وَي شَب كُذَرَم نَزُو دُوستِي أُفتَاد دَر آنجاً : Example وَي شَب كُذَرَم نَزُو دُوستِي أُفتاد دَر آنجاً عَجَب بَزمِي مِي بِينَم وَطُرْفَة تَماشَاتِي مِي كُنَم كُنَم

I go) to the house of a friend, and there saw (see) a delightful assembly, and enjoyed (enjoy) a most pleasing spectacle. Of this nature," adds he, "are the ex-

amples مُصَنِّف چُنان The writer thus observes: پیغامبر چُنان

the Prophet informs (or has informed) us."—This must bring to every one's mind, the $\phi\eta\sigma i$, ait, and inquit, of the Greeks and Latins, which are used in the same way, and upon the same principle. Citations are made in a similar manner in the Persian; as, Zaid said, I am going to Calcutta, not obliquely,-said that he was going, &c., but in the words of the original enunciation. See Pers. Gram., ib., pp. 349-355.

Although this citation is not quite direct in the first verse, the passage is nevertheless all put in the first person; and the second verse is a direct citation. As to the tenses, the first verb is in the preterite, because the prophet recites what he had already received, perhaps at some distance of time. The next is also preterite as referring to past events. The following אַפָּהַרוּ and יְפָּגַרוּ are present, referring to what may have been done at the time when the declaration was first made, or immediately subsequent to it. same may be said of the four following verbs. The next, יבותי is a preterite to be taken in an absolute future signification (see Art. 236.): and the following In is present to the fulfilment of this or immediately subsequent to it. The second preterite, refers to a time anterior to that of אָמָר, with which the declaration commences, though perhaps not so much so as to bear our pluperfect in the translation. The next two, מַבְּבֶּר and יְפָּבֶּרוּ though presents or futures to הַחֲחָקָה, seem, nevertheless, to be anterior to אַבָּר as to tense. In the next place, אַבָּר as to tense. In the next place, אַבָּר מּבּר אבדע, are evidently present or future to אבר , and consequently, in

a tense future to מַבְּרֵר and יְפַבֶּרוּ. In the last place, יבּתְהוּ is manifestly future with respect to the preceding verb 2738, &c. and III, which is present or immediately future to this, may be considered as referring to something still further removed into futurity. Numerous instances of this kind occur in the New Testament. For examples in which the present tense is thus carried backward or forward, see Matt. ii. 13, φαίνεθαι; Mark ii. 4, γαλῶσι, &c. As future, Matt. ii. 4, γεναλαι; Ib. v. 46, ἔχεθε; Ib. xvii. 11, ἔρχεθαι; Ib. xxvi. 29, πίνω. Paulo-post future, Matt. xxvi. 24, παραδίδοθαι; Ib. v. 45. See Mark xiv. 41, Luke xxii. 21, 22, John xiii. 3. 11. 27. 33, &c. In like manner the Aorists are also used for the past, present, or future. It will be unnecessary to give examples of the past. Of the present, Matt. iii. 17, ἐνδόκησα. So Ib. xxiii. 2, Luke i. 47, xv. 16, John i. 12, 1 John iv. 8. Of the future, John xi. 56, ἔλθη: xv. 6, ἐβλήθη, έξηράνθη. See also Rom. viii. 20. With πρὶν or πρὸ preceding, Mark xiv. 30, John iv. 49, viii. 58, xiii. 19, &c. See also Matthiæ's Greek Grammar, vol. ii., Artt. 504, 505, &c.

14. From what has been said it must have appeared, that the writer, placing both himself and his reader in times contemporary with the events of which he is treating, can supply all the deficiency of tenses apparent in the Hebrew paradigm; an expedient often resorted to, indeed, by the Latin and Greek historians, without the necessity which presents itself here. We must not hence suppose, however, that they never recur to the original time from which they set out. This they appear to do optionally, just as we find it done in the Greek and Latin historians* (Art. 231. 6.): e. g. אַלְּהָשׁ בְּרָאׁ לִּיְלָה of God calls the light day; but the darkness he called night, Gen. i. 5; in the suppose of which is the darkness he called night, Gen. i. 5;

^{*} So, "Instant Volsci recentes, qui è castris impetum fecerant; integrant et illi pugnam, qui simulata cesserant fuga. Livy, lib. vi., § 24. 'ΕΩΡΑΚΑΣ δ' ΈΦΗ δ κῦρι, τὸν γυναῖκα, ὅν με ΚΕΛΕΥΕΙΣ φυλάτθων; Μὰ Δὶ "ΕΦΗ ὁ κῦρος, &c. Xenoph. Cyropedia. Pantheia. See Matthiæ's Greek Gram., vol. ii., Art. 504. 1.

ער־הַבּקר בַּאָשֶׁר צְּוָה משֶׁה וְלֹא הְבְאִישׁ so they LAY IT UP till the morning, as Moses had commanded, and it did not become fætid, &c., Exod. xvi. 24.

233. There are, moreover, other modes of construction, by which hypothetical and other sentences, exhibiting certain relations between their leading and subsequent members, are formed. These constructions have hitherto been unobserved by Christian Grammarians and Commentators. Some of the Jews seem to have entertained a few very imperfect notions respecting them,*

^{*} The words of D. Kimkhi on this subject are to be found in the Mikhlol, fol. ביש וויַאחר במקום אם verso, and are as follows: ריש וויַאחר במקום אם יום אים מורה לתשובת התנאי ומענהו כמוֹ אָם קַהָּה הַבַּרְגֵּל התשובה והיא מורה לתשובת התנאי ומענהו

which they probably borrowed from the Arabians, who detail them very much at length in their Grammars and Commentaries on Grammar.*

- 2. It has more than once been remarked (Artt. 74. 2. 108. 119, p. 256, &c.) that verbs will occasionally appear in an apocopated or abridged form: at others with a 7, usually termed paragogic (Artt. 175. 2. 4. 5, &c.), and, at others, with what has been termed an Epenthetic or Paragogic Nun (7) Art. 175. 17, &c. We now proceed to state, in order, the several powers which these forms appear to exert upon the context of Scripture:—and first, of that which has been termed apocope.
- 3. We have seen, Artt. 119. 8. 9, that the apocopated forms are often used for the purpose of expressing command, prohibition, exhortation, wishing, forbearance, or the like: as, יוֹן let him or it be; וֹבָוֹי let him, or,

^{*} See the شرح الكافية by the Moolla Jami, and the work of ألعراقي in the collection of Mr. Burckhardt in the Public Library at Cambridge.

may he, dilate; אל בּיבוּרָא let him be blotted out; אל בּיבוּרָא let it not be seen, &c. We now say, that, apparently for the purpose of preserving an uniformity in the forms of words composing those members of sentences which have a mutual relation to each other, the verbs in such subsequent members will also be apocopated: e. g. יְרִי אוֹר בְּיִר אוֹר (there) be light: And light (accordingly) is. This connection is also preserved in the next verse; thus, וַיִּרְא אֱלֹהִים אֶּת־רָאוֹר בִּיִרְנִירִּי עֶרֶב וַיִּבְיֵּל אֲלֹהִים בֵּין דָאוֹר נִיבְּבֵּל אֱלֹהִים בֵּין דָאוֹר נִיבְּבֵּל אֱלֹהִים בֵּין דָאוֹר מָנִיבְּי עֶרֶב וַיְבִיּבְּל יִוֹם אֲקֹד: בִּיְרְ יוֹם אֲקֹד: בִּיְרְ יוֹם אֲקֹד וֹלְהִים בִּין דָּאוֹר מַנְיִבְיּל the light, that (it is) good; and God (accordingly) bivides between the light and between the darkness . . . And (hence) the evening becomes, and the dawn becomes, day one. See the remaining instances occurring in this and the following chapters. † So Is.

^{*} The Arabic imperatives are regularly apocopated forms; as, assist thou: the preformative alif is added merely for the sake of facilitating the pronunciation, and is omitted by rule whenever it can be. In Hebrew an imperative of the first person singular and plural must be made either by this form; as, אַנּוֹל let me be, Hos. xiii. 14. אַנִּיל Num. xx. 17, &c., or by one of those presently to be considered. It must also be borne in mind, that imperatives will express request as well as command.

[†] The Arabian Grammarians reason on this subject in the following manner. The Moolla Jámi says, in his commentary on Ibn Ulhájib (p. ٣٨٢), ولا م الام المطلوب بها الفعل ويدخل فيها لام الدعاء نحو ليغفر لنا الله . . ولا النهي هي لا المطلوب بها الترك اي ترك الفعل . . وكلم المجازاة . . تدخل علي الفعلين لسببية الفعل الاول ومسببية وكلم المجازاة . . تدخل علي الفعلين لسببية الفعل الاول ومسببية مسببا والثاني مسببا في المعلل الأول سببا والثاني مسببا في المعلل الأول سببا والثاني مسببا في المعلل المعلم (ل) of command, i. e. the LAM intimating a desire for the action of the verb.

The LAM of prayer will also influence the verb; as, اليغفر لنا الله "may God forgive us:" also the word , (Heb. الله) of prohibition, i. e. intimating a request that the action of the verb be given up; also expressions of retribution,

xlviii. וז, קשַׁבְּתָּ לְּמִצְּוֹתֵי וַיִּהִי כַנְּרֶר שִׁלּוֹמֶךְ hadst thou attended to my commandments, then had been thy peace like the river. When א is used as a prohibitive, no apocopation takes place: see Exod. xx. 3—18. Similar consequent members seem also to be marked, when the illative accompanies the preterite and exercises the influence of removing the accent, (Art. 119.) e. g. Exod. xxix. 1—3. יוֹנְתָהָ אוֹתָם עַל־ ?

(i. e. a consequent member in such sentences), these will influence two verbs, the first implying the cause (or antecedent), the second that upon which the cause acts (or the consequent), i. e. to mark the first verb as implying the cause, the second as the thing caused. The Moolla goes on to tell us, in the words of Ibn Ulháiib, that we must not, however, always understand such constructions as marking the absolute cause and effect, but only that the writer or speaker has expressed himself, as if this were actually the case; i.e. just as we find it in the scriptural parables, he argues these cases as real, whether they are so or not in the nature of things. This is a principle exerting a most extensive influence on the language of Scripture. The idiomatic force of such passages seems to be: Let there be light, so, let there be light; i. e. The Creator gives the command in the first instance, the historian, writer, or speaker, in the second. This will account for the use of the apocopated forms in each case, and explain their oncordance with one another. So in the Arabic ال تشتمني اكرمك. Rutting the case (thus) or surely, despise me : let me honour thee : تزرنى ازرك visit me, (so) let me visit thee. And hence the peculiar force of the Arabic 96 91-06 1611 11 611 so, therefore, and the Hebrew illative particle]; as, منه الله منه عال فينتقم الله منه الله منه عال " And as to him who returns to sin, God will accordingly take vengeance on him: and, in the Hebrew, וְדִהי אוֹר וְיִהִי let there be light, ACCORDINGLY, CONSEQUENTLY, so (or the like) let it be taken for granted that light becomes, (exists.)

- קרבת אתם וגו Take one heifer and place them upon one basket, and offer them (accordingly), &c. See the following verses. The preterite is apparently used in these cases for the greater emphasis.
- 4. It is not, however, necessary that a command or prohibition should always precede; any subject matter of discourse upon which a consequence will depend, may have its consequent members enounced by apocopated present tenses: as, לְבוֹא בְּרְחוֹב אֲבֶּח נְּנְבְחָה לֹא־תוֹכַל בְּרְחוֹב אֲבֶּרְת נַעְרֶבֶת נַעְרֶבְת נַעְרֶבֶת נַעְבֶּת נַעְרֶבֶת נַעְרֶבֶת נַעְרֶבֶת נִעְרֶבְת נַעְבֶּבְת נַעְרֶבְת נַעְרֶבְת נְעְבֶּבְת נַעְבֶּבְת נַעְבֶּבְת נַעְבֶּבְת נַעְבְּבֶת נַעְבֶּבְת נַעְבְּבֶּת נַעְבֶּבְת נַעְבֶּבְת נַעְבְּבְת נַעְבְּבְת נַבְּת נַעְבֶּבְת נַעְבְּבְת נַעְבְּת נַעְבְּבְת נַעְבְּת נְבְּת נְבְע בָּעְבָּת נַעְבְּת נְבְּת נְבְע בָּעְבְּת נַעְבְּת נְבְּת נְבְע בָּע נְבְע בָּע נְבְע בָּע נְבְּת נְבְּת בְּבְת נְבְת בְּבְּת נַעְבְּת נְבְּת בְּבְת נְבְּת בְּבְּת נְבְּת בְּבְת נְבְּת בְּבְת בְבְּת בְּבְת בְבְּת בְּבְת בְבְּת בְּבְת בְבְת בְבְּת בְבְּת בְּבְת בְבּת בְבְּת בְּבְת בְבְּת בְבְּת בְּבְת בְבּת בְבְּת בְבּת בְבּת
- 5. From what has been said, it may perhaps be concluded, that no passage of this sort can occur, in which such apocopation will not be found either as a mark of a leading imperative, or of a consequent and corresponding member. We must bear in mind, however, that a very great number of cases occurs in the verbs, in which we can have no mark whatever of this apocopation. Again, in a great variety of instances, it will be of but little importance whether the context contain narration only, or be prophetic; for in either of these the particle 1 may

^{*} From this sort of connection in the context, we may see in what sense this connecting and illative particle , may be said to be conversive of the tense of the following verbs to that of the preceding ones: not that it exerts any such power absolutely, but only relatively, (Art. 231. 9.). And in this sense D. Kimkhi has taken it, (Mikhlol, fol. המביע verso.) where he cites Aben Ezra as appealing to the Arabic. And De Balmes expressly ascribes it to the tense of narration (Art. 231. 10. note) המביע doubtful, therefore, whether the Jews ever believed an absolute conversive power to be vested in this 1.

we have both combined in הַבְּיְדָה־כָּא, Shew now I pray. So also Ib. xxxviii. 16, הָבָּה־בָּא Give now I pray. See Ps. ii. 3, 7; lxix. 19, &c.

2. Examples of the second case :*—Ps. ii. 8. יְשָׁאַל מִמֶּבִּי Ask of me, and I will (accordingly) give, or, let me (then) give, nations, &c., Ib. lxix. וֹנִי הַצִּילֵנִי Deliver me from the mire, and let me not (thence) sink down, Ib. cxix. וֹנִי וְאַבִּיטְה בּּלְאוֹת מְתוֹנְתֵּךְ Enlighten my eyes, in order that I

^{*} This relation is marked in the Arabic by the vowel Fatha placed on the instead of ينصر which ينصر instead of ينصر Mr. de Sacy has mistaken for the subjunctive mode of European Grammars; to which it will only occasionally correspond. The particles found to precede this form are various: see de Sacy's Gram. Arab., tom. ii., pp. 19-30. His mistakes will be pointed out in a tract which I shall shortly publish.-This form is not used as an imperative in Arabic, unless preceded by a negative. The principle on which this construction proceeds, is thus stated by Jámi in his commentary on the Kafia. Speaking of the particle , our illative , he says: والفا التى ينتصب المضارع بعدها بتقديران فتقديران بعدها لانتصاب المضارع مشروط بشرطين احدهما السببية اي سببية ما قبلها لما بعدها لان العدول عن الرفع الى النصب للتنصيص على السببية حيث يدل تغير اللفظ تغير المعنى فاذا لم يقصد السببية لا يحتاج الي دلالة عليها &c..... so the , والثاني أن يكون قبلها أي قبل الفاء أحد الاشياء الستة which marks the following verb with FATHA, as equivalent in sense to UT. Now this equivalent to after in (added) for the purpose of placing FATHA on the (last letter of the root in the) present tense, is governed by two conditions. One of these is CAUSALITY, i. e. the causality of some antecedent acting upon its consequent: for, indeed, the change from (9) to (1) is intended to mark this causality; so that the change in the form of the word intimates the change intended in the sense. But, when no such causality is intended, there can be no want of The second condition is, that one of the six things (as in any intimation of it. the last article) precede the - &c. These are, a command, a prohibition, an interrogation, a question, a negation, a wish or representation.

may see, or, and let me see, wonders out of thy law. See also ver. 27; Ps. cxlv. 5; Obad. ver. 1. קומו וְנָקוֹמָה Arise ye, and let us (too) arise, Hab. ii. 1. על־כִּישְׁמֵרְהִּוּ Let me stand upon my watch, that I may be set up on the fortress, and spy. In all of these cases, as in the preceding, a kind of imperative force seems to belong to every verb employed in the succession. In this last case, the force is more of the precative or optative character.

3. It must not be supposed, however, that this form is always had recourse to, for the purpose of marking this relation: for it is occasionally, and perhaps most frequently, designated by such particles as will best express it; as, אָלים מוסל, or eo quod, אַשָּׁי מוּטל, for the purpose of, אַשָּׁי מוּטל, in order to, because of, &c., אַ that, ut, אַ to, in order to, because of, &c., אַ that, ut, אַ to, in order to, because of, that, and the like, with the unaugmented form of the verb. It is when these particles are omitted that the form is generally used. So also in the nouns, אַלִּבְיַבְּיָם will signify to, or towards, Egypt, and will be equivalent to אַלִּבְיַבְּיַם but both are not usually combined; as, אַל־מַצְרַיִּם to this particle is either to, in order to, or something nearly allied to this. (Art. 232.)

235. The third case we have to consider is, that which involves the doctrine respecting the uses of the Epenthetic and Paragogic Nún (1): and here, as before, the first of these is found with commands, prohibitions, and exhortations, and also in the consequent members of antithetic sentences: the second is used with inter-

^{*} In this place we have probably a contraction, i.e. $\Box B B B B$ for $\Box \Box B B B B$, in order to avoid the concurrence of two $H\bar{e}s \Box$. Art. 175, 6.

rogatives, and also in the consequent members of similar sentences. And, in both cases, strong asseveration, with futurity of action, seems to be implied.* Examples in

signification; as, Thou shalt certainly strike (أضربى), in its light form, and

^{*} This is also the doctrine of the Arabian Grammarians on the same letter, which they term النون التاكيدي, the confirmatory Nūn, as the following extracts from one of their best writers will shew. Jámi says: ونون التاكيد فيفقة ساكنة . . . ومشده ققوحة . . تختص . . . بالفعل المستقبل الكاين في ضمن الامر نحو اضربن بالتخفيف واضربن بالتشديد والنهي نحو لا تضربن والاستفهام نحو هل تضربن والتمني نحو الا تنزل بنا فتصيب خيرا والقسم نحو والله لافعلن بالتخفيف والترض نحو الا تنزل بنا فتصيب خيرا والقسم نحو والله لافعلن بالتخفيف والتشديد في جميع هذه الامثلة . . . لا يوكد الا ما يكون مطلوبا . . . وكثرت في مثل اما تفعلن اي الشرط الموكد حرفه بما فائه لما اكد الحرف قصدوا تاكيد الفعل ايضا لئلا ينتقص المقصود من افته لما اكد الحرف قصدوا تاكيد الفعل ايضا لئلا ينتقص المقصود من (p. ۴۷۷) غيره وما قبلها اي ما قبل نون التاكيد خفيفة كانت او ثقيلة و. . the Nūn of confirmation is of two sorts, the light quiescent Nūn, and that doubled with fatha. Its particular usage is with a future verb in an imperative

in its reduplicated one; also with a prohibition; as, Thou shalt (certainly) not strike: also with an interrogation; as, Wilt thou really strike? also with a wish; as, I wish you would really strike: and with a representation; as," Surely you will come down to us, so that it may be well with you: also with an oath; as, And (as) God (exists) so, surely will I do it: and these cases all hold good, whether the form be light, or doubled.....This confirmation is not used, except when something is requested; but, it is most frequent in such examples as, "WHETHER YOU WILL SURELY DO IT, &c.," (i. e. in a condition, the accompanying particle of which is strengthened by Le, what, &c., For, when such particle gives force, the intention is, to strengthen the action of the verb likewise, in order to avoid otherwise missing the force intended by the passage,) together with what goes before it, i. e. whatever precedes such confirmatory $N\bar{u}n$, whether it be light or heavy (i. e. single or double). That is, in all such antithetic sentences as those involving oaths, requests, and the like. The most complete account I have met with of the use of these forms is to be found in the work of نجم الدين العراقي already referred to, from which I shall give extracts in another publication. I have given this note more

- 2. In some instances the Paragogic Nūn, in single sentences, seems strongly to intimate futurity of action, as in Joel ii. 4; ירוצון so shall they assuredly run: Ib. ver. 7; בְּבוֹרִים יְרוצון like heroes shall they (certainly) run; ישׁ בּדְרָבִיוֹ יִלְכוֹן אַרְחוֹרָם each in his ways shall they march; וְלֹא יִעַבְּטוֹן אֹרְחוֹרָם neither shall they (at all) wander (from) their paths.

We may here remark that מְשֵׁבְּילֶבָּה, a manifest prophetic future, is parallel to יְשִׁבְּילֶבָּה in the following member: whence both must

at length than I otherwise should have done, because I find Mr. de Sacy speaking in his Gram. Arabe (tom. ii. p. 35), as if there were no fixed rules for its use, and actually dislocating the last example he has cited, as if it had no connection with what went before. See the Koran, Sur. 102.

be construed in the same tense: both therefore will be strong prophetic futures: the one grounded on the principle (Art. 236.) of the event having already come to pass; the other enouncing a similar event in a corroborated present sense only: while the Epenthetic Nūn in the latter, marks it as a consequent to the former.—In the next place, the following אַנּיִּבְּילֵּ has no such Nūn: it commences, therefore, a new series of context, i. e. it resumes the original theme commenced with אַנְּבְּילֵבְּי, and has אַנְבְּילֵבְּילֵ with the Epenthetic Nūn for its consequent; and, in apposition with this is the following אַנְבְּילֶבְּיִבְּי. See Ib. xliii. 5; Deut. viii. 5. 19, 20; Job. ix. 6. 32. 34; xii. 7. 8, &c. In the nouns too, the termination appears to have a corroborative force, see Art. 168.

4. From the near approach of these forms in sense, we must not be surprised in occasionally finding constructions in which they are mixed, for the purpose perhaps of imparting to its several members some particular shade of meaning, or to keep up a variety in the modes of expression, e. g. Job ix. 14; אַך בּי־אָנֹבִי אָענֵגוּ אַבְחֵרָה דְבָרִי עָפוֹ Nay, (supposing) that I may really answer him, (then) let me I pray select my words (for use) with him, Gen. xii. 2; וְאֶעשׁךְ לְנוֹי נְדוֹל....וְאֲנַדְּלָח מוק והיה ברבה and let me make thee a great nation, and let me (I pray) make thy name great, and become thou a blessing, Exod. xxiii. 10; וָשֵׁשׁ שָׁנִים תִּזְרַע־אֶת־ אַרֶּצֶּף וְשְׁמֶפְּמָּ בֶּאֶתָהּ: וְחַשְּׁבִיתְּיִתְּ תִּשְׁבְּחּ וּנְטַשְּׁתָהּ וְאָכַלּוֹ אָביוֹנֵי עַמֶּף וְיִתְרָם תּאֹכַל חַיַּת הַשְּׁבֶּה and six years sow thou (imper.) thy land, and HAVE COL-LECTED (pret. i. e. prophetic fut.) its income. But the seventh (year) THOU SHALT DISMISS IT (Epenthetic form): so thou (shalt) have left it, and the poor of thy people SHALL (surely) EAT: and their excess (i. e. leavings) the wild beast of the field SHALL EAT (pres. or contingent future). I translate these so as to express the force of the different forms as near as I can. See also Gen. xliii. 21.

236. Another leading principle, by which the tenses

* The following observations on this use of the past tense of a Persian verb are taken from the Persian Grammar of Mr. Lumsden, vol. ii. p. 326.

"The prophetic denunciation of a future event will be often expressed in the past tense, in order to indicate the certainty of its occurrence. Examples: كَافِر اِمْرُوزِ الْكُرْچَةُ خُوشَ است لِيكِن فَرِدَاسَت كَهُ طُوتِ لَعَنْتُ بَرُ كَافِدَ الْعَنْتُ بَرُدُولُ لَا لَكُونَ لَعْنَار كُرُدِيدُهُ وَبَالْوَاعِ عَذَابِ كُوفَتَار كُرُدِيدُهُ (The infidel, however happy to-day, will be encircled to-morrow by a collar of curses, and will suffer miseries of every description.'

"It seems to me," continues Mr. Lumsden, "that most of the preceding rules have their basis in the following principle....that the occurrence of a future event is naturally a matter of great uncertainty, and generally speaking, will be so considered, if expressed by the future tense of the verb. Past events having already occurred, are subject to no uncertainty at all. And hence it happens, that a Persian, having occasion to speak of a future event, which he believes to be of certain occurrence, will naturally enough employ the past tense of the verb: by the use of which he means to apprise his auditor, that the occurrence of the event, though still future, is, in his opinion, not less certain, than if it were past."

Of this character is the passage cited by Mr. de Sacy, from the "Concessus

The following passages copied from a very valuable commentary on the Arabic Grammar of Ibn Ulhajib, by نجم الدين العراقي which is preserved in the public library of Cambridge, will put this question out of all doubt, as to the practice of the Orientals. Speaking of the preterite tense, it is said: وينصرف الي الاستقبال بالانشاء الطلبي اما دعاء نحو رحمك الله او امراً فرنَهُ آسا اخاد بنفسه وينصرف الي عليه السلام أَجْزاً أمر قرنَهُ آسا اخاد بنفسه وينصرف الي

הַפּוּשְׂרָה עַל־שָּׁכְמוֹ וַיִּקְרָא שָׁמוֹ פֶּלֶא יוֹעֵץ אֵל גְּבּוֹר אֲבִי־עַד : בּוֹר אַבִיר for a child has been (i. e. shall surely be) BORN to us, a Son hath been (or surely shall be) GIVEN to us, so the government is upon his shoulder, and

الاستقبال ايضاً بان يخبر من الامور المستقبلة اذ قُصد القطع بوقوعها كقوله تعالى ونادي اصحاب الجنة ... انَّه من حيث افادة المتكلَّم لوقوع الفعل قطعاً كانة وقع ومضى . . . وينقلب الماضي اليه ايضاً اذا كان منفياً او ان كان في جواب القَسَم نحو والله لا فعلتُ وان فعلتُ ... وينقلب أيضًا اليه بدخول كلم المجازاة غير او واما كان فقد يبقي معها على المضي نحو قوله تعالى ان كنتُ قلتُه وينقلب ايضاً بدخول ما الغاينة عن الظرف ... نحو ما دامت السموات ... نحو ما دامت السموات ... The preterite takes the future signification, when used in passages intimating desire—whether in prayer; as, all, MAY GOD HAVE MERCY ON THEE;—or, command, as in the saying of Ali, Let the MAN REWARD HIS NEIGHBOUR (pret.) WHO IN HIS OWN PERSON HAS DONE GOOD TO HIS BROTHER. It is also changed into the future, when speaking of some future event, and intending to enounce it AS CERTAIN TO COME TO PASS; as in the passage (of the Koran), The inhabitants of Paradise SHALL call, &c. (have called. Surat. Alaraf.) where the speaker mentions the event as HAVING AL-READY COME TO PASS. It is also taken as a future, when accompanied by a negative. or, as an answer to an oath: as, By God, I will not do it, or, Should I DO IT. It is also used as a future in hypothetical sentences, except with the particle should, unless, &c. But, as to the verb it will retain its preterite signification: as, If I had said it. It is also changed when the particle is used intimating time: e.g. As long as the heavens have ENDURED (i. e. SHALL ENDURE"), &c. It is very evident, that the same principle prevails throughout every instance here adduced, (if we except the hypothetical ones, of which something will be said hereafter, and which is used as an auxiliary), namely, that of certainty, and thence intense petition, or command, grafted upon this certainty.-See Viger de idiotismis Græcis, p. 167. Edit. 1813, with the notes. Winer's Gram. of the New Test., p. 105. (b).

(one) calls his name Wonder, Counsellor (or Preacher), Mighty God, the Father (or Proprietor) of an age, the Prince of peace; Ib. vii. 18, אַרִּים בְּיוֹם הַהוֹּא יִשִּׁר בִּיוֹם הַהוֹּא יִשְּׁר בִּיוֹם הַהוֹּא יִשְּׁר בִּיוֹם הַהוֹּא יִשְּׁר בִּיוֹם הַבּוֹב אֲשֶׁר בִּקְצָה יִאֹרֵי מִצְרִים (certainly) come to pass, in that day, Jehovah hisses (or shall hiss) to the bee which (is) in the extremity of the rivers of Egypt, &c.; Ib. 19, וּבְּתַּרְיִּלִי הַּסְלְעִים בִּרְּחָר וֹבְנְקִיקִי הַסְּלְעִים &c. and they shall (certainly) come, and shall all rest in the desolate valleys, and in the holes of the rocks.

It will be imagined, perhaps, from the use of the accents here, that these preterites have been affected by the preceding imperative "" (Art. 233. 3.). But this is unnecessary, as it regards their forms; because, had nothing but a simple imperative been intended, the common imperative forms would have been used. Innumerable instances occur, however, in which no such

form precedes, as is also the case with the prophetic preterites just noticed. See Gen. xl. 14, xlv. 13, xlvi. 34, Ezek. ii. 4, iii. 17, iv. 5, 6.

- 3. In the following example, we have both the preterite and present tenses, used as prophetical futures; the former for the purpose of intimating certainty, and thence of affording assurance; the latter for the reasons already given (Artt. 231. 11. 235. 3.). Gen. xxvi. 3, בור בַּאָנֶץ הַזֹּאַת וְאָהְנֶה עִבְּיךְ וַאֲבְרֶכְּךָ כִּי־לְּךְּ וּלְיַוְרְשֶׁךְ אֶּתְרֹ וּשְׁבֶעְהִי אָתְרֹ הַשְּׁבְעְהִי נִשְּׁבְעְהִי נִשְּׁבְעְהִי נִשְּׁבְעְהִי אָת־הַשְּׁבְעְהִי נִשְּׁבְעְהִי נִשְּׁבְעְהִי נִשְּׁבְעְהִי אָת־הַשְּׁבְעָה אַשֶּׁר נִשְּׁבְעְהִי אָת־הַשְּׁבְעָה אַשֶּׁר נִשְּׁבְעְהִי אָת־הַשְּׁבְעָה נִשְּׁבְעְהִי נִשְּׁבְעְהִי אָת־הַשְּׁבְעָה מִשְׁר נִשְּׁבְעְהִי אָת־הַשְּׁבְעָה מִשְׁר נִשְּׁבְעְהִי אָת־הַשְּׁבְעָה מוֹ sojourn in this land, and I am (or will be) with thee, and so I bless (or will bless) thee; for to thee and to thy seed I give (or will give) all these lands: and I will (surely) establish the oath which I sware to Abraham thy father.
- 4. The following has a prohibitive sentence in the Present, followed by two predictions enounced in the Preterite, tense: Gen. xxvi. 24, אַל־הִּירָא בִּי־אָתְּךּ אָנֹכִי fear not, for I (am) with thee and will (surely) bless thee, and multiply thy seed.

^{*} In this case, the preterite is reckoned, not from the period in which the declaration is made, but from one future to it, as it is even in the English. The reason is: futurity is intended in the first, and consequently in every subsequent verb, whatever other particulars the context may require in this respect.

בּתְּחָרִים אוֹתָם הַחָרֵם הַחָרִים אוֹתָם אוֹתָם פּנִיךְ וְהָבִּיהָם הַחָרֵם הַחָרִים אוֹתָם לפּנִיךְ וְהָבִּיהָם הַחָרֵם הַחָרִים אוֹתָם Sec. when Jehovah thy God before to possess it, and shall have cast out many great nations from before thee.... and Jehovah thy God shall have delivered them up before thee, and thou shalt have smitten them, (then) devote thou them to utter destruction.

6. In like manner when two events are enounced, one of which is prior to the other, and that which occurred first in the order of time, being to be taken in the past tense, (with reference to the time in which the relation was originally made,) the following one may be translated into English in the preterpluperfect tense:* as, Gen. xxvi. 18, לֵהֶוֹ שָׁמֵוֹת בַּשְׁמוֹת בַּשְׁמוֹת בַּשְׁמוֹת בַּשְׁמוֹת מַּלְּבְּיִא לְהֶן יִּמְלוֹת בַּשְׁמוֹת so he calls them (i. e. at that time by) names, according to the names (by) which his father CALLED THEM (i. e. had called.).

237. General opinions may be enounced either in the preterite,† the participles, or in the present tense.

* In this case, the verb אין is an historical present, and hence contempo-

Examples: Ps. i. 1, הַלְךְ בַּעְצַת לֹא הָלָךְ בַּעְצַת הָאִישׁ אֲשֶׁר לֹא הָלָךְ בַּעְצַת לִצִים לֹא יָשָׁב בּיִשְׁב לֵצִים לֹא יָשָׁב Blessed (is) the man who hath not walked in the counsel of the wicked, and hath not stood in the way of sinners, and hath not resided in the habitation of the scorners; Prov. xxviii. 7, נוצר תוֹרָה בּן מָבִין וְרֹעָה זוֹלְלִים יַכְלִים לִכְלִים בַּלִים An intelligent son keeps the law; but he who attends upon base men, puts his father to shame; Ib. xi. 4, : יוֹצִיל הוֹן בִּיוֹם עֶבְרָה וֹצְדָקָה תַּצִיל מִמְּנֵוֹת הַוֹלְים עַבְרָה וֹצְדָקָה תַּצִיל מִמְנֵוֹת :

on which an opinion is founded, the effect is the same in either case. An Englishman will commonly state the opinion, as 'Force cannot cope with fortune:' and a Persian will be often disposed to state the facts on which the opinion is founded: as 'The strong have been generally foiled in the contest with fortune.' This, therefore," adds he, "is a case in which the past may be

said to supersede the future tense of the verb." Example; هزين پس به

retire and dwell in a corner, like the ant; for even the elephant (which is the strongest of all animals) cannot master (has not mastered) his fortune by force."

Pers. Gram., vol. ii. p. 326.

The Arabic Grammarians endeavour to account for this use of the verb, which they say must be taken as in the present tense, by saying, that we

have here, الإنشاءُ الإيقاعي, by which they mean the retention of an event in the mind, which although past, as to fact, is nevertheless present in effect; as,

I have sold, and am now dispossessed of the thing sold, ביבייי I have bought, and do possess, &c., whereas, when we use the present tense in such cases, the thing sold, bought, &c. may be, or not, now in our possession. So we say in English, I am come, he is gone, not I have come, he has gone. And in Hebrew, Is. xiv. 7; חַבָּי אָרָאָרָ בְּלִירְאָרָא בְּלִירְאָרָא בְּלִירְאָרָא בּלִירְאָרָא בְּלִירְאָרָא בּלִירְאָרָא בּלִירְא בּלִיר בְּלִירְא בּלִיר בְּלִיר בְּלִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בְּלִיך בְּלִיךְ בָּלִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בְלִיךְ בְּלִיךְ בְּלִי בְּלִיךְ בְּלִיי בְּלִיי בְּלִיי בְּלִיי בְּיִי בְיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּייִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְייִי בְּיִי בְייִי בְּייִי בְייִי בְיִי בְּיִי בְּייִי בְּייי בְייִי בְייִי בְייי בְייי בְייי בְייי בְייי בְייי בְייי ב

riches PROFIT not in the day of wrath; but righteousness delivers from death.

238. Hypothetical sentences, which are very nearly allied to the foregoing, will be enounced either in the past or present tense, according to one or other of the preceding rules, or as it shall suit the intention of the writer. Examples: Gen. xxvi. 10, מַה־זֹאת עַשִּׁיתַ לַנוּ בָּמִעַט שַׁבַב : אַתַר הָעָם אֶת־אִשְּׁהֶּךְ וְהֵבֵאתְ עָלֵינוּ אָשָׁם what is this (that) thou hast done to us? some one of the people MIGHT lightly HAVE LAIN with thy wife, so thou WOULDEST HAVE BROUGHT sin upon us; Ib. xxvii. 12, אולי יִמְשֵׁנְי צָבִי וְהַיִיתִי בִעִינֵיו בָּמָתַעְהַעַ וְהֶבָאתִי עַלֵי קּלֵלַה וְלֹא בִרָכָה: Perhaps my father MAY FEEL me; (or, putting the case that he feels me) so SHALL I (certainly) BECOME as a great deceiver in his eyes, and SHALL (surely) BRING upon myself a curse and not a blessing; Prov. vi. 1, אַמ־עַרַבָּתָּ לְרֵעָךָ תָּקַעָתָּ לָזָר בַּפֶּיךְ: . . . עַשֵּׁה זאת &c., My son, if thou hast become surety for thy neighbour. if thou hast stricken thy hand with a stranger. 'do this, &c.; Gen. xxviii. 20, &c., אם־יָהְנֶה אַלהִים עַמַּדְי וּשְׁמֶרַנִּי בַּדֶּרֶדְ הַנֶּה אֲשֶׁר אָנֹכִי הוֹלֵדְ וְנָתַוּ־לִי לֶחֶם לֶאֱכֹל וּבְנֶּד לְלָבשׁ: וִשַּׁבְתִּי בִשָּׁלוֹם אֶל־בֵּית אָבִי וְהָיָה יְהֹנָה לִי לֵאלהִים: וְהָאֶבֶן, &c., i. e. as assuredly as that God is with me, and HATH PRESERVED me in this way in which I am (now) travelling, and HATH (hitherto) GIVEN me bread to eat and clothing to put on; so assuredly SHALL I RETURN to my father's house in peace, and Jehovah SHALL assuredly continue my God; and this stone, &c.* Is.

^{*} This passage has been entirely misunderstood. It contains an oath, or vow, which is the same thing. This usage of the preterite in the Hebrew, as in the Arabic, generally designates oaths; and the principle upon which these are constructed is, by taking something which is most certain as a basis, and then by comparing the subsequent terms with it. See Heb. vi. 13—19. And the

לוא־קַרַעָת שַׁמַיִם יַרַדָּת מְפָּגֵיךּ הַרִים נַוֹלוּ :–מִפַּגִיךְ 19, לוֹא־קַרַעָת שַׁמַיִם יַרַדָּת מְפָּגֵיך : אוים ירנזו HADST thou RENT the heavens, HADST thou DE-SCENDED, HAD the mountains BEEN REDUCED before thee; ... then the nations had trembled before thee;* Lev. x. 19,

Moolla Jámi on Ibn Ulhájib, pp. 1977-197v. These forms, therefore, imply no curse or ban, as the forms of cursing do which run thus, God do so to me, &c.

* In translating this passage, I have taken בָּלֹלּף as the Niphhál of זָלָלָ to which it seems to me most properly to belong, (see Simouis's Lexicon sub voce,) and kit as a particle implying supposition with a negation, according to its most usual import both in Hebrew and Arabic. It should also be observed, that in the first and two last examples, the preterite is used, as in the statement of general opinions, and for the reasons assigned by Mr. Lumsden. The particles preceding such expressions may always be considered as intended to put a suppositious case; or, in other words, to lay down a general fact as accidental, and then to deduce the consequence: which may be stated, either in the past or present tense, as circumstances may require. Of this character are

not known thee, we had (surely) done after this manner; or, we should (surely) HAVE DONE SO, taking the second member as a future of certainty with

respect to the first; وَكُنْتُ هَاهُنَا لَمْ يَمْتُ أَخِي HADST thou BEEN here,

my brother would not have died; أَنْ فَعَلْتُ ذُلِكَ ضَيَّعَتُ مَالِي над I

DONE this, I SHOULD SURELY HAVE LOST my wealth; ان أنا كثرت علفها

יאבים אַבים DID I INCREASE her food, she WOULD (probably) LAY TWO eggs: i. e. putting the case that if I had done so, then she would perhaps lay, &c. De Sacy's Gram. Arab., vol. i. p. 124, &c.

The Persian examples selected by Mr. Lumsden seem to me all subject to this distinction, i. e. of certainty or contingency, and to have been enounced ac-

cordingly, either in the past, present, or future tense; اگر نرسیدی انتظارت HAD you not ARRIVED, the expectation of you, WOULD (pro-اگر امروز بر خلق رحم کُذ فردا مرحوم ; (bably) HAVE KILLED (me) ; اگر امروز بر خلق رحم کُذ

: וְאָבֶלְתִּי חַשְּׁאת הַיּוֹם חַיִּיטֵב בְּעֵינֵי יְהוֹה and, had I eaten the sin-offering to-day, would it have (seemed) good in the eyes of Jehovah?

239. Commands, requests, prohibitions, deprecations, blessings, and hence future events taken prophetically, will be enounced by the imperatives of verbs, or by any of those other forms, which are used as imperatives (Artt. 233. 3. 234. 235.): as, Gen. xxvii. 3, וַעַתָּה שָׂא־נָא כֶלֵיך תֶּלְיִך : יְקַשְׁתֶּדְ וְצֵאָ הַשָּׁבֶּה וְצוּדָה לִי צָיִדה and now, таке, I pray, thy weapons, thy quiver and thy bow, and go our into the plain, and Hunt for me a hunting. Ib. v. 26, בְּשֶׁהּ בוי בני: come near now, and kiss me, my son. Ib. v. 28, ויתן־לך האלהים מטל השמים and MAY God GIVE (or, he shall give) thee of the dew of heaven. Ps. xxxvii. 1, : אַל־תִּקְנָּא בְּעשֵׁי עַוְלָה BE not IRRITATED at those who are evil; ENVY thou not the workers of iniquity. Gen. xxiv. 60, הַנִי לְאַלְבֵּי רְבַבָּה ניירש זרעד את שער שנאיר весоме thou (i. e. thou shalt be) thousands of myriads, and LET thy seed possess the gate of those who hate them. See also Gen. xii. 2, xx. 7, xlv. 18; Deut. xxxii. 50; Is. xlvii. 1; Ps. xxxvii. 27, xlv. 4, 5: also Ps. lxix. from v. 7 to the end, explained by St. Paul, Rom. xi. 9, &c., as prophetical. So also Ps. cix. compared with Acts i. 20; Ps. lxxix. 6-12. See Glassius, Lib. i., Tract iv., § i.,

if to-day you (by any chance) shew mercy to mankind, to-morrow you mave received mercy, i. e. certainly shall receive it. See Pers. Gram., vol. ii. p. 322, &c. That is to say, the present tense appears to be chosen when contingency is intended to be intimated, the preterite when it is not. The one therefore is a less forcible form of expression than the other. It is, however, my intention shortly to treat this matter more at length in a tract devoted to this and similar questions.

Canon ii., Lib. iii., Tract iii.; Canon xliii. xlv., &c. Viger. de idiotismis Græcis, p. 155, Edit. 1813, with the notes.

Remarks.

240. The preceding rules seem to be governed by two general principles. One, in which the Writer, setting out from the period in which he commences his narrative, follows the different circumstances of it, as if himself and his reader were present, and hence dates the tenses of his verbs from the different periods in which he thus places himself; still however reserving the right of returning to his original position whenever he pleases.

2. By the other principle, events which it is believed will certainly take place, are represented as having already come to pass: and thence preterites are used as Imperatives for the greater emphasis. Nothing surely can be more natural than the adoption of such principles. And, when we consider the great degree of precision, which their application must communicate to the context, we shall be induced to believe, that the poverty and uncertainty, of which it has been fashionable to accuse the Hebrew language, has rather arisen out of our own ignorance, than from any defect inherent in its construction. We do not mean to affirm; however, that we can always say, why one mode of enunciation is preferred to another, when, as far as we can see, either would have suited the character of the context: nor can we in the Greek and Latin, notwithstanding all that has been said in their favour. many cases the parallelism may have had some influence, in others attraction; but, upon the whole, I believe we can generally give as good an account of the use of the tenses in the Hebrew, as can be given in either the Greek, Latin, or any other language.

LECTURE XVIII.

ON THE NATURE AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE PARTICLES, AND ON THE ADVERBS.

- 241. It has been seen (Art. 222.), that adverbs are nothing more than words added for the purpose of qualifying the signification of verbs generally; we now come to consider some of those cases, which are not of the most common occurrence, and which may otherwise present some difficulties to the Learner.
- 2. Adverbs in immediate connection with verbs may be considered as absolute (Art. 220. 2.), or, as added for the purpose of specification (Art. 219. note): those in mediate connection, as in the definite state of construction with the preceding word or particle; which word or particle may nevertheless be absolute with respect to the verb. General examples are given, Art. 222. The following are some in which we have attributives singular and plural, pronouns, and particles, thus construed: אַלָּאָרָם הַּלָּאָרָם הַּלָּאָרָם הַּלָּאָרָם הַּלָּאָרָם הַּלָּאָרָם הַּלָּאָרִם הַּלָּאָרָם הַּלָּאָרָם הַלָּאָרָם הַלְּאָרָם הַלְּאָרָם הַלְּאָרָם הַלְּאָרָם הַלְּאָרָם הַלְּאָרָם הַלְּאָרָם הַלְּאָרָם הַלְּאָרִם הַלְּאָרָם הַלְּאָבְּבְּלְים הַלְּאָרָם הַלְּאָרָם הַלְּאָבְּיִים הַלְּאָבְּים הַלְּאָבְּים הַלְּאָבְּים הַלְּאָבְּים הַלְּאָבְּים הַלְּאָבְים הַלְּאָבְּים הַלְּאָבְּים הַלְּיִים הַלְּיִבְּים הַלְּיִים הַלְּיִבְּים הַלְּיִבְּיּם הַלְּיִבְּים הַלְּיִבְּיְם הַלְּיִבְּים הַלְּיִים הַלְּיִבְּים הַלְּיִבְּים הַלְּיִבְּים הַלְּיִבְּים הַלְּיִים הַלְיבְּים הַלְּיִבּים הַלְיבְּים הַלְּיִבּים הּלְיבָּים הַלְיבָּים הַלְיבְּים הַלְּיִבְּים הַלְיבְּים הַלְיבְּים הַלְיבְּים הַלְיבְּים הַלְיבְּים הּבּים הּבּים הּלְיבְים הַלְיבְים הַלְיבְּי
 - 3. It will immediately be seen, that these instances may all be construed by one or other of the rules already given. So also with pronouns: $\exists i = i \text{ this } (\text{place}), \text{ for } i = i \text{ this } (\text{place}), \text{ this } (\text$

here; בְּלֶבְה, and בְּאֹשׁר this, for thus; לְבָּוּה,* or that, why? בְּאָשׁר in that which, i. e. place or time, as the context shall require; or אַשָּׁר absolutely, for where, when? or since, because, &c.

4. Negative particles ought to be considered as affecting the action, &c. of the verb either expressed or understood, not as negativing the substance implied by the noun; † e. g. V-N7 Dy a people, Not strong, Prov.

* The particles \(\textit{\textit{\textit{2}}}\) and \(\textit{\textit{2}}\) are occasionally equivalent to the eis of the New Testament, signifying for the purpose of, in order to, &c., as, Ps. xxix. 4, ΤΕΕ είς δύναμιν; powerful, effective, ib.

† Such expressions as, there is no man, no place, nothing, &c. would be considered as monstrous by an Oriental, whom it would be extremely difficult to persuade that we were not affirming both the existence and non-existence of the same thing at the same time. They would say, there is not a man, &c., which is certainly more natural and intelligible. See Art. 218. 2. note.

The Arabs make their adverbs by an indefinite attributive put absolutely, or in immediate, or mediate, apposition with the word intended to be qualified, which may be either the subject or the object of the verb: e. g. فربت زيداً مشدوداً ولقيت ; يد راكباً

באת (ולאבע I struck Zaid VIOLENTLY, and I met Omar, BOTH RIDING. In these cases the adverbs are put in what is termed the accusative case, where the connection seems to be mediate, i.e. in which some intervening word is to be understood. In the following, they are in the nominative, and there the connection is

manifestly immediate. جاءِني زيد وغلامه راكب Zaid came to me, and his servant (was) RIDING. The reason given for the first of these examples by the author of the Hidayat oon Nahve (p. ۴۹) is, that a verb is understood: his words are, ومثال ما كان عاملها معني الفعل نحو هذا زيد قائماً فان معناه أنبه

The examples, in which the signification of a verb was the governing principle, as in "this Zaid (is) standing," require a verb to be understood: thus, "I intend, I point out."—His meaning is this: "as to this Zaid, I men-

5. In the particle אָיִן this is still more apparent; for it takes the vowels necessary for the state of construction (Art. 143. 6.): e. g. אֵין מוֹשִׁיעַ אַ want, lack, of a saviour, Deut. xxii. 27; לְחַבָּה אֵין יְלָדִים to Hannah (was) A want

tion him as standing." By this he means to shew why the accusative case is used in such places. Mr. de Sacy gives a different account of this con-

struction, see vol. ii. Art. 630; where he considers رَاكِياً as intended to point

out a sort of logical objective case to the verb size. For my own part, I would prefer considering this termination as the fragment of some word formerly used as a postposition, and therefore, as equivalent to the preposition

^{;,} see note, p. 291, and to be translated thus: Zaid came to me (IN the situation of) a person riding.

^{*} בּרֹל establish, &c. Hence בְּרָן; and Art. 73. בָּל establishing, right, just, &c.

 $[\]dagger$ See Eichhorn's edition of Simonis sub voce. On the etymology of these particles, see Art. 171.

of children, 1 Sam. i. 2; שׁמֵלְנָלִי שׁמֵע A WANT of me hearing, i. e. I hear not, Jer. xiv. 12; so אַרָּ בּי the being, existing, of a man, i. e. there is a man, Eccl. ii. 21; דיקים דוב דוב באַרָּיִים דוב באַרָּיִים בּיִשְׁנוֹ בְּאָרֶיִים דוֹשׁ צַּרִייִּים עוֹי בּיִשְׁנוֹ בְּאָרֶיִים דְּיִשְׁנוֹ בְּאָרֶיִים דְּיִשְׁנוֹ בְּאָרֶיִים נוֹי בּיִשְׁנוֹ בּאָרֶיִים נוֹי בּיִישְׁנוֹ בּאָרֶייִים נוֹי בּיִשְׁנוֹ בּיִשְׁנוֹ בְּאָרֶיִים נוֹי בּיִים נוֹי בּייִים נוֹי בּיִים נוֹי בּיִים נוֹי בּיִיים נוֹי בּיִים נוֹים נוֹי בּיִים נוֹי בּיים נוֹי בּיים נוֹי בּיים נוֹי נוֹים בּיים נוֹים בּיים נוֹים נוֹיים נו

6. When any of these particles follow the word to be qualified, they will be absolute: e. g. אַלְתָּהְה הֵיִיתֶּם לֹא for now ye have become (of) Nothing, Job vi. 21; בְּיִב אַיִּן אָיִן (is) Not; שֵׁיִם אַיִּן provision, Being, i. e. there is provision, Jud. xix. 19.

7. Similar to אֹל and אַנְי is the construction and force of סָּלְּי terminating, expiring; hence, defect, non-existence, &c.: e. g. אַלְּהָים אָלְהָים אַלְּהָים מּסָּל מַ מּלְהָים אַנִּי וְאָבְּסִי עִיד אַנִּי וְאָבְּסִי עִיד וּ I am, and excepting me,

still (is none), Zeph. ii. 15.

8. The following are examples of similar construction with the particles: אוֹל returning, reiteration, yet, still, &c.; אַל see, behold; אַל defect, want, not; בּין marking, observing, inferring, whether, that, &c.; אָל or אַ cutting off, separating, from, than, &c.; אַב and בּיל growing old, decaying, lack, non-existence; שְּבֶּים cutting off, defect, want, not yet, &c. with or without other particles, &c.; אַבְּילְיִי Thy continuing a retainer, or holder, i. e. thou still retainest, Job ii. 9; בּילוּד יוֹלְם in (being) yet full day, Jer. xv. 9; יוֹלָם in my still being, Ps. cxlvi. 2; אַבְּירָדְּם from, or, since my still existing, i. e. since my birth, Gen. xlviii. 15;

^{*} See also Art. 171. 2. 3.

^{+ 3,5} returning, &c.; YiF and Art. 87. 1. Tiv.

עוֹרֶנוּ עֹמֵר * Abraham, HIS CONTINUING a stander, i. e. he still stood, Gen. xviii. 22; הַנְּהוּ עִשָּׁה הַבּהוּ עִשָּׁה הַבּהוּ אַשָּׁה הַבּהוּ אַשְּׁה הַבּהוּ אַשְּׁה הַבּהוּ וּ עִשְּׁה הַבּהוּ נִשְּׁה הַבּהוּ נִייִּים בּבּוּר וּ פּבּוּר וּ הַבּרוּ בּבּוּר אַבְּרוּ בּבּוּר (any) like thee, Jer. x. 7; הַלוֹן מַבְּבוֹר thou art filled (with) ignominy, wanting (being destitute of) glory, reputation, Hab. ii. 16.

10. So with other negative particles, אָלְי הַבְּּה אַלְי הַבְּּה שׁלְחַתְּם אֹתִי הַבְּּה you sent me not hither, but God, Gen. xlv. 8, i. e. it is not you, but rather God, &c. See Exod. xvi. 8, 1 Sam. viii. 7, Jer. vii. 22. 23, Hos. i. 9, vi. 6, Ps. li. 18, Eccl. iv. 9, and Matt. xxiii. 23, Luke x. 20, xiv. 12. 14, 1 Pet. iii. 6. See Storr, p. 251, &c.

Examples of בְּלִי יְבֶתְּ, &c.: עַר־בְּלִי יְבֶתְּ until the decay of the moon, i. e. as long as it shall endure, Ps. lxxii. 7; until the defect of the heavens, i. e. as long as they shall last, Job xiv. 12; מְבְּלִי הָשְׁמִירִם

^{*} In the case of the Epenthetic I being added to this particle, as well as to some others, the relation of a subsequent member of a sentence seems to be occasionally pointed out as in Art. 235. 3. with some emphasis. Examine the instances of this and 35%, &c. in Noldius.

from the not leaving to him every thing, i. e. any thing, Deut. xxviii. 55; לְבִלְתִּי שׁוֹב for the lack of returning, i. e. the not returning, Ezek. xiii. 22; מַבְּלְתִּי יַכֹּלֶת from the want of power, Num. xiv. 16; לְבִלְתִּי תְּחֲשָׁאוֹ for cessation of your sinning, i. e. that you should not sin, Exod. xx. 20; בַּמְבְּלִי אֵין־קְבְּרִים is it from the want of graves? Exod. xiv. 11; בְּשֶׁרֶם־לֹא יָבוֹא in its not yet coming, Zeph. ii. 2.

11. The following are examples of prepositions in immediate connection with the word to be qualified, restricted, &c.: מָלְרָחָם הַּיִּשְׁבִּים שָׁם לְבָּנִים of Ham (were) the dwellers there of former (times), 1 Chron. iv. 40; the dwellers there of former (times), 1 Chron. iv. 40; בּבִּים בַּבִּים בַּבִּים בַּבִּים בַּבִּים my soul (is) like a parched land (with respect) to thee, Ps. cxliii. 6.

In these, and all similar cases, it will be of no consequence whether we suppose the particles to be in apposition, or in the definite state of construction, there being no mark of case in the Hebrew. The latter perhaps agrees best with the analogy; because we have, in some of the particles, the form proper for that state, as in אַבְּיִרְיִבְּיִּבְּיִ מִשְׁרוֹ זְיִבְּיִּ מִיבְּיִר מִשְׁרוֹ מִיבְּיִר מִשְׁרוֹ מִיבְּיִר מִשְׁרוֹ מִיבְּיִר מִשְׁרוֹ מִיבְּיִר מִשְׁרוֹ מִיבְּיִר מִשְׁרוֹ מִבְּיִר מִשְׁרוֹ מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִשְׁרוֹ מִבְּיִי מִשְׁרוֹ מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִּבְּיִי מִּבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִּבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִּבְּיִי מִבְּיִים מִּבְּיִי מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מִבְּיִים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מִבְּים מִּבְּים מְּבְּים מִּבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְּבְּים מְבְּיִים מְּבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מִבְּים מִבְּים מְבְּים מִּבְּים מְבְּים מְּבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְּבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים מְבְּים

and in the Arabic always, as, على المسجد i. e. The upper (part) of the mosque, for over the mosque. See Art. 171. 3. &c.

13. The intervening particles most in use are, and a, which seem to be added for the purpose of marking the word to which the preceding one has some relation, and also to point out the nature of that relation, e. g. תַּחַת לְראִשִׁי beneath (with reference) דס my head, i. e. under my head, Cant. ii. 6; קֿצִיר outwards (with reference) To the city, 2 Chron. xxxiii. 15; אַל־מָבֵּית לָפָּרֹבֶת to (that which is) From within (with respect) To the veil, Lev. xvi. ווּ בּרַח חַיִּים (אַבַר בְּשַׁרָּה לְבַשְׁבָּר לְבַשְׁבָּר בְּשָׁאוֹל בְּשָׁה the way of life, or, religious instruction (is) for the elevating of the understanding (person,) FOR the purpose of receding from the grave beneath, Prov. xv. 24; thou hast refrained (with reference) דס thou hast refrained bringing down (degrading) on account of our sins, Ezra ix. 13.

Of this kind are the combinations שַר לְמָאוֹ 2 Chron. xvi. 14;

עד למעלה Ib. xxii. ועד לאין מרפא זו. Ib. xxxvi. 16, &c.

So, אֲשֶׁר בַּשָּׁמֵים מִפַּעל וַאֲשֶׁר בָּאָרֶץ מִתְּחַת וַאֲשֶׁר בַּפַּיִם : לאָרֶץ which (is) in the heavens from above, and which (is) in the earth from beneath, and which (is) in the waters from beneath (with respect) to the earth. Exod. xx. 4.

The phrases here used, from above and from beneath, must necessarily be taken relatively: in the first instance above, with respect to the earth; in the second, by beneath, with respect to the heavens, and in the third, beneath, or low, with reference to the earth, which is expressed by : i. e. Thou shalt make no image of the heavenly bodies which are above, nor of the creatures &c. which are on the earth beneath; nor of those which are in the waters that are still lower than the earth: not, which are "in the waters under the earth," &c. Hence will be seen the great necessity there is of observing, to what words these particles have an immediate reference; and hence, that we should not take them absolutely, as we often do in our own and other languages.

14. When the preposition לבין between, is used for the purpose of opposing one noun to another but is not repeated, it has this peculiarity, that it requires the insertion of before the latter: e. g. בֵּין מֵים לְמֵים between waters (as opposed) זס waters, Gen. i. 6; בין דין דין בין between cause (as opposed) To cause, i. e. between cause and cause, Deut. xvii. 8. If however this particle is repeated, its influence is immediate: e. g. בין הַאוֹר וּבֵין הַחשׁה BETWEEN the light, and BETWEEN the darkness, Gen. i. 4.

- 15. From what has been said on the primitive and derived significations of words (Art. 144.), it will be easy to conceive how cases may occur, in which it will be exceedingly difficult to ascertain the precise force of these particles; and consequently, the relation between words which they are intended to point out and define. Generally, however, either the primitive, or one or other of the derived, senses of the particle, considered in conjunction with the context, will afford us sufficient light. But here Noldius should be consulted.
- 16. The following instances, taken from Glassius, are intended to shew how these particles influence certain modes of expression; *יִלְכוֹ מֶחֵיל אֶל־חְיִל they proceed from strength to strength, i. e. they become stronger and stronger, Ps. lxxxiv. 8; בִּי מֵרְעָה אֶל־רְעָה יָצָאוֹ for they go from evil to evil, i. e. they become worse and worse, Jer. ix. 2.

For similar expressions in the Greek Testament, see Rom. i. 17, vi. 19, 2 Cor. iii. 18, Phil. ii. 27, &c.

17. Of the particles which signify motion towards, †

supposing, that it is the same with I which is said to mark the Arabic accusative; and it is probably derived from the same root. No one I think can

^{*} So in the Arabic من ناحية الي ناحية from part to part, i.e. proceeding on. Tale of Sindbad, Ed. Langlés, p. 1., &c. So also χάριν ἀντὶ χάρινος, grace for grace, i. e. an increase or excess of grace, far surpassing that of the Law of Moses, John i. 16.

[†] In this respect the postposition דָּד, the prepositions אָלָל, אָל, and to have the same, or very nearly the same, force. From the construction and sense in which this particle is found, there is good reason for

or rest in, a place, the following are examples. The first און היי is, more properly a postposition like the Latin versus: e. g. אַרְאַבְים סְרֹמְה ind two of the angels came to Sodom, Gen. xix. 1; ער אָשְר־אָבא אָל־ until I come in unto my Lord towards Seir, Gen. xxxiii. 14.

See Gen. x. 19, xii. 5, xlvi. 1. 4, Deut. ii. 13, 1 Kings xviii. 45, xix. 15, Jer. xxix. 15, Jon. i. 3. In the following passages is also prefixed, Ps. ix. 18, 1 Chron. xxvi. 17. See Art. 180. 15. 16.

18. In the following אֶל seems to have the same force with בּוּ בְּאָכְף אֶל־עַמִּי קַבְרוּ אֹתִי אֶל־בְּבְּרִי בּוּ בְּיִעְרָה אַנִי קַבְרוּ אַתִי אֶל־הַמְּעָרָה בַּפְּיִעְרָה &c. as for me, (I am) to be gathered to my people; bury me:—to my fathers,—to the cave in the cave, &c., Gen. xlix. 29, 30.

But here the particle אָל refers in every case to the verb אָבֶּאָבָּקְ and ב in v. 30, to אָרְרִּיּ ;;;; and, if so, these particles retain their usual and proper signification. So I Kings viii. 30; שְׁבִּיְהַ אָּל-הַּתְּשָׁבִיּ וֹשְׁבַּיְרָּ אָל-הַתְּבָּרְ וֹשְׁבִיּרְ הַשְּׁבִיּרִ הַּשְּׁבִיּרִ וְשִּבְּיִרְ וְשִּבְּיִרְ וְשִּבְּיִרְ אַלְּירָהְשָּׁבִיִּרִם שִׁבְּרְּךּ אָל-הַהְשָּׁבִיִּרִם שִׁבְּרְּךּ אָל-הַהְשָּׁבִיִּרִם מַבְּרָּוֹם שִׁבְּרְּךּ אָל-הַהְשָּׁבִיִּרִם מַבְּרָּוֹם שִׁבְּרְּךּ אָל-הַהְשָּׁבִיִּרִם מַבְּרָּוֹם שִׁבְּרָּוֹם שִׁבְּרָּוֹם שִׁבְּרָּוֹם שִׁבְּרָּוֹם שִׁבְּרָּוֹם מַבְּיִרְ אַלּיבְיִים שִׁבְּרִים שִׁבְּיִרִם מַּבְּרָּוֹם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּרִים שִׁבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּרִים שִׁבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְ אָלִיבְּיִם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִבְּיִם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִרְם מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּיִבְּים מִבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּרָּוֹם מִבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּרָּוּ מִיבְּבְּוֹבְּים מִּבְּרָּוּ מִיבְּים מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּרְם מִבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבְּיבְּים מִבְּים מִּבְּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיבְּים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִּבְּים מִּבּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיבְּים מִּבְּיבְּים מִּבּים מִּבְּיִּבְּים מִּבְּיִים מִּים מִּבּים מִּבְּיִּים מִּבְּיִּים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִבְּים מִּבּים מִּבְּים מִּבּים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מִיבְּים מִּבְּיִים מְּבְּיִים מְּבְּיבְּים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מִּבְּים מְבִּים מְּבּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מִּבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּיִים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מִּבְּים מְּבְּים מִּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבִּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מִּבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּים מְּבִּים מִּבְּים מְּבְּיבְּים מְּבְּיבְים מְּבְיבְּים מְּבְּים מְבְּים מִּבְּים מְּבְיבְּים מִּבְּי

The last two words here (בְּילֵבְילֵּה) must, I think, be referred to the preceding יוֹרָפּוּלִלוֹי: and, if so, the construction will be regular and the signification of the particle כלי constant. Constructions of this sort are frequent, and are looked upon as elegant in the Arabic.

Of this character are the following passages, which, in any other point of view, are very obscure, Hos. x. 4; וּבְּבָרַח בְּרֹאֵטׁ מִישְׁבְּט עֵל אָל and judgment blossoms forth just as hemlock (does) on the furrows of the field, i. e. abundant and deleterious, Isa. i. 12: ישְׁבָּי הָרָבְאוֹ הַבְּלְבִי הְבִּי הַבְּי בְּיִם זֹארת בְּיִּרְ בִּים רָכוֹס חֲבֵּרִי when ye come crawling in my courts, in order to be seen by me, (i. e. in this abject hypocritical manner), who hath sought this (sort of sacrifice, mentioned just before) at your hands? Ps. lxiii. 2. 3; דְּבִיבְיִם בַּנְישִׁי בְּבָּשׁ הְּבִּירְ בִּשְּׁרִי בְּשֶּׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָּׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָּרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשֶּׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָּׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשִׁרִי בְּשִׁרִי בְּשִׁרְי בְּשִׁרִי בְּשִׁרְי בְּשִׁרִי בְּשִׁרְי בְּשִׁרְי בְּשִׁרְי בְּשִׁרְי בְּשִׁר בְּעִרִי בְּשָׁרִי בְּשְׁרִי בְּשְׁר בְּשִׁר בְּבְּיִי בְּעִרְי בְּשָּבְיר בִּשְׁר בְּעִיר בְּשָּר בְּיִי בְּעִרי בְּשָּרְי בְּעִיר בְּעִּיר בְּשְׁרִי בְּעִרי בְּשְּרִי בְּעִרי בְּעִּיר בְּעִּיר בְּעִרי בְּעִבּי בְּעִרי בְּעִרי בְּעִּבְּי בְּעִרי בְּעִּיר בְּעִירִי בְּעִּיר בְּעִיר בְּעִּיר בְּעִּיר בְּעִּיר בְּעִיר בְּעִּיר בְּעִּיר בְּעִּיר בְּעִיר בְּעִּיר בְּעִיר בְּיִי בְּעִיר בְּיִי בְּעִיר בְּיִי בְּעִיר בְּיִי בְּיּי בְּעִּיר בְּיִיבְּי בְּעִּיר בְּיי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּיִי בְּי בְּיִי בְּיִי

even as I have seen thee in the sanctuary, (being now) in a land of drought and weakness (and) without water, Ps. lxviii. 19; עַּלְיִרִם לְשָׁכּוֹ הָה בָּאָרָם וְאַךְּם וְאַךְּם וְאַרְּם לִּשְׁכֹּוְ יָהּ לַבְּרִים לְשָׁכֹּוְ יָהּ thou, O Lord God, hast ascended up on high (there) to dwell; thou hast taken captivity captive (i. e. hast overcome the great enemy and subduer of man); thou hast received gifts for man (i. e. mankind), nay even (for) the rebellious ones.

Instances of this sort are numerous in the Psalms, book of Job, and in the Prophets, in all of which we should be careful to bring those parts together, the constructions of which are homogeneous. When this is done we shall find, that the significations and constructions of the particles are neither so numerous nor so various as commentators have made them.

LECTURE XIX.

ON THE NATURE AND USE OF THE CONJUNCTIONS. .

242. Words standing in the situation of conjunctions in the Hebrew, are subject to the laws of apposition and definite construction, just as other words are. In many instances, indeed, they are the very words which, at other times, are used as pronouns, adverbs, or prepositions; the situation alone in which they are found, giving them the character of conjunctions. A few such as 1, &c.* are used only as conjunctions.

^{*} The first of these seems to be derived from n a hook, connector, &c. and consequently, to signify, in addition, besides, and, &c. The second is probably

from the word - multiplying, becoming abundant, or the like, and equivalent to our moreover, much more, &c. See Storr, p. 337.

Examples in which the Relative Pronoun אָשֶׁבְּ is used as a Conjunction.*

נַיִּרְא מָשְׂבִּיל AND Saul saw THAT (QUOD) he (was) intelligent, 1 Sam. xviii. 15; אֲשֶׁר עָשָׂר עָשָׂר עָשָׂר (because) THAT David did what (was) right, &c., i. e. eo quod fecit, &c., 1 Kings xv. 5; יְיָשׁׁר מָל־הָאָרֶץ בְּשָּׁרְיִם and the dust returns to the earth like what it was, Eccl. xii. 7.

2. Of adverbs, prepositions, &c. simple or compound: בְּלְתִּי אָם־לָבָּד unless truly he have taken, Amos iii. 4; עַבָּב בִּי בְּוֹרָנִי אָם־לֶבְּב בּי בְּוֹרָנִי אַם־לֶבְּב בּי בְּוֹרָנִי אַם־לֶבְב בּי בְּוֹרָנִי a consequence that thou hast despised me, i. e. because that, &c. 2 Sam. xii. 10; עַבְּב בְּלִיי אַשֶּר שָׁבְיּעָר בְּלְלִי A consequence of that which (ejus quod) Abraham hath heard my voice, Gen. xxvi. 5.

3. The following passages are apparently elliptical, but really not so, when the force of the particles is seen: הַּלְּכִילִי לְבְלְתִּישְׁבוּ they have strengthened the hands of the evil doers, on account of their not having returned, &c., i. e., because they have not returned from their evil ways, Jer. xxiii. 14.

The word אָבָּ deficiency, &c. is very nearly equivalent to אל not, the particle is added as before (Art. 241.): and the verb אביל ווא manifestly in the preterite tense. The sense seems to be, that it is because none have returned from their evil ways, that the hands of sinners have been so much strengthened; and not, that none may return, the sense usually taken. So Is. xiv. 6, בּלְבָּלְ שִׁלְבֶּלְתְּ שְׁלָרָת who strikes the people in wrath, a stroke (that) hath

^{*} But in many cases אַשָּׁאַ stands in the place of a subordinate nominative absolute. See Art. 216. 13.

אסיד departed. Here אַרְבָּי is evidently in the definite state of construction with אַרְבִּי, to which אַרְבִי is added as a verb; and, as it is contrary to the genius of every Oriental language to negative the noun, the force of this negative combination must eventually influence the verb thus, "a stroke without having passed away," i. e. continual. So we say in English, in-finite, never-ending, and the like, when we wish to express the indefinite continuity of any thing. In like manner: Deut. viii. 20, אַרְבִּילִ לְּלֵּא חָלִישִׁ שְּׁלֵּא וֹלְּ BECAUSE (that) you nill not hear; or, of your not hearing; taking אַל as a noun signifying deficiency, lack, want, or the like. See also Gen. xxii. 16, xxvii. 5, xxxviii. 11, Num. xi. 20, 1 Kings xxii. 42, Ps. cxix. 136. And more particularly with Infinitives or verbal nouns, Num. xiv. 16, Judg. vi. 18, Is. xlviii. 4, lx. 15, 2 Chron. xxviii. 6.

וַיַּשְׁבְּעֵרִי אֲדֹרִי לָאמֹר לֹאִרתִקּח אִשְׁח לְבְנִי מִבְּנִוֹר חַבְּנַעֲרִי אֲשִׁר הַבְּעַרִי אֲבִי תַּלְהָ אָל־בֵּית־אָבִי תַלָּהְ אַל־בֵּית־אָבִי תַלָּהְ אַכּר., and my Lord swore me, saying, Take not a wife for my son from the daughters of the Canaanite, in whose land I dwell: surely not. Go thou to my father's house, &c. And at v. 41, אַבְּיתְי בָּיִן מַאְלְתִי בְּיִּ תְבְּנִתְּי וְאַבְּי לְאַ יִּתְּבְּי וְאַבְּי וְבִּילְתִי בְּיִר תְבִּוֹץ לֹא יִתְּבָּרְי נְאָב לֹא יִתְּבָּי לָּהְי וְבִייִתְ בָּקִר מַאָּלְתִי then shalt thou be free from my oath (i. e.), when thou shalt come to my kindred, and (upon the condition that,—putting the matter on this issue) they will surely not give her to thee, then shalt thou be free from my oath, i. e. from the oath which I made thee swear.

6. There is perhaps no real ellipsis in any one of the passages in which this particle is found. This, however, will depend very much on the signification which we attach to it, and on the nature of the construction in which it is found; both of which we shall now endeavour to explain.

7. If we derive this particle from IDN firm, steady, permanent, and hence, sure, surely, true, trust-worthy, faithful, and the like, and suppose it to be of the primitive form IDN (see Art. 151.), which will make the elision of the I necessary (Art. 76.), then we shall have DN meaning, surely, truly, certainly, &c. which is perhaps its real force in every instance.

8. We have seen (Art. 237, note), that in hypothetical sentences, enunciations are generally made as of facts, not as of opinions. If then we propose the certainty of one fact, with some strong asseveration in one member of a sentence, and compare another with it as equally certain of occurrence in another, we shall do nothing more than what is always done in oaths in the Hebrew, when this word is employed in one of the members. In some cases these constructions will imply a negation, and hence this particle has been often so interpreted.* There is a passage, viz. Ruth iii. 12, which seems

^{*} It is very nearly allied in signification and usage to the Arabic which will occasionally involve a negation, and always an asseveration: but more nearly in form to h, which is thought to be derived from a word, signifying root, origin, &c. In like manner word, signifying root, origin, &c.

to confirm the etymology just given: וְעַתַּח כִּי אָמַנֶם כִּי אָם בּאָל and now that (it is) true: for TRULY, SURELY, I am a Goel, or near kinsman. This word has been rejected by the Masorets in this passage, but without any good reason for doing so. In this place, and perhaps in most others, the words and and may be substituted the one for the other, and the sense will remain perfectly the same: e. g. Job xix. 5, וְאַרִיתִי אָתִי הָלִין מְשׁוּבָתִי and even (let it be) TRUE, SURE, (that) I have erred, with myself lodges my error. So Is. iv. 4, 5, הְנָה יְהְנָא ... &c. ... אָם רָחַץ אַרֹנָי ... &c. ... וּבָרָא TRULY the Lord shall wash ... then shall Jehovah certainly create, &c. And in Job xix. 5, 6, we have both these particles occurring together, either for the purpose of strengthening the asseveration, or for qualifying both the prodosis and apodosis found in the context : e. g. אָם־אָמְנֶם עֶלֵי הַנְדִּילהּ וְתוֹכִיחהּ עֲלֵי הֶרְפְּתִי : דְּעהּ אפו בייאלוה עותני &c. surely, ye truly, or constantly, magnify yourselves, or speak great things against me, and contend against me (to) my reproach; (so) know ye now, that God hath bent me (down), &c.

9. The following example seems to involve a negation: בוֹא אַבּוּבוֹא אַבּוּבוֹא, truly, really, upon the coming in of your brother, Gen. xlii. 15. That is, as certainly as the one thing exists, the other being made to appear equally so, no less certain shall your liberation be: but not before this condition is complied with. On this principle, I think, every instance in which this particle occurs can be solved. That it is redundant, or that it has been omitted by the

to Mr. de Sacy, this particle is negative when the verb preceding it implies negation. Gram. Arab., vol. ii., Art. 667. In the examples following, however, in which he has treated it as a pleonasm, he has entirely mistaken its force, especially as he himself has cited the Arabians in Art. 671, giving it the

ititle of توكيد or corroboration. In every instance given by him, certainly, surely, most certainly, or the like, will supply the sense of the passage. See Art. 651—2. 668: i. e. putting the case either positively, or negatively, some consequence will, or will not, certainly come to pass; which is a kind of swearing. But more on this subject in a tract which I intend shortly to publish.

ellipsis, as affirmed by Noldius, p. 69, &c. I deny: because every passage adduced by him can be accounted for, without having recourse to those suppositions.

10. From what has been said on the use and signification of some of these words, it will be easy to conceive how they may be used, either in their simple or compound state, for the purpose of connecting together such parts of a discourse, as the speaker or writer may wish should be considered in connection with one another: e. g. אָרֶא אָר הַאָּרֶץ הַאָּרֶץ he created the heavens and the earth, Gen. i. 1. So in the next verse: אַרָּאָרֶץ הַיְּתָה תֹהוֹ וְבָהוֹן AND (as to) the earth, it was emptiness and a vacuity, &c. in which these particles are said to be Copulative; in others they are said to be Disjunctive (better, Distinctive), Conditional, Causal, or Conclusive, according to the signification of the passage in which they are found. The following are a few examples of each case.

Examples of Copulative Conjunctions.

11. טוֹב אֲשֶׁר־יְבֶּה לֶאֲכוֹל וְלְשְׁתּוֹת it is a good, that one becomingly eat and drink, &c. Eccl. v. 17; one becomingly eat and drink, &c. Eccl. v. 17; and he was ruddy with (being) beautiful of eyes, 1 Sam. xvi. 12; וַמְדִּין וְעָר חַרְבּוֹ &c. and he gave it to David, even his garments and even to his sword, &c. Ib. xviii. 4.

See also Gen. vii. 23. In many cases there is an apparent excess in the use of the copulative conjunctions, which has been termed by the Grammarians πολυσύνθετον, see Gen. xxv. 34, xliii. 8, Jos. vii. 11, 2 Kings ii. 14, Ps. cvii. 37, &c. and in the New Testament, John x. 27, 28, 1 Cor. xiii. 1, 2, 3, &c. perhaps to excite attention only. See Art. 222. 7.

12. In other instances they are omitted, for the purpose of exhibiting the order of events, &c. the more closely or rapidly in succession, as in the Latin, "Veni, vidi, vici," &c. See

Exod. xv. 9, Judg. v. 27, 1 Sam. xv. 6, &c. This has been termed ἀσύνθετον.

By the former of these distribution is sometimes intended; as, וְנֵכְבָּ וְסִרּס both Aiya and Ana, Gen. xxxvi. 24; מְנֵכְבּ וְסִרּס both chariot and horse, Ps. lxxvi. 7, &c. See Art. 216. 17, 18.

13. Examples of Disjunctive (i. e. Distinctive or Distributive) Conjunctions; אַלִיךּ אוֹת *אוֹם מוֹפֵת and he shall give thee a sign or a wonder, Deut. xiii. 2; בְּבִיא אוֹ-כֹתוֹן בּבְרָא אוֹ-כֹתוֹן בּבְרָא אוֹם בּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא אוֹם בּבְרָא אוֹם בּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא אוֹם בּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא בּבּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְּרָא בּבְרָא בּבְּבְרָא בּבְרָא בּבְּבְּיא בּבְּרָא בּבְּבְּיא בּבְּבְיא בּבְּבְרָא בּבּבְּיא בּבּבּרָא בּבּבְּיא בּבּבּרָא בּבּבּבּיף בּבּבּיף בּבּיף בּבּבּיף בּבּביף בּבּבּיף בּבּביף בּבּבּיף בּבּביף בּבּבּיף בּבּבּיף בּבּבּיף בּבּבּיף בּבּבּיף בּבּבּיף בּבּבּיף בּבּבּיף בּבּבּיף בּבּבּביף בּבּבּבּיף בּבּבּיף בּבּבּביף בּבּבייף בּבּבּיף בּבּביף בּבּביף בּבּבייף בּבּבּבייף ב

This particle, however, is often construed as conjunctive, adversative, or, conditional. See Noldius sub voce, and Glass. Phil. Sacr., p. 524, &c. where similar usages are collected from the New Testament. It is also occasionally omitted by the ellipsis. See 1 Sam. xx. 12, 2 Kings ix. 32, Is. xvii. 6, Ib. xxxviii. 14, Jer. xi. 19, &c. See Noldius, p. 4.

Examples of Conditional, Causal, and Conclusive, Conjunctions.

14. הַאָּף הִּקְפָּה הַאַּף הִּקְפָּה הַאַּף הִּקְפָּה fifty righteous wilt thou also destroy, &c.? Gen. xviii. 24; אווי יוֹדְלְּבְהִי יוֹדְלְבְהִי וֹדְלְבְהִי go, Jud. iv. 8. But here the cases are put as positive, Art. 242. 8. Under this head may also be arranged the particles בא and אווי סוֹדְ of asseveration and swearing, &c. See No. 5, &c. above.

15. The Causals are for the most part, עַל־בּן,בִּי אָם, בִּי אָם, עַל־בּן, עַל־בּן, עַלְבּן, אָטֶּר, אָטֶר, תַחַת, לְמַעון אֲשֶׁר, לְמַעון אֲשֶׁר, לְמַען אֲשֶׁר, לְמַען אֲשֶׁר, לְמַען אֲשֶׁר, לַמַען בִּי עָן אֲשֶׁר, for, whereupon, therefore, because, under or upon (the consideration that), &c.; בּי לֹא אָבוֹא &c. that I may not come, &c., 1 Sam. xxix. 8; עַל־בּן אוֹחִיל,

^{*} Noldius derives this particle from الله velle, i. e. (الله Art. 74. الله Art. 74. الله Art. 87. 1. أله just as the Latin vel from velle. So in Persian we have غواله to desire, wish, &c.

therefore I hope, Lam. iii. 21; לְמַעוּ שְּׁמֶדּ because of thy name, 1 Kings viii. 41, &c.

It would be endless to give examples of every case; we must therefore refer the Reader to Noldius and Glassius as before.

16. Of the *Conclusive* conjunctions it will be unnecessary to say any thing. Those which are used as *Causals* in one place, may be employed as *Conclusive* in another; the position and context being the only means by which the peculiar character and bearing of these words can be ascertained.

Of the Interjections.

^{*} Imperat. a surrexit, Schræd. R. 106. So in Golius, in the third conj. but in the first, which I would rather take, "Intendit, proposuit sibi . . . custodivit, servavit, &c." The meaning will then be, look! observe! preserve! Hence the phrase will be good preserve thee! Ib.

See also I Kings xxi. 3, 2 Sam. xxiii. 17, 1 Chron. xi. 19. Glassius, Schræderus, &c. however, supply imputabitur, by the ellipsis in this place; see p. 550. Phil. Sacr. and Schræd. Gram., Rule 106. Synt.

2. There are a few others, viz. אָבָּה or דְבָּה, the same perhaps with אָבָ; and בְּ * attend, אֹנּשׁׁ, or the like, אָשׁ שׁפּר, alas; קלְה felix! O happy! or the like. Examples: אַבָּא יְהוָה הַצְּלִיהְה נָא מִנְּא יְהוָה הַצְּלִיהְה נָא O Jehovah! save now; O Jehovah! give now prosperity, Ps. cxviii. 25 (see Art. 234.); אַבָּה יְהוָה זְּכָר־נָא O Jehovah! remember now, 2 Kings xx. 3; אַבָּה יְהוָה זְּכָר־נָא O Jehovah! remember now, 2 Kings xx. 3; אַבְּה יְהוָה זְּכָר־נָא מִבְּר יִרְדְנִי יְרָד יְרַדְּנִי יְרָד יְרַדְּנִי יְרָד יְרַדְּנִי יְרָד יְרַדְּנִי יְרָד יְרַדְּנְי וְיִבְּי אַיִּי שְׁמַלְּבְּדְּנָעְר אַיִּי שְׁמַלְּבְּךְ נְעַר אִירִים לְנַבְּשִׁי אֵין יִשׁוּעְתָה לוֹ אַ woe to thee, O land, whose king is a boy, Eccl. x. 16; אֹרְרִים לְנַבְּשִׁי אֵין יִשׁוּעְתָה לוֹ אַ מִבְּר בָּרִים לְנַבְּשִׁי אֵין יִשׁוּעְתָה לוֹ אַלְּהִים לְנַבְּשִׁי אֵין יִשׁוּעְתָה לוֹ אַלְּהִים לְנָבְשִׁי אֵין יִשׁוּעְתָה לוֹ בּוֹ אַלְרִים לְנַבְשִׁי אֵין יִשׁוּעְתָה לוֹ Praise! Ps. iii. 3; אַלְרִא וַיְעָנֵנִי לְּלָבְּ בְּרָבְתָּךְ אַלְרָא וַיִּעְנֵנִי לְּנִבְּר בְּרָבֶתָּךְ אַנְבְּרָ בְּרָבְתָּךָ בְּרָבְתָּךְ בִּרְבָתֶר וֹ לוֹנִי בְּרָבְתָּך בְּרָבֶתְר בְּרָבֶתָר וֹ Ib. v. 5; עַל עַבְּדְ בַּרְבָתֶר Praise! Ib. v. 5; על עַבְּדְ בַּרְבָתֶר Praise! Ib. v. 9.

^{* &}quot;Vox dolentis et supplicantis," says Noldius: which he derives from אָבָרָּ as יְי is from יְבָּרָּ ... " notans consolationem, recreationem," as with the Syrians, and יִבּרֹ " recreet et consoletur te Deus," among the Arabs. Concord. part. p. 175.

[†] Those who wish to see the various opinions entertained on the origin and meaning of this word may consult Noldius, Concord. Part. Annotationes et Vindiciæ, num. 1877. For my own part, I believe it to be descended from the root

he blessed, &c., and used not unlike the word amen, or the doxology among ourselves.

[‡] If the Psalms were originally sung or chanted in the Temple by two

LECTURE XX.

ON THE COMPOSITION OF SENTENCES AS POINTED OUT BY THE INFLUENCE OF THE ACCENTS.

244. After what has been said on the composition of incomplex and simple propositions (Art. 212.), in addition to what has been stated and exemplified on the concordance and government of words, it cannot be necessary to exemplify our rules by adducing and accounting for complex and compound propositions, as they occur in this language. We shall, therefore, now shew in what way this has been done by the authors of the accents.

On the Distinctive Powers of the Tonic Accents.

245. It has already been remarked (Artt. 58. 59.), that these accents have been supposed to have the property of dividing sentences into their several members, just as our comma, semicolon, colon, and period, do. Nothing can be more likely, than that the Masorets, or, whoever else they were who affixed the vowel points to the Hebrew text, would apply some system to it, whereby the mutual dependence of its several parts upon one another would also be pointed out. It has been shewn, that these accents (Artt. 60. 64.) also serve to mark the accented syllable in any word, as also that which sustains a secondary kind of accent.

2. But as they differ considerably from one another in shape and name, they may also have been intended to point out the grammatical relations of words, or of sentences, to one another. The Jews have attached certain musical notes to each of these marks, which may be seen in the Bibliotheca Rabbinica of Bar-

parties in a sort of dialogue, in which the one responded to the other, and both joined occasionally in a kind of chorus, as has been well supposed and maintained by Lowth and others, no word could, perhaps, be more suitable than this for such occasional chorus: and hence perhaps the Διαψαλμα of the Seventy, and the χε. for ever, of the Chaldee. See Nold. num. 1877., as above.

tollocci (vol. iv. p. 427, &c.); or in the second volume of the Hebrew Grammar by Guarin (page 329, &c.):* but this is not to be wondered at. There has always existed a predilection for a sort of chanting in divine worship.—The Mahomedans chant their Koran, and we ourselves still continue to chant several parts of our ritual in the cathedral and collegiate churches.

- 3. It is not our intention to detain the Learner long on this subject: we shall merely lay down a few of the general principles which regulate the accents, with a few examples by way of illustration, referring to other Writers for further particulars.
- 4. In considering the nature and application of the accents, we have nothing to do with the grammatical or purely formal government of words (Art. 215. 5.). The logical import of passages is all we can now be concerned with; and this may be considered in two points of view. The first is, that which respects the construction of phrases, as of nouns in immediate or mediate apposition or construction, or of verbs with their apparent nominatives, or complementary words: in all which the connection is considered and represented, as being the closest possible. The second is, the combination of such phrases, or sentences, in the construction of periods; and in this the connection will be considered and represented, as more or less close or dependent, according to the intention of the Writer.
- 5. For the first of these cases, accents have been adopted, which have been termed Servants or Ministers, and which are rarely found in any other situation: for the second, two systems of accentuation are found to prevail in the Hebrew Bible: one peculiar to the Books which are generally termed Prosaic; the other to those which are said to be Poetical. The Poetical Books are, Job אַלוֹי, Proverbs אַלוֹי, and the Psalms אָלוֹי, termed by the Rabbins אָלוֹי, which is a technical word, formed out of the initials of the names above mentioned. All the rest of the Books are said to be Prosaic.
- 6. We shall first lay down a few rules for the prosaïc, and afterwards, for the poetical, books.
 - 7. The following table gives the relative powers, and the order

^{*} Where we also have them in score, so that we can convert any part of the Hebrew Bible into a Quartett whenever we please!

of consecution, of the several accents: it has been taken from a Hebrew Grammar of considerable merit, which appeared for the third time at Vienna in 1810, by one Jehuda Leb Ben Zeb. This is adapted to the prosaic Books of the Bible only, and is sufficiently correct and extensive for our present purpose. Should the Student wish to pursue this subject to a greater length, he may consult the elaborate work of Ouseel, the Biblia Accentuata of Daschelius,* or the "Doctrina Accentuationis Hebrææ" by Daniel Weimar,† which last is certainly the best work I have seen on the subject; I have, therefore, generally followed it.—See the Table of Accents (Art. 58. &c.)

246. A Table pointing out the Rank, Order, and Powers, of the different Accents.

	DISTINCTIVE.	CONJUNCTIVE.	Disjunctives.		
			Small.	Greater.	Greatest.
Emperors	: סלוק	מרכא	מפוֹזא	זקף קמון	זקף קמון
	ארענע	מונח	id.	id.	id.
Kings	סגול	מונָח	זרקב	רביעי	זקף קטון
	וֹקפין וֹקפין	id.	פשמא	id.	id.
	מפקא	מרכָא	תבוֶר	id.	id.
	רביעי	מונח	۶.خ.k	ว์ก็	7.5.8
Dukes	זרקא	מונָח	۶.٤.٤	រீກ	อ้.ว่.ร่
	פשמא	מהפָּוּ	id.	id.	id.
	תבוֶר	דרגָא	id.	id.	id.
	٢.٤.٤	קדמא	ا گر	פֿזר	id.
Counts	פֿוּר	מונָת	מונח	פֿזר	อ้. ว่.ร่
	תנישא ג	id.	id.	id.	id.

^{*} Lipsiæ, 1729.

[†] Lipsiæ, 1709.

- 3. I have not translated the terms employed by the Hebrew Grammarian, but have used those which appeared to me the most suitable.
- 4. It will be perceived, that many of the words are abbreviated: as \$\frac{1}{8}\$, for \$\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{8}\$, which is the same with \$Géresh\$ (Art. 59.), the mark for which is placed above the \$\frac{1}{8}\$. In every other case, the form of the accent meant is placed over the initial letter of its name: as, \$\frac{1}{2}\hat{1}\frac{1}{2}\text{Telisha} \text{Gedóla}, \$\frac{1}{2}\hat{1}\hat{1}\text{Telisha} \text{Ketanna}, and so of others. In one instance we have \$\frac{1}{2}\hat{2}\hat{1}\hat{1}\text{i}, i. e. \text{Zakeph gadol} and \text{Zakeph katon}. The syllable \$id\$, is to shew, that the accent above is also to be used here.
- 5. It will be unnecessary to explain the different names given to the several classes of accents in the tables, as Emperors, Kings, &c.; every one will see, that a greater or less dependence, observed in the relation of phrases or sentences one to another, is intended to be pointed out by these titles.
- 6. It should also be observed, that, in the above table, the accents greatest in rank, or, in other words, which point out those phrases, &c. which have the least dependence upon others, are placed uppermost: and, that those which accompany the closing word of any sentence, or member of a sentence, are placed at the left side. Silluk אלוק, for example, ranks highest, and is placed in the left-hand column. Its office, therefore, is to close a period.

^{* &}quot;Tabula accentuationis," prefixed to his "Introductio in accentuationem-Hebræorum prosaïcam. Lugd. Batav. 1715.

- 7. In the next place, as these leading accents are situated at the close of a sentence, or of a member of a sentence, and are placed in the left-hand column of the table, we must look towards the right hand for those which should either immediately, or more remotely, precede them. In this point of view, therefore, Mercá, will be found to attend on, or to precede, Silluk, as its servant.
- 8. The second accent (proceeding downwards) in the left-hand column, is $Athnákh \sqcap \square \square \square \square$. This accent, therefore, is the next inferior to Silluk; and is usually found to close a larger member of a sentence. To the right of Athnákh we find $M\bar{u}nákh$ $\Pi_{\bar{u}}$, in the capacity of a minister or servant. Athnákh, therefore, is to be considered, as usually accompanied by $M\bar{u}nákh$.
- 9. In the same manner, proceeding downwards, and again to the right, we shall find the several accents with their attendants, which are supposed to mark the members of a sentence, each having a less dependence on one another than the preceding.
- 10. We have now explained the use of the two first columns containing the distinctive, and their several accompanying, accents. Let us now proceed to the other three, containing the small, greater, and greatest, distinctive accents.
- 11. If we can suppose a period to consist of several sentences, or members of sentences, we can also suppose that each of these will have a greater or less dependence on one another, with respect to signification. The Author, from whom our table is taken, is of opinion (and with him all other writers on this subject agree), that phrases, considerably removed from the end of a verse or period, have less dependence on those which immediately follow them, than others have on those nearer its conclusion. Hence he has supposed, that the first of these, considered in the capacity of distinctive, will have a greater dependence on those which immediately follow them, than others will, which are farther removed from the end of the period, &c. Hence, these have been termed small, the next greater, and the next or last, the greatest, distinctives. Now, most of these, considered as distinctives, will also be found in the left-hand column, their servants or attendants will be always found there also, in the next, or right-hand column, as already explained.
- 12. Hence it should seem, that, for the most part, every second accent, counting from the end of a period or sentence, and pro-

ceeding backwards towards its beginning, will be a distinctive one: and that, immediately to its right, will be found its attendant or servant. And this is found to be the case. In the above table, therefore, we shall have the order of the accents for a very great variety of cases. That they are not all found here, must be certain, from the consideration, that there are several accents in use not to be found in this table at all. But, as our limits will not allow us to enter fully into this subject, we have deemed our table sufficient for the present. Let us now proceed to our rules and illustrations.

- 247. Words in apposition or construction, either immediate or mediate, will be connected by a conjunctive accent; as, אַרֹוּיִם the Lord God, Gen. iii. 1; אַרְיִהָּם Abraham's servant, Gen. xxiv. 33; אַרָּהָים חוֹל one of a thousand, Job ix. 3. So אַבֶּרְיּהָם the God of him who is near, &c. Jer. xxiii. 23; אַבֶּרִי יִבְּיָרִי servant and maiden, Gen. xxxii. 6; אַבְּרָיִּ וּיִבְּיִּ וּיִבְּיִּ וּיִבְּיִּ וּיִבְּיִּ וּיִבְּיִּ וּיִבְּיִּ וּיִבְּיִ וּיִבְּיִ וּיִבְּיִ וּיִבְּיִ וּיִבְּיִ וּיִבְּיִ וּיִבְּי מִּבְּיִר בְּיִרָּיִ וּיִבְּי מִּבְּיִר בְּיִרָּיִ וּיִבְּי מִּבְּיִר בְּיִרְיִּ בְּיִרְיִּ בְּיִרְיִּ בְּיִרְיִּ מִּבְּיִר בְּיִבְּי וּיִבְּי וּיִבְּי וּיִבְּי וּיִבְּי וּיִבְּי וּיִבְּי וּיִבְּי מִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּי וּיִבְּי מִבְּיִר בְּיִבְּי וּיִבְּי מִבְּי בְּיִבְיוּ בְּיִרְיִי בְּיִבְיוּ בְּיִרְיִי בְּיִבְּי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִר בְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְיִי בְּיִבְיי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִי מִבְּיִר בְּיִבְיי בְּיִבְיי בְּיִבְּיִי בְּיִבְיי מִבְּיִר בְּיִבְיי בְּיִבְיי מִבְּיִר בְּיבְייִ בְּיִבְיי מִבְּיִר בְּיִבְיי בְּיִבְיי בְּיִבְיי מִייִי בְּיבְיי מִייִי בְּיבְּיי מִייִי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי מִייִי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי מִייִי בְּיבְיי מִייִי בְּיבְיי מִייִי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְּיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְייי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבִיי בְּיִי בְּיבְיי בְּיבִיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבְיי בְּיבִיי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּיי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּיִיי בְּייִי בְּייִיי בְּייִייִי בְּייִי בְּיִייִי בְּייִייִי בְּייִי בְּיִיי בְּיִייִי בְּיִיי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִי בְּיִיי בְּיִיי בְּיִיי בְּיִייִי בְּיִייִיי בְּיִיי בְּיִיי בְּיִיי בְּיִייִי בְּייִיי בְּיִייִי בְּיִיי בְּיִייִי בְּיִיי בְּייִיי בְּייִיי בְּיִייִי בְּייִי בְּייִי בְּיִיי בְּיִייִי בְּייִייִי בְּיייִי בְּיִיי בְּיִיי בְּיִיי בְּייִיי בְּיִייי בְּייִיי בְּייִיי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייי בְּייִיי בְּיייִיי בְּייי בְּיייי בְּיייִייי בְּיייי בְּיייִיי בְּיייי בְּייייי בְּיייי בְּייייי בְּייייי בְ
- 2. The same is the case when the construction is distinctive (Art. 225.): as, בַּרִלְיבָּב clean (of) hands, Ps. xxiv. 4; בַרבייב pure (of) heart, Ib.*
- 3. The same holds good when one of the words so connected occupies the place of an adverb, or specificative (p. 288. note): ਸਮਾਸ ਤਰੀ sudden fear, Prov. iii. 24; ਸਪ੍ਰਸ਼ the grave beneath, Ib. xv. 24.
- 4. The numerals are similarly connected with the thing numbered, as are also particles with the words with which they are to be construed. To which also may be added verbs, when repeated for the purpose of giving greater emphasis, or when succeeding one another in order to vary the sense; or, as found with, or without, the connecting particles.
- 5. The verb is generally connected with its apparent nominative (Art. 216.), by means of a conjunctive accent; as, בַּרָא אֵלהִים God created, Gen. i. 1; בּרָא מָרָר אַבִּרְם and Abram said, Ib. xv. 2.

^{*} Makkaph is to be considered as a conjunctive accent here and elsewhere. The distinctive accent here is a compound (see p. 26.), not found in our table.

- 7. Also when a particle intervenes: as, Der in malking with them, Gen. xviii. 16. There are many exceptions, however, to this rule.
- 8. When more than two words, immediately following each other, stand in the same apparent relation to one another, those which are more immediately connected together in signification will have a conjunctive accent, the others disjunctive ones, according to the relation in which they are found with the former; as, אַשָּׁן בַּבְּלֵּלְ וֹבְעֵילִ gold and silver, and (also) brass, Exod. xxv. 3. So, a breast-plate, an ephod, and (also) a robe, Ib. xxviii. 4.
- 10. Also, when they are not all of the same person; as, בַּבְּרָה וֹשְׁלִידָה ׁ וְיִשְׁלְּעׁרּ Let me speak, and attest, and they shall hear, Jer. vi. 10.
- 11. Numerals are similarly connected; as, בּיָלְים וּלְמּאָלָים וּלְמּאוֹלָים וּלְמּאוֹלָים וּלְמּאוֹלָים וּלְמּאוֹלִים seven and twenty and two hundred. See Gen. v. xi. &c.
- 12. In all these cases, the two first words or sentences, so occurring, are connected in accordance with the rule. In the following, the two last are, for the same reason: as, און וְעָבֶּר וְשִׁבְּיל corn, wine and oil, Deut. xxviii. 51; און וְעָבֶּר וְשִׁבְּר וֹשִׁבְּיל focks, and menservants and maid-servants, Gen. xxxii. 6.
- 14. When several nouns succeed one another, each in construction with the following one, the leading words in the sentence will sometimes have disjunctive accents; the former being always greater than the next in succession: or, they will be divided into pairs, according to the pleasure of the Writer, or, as the sense of

the passage shall require; שׁׁמְבֵּי מִשְּׁמֶבֶר מִשְּׁמֶב the superintendence of the keepers of the charge of the sanctuary, Num. iii. 32; אֲבְרָהֶם יִצְּחָק וְעְצָּוֹב the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, Exod. iii. 16.

- 15. Nouns, or phrases, to be construed as nominatives absolute, will be distinguished from the following context by a disjunctive accent:* as, אַרָה הֹהוֹּ נְבְּׁה מִי מְחַבְּּיִלְ מִלְּבְּבֶּי הַבְּּבְּיִ הַבְּּבְי מִבְּרָת עַל־פְּבֵי מִר הַבְּיִרְת עַל־פְּבֵי הַבְּיִרְת עַל־פְּבֵי הַבְּיִרְת עַל־פְּבֵי הַבְּיִרְת עַל־פְּבֵי הַבְּיִרְת מְלֹבְי הַבְּיִרְת מְלֹבְי הַבְּיִר הַבְּבְי הַבְּיִר בְּבִיר הַבְּיִר בְּבִיר הַבְּיִר הְבִּיר בְּבִיר הַבְּיִר בְּבִיר בְּבִיר בְּבִיר בְּבִיר הַבְּיִר בְּבִיר בְּבְיר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְיר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְר בְּבְּר בְּבּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בּבּר בְּבּר בְּבְר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבּר בְּבּר בְּבּר בְּבְר בְּבְר בְּבְּר בְּבְר בְּבְר בְּבְר בְּבְר בְּבְר בְּבְר בְּבְר בְּבְר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְיבְּר בְּבְּר בְּבְּב בְּבְּב בְּבְּבְיב בְּבְּב בְּבּב בְּבְבּר בְּבְּב בְּבְּב בְּבְּב בְּבּב בְּבְּבּב בְּבְּב בְּבְּב בְּבּבּר בּבּב בּבּב בּבּב בּבּב בּבּר בּבּב ב
- 16. And, in the same manner, all nouns or phrases added for the purpose of qualifying, defining, or otherwise limiting others, but not so closely connected as to form phrases, &c. with them, will be marked by one or other of the disjunctive accents; as, אַרָּרָם וֹבָּאַרָּים נִבְּיִר עַּבְּרָם I brought thee forth from the land of Egypt—from the house of servants, Exod. xx. 2.

^{*} Hence Jarchi's comment on Ezek. i. 11, which has so frequently been cited and misunderstood, is only intended to shew that בּוֹלְנִי שְׁלֹּח with £akeph gadol is to be separated from the word following, thus; וּכְנְכֵּיתֶם וְכֹּנְכֵּיתֶם מִלְמַעֵּלְה מִלְמַעֵּלְה מִלְמַעֵּלְה מִלְנִיתְם וֹמַכֹּמות (as to) their faces, even their wings were spread out above (them). His words are: היו פרודות למעלה מפניהם ומכסות האוא. They were separated upwards from their faces, and covering them.

him, spake unto him, saying, Thus shalt thou speak unto this people (who have spoken unto thee, saying, Thy father made our yoke heavy, but make thou it lighter unto us), thus shalt thou say unto them, My little finger shall be thicker than my father's loins, 1 Kings xii. 10. Again: נַיּהַן אָל־מֹשֶׁה (פְּכַלּהוֹ לְדַבֵּר אָהוֹ בְּהַר סִיבִּי) שָׁבֵּי לְחַוֹּת and he gave unto Moses (when he had made an end of communing with him upon mount Sinai), the two tables of testimony, Exod. xxxi. 18.

- 248. When a verse consists of more propositions than one, or of several members of a sentence, the greater distinctive accent will generally be found placed at the end of that proposition, or member, which is most complete in its signification; or, if the context is sententious, at that part which marks the parallelism of the whole period. Example: יַּהְבָּר מִשֵּׁרְשֵׁיוֹ יִבָּבֶּר מִשֵּׁרְשֵׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרְשִׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרְשֵׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרְשִׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרְשִׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרְשִׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרִי יִבְּבֶּר מִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁרִי יִבְּבָּר מִשְׁיִר מִבְּבְּר מִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּיר מִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְּׁיוֹ בַּבֶּר מִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּר מִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּיר מִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּי מִבְּיִי יִשְׁיִי וְבָבֶּיר מִשְׁיוֹ יִבְּבֶּי מִבְּיִי יִשְׁיִי וְבַבֶּיר מִשְׁיוֹ מִבְּיִי יִבְּיִי יִבְּיי יִבְּיִי יִבְּי יִבְּיי יִּבְּיי יִבְּיי יִּבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִּבְּיי יִּבְּיי יִּבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִּבְיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִּבְּיי יִבְּיי יִּי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִּבְיי יִבְּיי יִּבְיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְיי יִּבְּי יִבְּיי יִּבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִּבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִּבְיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּייי יִבְּיי יִבְיי יִבְּייי יִבְיי יִבְּיי יִבְּייי יִבְּיי יִבְּיי יִבְּייי יִבְיי יִבְּיי יִבְייי יִבְּיי יִבְּייי יִבְיייי יִבְּיי יִבְּייי יִ
- 2. Here, if we take the liberty to separate the context, according to the importance of the accents, we may write it out thus:
 - יָנְצָא חֹשֶר מִנָּזַע יִשֶׁי וְגַצֶר מִשְּׁרָשָׁיו יִפְּרָח:
- 3. The following example from Gen. i. 2, contains several propositions.

הקופֿל בֿפֿלם: וֹלנּים אֶׁלְנָיִם מְׁכַּנָוּפֶּׁת וֹלִיּמָׁם אֶלנִינִם וֹלֹּאָנֵא בֿוֹלִעָם עְנָינָם

And (as to) the earth (it was) emptiness and a void: And darkness (was) upon the face of the great deep: And (as to) the Spirit of God, (it was) brooding Upon the face of the waters.

The terms אָרֶאָרֶן and בּיִרוּאָרָן, I take to be nominatives absolute, and therefore, as properly divided by distinctive accents from the context following (Art. 247. 15.). It should also be observed, we have three distinct propositions in this verse: the first ending with בְּבִּירִ, having the distinctive accent κενίᾶλ; the second with בּיִרוּאָר, having Athnákh; and the third ending with Sillúk and (:) Soph Pāsúk. Here also, as before, the accents distinctly mark the parallelism of the different members of the verse.

4. In the following passage we have four distinct propositions, Isa. i. 2.

שׁמְעָר שָׁמַׁיִם וְחַאֲזֵינִי אֶּרֶץ בְּנִים גִּדֵּלְתִּי וְרוֹמַמְתִּי וָהָם בִּשְׁעִר בִי :

Hear ye heavens, and give ear, O earth,
For Jehovah, he hath spoken,
(As to) children, I have brought up, and exalted (them);
But they,—they have rebelled against me.

5. Here the termination of every member marks the close of a distinct proposition, each of which is distinguished by a larger accent, which also serves to point out the parallelism, as before. So in the following: Isa. xlix. 4.

לִרָּתִר וִחֲבֵּל פַּחֵי כִּלֵּיתִּי וַאֲצֵי אָפַּרְתִּי לְרֵיק יָבְּפְתִּי

But I—I had said, I have laboured in vain:
For emptiness and vanity, I have consumed my strength.

On the Accentuation of the Metrical Books NDN, i. e. Job, Proverbs, and the Psalms.

- 249. The accentuation which we now propose to consider commences at the third verse of the third chapter of the book of Job, and continues to the fifth of the forty-second, whence the prosaïc accentuation is continued to the end. The whole book of Psalms, with that of Proverbs, is also subject to this metrical accentuation: the remaining books of the Bible are not.
- 2. The system of the accents, generally, is the same both in the prosaic and metrical books: that is, one set of accents will serve as disjunctives, the other as conjunctives in both, either dividing or connecting the several parts of the context, according to the interpretation of the authors of these marks. The only difference observable in its application is, that the powers peculiar to some of the accents differ, in some respects, from those just mentioned, as does also their order of consecution.

- 3. The distinctive accents, according to this system, are, : Sillúk, Mercá with Mahpák, Athnákh, Revíāh with Géresh, Revíāh, Zarká, Tiphkhá anterior, Pāzér, Shalshéleth with Pesík, Kadmá with Pesík, and Mahpák with Pesík.
- 4. The conjunctive or servile accents are the following: ¬ Mercá, ¬ Mercá with Zarká, ¬ Mahpák, ¬ Mahpák with Zarká, ¬ Mūnákh, ¬ Mūnákh superior, ¬ Tiphkhá, not anterior, and ¬ Yérakh.
- 5. The distinctives are here given according to their order of precedence: the conjunctives are considered as having no such order, as before. The distinctives on which these usually attend, may be found in the tables of Ouseel and others.
- 6. It will be seen from the forms and titles above given, that several of these accents are mere combinations of two of those already given (See Art. 59.).
- 7. The accents accompanying Soph-pāsúk and Pěsík are always found on the tone-syllable.
- 8. Of $Merc\acute{a}$ with $Mahp\acute{a}k \stackrel{\checkmark}{}$. $Merc\acute{a}$ is always under the tone-syllable; $Mahp\acute{a}k$ is placed on the preceding syllable, if there be any; if not, it remains on the same syllable. If, however, the preceding word be connected by $Makk\acute{a}ph$, or end in a furtive Pathakh, $Mahp\acute{a}k$ may then be on its last syllable.
- 9. The same holds good with respect to $\stackrel{\infty}{,}$ Mercá with Zarká, and $\stackrel{\infty}{-}$ Mahpák with Zarká.
- 10. In the occurrence of <u>"Rěvíāḥ</u> with Géresh, Rěvíāḥ is always on the tone-syllable, and Géresh carried as nearly to the beginning of the word as possible. But when no other syllable remains, they are placed together, as אַנְיּה his name, and אַנְּהְיּה flee ye.
- 250. It will easily be conceived, that if the disjunctives here, as before, have been invested with various powers for the purpose of dividing and subdividing any given part of the Biblical context into its several members, and thereby to facilitate the discovery of its meaning, the order of consecution of these disjunctives may be exceedingly various, just as the different modes of construction would require. With the view of familiarizing the Student with the different powers of these accents, therefore, tables have been formed, first giving the order of consecution found among these disjunctives; and, lastly, of these with their several attendants.

When speaking of the prose accents, we gave a table, rather with the view of complying with custom than for any thing else. At present we shall give a few examples only, and leave it to the industry of the Student to construct such tables for himself, should he think it necessary: believing it to be quite sufficient to make him acquainted with the principles, which it is trusted the following examples, in addition to what has been said, will be sufficient to do.

- 2. The following is an abstract of the analysis given by Ouseel of the first verse of the first Psalm.*
- עָּמָד וּבְּמִשֶׁב לַצִּיִם לָאַ יָשָׁב: אַשְּׁבֵּי הָאִּישׁ אֲשֶׁר ו לָאַ הָלַהְּ בְּצֵצֵּת רְשֶׁעִים וּבְּדֵנֶה הַשְּׁאִים לְאַ
- 3. This is to be divided, in the first place, into the two larger divisions indicated by the greater distinctive accents, which are Sillúk, and Mercá with Mahpák (Art. 249. 3.). We shall have, therefore, for the first great division:
- ים אַשְׁרִי דְאַשְׁרָ לְא הְלַף בְּעֲצֵין אוים The blessings of the man, who hath not walked in the counsel of the wicked.
- 4. In the next place, the division to be taken, according to the importance of the accents, will be, first, שֵׁבְי דָּבָּׁי the blessings of the man. Or, considering these two words as constituting a nominative absolute, (as to) the blessings of the man, which is universally accompanied by a larger distinctive accent (Art. 247. 15.). In the next place, the two words, viz. שֵׁבִי דְּבָּׁישׁ, will, on account of their close connection, be coupled by a conjunctive accent; and here we have $\frac{1}{2} M\bar{u}n\acute{a}kh$ for that purpose (Art. 247.).
- 5. The next portion we must take, will be בּוֹלְילֵא אֹלְילְילִא who hath not walked. Here we have first און שְּׁלֵּא with Mahpák and Pĕsík, which is the least disjunctive in our table. And, according to our consecution in prose (Art. 247. 12.), when the two last of the consecutive words are more immediately connected with one another, than either is with the preceding, the former will have a smaller disjunctive accent.
- 6. In the next place, אָלָ הָכָל must be construed together (Art. 247. 4.), they are, therefore, connected by a conjunctive accent.

^{*} Accentuatio Metrica, c. xvii. § 18-19, &c.

- 7. The next two words are, בַּעָבֵיה in the counsel of the wicked, which, from their logical character, must be construed together. They are therefore connected by the conjunctive accent Yérakh. This concludes the first division or parallel of our sentence.
 - 8. Let us now proceed to the second.
- : אַנְשָׁב לְאׁ יָשְׁב הְבְּלְשׁב לְאׁ עָמֶך הּבְּמשׁב לִאׁ מָא and in the way of sinners hath not stood; and in the habitation of scorners hath not resided.
- 10. In this smaller division, viz. בְּבְעָדָה , we have two words in construction; and this is marked by the conjunctive accent Mūnákh.
- 11. The next phrase, אַלְאָלָ is connected in the same way, and for the same reason.
- 12. Our next subdivision will be רְבְמשֵׁב לְצִים. Here we have Reviah with Géresh for a distinctive, and Mercá for its preceding conjunctive, accent.
- 13. In the last place, : \(\frac{1}{15.7} \) will be connected by the conjunctive accent \(\frac{1}{2}\) M\(\bar{u}n\)\(\hat{a}kh\); and \(Sill\)\(\hat{u}k\) with \(Soph-pas\)\(\hat{u}k\) will close the period.
- 14. We do not think it necessary to pursue this subject farther: enough has been said to point out the general doctrine respecting the accents, and this is all we proposed to do. With regard to the formulæ usually given, shewing the consecution of the accents, it may be remarked, that generally speaking they are sufficient to point out the way in which this subject is taught; but, when we come to particulars, are very inadequate. Even in the few examples here given, our own tables fail: and the truth seems to be, that, as the forms of composition may be exceedingly numerous, so may those of the consecution of the accents.

FINIS.

692)80







